Chemical abundances of 451 stars from the HARPS GTO planet search program^{★,★★}

Thin disc, thick disc, and planets

V. Neves^{1,2}, N. C. Santos^{1,3}, S. G. Sousa^{1,4}, A. C. M. Correia², and G. Israelian⁵

¹ Centro de Astrofísica, Universidade do Porto, Rua das Estrelas, 4150-762 Porto, Portugal

e-mail: nuno.santos@astro.up.pt

² Departamento de Física, Universidade de Aveiro, Campus de Santiago 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal

³ Observatoire de Genève, 51 ch. des Maillettes, 1290 Sauverny, Switzerland

⁴ Departamento de Matemática Aplicada, Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade do Porto, Portugal

⁵ Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, 38200 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain

Received 11 November 2008 / Accepted 14 January 2009

ABSTRACT

We present a uniform study of the chemical abundances of 12 elements (Si, Ca, Sc, Ti, V, Cr, Mn, Co, Ni, Na, Mg, and Al) derived from the spectra of 451 stars observed as part of one of the HARPS GTO planet search programs. Sixty eight of these are planet-bearing stars. The main goals of our work are: i) the investigation of possible differences between the abundances of stars with and without planets; ii) the study of the possible differences in the abundances of stars in the thin and the thick disc. We confirm that there is a systematically higher metallicity in planet host stars, when compared to non planet-hosts, common to all studied species. We also found that there is no difference in the galactic chemical evolution trends of the stars with and without planets. Stars that harbour planetary companions simply appear to be in the high metallicity tail of the distribution. We also confirm that Neptunian and super-Earth class planets may be easier to find at lower metallicities. A statistically significant abundance difference between stars of the thin and the thick disc was found for $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] < 0$. However, the populations from the thick and the thin disc cannot be clearly separated.

Key words. stars: abundances – Galaxy: abundances – stars: fundamental parameters – stars: planetary systems – Galaxy: disk – Galaxy: solar neighbourhood

1. Introduction

The discovery that giant-planet host stars have, on average, a higher metallicity than non-planet hosts (Gonzalez 1997), inspired a series of spectroscopic studies for stars studied as part of radial-velocity planet-hunting programs (e.g. Santos et al. 2000, 2001, 2004; Laws et al. 2003; Fischer & Valenti 2005). Gradually, similar abundance studies were made for elements other than iron (e.g. Gonzalez et al. 2001; Sadakane et al. 2002; Israelian et al. 2003; Bodaghee et al. 2003; Beirão et al. 2005; Fischer & Valenti 2005; Gilli et al. 2006; Ecuivillon et al. 2006; Takeda 2007). The same abundance difference was observed in these elements, suggesting that the probability of finding a giant planet is strongly related to the abundance content of its host star.

Interestingly, stars with Neptune and super-Earth-class planets might have different trends than the ones found for Jovians. There are hints that these planets may be easier to find at the typical metallicities of non-planet (non-Jovian?) host stars or even

at lower $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ values (Udry et al. 2006; Sousa et al. 2008). However, there are still an insufficient number of planets for compiling a robust statistical distribution.

Some authors also referred to the existence of different $[\text{X}/\text{Fe}]$ trends in planet-host stars compared to stars without planets for the same $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$. These potential differences were detected for some elements (e.g. Si, Ti, Mn, V, Co, Ni, Na, and Mg – Bodaghee et al. 2003; Gilli et al. 2006; Robinson et al. 2006) and are difficult to explain with galactic chemical-evolution models. Despite that, the results obtained are still contradictory and no definitive conclusion has yet been reached. A review of these trends was recently published by Gonzalez & Laws (2007).

The large amount of spectra used in planet-search surveys with radial velocity methods may also be employed to study the abundances and kinematic properties of the stars in the solar neighbourhood (e.g. Santos et al. 2003; Fischer & Valenti 2005; Gilli et al. 2006; Ecuivillon et al. 2007). This data can be used to study the properties of the different populations of the Galaxy and, in particular, the differences between the thin and thick disc. Fuhrmann et al. (1998) showed that the thick disc stars have a distinctive $[\text{Mg}/\text{Fe}]$ overabundance compared to the stars in the thin disc, for the same $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$, at least for metallicities below solar. This fact was confirmed by Bensby et al. (2003) and expanded for other elements. The work of Ecuivillon et al. (2007),

[★] Based on observations collected at the La Silla Parana Observatory, ESO (Chile) with the HARPS spectrograph at the 3.6 m telescope, under the GTO program 072.C-0488.

^{★★} Full Tables 1 and 3 are only available in electronic form at the CDS via anonymous ftp to [cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr](ftp://cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr) (130.79.128.5) or via <http://cdsweb.u-strasbg.fr/cgi-bin/qcat?J/A+A/497/563>

however, did not find any clear difference in the element abundance for the different populations observed in previous studies.

In this paper, we derive the abundances of twelve species (silica, calcium, titanium, scandium, manganese, chromium, vanadium, cobalt, nickel, sodium, magnesium, and aluminium), based on spectra of the same HARPS guaranteed time observations (GTO) from the planet search program. This sample contains 451 stars, of which 68 are planet hosts and the remaining 383 stars are dwarfs with no known orbiting planets (Sousa et al. 2008). We also derive the kinematical properties of the sample. The main goals of this work are twofold: i) the investigation of possible differences between the abundances of stars with and without planets; ii) the study of the possible differences between the abundances of stars from the thin and thick disc of the Galaxy. In Sect. 2, we present the sample used in this work, along with the calculation of the galactic space velocity data and the selection of different groups of stars, based on their kinematic properties. The method and the selection steps that precede the chemical abundance determination will be explained in Sect. 3. Section 4 contains the abundance analysis of the full sample as well as the final $[X/H]$ values. It also includes discussion of the uncertainties and errors in our methodology as well as a comparison of our results with the literature. A discussion of our results follows in Sect. 5, where we explore the possible differences between stars with and without planets in our sample. In Sect. 6, we discuss the differences between the abundances of the thin and thick disc stars, using the $[X/Fe]$ distributions for $[Fe/H] < 0$ and the $[X/Fe]$ versus $[Fe/H]$ plots. We also use these plots to complete a brief study of the trends in the Galactic chemical evolution. Finally, in Sect. 7, we draw our concluding remarks.

2. The sample

The spectra for the HARPS “high precision” GTO program were obtained with the HARPS spectrograph at the ESO 3.6 m telescope (La Silla, Chile). This sub-sample consists of 451 stars, selected from the volume-limited sample of solar neighbourhood stars studied with the CORALIE spectrograph (Udry et al. 2000) as well as a group of planet hosts from the southern hemisphere. Details about this sample are given in Sousa et al. (2008). We note that we updated the original GTO catalogue using data from the extrasolar planets encyclopaedia¹, to take account of the newly discovered planets orbiting the stars HD 40307 (Mayor et al. 2008), HD 47186, and HD 181433 (Bouchy et al. in prep.). The total number of planet-bearing stars in the sample is now 68. Most stars in the catalogue are slow rotators, non-evolved, and with a low chromospheric activity level.

The individual spectra were reduced using the HARPS pipeline and later combined with IRAF² after correcting for the radial velocities of the stars. The final spectra have a resolution of $R \sim 110\,000$ and a signal-to-noise ratio ranging from ~ 70 to ~ 2000 depending on the amount and quality of the original spectra. Nine tenths of the spectra have S/N higher than 200 and 50% have a S/N higher than 450.

A solar spectrum was also collected with HARPS using solar light reflected by the asteroid Ceres. This spectrum has the same spectral resolution as the remaining spectra and a S/N ratio of ~ 250 .

¹ <http://exoplanet.eu/>

² IRAF is distributed by National Optical Astronomy Observatories, operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under contract with the National Science Foundation, USA.

Fig. 1. Toomre diagram for the full sample (451 stars). The stars from the thin disc, transition group, and the thick disc are marked by red circles, black triangles, and blue squares, respectively. The stars with and without planets are shown with full and empty symbols, respectively. The halo star HD 104006 is represented by a starred symbol.

The stellar parameters used in the present paper were determined by Sousa et al. (2008). We refer to this paper for details.

2.1. The UVW data and thin/thick disc stars

The galactic space-velocity components (UVW), analysed in Sect. 6, were computed with the trigonometric parallaxes and proper motions from the Hipparcos catalogue (ESA 1997), and by adopting the mean radial velocities obtained from the HARPS spectra (courtesy of the HARPS GTO team). The Galactic space-velocity components were calculated using the procedure from Johnson & Soderblom (1987) and corrected for the solar motion relative to the local standard of rest (LSR) using $(U_\odot, V_\odot, W_\odot) = (+10.00, +5.25, +7.17)$ km s⁻¹ from Dehnen & Binney (1998). This LSR correction was also used by Bensby et al. (2003, 2005).

The selection of the thin and thick disc stars was completed using the method proposed by Bensby et al. (2003, 2005). The characteristic velocity dispersions ($\sigma_U, \sigma_V, \sigma_W$), the asymmetric drift, V_{asym} , and the observed fractions of these populations in the solar neighbourhood were taken from Bensby et al. (2005), as well as the equations to calculate the relative probability of a star belonging to a certain population. Each probability (P) is calculated by multiplying its Gaussian probability distribution with the observed fraction of the respective population. Following the recommendations of Bensby et al. (2005), if $P_{\text{thick disc}}/P_{\text{thin disc}} \geq 2$, the star will be considered a member of the thick disc. Otherwise, if $P_{\text{thick disc}}/P_{\text{thin disc}} \leq 0.6$, the star will belong to the thin disc. All remaining stars having a probability between these values will be included in a transition population.

The star HD 104006 with a $P_{\text{thick disc}}/P_{\text{halo}} = 0.286$ will be considered as belonging to the halo.

In Fig. 1, the Toomre Diagram shown represents the entire sample. The blue squares, black triangles, and red circles correspond to the thick disc, transition and thin disc stars, respectively. The stars with and without planets are indicated by filled

Table 1. Sample table of the parameters used to assign the Galactic population to which each star belongs.

