The cluster Abell 780: an optical view*
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ABSTRACT

Context. The Abell 780 cluster, better known as the Hydra A cluster, has been thoroughly analyzed in X-rays. However, little is known about its optical properties.

Aims. We propose to derive the galaxy luminosity function (GLF) in this apparently relaxed cluster and to search for possible environmental effects by comparing the GLFs in various regions and by looking at the galaxy distribution at large scale around Abell 780.

Methods. Our study is based on optical images obtained with the ESO 2.2m telescope and WI camera in the B and R bands, covering a total region of 67.22 × 32.94 arcmin2, or 4.235 × 2.075 Mpc2 for a cluster redshift of 0.0539.

Results. In a region of 500 kpc radius around the cluster center, the GLF in the R band shows a double structure, with a broad and flat bright part and a flat faint end that can be fit by a power law with an index α ~ −0.85 ± 0.12 in the 20.25 ≤ R ≤ 21.75 interval. If we divide this 500 kpc radius region in north-south or east-west halves, we find no clear difference between the GLFs in these smaller regions. No obvious large-scale structure is apparent within 5 Mpc from the cluster, based on galaxy redshifts and magnitudes collected from the NED database in a much larger region than that covered by our data, suggesting that there is no major infall of material in any preferential direction. However, the Serna-Gerbal method reveals a gravitationally bound structure of 27 galaxies, which includes the cD, and of a more strongly gravitationally bound structure of 14 galaxies.

Conclusions. These optical results agree with the overall relaxed structure of Abell 780 previously derived from X-ray analyses.
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1. Introduction

Galaxy evolution is known to be influenced by environmental effects, which are particularly strong in galaxy clusters, where the effects of several physical processes such as ram pressure stripping, galaxy harassment, or infall of field galaxies are commonly observed. The analysis of galaxy luminosity functions (GLF) and, in particular, of their faint-end slopes in several wavebands, is a good way to trace the history of the faint galaxy population. As summarized for example in Table A.1 of Boué et al. (2008), this slope can strongly vary from one cluster to another, and it can also depend upon the depth of the galaxy catalog considered. While most studies give slopes in the range −0.9 to −1.5, several find faint-end slopes as steep as −2.3. Possible reasons for such a range of values (cosmic variance of the background counts, different mass buildup histories of clusters, systematic errors in the data analysis) are discussed in Boué et al. (2008) so are not repeated here (see references to the literature in this paper). The faint end slope can also depend on the chosen filter, but there is no clear evidence that the steepest slopes are always found in the same wavelength range.

* Based on observations obtained at the European Southern Observatory, program ESO 68.A-0084(A), P. I. E. Slezak. This research has made use of the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED), which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

Another interesting property is that, in a given cluster, the faint end slope of the GLF has been observed to vary from one region to another. For example, this effect has been observed in several clusters such as Coma (Lobo et al. 1997; Beijersbergen et al. 2002; Adami et al. 2007a) or Abell 496 (Boué et al. 2008), and it was shown that the faint-end slope gives indications of the cluster formation history (see e.g. Adami et al. 2007a, and references therein).

We present here the analysis of the cluster Abell 780, at a redshift z = 0.0539 (NED data base), which appears to be very relaxed and with no clear substructure (Burns et al. 1994). This cluster is better known as Hydra A, because its cD galaxy coincides with the strong wide-angle tail radio galaxy Hydra A (Owen et al. 1992). Hydra A is well known in X-rays, in particular because it is X-ray luminous (David et al. 1990) give \( L_X(1.0 \text{ Mpc}) = 4 \times 10^{44} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \) in the 0.5–4.5 keV band for a redshift of 0.0522 and a Hubble constant \( H_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1} \) and rather hot, in spite of being a poor cluster of richness 0 (Batuski et al. 1991). The gas temperature is about 4 keV \( kT_X = 4.5 \pm 0.7 \text{ keV} \) from Einstein data, David et al. 1990, \( kT_X = 3.80 \pm 0.12 \text{ keV} \) from ROSAT data, Mohr et al. 1999).

On large scales, the thorough analysis of a deep XMM-Newton image shows that the cluster indeed appears quite smooth and relaxed (Simionescu et al. 2009a,b). On the other hand, on much smaller scales near the centre, deep Chandra data have revealed a number of interesting features in this cluster: the hot gas in its innermost regions shows cavities and Swiss cheese like topology,
suggesting matter outflow. Evidence for the presence of a supermassive black hole in the central cD galaxy has also been found (McNamara et al. 2000; Wise et al. 2007).

On the other hand, very little has been published for this cluster at optical wavelengths: two galaxy redshifts (Batuski et al. 1991), and some imaging yet largely unpublished from the WINGS survey (Fasano et al. 2006). No substructures have been detected in Abell 780 from this survey (Ramella et al. 2007). However, some galaxy redshift measurements are available in NED. We present here optical images of Abell 780 in the B and R bands covering a field of view of 67.22 × 32.94 arcmin², or 4.235 × 2.075 Mpc².

The paper is organized as follows. We present our data and description in Sect. 2, and describe how the final galaxy catalog is obtained. In Sect. 3, we describe the color-magnitude relation and GLFs obtained in the two bands, using the VVDS survey F02 field as a comparison field for statistical subtraction of the foreground and background galaxy populations (McCracken et al. 2003). In Sect. 4, based on galaxy redshift measurements available in NED database, we analyze the environment of Abell 780 on a very large scale, and search for gravitationally bound structures by applying the Serna-Gerbal method.

To facilitate comparisons with the X-ray results by Wise et al. (2007), we assume for Abell 780 a redshift \( z = 0.0539 \), and a flat \( \Lambda \)CDM cosmology with \( H_0 = 70 \) km s⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹, \( \Omega_M = 0.3 \), and \( \Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7 \), yielding a luminosity distance of 240 Mpc, a linear scale of 1.05 kpc arcsec⁻¹, and a distance modulus of 36.90. In the absence of a direct estimate of the galaxy velocity dispersion \( \sigma_v \), we computed \( \sigma_v \) from the X-ray temperature measured by ROSAT applying the relation between \( \sigma_v \) and \( kT \) given by Girardi et al. (1996): \( \sigma_v = 102.59 \pm 0.04 T^{0.59 \pm 0.07} \). For \( kT = 3.80 \) keV, we obtain \( \sigma_v = 758 \) km s⁻¹. We then calculate the \( r_{200} \) radius using Eq. (8) from Carlberg et al. (1997) and find \( r_{200} = 1.91 \) Mpc.

### 2. Data and methods

#### 2.1. The data

We observed the cluster Abell 780 with the ESO 2.2 m telescope and the WFI camera on March 18, 2002 (ESO Program 68.A-0084(A)). Two fields were observed, covering the east and west parts of the cluster, in both Johnson B and R filters (ESO filters B — 842 and R — 844). The observations are summarized in Table 1. The camera comprises 8 CCDs, giving a field of about 8750 × 8305 pixels with a pixel size of 0.238 × 0.238 arcsec². Each WFI field is therefore 34.708 × 32.943 arcmin², or 2187 × 2075 kpc² at the cluster distance.

The two fields have a small area in common 2.19 arcmin wide in right ascension (131.5 arcsec, or 552.5 pixels), where we believed that the cluster centre was at the time of the observations (these were the coordinates given for Abell 780 in the NED and Simbad databases). However, we found out later that the position of the cD galaxy (the Hydra A galaxy), which coincides with the maximum X-ray emission, is offcentered by 6.1 arcmin in right ascension and 9.9 arcmin in declination towards the northwest. We will take the position of the cD galaxy as the cluster centre hereafter: \( z_{(2000.0)} = 139.5238 \) deg, \( \delta_{(2000.0)} = -12.0955 \) deg. Our mapping of the cluster therefore misses part of its North half, but on the other hand allows us to probe the South half of the cluster further away from the centre (see Fig. 1). A larger fraction of the cluster is included in the west field than in the east one. The total area covered by our two fields is 67.22 × 32.94 arcmin², or 4.235 × 2.075 Mpc² in right ascension × declination.