Star ID	U_{LSR}	V_{LSR}	W_{LSR}	f_{thin}	f_{thick}	f_{halo}	$\frac{P(\text{thickdisk})}{P(\text{thindisk})}$	$\frac{P(\text{thickdisk})}{P(\text{halo})}$	group
	[km s ⁻¹]								
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
HD 134060	26	-34	15	1.75E-06	5.75E-07	5.67E-09	0.04	6765.43	thin
HD 134606	-11	-16	1	5.36E-06	5.20E-07	3.80E-09	0.01	9134.99	thin
HD 134664	27	2	-14	1.92E-06	2.69E-07	2.26E-09	0.02	7933.64	thin
HD 134985	-29	-65	-41	7.14E-09	2.92E-07	9.84E-09	4.55	1979.36	thick
HD 134987	-11	-35	28	7.24E-07	4.91E-07	5.62E-09	0.08	5824.82	thin
HD 136352	-109	-42	43	4.76E-10	8.89E-08	4.93E-09	20.78	1203.01	thick
HD 136713	9	-13	-5	5.15E-06	4.84E-07	3.51E-09	0.01	9182.53	thin
HD 136894	5	3	0	3.76E-06	3.11E-07	2.28E-09	0.01	9078.65	thin
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...

Columns 2–4 list the U, V and W velocities relative to the local standard of rest (LSR). Columns 5–7 list the Gaussian distributions of each population: thin disc, thick disc, and halo, respectively. In Cols. 8 and 9, we provide the relative probabilities of the thick disc relative to the thin disc and of the thick disc relative to the halo. The last column depicts the probable population where each star belongs.

and empty symbols, respectively. The halo star HD 104006 is represented by a starred symbol. A sample of the distributions and probabilities calculated for each star, as well as the parameters used in their calculation, are presented in Table 1. Among the 451 stars in our sample, we have 400 stars from the thin disc, 29 from the thick disc, and 21 are considered to be transition stars that do not belong to any group or have a determined origin. According to this adopted criteria, only 1 star is from the halo.

3. Chemical abundances

The chemical abundances of the studied elements were derived using a differential local thermodynamic equilibrium (LTE) analysis. This was completed with the 2002 version of the MOOG³ program (Snedden 1973) using the “abfind” driver. A grid of Kurucz (1993) ATLAS9 atmospheres were used as input, along with the equivalent widths and the atomic parameters, wavelength (λ), excitation energy of the lower energy level (χ_1), and oscillator strength ($\log gf$) of each line. The atmospheric parameters, effective temperature (T_{eff}), surface gravity ($\log g$), microturbulence (ξ_t), and metallicity ([Fe/H]) were taken from Sousa et al. (2008) and derived from the same spectra used in our study. This provided consistency to our work, ensuring that it was more uniform and less affected by external errors. The reference abundances used in the differential analysis are those of the Sun, and were taken from Anders & Grevesse (1989).

3.1. The line list

The initial line list, along with the atomic parameters (including an initial estimate of the oscillator strengths) were taken from the VALD⁴ online database (Piskunov et al. 1995; Kupka et al. 1999). When extracting the lines, we used the solar stellar parameters as input: $T_{\text{eff}} = 5777$ K; $\log g = 4.44$ dex; $\xi_t = 1.0$ km s⁻¹. We found 2169 lines in the spectral region of interest (4500 to 6910 Å). After a lengthy process of selection and identification of the ‘good lines’, involving the use of the IRAF “splot” tool with the Kurucz Solar Flux Atlas (Kurucz et al. 1984), we obtained a shorter list of 364 lines. All strongly blended lines, as well as lines that were too weak

(having Equivalent Widths (hereafter EW) below 5 mÅ), or too strong (lines with $EW > 200$ mÅ), and those inside the wings of strong lines (e.g. H_α ($\lambda = 6562.81$ Å), H_β ($\lambda = 4861.34$ Å), and $\lambda 5172.70$, $\lambda 5183.62$ Mg I lines) were excluded in this process.

The next step involved the automatic measurement of the equivalent width of the lines, in a resolution-degraded spectrum ($R \sim 110\,000$) of the Kurucz Solar Flux Atlas. This was completed with the ARES⁵ program (Sousa et al. 2007). Here, we also devised a selection procedure that involved the comparison of the EW s measured in the aforementioned spectrum with those measured in the solar reflected light spectrum of the Ceres asteroid, obtained with HARPS. Both spectra have a resolution of the order of $\sim 110\,000$. If the relative difference between the EW s, for a certain line, measured in the two spectra, was higher than 10%, the line would be discarded. Additionally, we also excluded all lines that ARES could not reproduce well due to a poor evaluation of the lines in the spectral area of interest, or due to a poor determination of the continuum placement. These criteria are important because the abundance and especially the oscillator strength determination are very sensitive to variations in the EW . The parameters adopted in ARES were: smoother = 4, space = 2, lineresol = 0.07, miniline = 5, and rej = 0.996 (from Sousa et al. 2008). At the end of this step, we finished with 284 lines.

The EW of these lines, along with a model atmosphere based on the solar parameters, $T_{\text{eff}} = 5777$, $\log g = 4.44$, $\log \epsilon_{\text{Fe}} = 7.47$, and $\xi_t = 1.0$, were used in the calculation of the semi-empirical oscillator strengths by using an inverse analysis with the MOOG driver “ewfind”. All input parameters for this driver were taken following Santos et al. (2004). The new oscillator values are important because the $\log gf$ data from VALD might not be sufficiently accurate.

To test the calculated $\log gf$ values, we inverted the previous analysis using a solar atmosphere model and the measured EW s of the solar reflected light spectrum of Ceres using the “abfind” driver within MOOG. In general, the average abundance values found were within 0.01 dex of the expected value, except for Al ($\Delta A = \pm 0.04$ with 2 lines), ScI ($\Delta A = \pm 0.03$ with 3 lines) and V ($\Delta A = \pm 0.02$ with 14 lines), where A is the abundance of a species.

The final selection was the most important one. After determining the abundances for all stars, using the Kurucz (1993)

³ The source code of MOOG2002 can be downloaded at <http://verdi.as.utexas.edu/moog.html>

⁴ Vienna Atomic Line Database.

⁵ The Automatic Routine for Line Equivalent Widths is available at: <http://www.astro.up.pt/~sousasag/ares/>

Fig. 2. Process of selection of the stable lines for the neutral atom of Si, shown as an example. The black crosses on the three plots represent the difference between the mean abundance of each line (from all the stars) and the mean abundance of the element. The error bars represent the rms of the mean values. The dashed lines mark the exclusion value. The open circles correspond to the difference values of the cool group ($T_{\text{eff}} \leq 5300$ – top plot), the solar group ($5300 < T_{\text{eff}} < 6000$ – middle plot), and the hot group ($T_{\text{eff}} \geq 6000$ – bottom plot).

model atmospheres calculated with the stellar parameters and EW s measured with ARES for each star and element, we divided the stars into three distinct groups: a) the cool group, containing 152 stars with $T_{\text{eff}} \leq 5300$; b) the solar group, having 257 stars with $5300 < T_{\text{eff}} < 6000$; and c) the hot group, comprising 42 stars with $T_{\text{eff}} \geq 6000$. This was completed to account for the differences in the local continuum about each line that are temperature dependent (Sousa et al. 2008). The ARES parameters were identical to those of the solar case apart from “rej” which depended on the S/N ratio of each spectra according to Sousa et al. (2008), and “minline = 2” (ensuring that all lines measured in the solar spectrum were accounted for in the other stars). Then, we calculated the difference between the mean abundance of each line (from all the stars) and the mean abundance calculated using all the lines. This was completed for each element, as we can see for Si in Fig. 2. The open circles in the top, middle and bottom panels, represent data for cool, solar, and hot group stars, respectively, corresponding to this difference. The mean value of each line is depicted by a black cross and the error bars represent its rms.

We considered all lines with an overall line dispersion in any plot of more than a factor of 1.5 higher than the rms, to be non-stable and these lines were excluded. The dashed lines on the plots of Fig. 2 indicate this exclusion value. We also excluded any line with a dispersion in any plot clearly beyond the average abundance value. This selection was done with a careful manual

inspection line by line and element by element. In a few cases, we chose to relax these rules slightly, especially when there was a line close to the exclusion values occurring in only one group. We also verified each individual line for stray points clearly outside of the 2σ distribution (due to bad pixels, cosmic rays or other unknown effects). These could modify the true value of the mean or the dispersion of a certain line and lead to a wrong exclusion. After this last selection, the final list contained 180 lines, as shown in Table 2.

4. Abundance analysis of the full sample

As stated before, the chemical abundances of the elements were derived by completing a differential LTE analysis relative to the Sun. The analysis of the full 451 star sample was described in Sect. 3.1. The final abundance for each star and element was calculated to be the average value of the abundances for all lines detected in a given star and element. A set of FORTRAN programs were developed to coordinate these calculations and compile an organised and easy-to-use database for the output data. A sample of our results are presented in Table 3. The complete results are available online at the CDS.

4.1. Uncertainties and errors

Uncertainties can introduce different errors in the calculated abundances. Random errors can affect the measurement of EW s for individual lines (e.g. due to noise and cosmic-ray hits) and systematic errors can occur, for example, due to blending, NLTE effects, or to a poor choice of continuum location. To minimise both types of errors, we should use high quality data and as many lines as possible for each element. Unfortunately, we were only able to select 2 or 3 lines for Na, Mg, Al, ScI and CrII. The presence of insufficient data can produce higher internal random errors, and might also introduce systematic bias that can be extremely difficult to identify in our results. Therefore, all conclusions regarding these elements should be considered with caution. We further note that we performed a uniform analysis of the sample, which minimised possible errors due to, for example, differences in line lists, atmospheric parameters, oscillator strength biases, and applied methodologies.

4.1.1. Random errors

The typical rms uncertainties for the atmospheric parameters are 24 K for T_{eff} , 0.04 dex for $\log g$, 0.02 dex for $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$, and 0.03 km s^{-1} for ξ_t . These uncertainties are taken from Sousa et al. (2008) and characterize the relative precision of the derived parameters.