#### 2.2. Data reduction and source extraction

The images were bias- and flatfield-corrected in the usual way, using flat fields obtained at twilight and dawn on the sky. For each field, 5 individual exposures were obtained with some dithering. They were combined after being individually corrected for bias and flat field. We thus obtained a final set of four images in two bands, plus their corresponding weight maps. These weight maps allow us to take into account the fact that all the pixels in each image are not similarly exposed because of the dithering applied during such observations. The SExtractor software takes them into account when detecting and measuring objects on the images. Seeing measurements were made on unsaturated stars on the four final stacked images. Since the east and west images had slightly different seeings (at least in the R band), they were processed independently (see Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Summary of the observations (including coordinates of the two image centres).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA (2000.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139.896093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139.354152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exp. time (s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seeing (arcsec)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain (e⁻¹/ADU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>±0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>±0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>±0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zero Point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.334</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Map of the region of Abell 780. The two squares show the positions of our images. The black triangle shows the Abell 780 cluster position according to the NED and Simbad databases, and the red square that of the cluster centre as we define it (coinciding with the Hydra A cD galaxy, see text). The three concentric circles show radii of 0.5, 1.0, and 1.91 Mpc (\( r_{200} \)). Small circles show galaxies with redshifts taken from NED, with filled circles indicating galaxies in the [0.0338, 0.0738] redshift interval corresponding to a broad velocity interval around the mean cluster velocity.
Photometric zero points were estimated from the observation of standard stars from the Landolt list. Masks were made to exclude the regions with saturated pixels and bright stars and at the CCD edges. Object detection was performed by applying the SExtractor software (Bertin & Arnouts 1996). Detections were made in the \( R \) band (which is more sensitive), and then measurements were made in the \( B \) band with the double image mode. After trying several values, we adopted a detection threshold of 1.5\( \sigma \) and a minimum number of 5 pixels required for an object to be detected.

Total magnitudes (MAG-AUTO given by SExtractor) were computed in the Vega system. Star catalogues were left in the Vega system in order to be directly comparable with the Besançon counts (see Sect. 2.5). Galaxy magnitudes were converted to the AB system so that galaxy counts were directly comparable to those of the VVDS (see below). For this we applied the following formulae:

\[
B(AB) = B(B + V) + 0.163 \quad \text{and} \quad R(AB) = R(B + V) + 0.055.
\]

All magnitudes were corrected for galactic extinction, which is not negligible since Abell 780 is at relatively low galactic latitude \((b = 25^\circ)\). The Schlegel et al. (1998) extinction maps in the direction of our two fields show the same mean extinction (within the dispersion), with flux variations of only 11\% within each image, corresponding to magnitude variations of at most 0.05 in \( B \) and 0.03 in \( R \), adopting a mean extinction value. We therefore adopted constant extinction factors for both fields: 0.214 mag in \( B \) and 0.132 mag in \( R \). In view of the small redshift of Abell 780, we did not apply any \( K \)-correction. We thus obtained catalogues of objects in \( B \) and \( R \) for the east and west fields separately.

### 2.3. Catalogue completeness

The completeness of the catalogue was estimated by simulations. For this, we added artificial stars of different magnitudes to the CCD images and then attempted to recover them by running SExtractor again with the same parameters as were used for object detection and classification on the original images. In this way, the completeness is measured on the original images and at different locations in the cluster.

In practice, we divided the image into eight subimages, each 4030 \( \times \) 4030 pixels\(^2\) (similar to the subimage sizes already adopted e.g. in Adami et al. 2006a). This represents a good compromise between the spatial resolution of the completeness maps that we compute and the Poissonian error bars directly driven by the numbers of objects considered in each subregion. Choosing eight subareas also allowed us to detect potential completeness variations from one WFI CCD to another.

In each subfield and for each 0.5 mag bin between \( R = 20 \) and 26, we generated and added to the image one star that we then tried to detect with SExtractor, assuming the same parameters as previously. This process was repeated 100 times for each of the eight subfields.

The seeing variations between the east and west images and in the \( B \) and \( R \) bands are taken into account. We also corrected magnitudes for extinction as for our object catalogue, and transformed them into \( AB \) magnitudes. Such simulations give a completeness percentage for stars. This is obviously an upper limit for the completeness level, since stars are easier to detect than galaxies. However, we showed in a previous paper that this method gives a good estimate of the completeness for normal galaxies if we apply a shift of \( -0.5 \) mag (see Adami et al. 2006a).

This does not apply to low surface brightness galaxies, which are not expected to be detected here, mainly because they would be fainter than our detection limit, as derived from our results for LSBs in Coma (see Adami et al. 2006a,b). Results are shown in Fig. 2.

From these simulations, and taking into account that results are worse by \( -0.5 \) mag for mean galaxy populations than for stars, we can consider that our galaxy catalogue is complete to better than 90\% for \( R \leq 23 \) and 50\% for \( R \leq 23.5 \). In the \( B \) band, the corresponding numbers for 90\% and 50\% completeness are \( B \leq 23.7 \) and \( B \leq 24.3 \), respectively.

### 2.4. Star-galaxy separation

To separate stars from galaxies, we plotted the central surface brightness in the \( R \) band as a function of \( R \) magnitude for the east and west fields (Fig. 3). Stars show well-defined sequences bound by lines with slopes of 1.00.

To estimate the ordinate positions of the two upper lines (separating stars from galaxies), we considered the star simulations described in Sect. 2.3. for the \( R \) band. With respective seeings in the \( R \) band of 1.15 and 0.90 arcsec in the east and west regions, the \( 1\sigma \) dispersions of the star distributions around a mean line of slope 1.00 are 0.127 and 0.091, respectively. We consider that stars are located at \( \pm 3\sigma \) around the best line fit. The corresponding ordinate positions for \( R = 15 \) of the two upper lines (those separating stars from galaxies) are 15.61 and 15.22 for the east and west plots, respectively. All the objects above these lines have been classified as galaxies.

We looked individually at some objects located at the top edge of the quoted sequence in the \( R = 18-20 \) mag interval to check that this separation was correct. A few objects are visible in Fig. 3 at \((R < 22, \mu_b > 24)\). They do not correspond to low surface brightness galaxies but are all tiny defects in the CCDs.

A number of “objects” appear to form a second, broader sequence below the stars. On the images, these objects are found to be false detections and are in a great majority located at the CCD edges or at the edges of the masks drawn to cover bright stars. As seen in Fig. 3, these defects can be separated from stars by the

---

\(^1\) http://www.astro.utoronto.ca/~patton/astro/mags.html#conversions
two lower lines delimiting the star region, taken to be symmetric of the star-galaxy separation line defined above relatively to the line that fits the star sequence best. The objects below these two lower lines have been considered as defects and are eliminated from our catalogues hereafter. This classification into stars, galaxies, and defects is of course not valid over our whole range of magnitudes because of seeing limitations; for example, galaxy and star sequences appear to merge above \( R \sim 21.5 \) in Fig. 3. We also checked by eye on the images that most of the 260 objects brighter than \( R \geq 18 \) and classified as galaxies were indeed galaxies and not saturated stars.

### 2.5. Star counts

To estimate more precisely the reliability of our star-galaxy separation, we retrieved the star catalogue from the Besançon model for our Galaxy (Robin et al. 2003) in a 1 deg\(^2\) region centered on the position of Abell 780 given by NED (139.6265 deg, -12.2611 deg, J2000.0). As seen from Fig. 4, the star count histograms in the east and west regions estimated in Sect. 2.4 agree with the Besançon model star counts, and do so very well in the west region and within Poissonian error bars for the east region, between magnitudes \( R \sim 17 \) and \( R \sim 21.5 \). At brighter magnitudes, the histograms do not coincide, both because we masked very bright stars and because our total field is relatively small, leading to small number statistics for bright stars.

At fainter magnitudes \( R \geq 21.5 \), our star counts rise steeply, while the Besançon model counts increase much more smoothly. As expected, this implies that our star-galaxy separation is not reliable at magnitudes over \( R = 21.5 \) (or, to state this differently, the 21.0–21.5 bin is the faintest magnitude bin where the star-galaxy separation can be considered as reliable).

### 2.6. Galaxy counts

To perform galaxy counts, we build a single catalogue of the full east+west field after eliminating objects in common. We kept the objects measured in the West field, since this is the field containing a higher fraction of the cluster, and also the field with the best seeing. We consider the star–galaxy separation described above to be reliable for \( R < 21.5 \). Our full galaxy catalogue (with the east and west regions merged) will be publicly available at the following address: http://cencosw.oamp.fr/. It comprises 4256 galaxies brighter than \( R = 21.5 \).

For fainter magnitudes, we estimated the percentage of stars by comparing the total number of detected objects (stars+galaxies) to the predictions of the Besançon model in bins of 0.5 mag. We give these percentages in Table 2. The average fraction of galaxies was estimated in each magnitude bin from the average of the star percentages.

For \( R \geq 21.5 \) we therefore consider that in each magnitude bin the number of galaxies is equal to the total number of objects (stars + galaxies) multiplied by the average percentage of galaxies. We do not consider galaxy counts above \( R = 23.5 \), since incompleteness becomes too strong.