We tested the sensitivity of the derived abundances to the uncertainties in the atmospheric parameters. First, for each parameter, we chose three different stars with similar atmospheric parameters except for the one being tested. Afterwards, we generated new atmospheric models, changing the tested parameter by an amount required to assure that the sensitivity of the abundances could be clearly observed ($\Delta T_{\text{eff}} = \pm 100 \text{ K}$, $\Delta \log g = \pm 0.3 \text{ dex}$, $\Delta \xi_t = \pm 0.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, and $\Delta [\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = \pm 0.3 \text{ dex}$). The obtained results are displayed in Table 4.

In general, we observe that the neutral elements are sensitive to temperature changes, whereas the ions are most sensitive to changes in surface gravity. The ions are also more sensitive to metallicity changes than the neutral elements, although the sensitivity is not as significant as the one for either T_{eff} and $\log g$.

Table 2. Wavelength (λ), excitation potential (χ_1), oscillator strength ($\log gf$) and solar equivalent widths ($EW_\odot$) for the lines selected in the present paper.

λ [Å]	χ_1 [eV]	$\log gf$	$EW_\odot$ [mÅ]	λ [Å]	χ_1 [eV]	$\log gf$	$EW_\odot$ [mÅ]	λ [Å]	χ_1 [eV]	$\log gf$	$EW_\odot$ [mÅ]
Na I - 2 lines , $\log \epsilon_\odot = 6.33$				5039.96	0.02	-1.199	75.3	5737.07	1.06	-0.815	10.4
5688.22	2.10	-0.628	121.4	5064.06	2.69	-0.471	5.5	6081.45	1.05	-0.692	14.1
6154.23	2.10	-1.622	36.6	5071.49	1.46	-0.797	28.8	6224.51	0.29	-1.935	5.3
6160.75	2.10	-1.363	54.3	5113.44	1.44	-0.861	27.0	6251.83	0.29	-1.431	15.0
Mg I - 3 lines , $\log \epsilon_\odot = 7.58$				5145.47	1.46	-0.622	37.0	6274.66	0.27	-1.751	8.3
4730.04	4.35	-2.234	69.6	5219.70	0.02	-2.254	28.1	6285.17	0.28	-1.676	9.5
5711.09	4.35	-1.777	105.6	5490.16	1.46	-1.008	21.4	Co I - 9 lines , $\log \epsilon_\odot = 4.92$			
6319.24	5.11	-2.300	25.2	5503.90	2.58	-0.218	12.3	4594.63	3.63	-0.279	12.5
Al I - 2 lines , $\log \epsilon_\odot = 6.47$				5648.57	2.49	-0.410	10.1	4792.86	3.25	-0.080	32.7
6696.03	3.14	-1.571	36.2	5662.16	2.32	-0.123	23.5	4813.48	3.22	0.177	45.9
6698.67	3.14	-1.886	21.1	5739.48	2.25	-0.781	7.7	5301.05	1.71	-1.950	19.5
Si I - 18 lines , $\log \epsilon_\odot = 7.55$				5766.33	3.29	0.326	9.6	5342.71	4.02	0.606	32.3
5517.54	5.08	-2.496	12.9	5965.84	1.88	-0.492	26.7	5352.05	3.58	0.004	24.4
5645.61	4.93	-2.068	35.8	5978.55	1.87	-0.602	22.6	5359.20	4.15	0.040	9.6
5684.49	4.95	-1.642	61.2	6064.63	1.05	-1.941	8.3	5647.24	2.28	-1.594	14.0
5701.11	4.93	-2.034	37.7	6091.18	2.27	-0.445	15.0	6814.95	1.96	-1.822	18.8
5753.64	5.62	-1.333	45.7	6126.22	1.07	-1.416	22.1	Ni I - 48 lines , $\log \epsilon_\odot = 6.25$			
5772.15	5.08	-1.669	52.0	6258.11	1.44	-0.435	51.5	4512.99	3.71	-1.467	19.4
5797.87	4.95	-1.912	43.9	6261.10	1.43	-0.491	49.2	4811.99	3.66	-1.363	25.6
5948.54	5.08	-1.208	85.4	6599.12	0.90	-2.069	9.3	4814.60	3.60	-1.670	16.7
6125.02	5.61	-1.555	31.7	Ti II - 8 lines , $\log \epsilon_\odot = 4.99$				4913.98	3.74	-0.661	55.7
6142.49	5.62	-1.520	33.1	4583.41	1.16	-2.840	31.8	4946.04	3.80	-1.224	26.2
6145.02	5.62	-1.425	38.8	4636.33	1.16	-3.152	19.8	4952.29	3.61	-1.261	32.3
6195.46	5.87	-1.666	17.1	4657.20	1.24	-2.379	49.3	4976.33	1.68	-3.002	37.7
6237.33	5.61	-1.116	61.1	4708.67	1.24	-2.392	48.9	4995.66	3.63	-1.611	17.9
6243.82	5.62	-1.331	44.8	4911.20	3.12	-0.537	52.3	5010.94	3.63	-0.901	48.8
6244.48	5.62	-1.310	46.2	5211.54	2.59	-1.490	32.8	5081.11	3.85	0.064	93.5
6527.21	5.87	-1.227	38.9	5381.03	1.57	-1.904	59.1	5094.41	3.83	-1.108	30.3
6721.85	5.86	-1.156	44.0	5418.77	1.58	-2.104	49.5	5392.33	4.15	-1.354	12.0
6741.63	5.98	-1.625	15.5	Mn I - 6 lines , $\log \epsilon_\odot = 5.39$				5435.86	1.99	-2.432	51.7
Ca I - 12 lines , $\log \epsilon_\odot = 6.36$				4502.21	2.92	-0.523	57.0	5462.50	3.85	-0.880	41.0
5261.71	2.52	-0.677	97.7	4671.77	2.89	-1.567	14.8	5587.87	1.93	-2.479	52.9
5349.47	2.71	-0.581	94.6	4739.11	2.94	-0.462	60.1	5589.36	3.90	-1.148	26.7
5512.98	2.93	-0.559	84.8	5377.62	3.84	-0.068	40.8	5625.32	4.09	-0.731	37.8
5867.56	2.93	-1.592	25.1	5399.47	3.85	-0.104	38.5	5628.35	4.09	-1.316	14.7
6156.02	2.52	-2.497	9.6	5413.67	3.86	-0.476	21.6	5638.75	3.90	-1.699	9.8
6161.29	2.52	-1.313	60.6	Cr I - 22 lines , $\log \epsilon_\odot = 5.67$				5641.88	4.11	-1.017	24.1
6166.44	2.52	-1.155	69.9	4575.11	3.37	-1.004	10.0	5643.08	4.16	-1.234	15.1
6169.04	2.52	-0.800	92.2	4600.75	1.00	-1.457	82.3	5694.99	4.09	-0.629	43.1
6449.82	2.52	-0.733	98.1	4626.18	0.97	-1.467	83.3	5748.36	1.68	-3.279	28.0
6455.60	2.52	-1.404	56.3	4633.25	3.13	-1.215	10.4	5805.22	4.17	-0.604	40.8
6471.67	2.53	-0.825	91.2	4700.61	2.71	-1.464	14.0	5847.00	1.68	-3.410	23.0
6499.65	2.52	-0.917	85.8	4708.02	3.17	-0.104	55.0	5996.73	4.24	-1.010	20.3
Sc I - 3 lines , $\log \epsilon_\odot = 3.10$				4730.72	3.08	-0.345	46.3	6086.29	4.27	-0.471	43.5
4743.82	1.45	0.297	8.0	4767.86	3.56	-0.599	16.1	6108.12	1.68	-2.512	65.0
5520.50	1.87	0.562	6.6	4775.14	3.55	-1.025	6.9	6111.08	4.09	-0.823	34.2
5671.82	1.45	0.533	14.6	4936.34	3.11	-0.343	45.6	6119.76	4.27	-1.316	10.9
Sc II - 6 lines , $\log \epsilon_\odot = 3.10$				4964.93	0.94	-2.577	38.1	6128.98	1.68	-3.368	25.3
5526.82	1.77	0.140	76.3	5214.14	3.37	-0.784	16.4	6130.14	4.27	-0.938	22.1
5657.88	1.51	-0.326	66.9	5238.97	2.71	-1.427	15.9	6175.37	4.09	-0.534	49.0
5667.14	1.50	-1.025	33.9	5247.57	0.96	-1.618	82.0	6176.82	4.09	-0.266	63.7
5684.19	1.51	-0.946	37.2	5287.18	3.44	-0.954	10.4	6177.25	1.83	-3.538	14.6
6245.62	1.51	-1.022	34.9	5296.70	0.98	-1.373	93.1	6186.72	4.11	-0.888	30.5
6320.84	1.50	-1.863	8.1	5300.75	0.98	-2.125	58.9	6204.61	4.09	-1.112	22.0
Ti I - 30 lines , $\log \epsilon_\odot = 4.99$				5781.18	3.32	-0.886	15.5	6223.99	4.11	-0.954	27.7
4555.49	0.85	-0.575	63.7	5783.07	3.32	-0.472	31.4	6230.10	4.11	-1.132	20.6
4562.63	0.02	-2.718	10.9	5787.92	3.32	-0.183	46.0	6322.17	4.15	-1.164	18.4
4645.19	1.73	-0.666	22.0	6661.08	4.19	-0.234	12.0	6327.60	1.68	-3.086	38.6
4656.47	0.00	-1.308	68.6	6882.52	3.44	-0.392	32.2	6360.81	4.17	-1.145	18.5
4675.11	1.07	-0.939	38.1	Cr II - 3 lines , $\log \epsilon_\odot = 5.67$				6378.26	4.15	-0.830	31.8
4722.61	1.05	-1.433	18.7	4588.20	4.07	-0.752	68.5	6598.60	4.24	-0.914	24.9
4820.41	1.50	-0.429	43.1	4592.05	4.07	-1.252	47.6	6635.13	4.42	-0.779	23.6
4913.62	1.87	0.068	50.2	4884.61	3.86	-2.069	23.4	6767.78	1.83	-2.136	79.2
4997.10	0.00	-2.174	31.6	V I - 7 lines , $\log \epsilon_\odot = 4.00$				6772.32	3.66	-0.963	49.2
5016.17	0.85	-0.657	63.2	5670.85	1.08	-0.482	18.8	6842.04	3.66	-1.496	24.2

Table 3. Sample table of the derived abundances of the elements, rms and number of measured lines (n) for each star.