Galaxy counts were made in four regions defined by their distance \( r \) to the cluster centre in kpc: a circle of radius \( r < 500 \) kpc, a circle of radius \( r < 1000 \) kpc, a ring between 500 and 1000 kpc, and a ring between 1000 and 1500 kpc. Except for the first, all of these regions are truncated since our images do not fully cover them (see Fig. 1). The areas covered by each of these four regions after masking bright stars are given in Table 3. Galaxy counts are displayed in Fig. 5. Error bars are Poissonian.
Table 3. Area effectively covered by each of the four regions of Fig. 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Area (deg²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>r &lt; 500 kpc</td>
<td>0.049321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r &lt; 1000 kpc</td>
<td>0.17635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500 &lt; r &lt; 1000 kpc</td>
<td>0.12261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000 &lt; r &lt; 1500 kpc</td>
<td>0.167136</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.7. Comparison field

To perform a statistical subtraction of the background contribution, we consider the galaxy counts in the F02 VVDS field, which was observed with the same filters as Abell 780 and is prone to little extinction since it is located at high galactic latitude (58.0°). It covers a total area of 1.18 deg² and is fully complete and free of surface brightness selection effects up to $I_{AB} = 24$, with more than 50% completeness at $B = 26.5$ and $R = 25.9$ (see McCracken et al. 2003, Sect. 4.1).

We take the galaxy counts in 0.5 mag intervals normalized to 1 deg² directly from Table 2 of McCracken et al. (2003). Since our magnitudes were transformed to the AB system, the comparison of the galaxy counts is straightforward. The VVDS galaxy counts are shown in Fig. 5, where all counts are normalized to 1 deg². We can see from this figure that it is only in the $r < 500$ kpc region that the cluster galaxy counts are notably above the background counts up to $R ∼ 23.5$. In the $500 < r < 1000$ kpc and $1000 < r < 1500$ kpc regions, there is overall agreement between the galaxy counts and those of the VVDS, implying that the cluster does not show strongly above the background for $r > 500$ kpc. This explains why the galaxy counts in a $r < 1000$ kpc circle are so strongly diluted that they are not significantly higher than the background counts.

We therefore only analyze the galaxy luminosity function in the $r < 500$ kpc region hereafter.

3. Results: colour-magnitude diagram and galaxy luminosity functions

3.1. Colour-magnitude diagram

Since the McCracken et al. (2003) galaxy counts that we use to subtract the background contribution start at $R = 18$, we need a selection criterium to build the GLFs for $R < 18$.

The $B−R$ vs. $R$ colour-magnitude diagram is shown in Fig. 6 for the same four regions as in Fig. 5. A sequence is well-defined for galaxies in the magnitude range $15 < R < 18.5$, particularly in the plot corresponding to a radial distance to the cluster centre smaller than 500 kpc. We computed the best fit to the $B−R$ vs. $R$ relation in this zone and in this magnitude range by applying a simple linear regression. We then eliminated the galaxies located at more than $3σ$ from this relation and computed the $B−R$ vs. $R$ relation again. The equation of the colour-magnitude relation is found to be: $B−R = -0.064 R + 2.27$ with a $±2σ$ scatter of $±0.28$. We consider that all the galaxies located within $2σ$ of this relation (i.e. between the two red lines of Fig. 6) belong to the cluster. An eye check of the 5 very bright objects ($R < 15$) below the sequence shows that 3 are actually stars with small diffraction crosses (misclassified by SExtractor as galaxies), one is the blend of a bright galaxy plus a star, and one is a star with one or two objects superimposed.

Fig. 5. Galaxy counts in four regions defined by their distance $r$ to the cluster center in kpc. Top left: circle of radius $r < 500$ kpc; top right: circle of radius $r < 1000$ kpc; bottom left: ring between 500 and 1000 kpc; bottom right: ring between 1000 and 1500 kpc. The black histograms correspond to galaxies selected as in Fig. 3 and red histograms correspond to galaxy counts estimated statistically. The blue histograms show the VVDS counts as described in Sect. 2.7. Error bars are Poissonian. All counts are normalized to 1 deg².

Fig. 6. $B−R$ vs. $R$ colour-magnitude diagram in the same regions as Fig. 5. The red lines show the interval in which galaxies are considered as belonging to Abell 780. The vertical dotted lines on the top left figure indicate the magnitude interval in which the colour-magnitude relation was computed.
Table 4. Galaxy counts (in 0.5 mag bins) and luminosity functions in the \( B \) and \( R \) bands in a region within 500 kpc of the cluster centre, normalized to a 1 deg\(^2\) area (numbers are not given when the GLF is negative).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( B )</th>
<th>( NB_{\text{bin}} )</th>
<th>GLF ( B )</th>
<th>( R )</th>
<th>( NR_{\text{bin}} )</th>
<th>GLF ( R )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13.75</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13.75</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14.25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.75</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14.75</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.25</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15.25</td>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.75</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15.75</td>
<td>101</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16.25</td>
<td>162</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.75</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>16.75</td>
<td>162</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.25</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>17.25</td>
<td>203</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.75</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>17.75</td>
<td>223</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.25</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>18.25</td>
<td>203</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.75</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>18.75</td>
<td>487</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.25</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>19.25</td>
<td>750</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.75</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>19.75</td>
<td>831</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.25</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>20.25</td>
<td>1217</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.75</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>20.75</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.25</td>
<td>1217</td>
<td>21.25</td>
<td>3122</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.75</td>
<td>21.75</td>
<td>8148</td>
<td>4837</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.25</td>
<td>22.25</td>
<td>11743</td>
<td>6731</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.75</td>
<td>22.25</td>
<td>15296</td>
<td>7534</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 7. Galaxy luminosity functions in a 500 kpc radius region around the cluster center in the \( R \) (top) and \( B \) (bottom) bands, normalized to 1 deg\(^2\), in logarithmic scale. Apparent and absolute magnitudes are indicated in the bottom and top scales, respectively.

3.2. Global galaxy luminosity functions

Galaxies belonging to Abell 780 with magnitudes \( R \leq 18.5 \) were selected by applying the color–magnitude selection described above. This limit of 18.5 was chosen rather than the lower magnitude limit of 18.0 of the VVDS counts to avoid possible errors due to small number statistics in the very first VVDS bin. Numbers of galaxies were computed in bins of 0.5 mag.

For galaxies with magnitudes \( 18.5 < R < 23 \), GLFs were estimated in bins of 0.5 mag by simply subtracting the VVDS F02 counts from the Abell 780 counts in the \( B \) and \( R \) bands, estimated as described in Sect. 2.6. All counts were normalized to a 1 deg\(^2\) region. Results are given in Table 4 and Fig. 7 for the region of 500 kpc radius around the cluster center. For magnitudes above \( B = 21.5 \) (except for a point at \( B = 22.75 \), which has a very large error bar, as is also the case for the point at \( B = 20.75 \) and \( R > 23 \), our galaxy counts become smaller than those in the background. The error bars drawn in Fig. 7 were taken to be 4 times the Poissonian errors on galaxy counts, as suggested by detailed simulations previously performed by our team (see Boué et al. 2008, Fig. 5).

We can see, particularly in \( R \) where the signal to noise ratio is better and the GLF smoother, that the GLF is composite, with a broadly shaped distribution at bright magnitudes, and a rising part for \( R > 19.5 \). A power law fit between \( R = 20.25 \) and \( R = 21.75 \) (corresponding to absolute magnitudes between \( -16.65 \) and \( -15.15 \)) performed with a linear regression gives a slope \( \alpha = -0.85 \pm 0.12 \) (when expressed as a function of absolute \( R \) mag).

The bright part of the GLF (\( R < 18 \), or \( M_R < -18.9 \)) is very similar in shape to the GLFs recently obtained e.g. by Andreon et al. (2008), who analyzed the GLFs of a sample of clusters at various redshifts, limited to bright absolute magnitudes \( M_V < -19 \).

The faint end slope is somewhat flatter than usually found in clusters, where it is usually between \(-1.0 \) and \(-2.0 \) in the same absolute magnitude range (see e.g. Boué et al. 2008, Table A1). Besides, it is somewhat surprising that the GLF flattens for \( R > 22 \) since the completeness was estimated to be better than 90% up to \( R = 23 \). However, the region that we consider is rather small (500 kpc in radius, corresponding to 0.26 \( r_{500} \)) and corresponds to the cluster centre, where the slope is expected to be flatter. This would agree with the general picture of a relaxed cluster where the central cD galaxy has had time to accrete many surrounding dwarf galaxies without being refueled by numerous infalls from the field, thus leading to a smaller number of faint galaxies than expected. However, Fig. 5 seems to show that, if there are any dwarfs left, then they are in the center, contradicting the previous explanation. One possible reason (qualitatively supported for example by Adami et al. 2007b) could be that faint galaxies in clusters (i.e. here \( R > 20 \) or \( M_R > -17 \)) could have different origins. Moderately faint galaxies (\( 20 < R < 22 \)) could be classically accreted faint field galaxies, while fainter objects (\( R > 22 \)) could be cluster-made galaxies or, in other words, the debris of larger galaxies. In this picture, we can explain the rising GLF of Abell 780 up to \( R \approx 22 \) as being driven by normal accreted field galaxies. The flatter GLF at \( R > 22 \) would then be explained by the quite isolated and quiet nature of A780, likely to reduce interaction processes and therefore to inhibit the creation of faint debris inside the cluster. However, this scenario, proposed for the Coma cluster, may not be valid here, since it does not explain why there are fewer moderately faint galaxies in the region between 500 and 1000 kpc than in the innermost 500 kpc radius region.