Star ID	[Si/H]	rms	n	[Ca/H]	rms	n	[ScI/H]	rms	n	[ScII/H]	rms	n	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
HD 12617	0.15	0.10	17	0.05	0.14	10	0.48	0.31	3	0.14	0.11	6	...
HD 13060	0.06	0.07	16	0.03	0.06	12	0.12	0.11	3	-0.01	0.05	6	...
HD 13724	0.24	0.02	17	0.22	0.02	11	0.30	0.02	3	0.31	0.07	6	...
HD 13789	-0.04	0.08	16	0.01	0.12	11	0.31	0.25	3	-0.13	0.13	6	...
HD 13808	-0.14	0.07	16	-0.20	0.11	11	-0.05	0.11	3	-0.22	0.08	6	...
HD 14374	-0.02	0.02	16	-0.03	0.04	11	0.00	0.05	3	-0.07	0.07	6	...
HD 14635	0.02	0.10	18	0.05	0.14	11	0.49	0.30	3	-0.04	0.11	6	...
HD 14680	-0.16	0.05	17	-0.12	0.11	12	-0.01	0.12	3	-0.21	0.07	6	...
HD 14744	-0.11	0.04	18	-0.13	0.06	11	0.01	0.17	3	-0.15	0.08	6	...
HD 14747	-0.24	0.01	17	-0.23	0.01	11	-0.22	0.03	3	-0.22	0.04	6	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...

Table 4. Abundance sensitivities of the studied elements to changes in the stellar parameters (temperature with $\Delta T_{\text{eff}} = \pm 100$ K, surface gravity with $\Delta \log g = \pm 0.3$ dex, metallicity with $\Delta [\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = \pm 0.3$ dex, and microturbulence with $\Delta \xi_t = \pm 0.5$ km s $^{-1}$).

Star ID	T_{eff} [K]	$\log g$	[Fe/H]	ξ_t [km s $^{-1}$]	Na	Mg	Al	Si	Ca	ScI	ScII
Temperature with $\Delta T_{\text{eff}} = \pm 100$ K											
HD 10567	4748	4.42	-0.02	0.90	± 0.10	± 0.02	± 0.07	∓ 0.06	± 0.12	± 0.14	∓ 0.02
HD 20616	5519	4.43	0.01	0.94	± 0.06	± 0.06	± 0.05	∓ 0.01	± 0.08	± 0.10	∓ 0.01
HD 20945	6118	4.50	0.03	1.21	± 0.06	± 0.06	± 0.05	± 0.03	± 0.07	± 0.09	± 0.01
Surface gravity with $\Delta \log g = \pm 0.3$ dex											
HD 19556	5676	4.03	0.06	1.11	∓ 0.05	∓ 0.05	∓ 0.02	± 0.01	∓ 0.05	∓ 0.01	± 0.13
HD 11720	5667	4.32	0.22	1.01	∓ 0.07	∓ 0.06	∓ 0.02	± 0.01	∓ 0.07	∓ 0.01	± 0.12
HD 20260	5658	4.49	0.18	1.02	∓ 0.07	∓ 0.06	∓ 0.02	± 0.01	∓ 0.08	∓ 0.01	± 0.12
Microturbulence with $\Delta \xi_t = \pm 0.5$ km s $^{-1}$											
HD 9828	5381	4.42	-0.26	0.64	∓ 0.02	∓ 0.03	∓ 0.02	∓ 0.01	∓ 0.07	∓ 0.02	∓ 0.06
HD 18956	5726	4.41	-0.24	0.95	∓ 0.02	∓ 0.04	∓ 0.01	∓ 0.01	∓ 0.08	∓ 0.01	∓ 0.07
HD 4444	5999	4.37	-0.22	1.26	∓ 0.02	∓ 0.03	∓ 0.01	∓ 0.02	∓ 0.08	∓ 0.01	∓ 0.09
Metallicity with $\Delta[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = \pm 0.3$ dex											
HD 7874	5778	4.46	-0.67	1.03	∓ 0.03	± 0.00	∓ 0.01	± 0.01	± 0.00	∓ 0.01	± 0.06
HD 9670	5845	4.39	-0.18	1.04	± 0.00	± 0.01	∓ 0.01	± 0.02	± 0.00	∓ 0.01	± 0.08
HD 20220	5757	4.47	0.29	1.01	± 0.04	± 0.03	± 0.01	± 0.05	± 0.03	± 0.01	± 0.11

Star ID	T_{eff} [K]	$\log g$	[Fe/H]	ξ_t [km s $^{-1}$]	TiI	TiII	V	CrI	CrII	Mn	Co	Ni
Temperature with $\Delta T_{\text{eff}} = \pm 100$ K												
HD 10567	4748	4.42	-0.02	0.90	± 0.14	∓ 0.02	± 0.15	± 0.09	∓ 0.08	± 0.06	± 0.02	∓ 0.02
HD 20616	5519	4.43	0.01	0.94	± 0.12	∓ 0.01	± 0.12	± 0.08	∓ 0.04	± 0.07	± 0.06	± 0.05
HD 20945	6118	4.50	0.03	1.21	± 0.09	± 0.01	± 0.10	± 0.08	∓ 0.02	± 0.07	± 0.07	± 0.06
Surface Gravity with $\Delta \log g = \pm 0.3$ dex												
HD 19556	5676	4.03	0.06	1.11	∓ 0.01	± 0.12	∓ 0.01	∓ 0.02	± 0.11	∓ 0.02	± 0.01	± 0.01
HD 11720	5667	4.32	0.22	1.01	∓ 0.01	± 0.12	∓ 0.01	∓ 0.03	± 0.11	∓ 0.05	± 0.03	± 0.01
HD 20260	5658	4.49	0.18	1.02	∓ 0.02	± 0.12	∓ 0.01	∓ 0.04	± 0.11	∓ 0.04	± 0.02	± 0.02
Microturbulence with $\Delta \xi_t = \pm 0.5$ km s $^{-1}$												
HD 9828	5381	4.42	-0.26	0.64	∓ 0.08	∓ 0.06	∓ 0.03	∓ 0.06	∓ 0.07	∓ 0.07	∓ 0.05	∓ 0.05
HD 18956	5726	4.41	-0.24	0.95	∓ 0.03	∓ 0.08	∓ 0.01	∓ 0.06	∓ 0.09	∓ 0.04	∓ 0.03	∓ 0.03
HD 4444	5999	4.37	-0.22	1.26	∓ 0.03	∓ 0.08	∓ 0.01	∓ 0.04	∓ 0.12	∓ 0.04	∓ 0.03	∓ 0.03
Metallicity with $\Delta[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = \pm 0.3$ dex												
HD 7874	5778	4.46	-0.67	1.03	∓ 0.01	± 0.06	∓ 0.01	∓ 0.01	± 0.04	∓ 0.01	± 0.00	± 0.00
HD 9670	5845	4.39	-0.18	1.04	∓ 0.01	± 0.07	∓ 0.01	∓ 0.01	± 0.06	± 0.00	± 0.01	± 0.02
HD 20220	5757	4.47	0.29	1.01	± 0.00	± 0.11	± 0.01	± 0.02	± 0.08	± 0.03	± 0.03	± 0.05

4.1.2. Testing the stellar parameters

To verify the validity of the stellar parameters, we tested our results in a variety of ways. First, we calculated the slopes of the derived abundances of the considered lines as a function of both the excitation potential (EP) and the logarithm of the reduced equivalent width (RW) of the NiI lines. In this way, we verified whether the excitation equilibrium enforced on the FeI lines of every star and used to obtain the stellar parameters (Sousa et al. 2008) was applicable to other species. In other words, we expect the slopes to be as small as possible.

We can see an example of this (star HD 1461) in Fig. 3. We have chosen nickel because its lines cover a wide range of both EP and RW, thus allowing a reliable determination of the slopes.

In Fig. 4, we plot the slopes of EP, obtained for every star, as a function of the stellar parameters (T_{eff} , $\log g$, ξ_t , and $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$). From the analysis of the plots, we observe no discernible trends except in the case of the T_{eff} plot, where we observe that cooler stars ($T_{\text{eff}} \lesssim 5000$ K) have a systematic bias away from the expected values. A higher dispersion is also seen, on average, for cooler stars. This might be due to the stronger line blending in cooler stars as well as to the fact that the computed $\log gf$ values

Fig. 3. Example of the calculation of the EP and RW slopes for NiI lines for the star HD 1461. The squares represent the different spectral lines, the long dashed line the calculated slope and the short dashed line the average value of the abundance ($\log \epsilon_{\text{ps}}$). The values of the slopes are -0.010 and 0.020 , respectively for the *upper and lower panel*.

Fig. 4. EP slopes as a function of T_{eff} , $\log g$, ξ_t and $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ for Ni.

(derived from the solar spectrum) may be inadequate for these stars. The same effect was noticed in the RW plots.

We also plotted the $[\text{CrI}/\text{CrII}]$ value as a function of the stellar parameters to ensure that the ionisation equilibrium enforced on the FeII lines (Sousa et al. 2008) was acceptable to other elements. This is depicted in Fig. 5. We expect that $[\text{CrI}/\text{CrII}]$ should be independent of these parameters.

As seen in Fig. 5, there is a divergence in the expected ratio for stars with $T_{\text{eff}} < 4900$ K. We are unable to discern any significant trend in the plots of the other parameters. This result (and that found for Ni) raises some doubt about the abundance values derived for stars with lower temperatures ($T_{\text{eff}} \lesssim 4900$ K).

Finally, we plotted the abundance ratios $[\text{X}/\text{Fe}]$ as a function of the stellar parameters. We did not detect any significant trends except for the T_{eff} plot, which is presented in Fig. 6. The slopes of $[\text{X}/\text{Fe}]$ with T_{eff} per 1000 K are listed in Table 5.