A comparison of the \( R \) band GLF of Abell 780 (in the region of 500 kpc radius) with the GLFs in the north and south halves of the Coma cluster (Adami et al. 2007a) shows that the GLF shapes are quite similar up to the absolute magnitude \( M_R \approx -14.5 \). However, our data are not as deep as those of Coma, so we cannot compare the very faint ends, corresponding to \( M_R > -14.5 \) (where the Coma north and south GLFs differ, due to the influence of the environment on a large scale).

The GLF in the \( B \) band does not show any obvious difference with that in the \( R \) band; since it is much noisier, we will not discuss it further.
3.3. Galaxy luminosity functions in various regions

In order to see if any difference suggesting environmental effects could be found, we analyzed the GLF in various regions of the cluster. For this, we cut the 500 kpc radius region into two halves: one north and one south of the cluster center, then two other halves: one east and one west of the cluster centre. The GLFs were derived as described above in those four regions, in the $R$ band only since it is the band with the highest number of galaxy counts. The GLFs obtained are given in Fig. 8 (normalized to an area of 1 deg$^2$). We can see that in the west and south zones their shapes are more similar to the overall cluster GLF than in the east and north where the GLFs rise more or less continuously, but it is difficult to say much more since they are rather noisy.

Altogether, environmental effects appear rather weak in Abell 780. We describe below a short analysis of the Abell 780 cluster environment, performed to look for apparent substructures such as filaments along which galaxy infall could be occurring.

4. Discussion and conclusions

4.1. The central regions of the cluster: comparison with X-ray data

Abell 780 is well known in X-rays under the name of Hydra A, originating from the cluster cD being a strong radio emitting galaxy bearing this name. Based on a deep Chandra image, Wise et al. (2007) found several X-ray cavities (labeled A to F) in the central region, which they explained by outflow (continuous or in bursts) from the nucleus of the central galaxy. The cavities could each harbor one or several AGN (expected to be intrinsically bright and to have bluer colours than normal galaxies). The optical $R$ band image around the cluster centre is shown in Fig. 9 with the positions of the X-ray cavities from Wise et al. superimposed. CAVities A and B are partly superimposed on the cD, and SExtractor detects several galaxies brighter than $R = 18$ superimposed on the cD (but slightly outside A and B). No bright galaxy is visible in cavities C and D. Cavity E contains two objects brighter than $R = 18$, but one of them is probably a star (a weak diffraction cross is visible when carefully looking at the image). Cavity F has two bright galaxies with $R$ mag 16.2 and 16.8; the brighter one has a redshift $z = 0.051526$ according to NED, but all three galaxies in cavities E and F have normal $B - R$ colours, so either spectroscopy or high-resolution multi-band imaging (to search for blue cores) are necessary to check that they are AGN. To our knowledge they have no X-ray or radio counterparts.

4.2. Large-scale structure

Since clusters are believed to form from material falling along filaments, environmental effects are expected to have a strong influence on their properties. We have searched the NED database in the environment of Abell 780 and found 1219 galaxies with measured redshifts in a region of 5 deg (18.9 Mpc) radius around the cluster. Out of these, 719 have redshifts in the $[0.0338,0.0738]$ range, i.e. within $\pm 6000$ km s$^{-1}$ of the mean velocity of Abell 780, a broad velocity interval corresponding to $\pm 8\sigma_v$, chosen to avoid “losing” galaxies that could be linked to Abell 780. To search for gravitationally bound structures, we selected a subsample of galaxies that also had magnitudes available, and the spatial distribution of these galaxies is shown in Fig. 10. The Abell 754 cluster is clearly visible northwest of Abell 780, at a distance of about 12.8 Mpc, and its redshift
4.3. Search for gravitationally bound structures