We observe significant trends with T_{eff} for TiI, ScI, V, Co, and Al. It is clearly evident that the trends drift away from the expected values, except in the cases of Co and Al, are heavily influenced by the cooler stars for which the abundance might

Fig. 5. Plots of $[\text{CrI}/\text{CrII}]$ as a function of T_{eff} , $\log g$, ξ_t and $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$.

Fig. 6. $[\text{X}/\text{Fe}]$ vs. T_{eff} plots. The dots represent the stars of the sample. The solid lines depict the linear fits of the data. The vertical purple dotted lines indicate the cutoff temperature when appropriate.

have been overestimated due to blending effects; it may also be sensitive to either deviations from excitation or ionisation equilibrium, or to problems associated with the differential analysis. We must indeed emphasise that the oscillator strengths were calculated for the Sun. To account for these effects, we decided to establish a cutoff temperature: $T_{\text{cutoff}} = 5000$ K for TiI, $T_{\text{cutoff}} = 5100$ K for ScI, and $T_{\text{cutoff}} = 5300$ K for V. Only stars of temperature above these values are considered in the remainder of this paper, for the aforementioned elements. We note this when we analyse our results.

Table 5. Slopes of $[X/Fe]$ ratio as a function of T_{eff} per 1000 K.

Species	Slope(T) $\pm$ rms	Species	Slope(T) $\pm$ rms
Si	-0.004 ± 0.051	CrI	-0.053 ± 0.026
TiI	-0.192 ± 0.081	CrII	0.039 ± 0.048
TiII	0.062 ± 0.076	Co	-0.128 ± 0.067
ScI	-0.269 ± 0.128	Ni	-0.012 ± 0.034
ScII	0.050 ± 0.065	Na	-0.017 ± 0.066
Ca	-0.045 ± 0.069	Mg	-0.009 ± 0.089
Mn	-0.034 ± 0.093	Al	-0.161 ± 0.080
V	-0.452 ± 0.086

Fig. 7. Comparison of the derived abundances with those listed by other authors: Bensby et al. (2005) (red triangles), Valenti & Fischer (2005) (black crosses), Gilli et al. (2006) (blue dots) and Takeda (2007) (green stars). The elements are identified in the top left corner of each plot.

4.2. Comparison of the abundances with the literature

To test the reliability of our results, we compare the derived abundances with those obtained by Bensby et al. (2005), Valenti & Fischer (2005), Gilli et al. (2006), and Takeda (2007), for stars in common, as seen in Fig. 7. In this way, it was possible to verify qualitatively any systematic errors in our abundance determinations.

It is evident that, in general, our results agree with the $[X/H]$ obtained by other authors. However, we observe a systematic underabundance trend in Ca, CrI (Gilli et al. 2006), and Ti (Valenti & Fischer 2005) and a systematic overabundance trends in Mn (Gilli et al. 2006), Mg, and Al (Gilli et al. 2006; Bensby et al. 2005). We emphasise the existence of a population of stars with a systematic underabundance in Si (Valenti & Fischer 2005) and verified that this is not a planet-host population. We do not know the origin of these differences. However, the differences are, in general, small and systematic, implying that, from a relative point of view, all studies agree well.

5. Abundances in planet-hosts

Although we study a relatively small number of planet-host stars (68), this number is sufficient to observe whether there are any discernible differences in the abundances of stars with and without planets.

We note that five planet-host stars (HD 4308, HD 27894, HD 111232, HD 114729, and HD 330075) are considered to belong to the thick disc. This means that approximately 7% of the planet-host stars in our sample belong to the thick disc and that approximately 17% of the thick disc stars are planet hosts. These values must be regarded as lower limits, since (some?, most?) planets may still be awaiting confirmation in the entire sample. It is important to note that there was no bias in the choice of our sample towards or against thick-disc planet-host stars.

5.1. The $[X/H]$ distributions

The $[X/H]$ distributions of planet and non-planet hosts are depicted in Fig. 8. The stars with and without planets as well as the stars only with Neptunes and super-Earths⁶ are represented by a dashed blue, solid red, and dotted black line, respectively. The vertical lines on the top of each histogram represent their average value.

We observe a clear metallicity excess for giant planet-host stars in all elements we study. These results are in good agreement with previous similar studies (e.g. Bodaghee et al. 2003; Beirão et al. 2005; Gilli et al. 2006; Bond et al. 2006; Takeda 2007). Our results are also consistent with previous studies of iron abundances (e.g. Gonzalez 1998; Gonzalez et al. 2001; Laws et al. 2003; Santos et al. 2001, 2003, 2004, 2005; Fischer & Valenti 2005), as expected. Interestingly, we also find that among thick disc members, stars with planets are more metal-rich ($\langle [Fe/H] \rangle = -0.16$) than “single” stars ($\langle [Fe/H] \rangle = -0.33$).

Table 6 lists the average values of $[X/H]$ along with their rms, the number of stars used in their determination, and the difference of averages between stars with and without planets. These differences range from 0.17 for Al to 0.27 for Mn. The last four columns list the average $[X/H]$ ratio of Neptunian hosts and hosts with Neptunes and super-Earths only, along with the number of stars used in their determination.

The difference in the average abundances of stars with and without giant planets is, on average, slightly above the values measured by (Takeda 2007) by 0.03 dex. This difference is also above the results of Bond et al. (2006) for all elements. Our results are, on average, similar to those of Bodaghee et al. (2003) and Gilli et al. (2006). The dispersion in this differences for all elements is the smallest of all authors, which probably attests to the quality of our results.

It is interesting to see that in most histograms (except for Mg) the distributions of the abundances in planet-host stars are asymmetrical: there is an increase in $[X/H]$ to a maximum value and shortly afterwards there is a significant cutoff in distribution. This cutoff is located at $[X/H] \sim 0.3$ for Ca, and CrII, $[X/H] \sim 0.4$ for Si, TiI, TiII, ScI, ScII, CrI, Ni, Na, Mg, and Al and $[X/H] \sim 0.5$ for Mn, V, and Co. The cutoff might suggest that this is the metallicity limit of the solar neighbourhood stars (e.g. Santos et al. 2003), since most of the planet hosts are at the high metallicity end of the sample.

⁶ HD 4308, HD 40307, HD 69830, HD 47186, HD 160691 and HD 181433. The first three stars host Neptunians and super-earth type planets only.

Fig. 8. [X/H] distribution of the different elements. The stars with and without planets are represented by a dashed blue, and solid red line respectively. The stars that exclusively host Neptunians and super-earth planets are represented by a black dotted line. The mean value is pictured by a dashed (with planets), solid (without planets), and dotted (Neptunian and super-earth hosts only) vertical lines on the top of each distribution. The Neptunian and super-earth distribution was set smaller for clarity.

Some planet-host distributions appear to be slightly bimodal (Mn, V, and Na). We cannot explain this effect which might be due only to small number statistics.

Regarding stars with only Neptunian planets ($N = 3$), we observe that they have the lowest [X/H] mean value for each

element. We note that there are only 3 stars with Neptunes, and 3 stars that are Neptunian and Jovian hosts. Therefore, any results regarding the Neptunian and super-Earth planet hosts must be seen as preliminary. Despite that, the values for the Neptunian and super-Earth-only hosts confirm that the probability of

Table 6. Average abundance values for stars with and without planets, along with their rms, the number of stars used in their determination, and the difference between the two groups. The last four columns list the average abundance values of Neptunian hosts and hosts with Neptunes and super-earths only, along with the number of stars used in their determination.

Species (X)	Planet hosts			Non-planet hosts			Difference of Averages	Neptunian hosts		Neptunian hosts only	
	$\langle[X/H]\rangle$	rms	N	$\langle[X/H]\rangle$	rms	N		$\langle[X/H]\rangle$	N	$\langle[X/H]\rangle$	N
Si	0.13	0.17	68	-0.08	0.21	383	0.21	0.08	6	-0.16	3
TiI	0.16	0.14	62	-0.01	0.18	321	0.17	0.12	4	-0.05	2
TiII	0.11	0.15	68	-0.09	0.20	383	0.20	0.04	6	-0.18	3
ScI	0.20	0.19	61	-0.01	0.22	288	0.21	0.14	4	-0.07	2
ScII	0.14	0.19	68	-0.09	0.23	383	0.23	0.12	6	-0.17	3
Ca	0.10	0.14	68	-0.08	0.18	383	0.18	0.05	6	-0.14	3
Mn	0.11	0.24	68	-0.15	0.31	383	0.27	0.05	6	-0.28	3
CrI	0.11	0.17	68	-0.10	0.22	383	0.21	0.06	6	-0.18	3
CrII	0.07	0.17	68	-0.14	0.22	383	0.21	-0.01	6	-0.26	3
V	0.18	0.20	56	-0.02	0.25	243	0.20	0.15	4	-0.05	2
Co	0.17	0.21	68	-0.05	0.25	383	0.22	0.19	6	-0.12	3
Ni	0.12	0.20	68	-0.12	0.24	383	0.23	0.07	6	-0.22	3
Na	0.11	0.20	68	-0.11	0.23	383	0.22	0.10	6	-0.20	3
Mg	0.13	0.16	68	-0.07	0.20	383	0.19	0.12	6	-0.08	3
Al	0.15	0.17	68	-0.03	0.20	383	0.17	0.17	6	-0.04	3
Fe*	0.10	0.17	68	-0.12	0.23	383	0.22	0.03	6	-0.24	3

* [Fe/H] values from Sousa et al. (2008).

Fig. 9. Percentage of stars with planets as a function of [Fe/H]. The element label and the used cutoff temperature (when required) are located at the upper left corner of each plot.

finding one of these planets may be even higher in metal-poor stars, and they appear to exhibit a different trend from the Jovian-host stars. Our results for stars hosting only Neptunian-like planets agree with those of Udry et al. (2006, 2007) and Sousa et al. (2008) for [Fe/H].

Figure 9 illustrates the [X/H] distribution in a different perspective: the histograms of the number of stars with planets relative to the total number of stars of each bin (0.1 dex). We

observe that there is a general increase in the percentage of stars with planets for all elements, with increasing [X/H], after a low metallicity plateau. This observation agrees with the findings of other authors such as, for example, Santos et al. (2001, 2004), and Fischer & Valenti (2005) for [Fe/H].

5.2. The [X/Fe] versus the [Fe/H] plots: stars with and without planets

The [X/Fe] versus [Fe/H] plots are traditionally used to study the chemical evolution of the galaxy as well as to identify its different stellar populations (thin disc, thick disc, and halo), which have distinct abundance ratios (see e.g. Bensby et al. 2003; Fuhrmann 2004). In this section, we analyse them only to determine whether there are any differences in the abundances of stars with and without planets, for the same value of [Fe/H].

Figure 10 shows the [X/Fe] values for the two samples as a function of [Fe/H]. The blue triangles and the red circles represent stars with and without planets, respectively. The red dashed lines target the solar value. The cutoff temperature adopted is indicated where appropriate (see Sect. 4.1.2).

The plots do not show any clear differences between the stars with and without planets for a given [Fe/H] value. We also completed a Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KV) test of the [X/Fe] distributions for [Fe/H] > 0 without identifying any significant differences between the planet-host and the non-planet-host populations. The results are in general agreement with previous similar studies such as, for instance, Gonzalez et al. (2001), Takeda et al. (2001), Sadakane et al. (2002), Bodaghee et al. (2003), Beirão et al. (2005), Fischer & Valenti (2005), Gilli et al. (2006), and Takeda (2007), which encourages confidence in our results.

The reported cases of potential trends described by other authors such as, for instance, Sadakane et al. (2002) for vanadium and cobalt, Bodaghee et al. (2003) for Ti, Mn, V, and Co, and Gilli et al. (2006) for V, Co, Mg, and Al, were not found. Robinson et al. (2006) claimed to have found clear and significant overabundances of [Si/Fe] and [Ni/Fe]. Gonzalez & Laws (2007) also found that there were strong indications that Al and Si abundances are systematically smaller for the planet-host stars in the higher metallicity region, and that the Ti abundance

Fig. 10. $[X/Fe]$ vs. $[Fe/H]$ plots for the elements in study. The blue triangles and the red circles represent the stars with and without planets, respectively. The intersection of the dashed lines indicate the solar value. Each element is identified in the *upper right corner* of the respective plot and the cutoff temperature is indicated in the *lower right corner* when appropriate.

exhibits the opposite trend, hinting that the abundances of Na, Mg, Sc and Ni might have some differences between planet-hosts and non-planet-hosts. We could not identify any of these trends clearly in our data.

To avoid systematic errors, we also completed the analysis only for stars with $T_{\text{eff}} = T_{\odot} \pm 300$ K. These plots provided us with a more accurate picture of the trends and differences among the two groups of stars: in a differential analysis such as this, the closer the star temperature is to the solar temperature,

the more accurate, on average, the derived abundance is. A KS test was also completed for the $[X/Fe]$ distribution of this temperature-restricted population. We did not find any new trend.

Some outliers may however exist in our sample. In all cases, the abnormal abundances may be explained by their high dispersion in individual line abundances, by a high value of EP and/or RW slopes (see Sect. 4.1.2), or as being due to non-LTE systematic effects for the highest temperature stars.

We did not identify any abundance anomalies in the stars previously described in the literature: the underabundance of Ca in HD 209100 derived by Bodaghee et al. (2003) and the overabundance of Mg and Al in the host star HD 168746 described by Sadakane et al. (2002), Laws et al. (2003), and Gilli et al. (2006) was not found.

6. Thin and thick disc

In this section we perform a detailed analysis of the $[X/Fe]$ distributions of three different populations: thin disc, thick disc, and the intermediate population, for $[Fe/H]$ below the solar value. Above $[Fe/H] > 0$, we were unable to identify any clear difference between thin and thick disc stars. The chosen criteria for selecting stars in each population and the necessary data for determining membership were described in Sect. 2.1. We must note that we only have 6 thick disc stars with $[Fe/H]$ above the solar value. HD 27894 is the thick disc member with the highest metal content of approximately 0.2 dex.

6.1. The $[X/Fe]$ distributions

The $[X/Fe]$ distributions for $[Fe/H] < 0$ are depicted in Fig. 11. Each element and ion is identified at the top left corner of the plots, along with the adopted cutoff temperature when relevant. The solid red, dotted black, and dashed blue lines represent the thin, intermediate, and thick disc populations, respectively. The mean value of each population is depicted by the vertical lines above the distributions.

Table 7 lists the average values of $[X/Fe]$ for the three populations and their rms values. The last two columns depict the difference of the average abundance and the KS probabilities between the thin and thick disc populations.

From the analysis of the histograms and the associated table, we can observe that in the metallicity domain $[Fe/H] < 0$, thick disc stars exhibit higher average $[X/Fe]$ values for most of the species. However, for CrI, there is no difference between the two populations and for Co and Ni, this difference is small (0.03 and 0.04 dex respectively). We can also see that for all elements there is at least a partial superposition between the thin and the thick disc populations. The manganese histograms also display a different trend from the remaining elements: the values of thin and thick disc populations invert, and, this time, the thick disc has an underabundance relative to the thin disc. The difference between both populations in this element is 0.12 dex.

The K–S probabilities imply that all the stars from the thick and the thin disc are indeed from separate groups, with the exception of CrI, which has a 65% probability of belonging to the same population. We note that the results based on CrII data differ from those of CrI. However, CrII only exhibits two or three lines and, therefore, its results might be affected by more significant bias. The mean values of the transition population are situated between those of the other populations, but its average oscillates somehow for some elements.

It is difficult to conclude anything about the origin of this intermediate population. This is also valid for any statistical feature of the transition or the thick disc populations that might appear in the histograms: the data set is too small in size to enable reliable statistical measurements to be made. Nevertheless, we can observe that most thick disc histograms appear to be bimodal (Si, TiI, TiII, Ca, Mn, V, Co, Na, Mg, and Al): this may indicate that there are two populations in the thick disc with distinct $[X/Fe]$ values. Alternatively, the observed behaviour

could be due to the fact that some stars from the thin disc may be misclassified as thick disc stars. The stars belonging to the lower $[X/Fe]$ branch of the thick disc population have typically lower values of $P_{\text{thick disc}}/P_{\text{thin disc}}$ when compared to the upper-branch thick-disc stars (for Mn this tendency is opposite, as expected).

With these results we confirm that the thin and thick disc populations are chemically and statistically distinct (at least in the region below solar metallicity). Besides the differences already discovered by Fuhrmann et al. (1998) for Mg and by Bensby et al. (2003) for Si, Ca, Ti and Al, we also found that Sc, Mn, Co and Na display the same separation between the aforementioned populations. The results of Bensby et al. (2005) for Na differ from ours: for this element, they found that the thin-disc stars might be more abundant (have higher $[X/Fe]$) than thick-disc stars. We found the opposite trend. Regarding Ni, we can only say that there is a hint, also found by Bensby et al. (2003), that the thick-disc stars might be more abundant than thin-disc stars at $[Fe/H] < 0$.

6.2. The $[X/Fe]$ versus $[Fe/H]$ plots: thin and thick disc populations

The $[X/Fe]$ versus $[Fe/H]$ plots are depicted in Figs. 12 and 13. The blue, black crossed, and red empty circles represent the thick-disc, transition, and thin-disc stars, respectively. The dashed lines indicate the solar value. In Fig. 13, only stars with $T_{\text{eff}} \pm 300$ K are shown: this allows us to obtain a clearer picture of the trends in the two groups.

Following the results of the $[X/Fe]$ distributions, in Fig. 11, we verify that, in the $[X/Fe]$ versus $[Fe/H]$ plots, the abundance difference between the thin and thick disc populations is not clearly defined. We can observe that, for $[Fe/H] < 0$, the thin and the thick disc populations are mixed, and for a fixed value of $[Fe/H]$, we cannot conclude that the populations are fully separated. Instead, for the α elements (Si, Ca, Ti, and Sc) and magnesium, the plots reveal a bifurcation into two different groups of stars, containing members from the three considered populations, that converges at $[Fe/H]$ between -0.2 dex and the solar value. There are some hints that this might also be the case for V, Co, Na, and Al. These trends are clearer in Fig. 13. The slope in the branch with the higher $[X/H]$ is always steeper than the slope of the lower branch. This means that the change in the $[X/H]$ ratio of the higher branch is faster for $[Fe/H]$ below the solar value.

We did not identify any differences between the planet and non planet-hosts among the thick disc members for a given $[Fe/H]$ value. However, we found some indication that metal-poor ($[Fe/H] \leq -0.2$) planet-host stars originate preferentially in the thick disc, as also suggested by Haywood (2008). Three of the five planet hosts in this metallicity domain are classified as thick disc stars, one as a transition object and the remainder as member of the thin disc. However, we note that we have only five stars in these conditions, and therefore, are unable to confirm reliably the validity of this result.

Bensby et al. (2003) and Bensby et al. (2005) concluded that there is a clear separation between stars from the thick and thin disc populations. No reference to the existence of the bifurcation discussed here was given by these authors. We are still unable to ascertain its origin, although as discussed in the previous section, it may indicate that some thin-disc members have been misclassified.

Fig. 11. [X/Fe] distributions of the species for $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] < 0$. Each element and ion is identified on the *top left* corner of the plots, along with the used cutoff temperature when relevant. The solid red, dotted black and dashed blue lines represent the thin, intermediate and thick disc populations, respectively. The dotted black histogram was set smaller for clarity. The mean value is depicted by the vertical lines above each distribution, corresponding to the respective populations.

6.3. The [X/Fe] versus [Fe/H] plots: galactic chemical evolution

It is widely accepted that the chemical evolution of the Galaxy is dominated by nucleosynthesis occurring in many generations of stars, with the exception of the lightest elements (McWilliam 1997). Here, we use the [X/Fe] versus [Fe/H] relations, in

Figs. 12 and 13, to study the chemical evolution of the galaxy, assuming that [Fe/H] can be used as a time variable. It is difficult to say whether the heavy scatter found in some plots are cosmic (astrophysical) or due to errors.