To search for gravitationally bound structures, we applied the Serna-Gerbal (1996, hereafter SG) method to the redshift and magnitude catalogue that we compiled within a radius of 5 Mpc (see above). The SG method allows gravitationally bound galaxy subgroups to be extracted from a catalogue containing positions, magnitudes, and redshifts, based on the calculation of their relative (negative) binding energies. This calculation takes into account the mass to luminosity $M/L$ ratio chosen by the user as an input value, but the group mass derived later is estimated from the group binding energy and velocity dispersion, and does not depend upon $M/L$, which only acts as a contrast criterium. We assume here that $M/L = 400$. Qualitatively, high input values of $M/L$ only allow the detection of the major structures, while low values of $M/L$ allow detecting structures with low link energy. Since we only have a limited redshift and magnitude catalogue, we do not search for small structures in detail. In any case, results do not depend strongly on the value of $M/L$, since a variation of a factor of two in this parameter does not change the results significantly. However, our redshift catalogue is extracted from NED, and since we do not have completeness information, we cannot estimate quantitatively how strong the results of the SG analysis are.

The SG method gives a list of galaxies belonging to each group as output, as well as information on the binding energy and mass of the group itself, with a minimum number of 3 galaxies per group.

Within a 5 Mpc radius, once galaxies with no magnitudes available are excluded, we are left with a catalogue of 49 galaxies with magnitudes brighter than 16. Out of these 49 galaxies, 16 have no filter indication in NED, 21 have magnitudes in the $R$ filter, and 12 in the $I$ filter. In order to make magnitudes as homogeneous as possible, we converted the $I$ magnitudes into $R$ magnitudes assuming $R/I = 0.7$, taken for a typical elliptical galaxy at $z = 0$ from Fukugita et al. (1995).

The SG analysis of this catalogue shows the existence of a gravitationally bound structure of 27 galaxies (Fig. 10). The velocity histogram of these 27 galaxies is displayed in Fig. 11 (bottom), together with the velocity histogram of the full sample of 49 galaxies (top). The biweight velocity dispersion of these 27 galaxies is 1968 km s$^{-1}$, a value notably higher than the overall cluster velocity dispersion of $v_c = 758$ km s$^{-1}$ estimated in Sect. 1 and suggesting that substructuring remains within these 27 galaxies, as also suggested by the velocity histogram of Fig. 11. It is likely that out of these 27 galaxies, 10 are probably foreground objects. The mass of $4.4 \times 10^{13} \Msun$ estimated for the 27-galaxy structure is therefore most probably an overestimate. If we exclude the 10 foreground objects and consider only the 17 galaxies that are likely to be gravitationally bound, their velocity dispersion is then 583 km s$^{-1}$.

A more strongly gravitationally bound structure of 14 galaxies is found within this structure of 27 galaxies. It has a mass of $1.5 \times 10^{13} \Msun$ and a velocity dispersion of 875 km s$^{-1}$. Its velocity histogram is shown in blue in Fig. 11 (bottom) and suggests that 2 galaxies are foreground objects; if these 2 galaxies are excluded, the velocity dispersion becomes 640 km s$^{-1}$.

If we now consider all the galaxies within a radius of 5 Mpc with measured redshifts with or without measured magnitudes, we have a list of 86 galaxies. Out of these, 43 galaxies have velocities between 14 700 and 18 000 km s$^{-1}$, corresponding to Abell 754; on the contrary, there may be more galaxies south of the cluster on a large scale.
Fig. 11. Top: velocity histogram of the 49 galaxies with measured redshifts and magnitudes in a 5 Mpc circle around Abell 780. Bottom: velocity histograms of the 27 (in black) and 14 (in blue) galaxies found to be gravitationally bound by the SG method. The red vertical line indicates the velocity of the cD galaxy of Abell 780.

the cluster velocity interval, and the velocity dispersion of these 43 galaxies is $\sigma_v = 741$ km s$^{-1}$, almost exactly what is derived from X-rays.

In view of the optical data available for Abell 780, this cluster appears to have an overall relaxed nature, with no or hardly any infall of field dwarf/faint galaxies. This agrees with the large scale X-ray properties of Abell 780 derived from XMM-Newton data by Simionescu et al. (2009a,b). However, deeper and broader field imaging and more galaxy redshifts are obviously necessary to achieve a better understanding of the Abell 780 cluster, particularly on small scales where Chandra X-ray data have revealed a wealth of structures (Wise et al. 2007).
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