In these figures, we consider the stars with and without planets as one group, but we identify stars in the thick and thin-disc,

Table 7. Average abundance values for the stars from the thin disc, transition and thick disc populations with $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] < 0$, along with their rms. The last two columns depict the difference of the average abundance and the KS probabilities between the thin and the thick disc populations.

Species (X)	Thin Disc $\langle[\text{X}/\text{Fe}]\rangle$ rms		Intermediate $\langle[\text{X}/\text{Fe}]\rangle$ rms		Thick Disc $\langle[\text{X}/\text{Fe}]\rangle$ rms		Difference of averages	KS probability
Si	0.04	0.04	0.10	0.07	0.14	0.08	0.10	2.9e-09
TiI	0.10	0.07	0.19	0.10	0.22	0.11	0.12	6.7e-06
TiII	0.03	0.07	0.13	0.10	0.19	0.09	0.16	2.7e-11
ScI	0.07	0.06	0.14	0.11	0.15	0.07	0.08	1.5e-06
ScII	0.02	0.06	0.11	0.07	0.14	0.07	0.12	2.1e-11
Ca	0.06	0.06	0.12	0.12	0.14	0.07	0.08	8.9e-07
Mn	-0.05	0.07	-0.12	0.12	-0.17	0.08	0.12	5.6e-10
CrI	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.03	0.03	0.00	6.5e-01
CrII	-0.01	0.05	0.01	0.06	0.04	0.10	0.05	2.7e-05
V	0.05	0.06	0.10	0.07	0.11	0.08	0.06	3.1e-03
Co	0.05	0.08	0.11	0.09	0.10	0.06	0.05	8.3e-05
Ni	-0.01	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	2.6e-04
Na	-0.00	0.06	0.06	0.09	0.06	0.06	0.06	6.1e-07
Mg	0.05	0.08	0.17	0.10	0.21	0.11	0.16	2.1e-09
Al	0.10	0.10	0.21	0.14	0.22	0.09	0.12	8.8e-06

as well as in an intermediate group (see Sect. 2.1). The analysis is completed element by element and compared with other studies of chemical abundances between stars with and without planets, namely, Sadakane et al. (2002), Bodaghee et al. (2003), Beirão et al. (2005), Fischer & Valenti (2005), Gilli et al. (2006), Gonzalez & Laws (2007), and Takeda (2007, hereafter SAD, BOD, BEI, FV05, GIL, GZ07, and TAK, respectively), as well as other reference studies by Chen et al. (2000), Allende Prieto et al. (2004), and Bensby et al. (2005, hereafter CH00, AP04, and BEN, respectively).

6.4. The alpha elements

It is believed that the alpha elements (Si, Ca, Ti, and Sc in this study) are produced mostly in massive stars that explode as type II supernova (SNe II), although type I supernova (SNe Ia) might also yield some of these elements (e.g. Thielemann et al. 2002).

We can observe that for all elements in this group, there is a bifurcation in the value of $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ below solar. The upper branch descends from overabundances of ~ 0.3 and ~ 0.4 dex toward solar abundances at $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ around the solar value, while the lower branch has a much slower descent. The abundance then remains at solar value and at some point it ascends, descends, or remains constant for $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ above the solar value, depending on the element: this might be observational evidence that the α elements are produced in different amounts by different SN (McWilliam 1997). We should note, however, that titanium is overabundant relative to the other α elements at $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ below the solar value, and that its slope (in this metallicity region) is steeper than for calcium and silicon. The Sc abundances, for $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] < 0$, seem to slowly decrease or remain constant until $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ reaches ~ -0.3 dex, where it has a downturn toward solar values.

6.4.1. Silicon

We can see that there exists a clear bifurcation in a region of $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ ranging from -0.85 to 0.0 dex. This can be seen more clearly in Fig. 13. The $[\text{Si}/\text{Fe}]$ value of the upper branch decreases until $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \sim -0.2$ dex, before joining with the lower branch, that has a much gentler descent. Then, the $[\text{Si}/\text{Fe}]$

ratio remains constant at solar value, with a slight upwardly trend starting at $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \sim +0.2$.

The results of other authors are, in general, similar to our own. However, the plateau described also in CH00 appears to start much earlier than in our case, and in BEN there seems to be no plateau. BOD, FV05, and GZ07 obtained slightly lower abundances (~ 0.05 dex) in the plateau region. The upward trend at $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] > 0$ is not evident in SAD, FV05, GIL, or TAK. The AP04 measurements are systematically higher than ours (by more than ~ 0.1 dex) and the upward slope is far more significant than in our results. No author refers to the bifurcation observed here.

6.4.2. Calcium

Calcium shows an uniform downward trend for the entire metallicity range. It begins from abundance values of $\sim +0.3$ at $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \sim -0.85$ dex, and decreases to $[\text{Ca}/\text{Fe}]$ values of ~ -0.1 at $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \sim +0.4$, equalling the solar value at solar $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$. The branches of the bifurcation are more difficult to observe and they seem to join at $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \sim -0.3$ dex. A slight plateau might exist for solar abundance values in the metallicity region between -0.2 and the solar value. This is more evident in Fig. 13.

The trends obtained for calcium are similar to most studies found in the literature, such as CH00, BOD, GIL, TAK and GZ07. However, the abundances obtained by SAD, AP04, and BEN do not continue to descend for $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] > 0$. The results of GIL and GZ07 present the same trend observed here, but the $[\text{Ca}/\text{Fe}]$ values are systematically lower than ours. No author refers to the presence of a bifurcation.

6.4.3. Titanium

The upper branch of both TiI and TiII plots decrease until $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ reaches ~ 0.0 – 0.2 dex, where it joins the lower branch. It then settles there for the remainder of the $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ range, at solar $[\text{Ti}/\text{Fe}]$ value. Since the plots of Ti exhibit considerable scatter, it is easier to observe the trends in Fig. 13. We can see that the higher branch population has a $[\text{Ti}/\text{Fe}]$ value between $\sim +0.35$ and ~ 0.0 dex, in a region of $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ ranging from -0.85 to ~ 0.0 dex.

Fig. 12. $[X/Fe]$ vs. $[Fe/H]$ plots. The blue, black crossed and empty red circles represent the thick disk, transition and thin disk stars, respectively. The dashed lines mark the solar value. Each element is identified in the *upper right corner* of the respective plot and the cutoff temperature is indicated in the *lower left corner* when appropriate.

A similar behaviour in the titanium trends is found in the studies of CH00, SAD, BOD, GIL, BEN, TAK, and GZ07. The plateau region in BOD, however, appears to be slightly below the solar value. The observed trend in FV05 has a continuously decreasing trend throughout the $[Fe/H]$ range. The data from AP04 exhibit an upturn in the $[Ti/Fe]$ values above solar metallicity. We did not detect the weak upturn in TiII reported by TAK. Again, no author refer to the observed bifurcation.

6.4.4. Scandium

There is considerable scatter in the points in Fig. 12 for the Sc plot. The trend is easier to observe in Fig. 13. In the ScI panel, we observe a slow decrease in the abundance of both branches until they join at $[Fe/H] \sim -0.1$. It remains constant at the solar value in the region of $-0.1 \lesssim [Fe/H] \lesssim +0.1$, and there is then an increase in the abundance for $[Fe/H] \gtrsim +0.1$ dex. The ScII plot

Fig. 13. Same as Fig. 12 but only with stars having $T_{\text{eff}} = T_{\odot} \pm 300$ K.

is similar to that of ScI, but there appears to be no plateau: the [ScII/Fe] value decreases until the [Fe/H] reaches the solar value and then begins to increase toward the limit of the [Fe/H] range.

The results of BOD, GIL, and GZ07 differ slightly from ours. The results are similar for $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] < 0$, but then we observe an increase in the abundance with [Fe/H], which they do not observe. However, BOD suggests that there might be an increase for iron-rich stars, but this is inconclusive due to scattering. This increase was also noticed by GIL and GZ07, although it is elusive. Our figures suggest a much stronger trend. The results of SAD and TAK are similar to ours, although the ScI and ScII

results of the latter author do not show the upturn or show a very weak one for metallicity values above the solar value. AP04 also reports the same trends, but their results have a systematic overabundance when compared to ours. No branch is found in the referred works.

6.5. The iron peak elements

It is believed that the iron peak elements are mostly created in SNe Ia explosions (Thielemann et al. 2002). In our plots, we can

observe that CrI and Ni (for $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] < 0$) follow iron in lock-step, which implies that they should have the same origin. On the other hand, vanadium and cobalt have trends more similar to the α elements. Manganese seems to mirror the behaviour of the alpha elements.

6.5.1. Chromium

In the CrI plot, the chromium abundances are constant around the solar value, as expected by the theory. The scatter is small when compared to the other elements. We note that the CrII plot has a weak, continuous downward trend but we only detect 2 or 3 lines per star, which means that the abundance determination is more affected by blending effects or to some other unknown systematic error.

The CrI results of CH00, SAD, BOD, BEN, and TAK are similar to ours. However, we observe a slight systematic underabundance in the results of BOD. The results of GIL for CrI suggest a downward trend similar to that in our CrII plot and their values have a systematic underabundance when compared with ours. Regarding our CrII plot, we find that it is different from the CrI plots of every author. The only work, besides ours, with a CrII determination is TAK: it shows a systematic upward trend throughout the metallicity range, in disagreement with our results.

6.5.2. Vanadium

Vanadium has a behaviour similar to the alpha elements, which might indicate a common origin. However, we do not see a clear bifurcation, although there are some hints that it might exist (see Fig. 13). The $[\text{V}/\text{Fe}]$ values slowly decline toward solar metallicity up to $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \sim -0.1$. The trend then becomes inverted and we can observe an increase toward the upper end of the $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ region. We can easily verify that there is a lot of scatter. We should note that the vanadium abundances could not have been determined if we had not removed the stars with $T_{\text{eff}} > 5300$ K (see Sect. 4.1.2). Therefore, it is impossible to reach any important conclusion about the true trend or the origin of this particular element.

Our results for vanadium differ from those of CH00 and SAD, who reported that the vanadium abundances reflect that of Cr and Ni. However, the results of BOD, GIL and, TAK are similar to ours. Nevertheless, we note that almost every author reports high levels of scattering, which some authors propose is caused by NLTE effects (e.g. BOD, GIL).

6.5.3. Cobalt

Cobalt has a large scatter throughout the entire metallicity range. The abundance value decreases slowly until it reaches $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \sim -0.1$ dex. The $[\text{Co}/\text{Fe}]$ ratio then remains constant around the solar value until $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ reaches the solar metallicity. Toward higher metallicities, there is a slow but clear increasing trend. In Fig. 13, the abundance seems to be constant all the way to the solar value and a bifurcation similar to that found for the α elements might also be present. Cobalt has trends similar to both vanadium and the alpha elements. This element might be subjected to NLTE effects (see Sect. 4.1.2). Cobalt, as well as vanadium, are odd-Z nuclei but, despite that, they have trends similar to those of the α elements.

The general trends observed by SAD, BOD, GIL and TAK are present in our plots. AP04 also exhibit a similar result.

However, the upturn observed by AP04 for high $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ is steeper than those observed here. All abundance determinations, including ours, have considerable scatter. It is thus difficult to draw any strong conclusions from this analysis.

6.5.4. Nickel

The trends seen in Figs. 12 and 13 are all similar for this element. The $[\text{Ni}/\text{Fe}]$ value remains roughly at solar value until $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \sim -0.3$ dex. It then has a small decrease, almost step-like, and remains in a plateau until $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ reaches the solar value. From this point on, it has two strong upturns at solar $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ and at $\sim +0.15$ dex. Between these values and from $\sim +0.15$ to the edge of the metallicity range, we can observe the existence of two small plateau with solar $[\text{Ni}/\text{Fe}]$ ratio and $[\text{Ni}/\text{Fe}] \sim +0.05$, respectively. Nickel abundances have a low dispersion. We speculate that each one of these latter “steps” might correspond to events that initiated an increase in the production of Galactic Ni.

Our results are similar to those of BEN, although a little different in their fine details: they only report one upturn at solar metallicity. We note an approximate agreement with the results of CH00, SAD, FV05, and TAK, since they all present evidence of the same general trends (slow decrease up to the solar metallicity, followed by a weak upturn). Although BOD, GIL, and GZ07 report similar trends, their results have an abundance slightly below ours in the entire $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ range (~ -0.05 dex). The same trends are also observed by AP04 but their abundance values are higher than most results and their slopes are also steeper.

6.5.5. Manganese

In contrast to all other elements, we observe a uniformly increasing trend for $[\text{Mn}/\text{Fe}]$ values from ~ -0.4 to 0.1 dex throughout the entire $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ range. In Figs. 12 and 13, we have the impression that two different branches exist for $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ values below ~ 0.1 dex. The manganese underabundance in this region seems to mirror the α -element overabundance.

There is good agreement between our results and those of SAD and BOD. However, they do not find any hint of the existence of two separate branches. The GIL and TAK results differ from ours: they show a plateau for $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ below the solar value.

6.6. The light metals: Mg, Na and Al

Sodium and aluminium are understood to be created mostly in the cores of massive stars (Chen et al. 2000), that later become SNe II. We can observe that the trends of Na and Al are similar to those of the alpha elements: we might even consider them to be mild alpha elements, even if we take into account the fact that they have an odd number of protons (McWilliam 1997). It is still difficult to differentiate the sources of Na and Al. Magnesium is proposed to be created only in SNe II, and can be considered a member of the alpha elements.

6.6.1. Magnesium

The $[\text{Mg}/\text{Fe}]$ branches exhibit a decreasing trend until $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \sim -0.1$, although the upper branch has a much steeper descent. The branches then join and the abundance remains constant at solar value. We observe considerable scatter in the Mg plots, due to the fact that we used only 2 or 3 lines per star in the determination of its abundance. Magnesium is an interesting element: it is predicted that it can only be created in SNe II explosions

(Chen et al. 2000), but we can see that there is no continuous decline in magnesium as we would expect in this case (Chen et al. 2000; Bensby et al. 2003). Therefore, a contribution of SNe Ia or other unknown factors may be causing the enrichment of Mg in the solar neighbourhood.

The obtained trends are similar to those measured by SAD, AP04, BEI, BEN, GIL, and GZ07, but none of these authors refers to a bifurcation below solar $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ value. CH00 detect a similar trend but their plateau starts much earlier, at -0.3 dex, and the abundance value of this plateau for the entire range of $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ is higher than ours by 0.1 dex. TAK, on the other hand, have a systematic underabundance in the same plateau above solar metallicity when compared with our results.

6.6.2. Sodium

Sodium abundance values slowly decrease until they reach the solar value at $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \sim -0.2$ dex. They then remain constant for a metallicity range from $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \sim -0.2$ to ~ -0.1 dex. The abundance has an increasing trend for $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \gtrsim +0.2$. There is considerable scatter in Fig. 12. It is easier to follow the trends in Fig. 13. There is also a slight hint in Fig. 13 that there might be a bifurcation below solar $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$. The trend of Na is similar to the alpha-element trends, but the overabundance values at negative $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ are not so pronounced.

A similar behaviour was found by SAD as well as by BEI, FV05, GIL, BEN, TAK, and GZ07. CH00 reported a constant abundance value throughout the entire $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ range which disagrees with our results. No author mentions the existence of a plateau in the intermediate $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ region. No bifurcation is reported in any paper.

6.6.3. Aluminium

The trends for aluminium are very similar to those of the alpha elements. One might say, at least from an observational point of view, that aluminium is an alpha element. Its abundance decreases until $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \sim -0.2$ dex. It then remains at constant abundance ($\sim +0.05$ dex) until $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ reaches $+0.1$ dex. Afterwards, there is an upturn followed by a plateau with $[\text{Al}/\text{Fe}] \sim +0.05$ in the $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ region between 0.2 and 0.4 dex. The trends are easier to see in Fig. 13, where we can also see the presence of a possible bifurcation similar to the one in the Mg plot. Again, we observe more significant scatter than for sodium.

Our results are similar to those obtained by BEI, GIL, and BEN. CH00 and SAD obtained different results from ours: the abundance remains constant at solar value and for CH00, it undergoes an increase toward higher metallicities at which it reaches ~ -0.3 dex.

7. Concluding remarks

We derived the abundances of 12 elements (Si, Ca, Sc, Ti, V, Cr, Mn, Co, Ni, Na, Mg, and Al) in a sample of 451 field dwarfs from the HARPS “high precision” GTO planet search program, of which 68 planets are known to harbour planetary companions. The spectroscopic parameters used in this study were derived by Sousa et al. (2008).

We compared our results with those presented in other works to ensure consistency and reliability in our analysis. The $[\text{X}/\text{H}]$ distributions as well as the plots of the abundance ratios $[\text{X}/\text{Fe}]$

versus $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ were analysed in comparing the trends of stars with and without planets.

We derived the galactic space-velocity components and used them to identify kinematically the origin of the stars of our sample, according to the method developed by Bensby et al. (2003) and Bensby et al. (2005). We found that the sample consists of 400 stars from the thin disc, 29 stars from the thick disc, and 21 from a transition population that exhibits characteristics in common with both populations. One star is from the halo.

We confirmed that an overabundance in giant-planet host-stars is clear for all the studied elements. This may agree with previous suggestions that the efficiency of planetary formation correlates strongly with the metal content of the host star. In other words, the probability of finding a star with giant planets is higher in stars with high $[\text{X}/\text{H}]$ ratios. The plot of the percentage of stars with planets as a function of the $[\text{X}/\text{H}]$ ratio also provides us with this information. This dependence is also predicted by studies of core-accretion modelling (see e.g. Ida & Lin 2004; Benz et al. 2006). We also confirmed that stars hosting only Neptunian-like planets may be easier to detect around stars with similar or even lower metallicity than non-planet hosts. This suggests that lower mass planets may have a different kind of formation than Jovian planets, which is also predicted by the aforementioned core-accretion studies of planetary formation.

We do not find any evidence of differences between stars with and without planets for the same $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ in the plots of $[\text{X}/\text{Fe}]$ versus $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$. This is in general agreement with previous studies. The stars that harbour planetary companions simply appear to be in the high metallicity tail of the distribution, following the same trends as the stars without planets. This result favours a primordial origin as the preferred cause of the observed high metallicities in planet-host stars.

We found a clear distinction between thick disc and thin disc populations, for $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] < 0$, when we analysed the $[\text{X}/\text{Fe}]$ distributions. This extends to all elements except chromium and nickel, and confirms and extends the general results found by Fuhrmann et al. (1998), Bensby et al. (2003), and Bensby et al. (2005).

Interestingly, however, we observed that in the plots of $[\text{X}/\text{Fe}]$ versus $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ the populations of the thin and thick disc are mixed for the same $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ throughout the entire metallicity range. We were unable to identify any separation between the populations as in Fuhrmann (2004) or Bensby et al. (2005). Instead, the plots of the alpha elements and Mg reveal, for $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] < 0$, a bifurcation of two different groups of stars, both with stars from the three populations, that converge at $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ close to the solar value. This bifurcation might also exist for V, Co, Na, and Al, but it is unclear due to scatter. In some plots (Si, Ca, Sc, Ti, Mg and Al), the two populations of this bifurcation seem to have different evolutionary dynamics: the one with lower abundances (dominated by thin-disc stars) has a shallow slope (if any), while the higher abundance population (dominated by thick-disc objects) has a far steeper slope, implying a more dynamic evolution.

In general, the trends that we found agree with the literature references, with the exception of the aforementioned bifurcation. Nevertheless, we note that the traditional separations between alpha elements, iron peak elements, and others might need to be reformulated as the elements tend to have different trends, at least in the metallicity range of our study. Our results suggest that the origin of these elements is more complex than predicted by theory. Some elements exhibit a considerable scatter (V, Co, Na, Al), although we were unable to ascertain whether this scatter is cosmic in origin or due to errors in the analysis.

Acknowledgements. V.N. acknowledges the support given by Fundação Calouste Gulbenkian (Portugal). N.C.S. would like to thank the support from Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, Portugal, through programme Ciência 2007 (C2007-CAUP-FCT/136/2006). We would like to thank the HARPS team for having provided us with the data for this paper.

References

- Allende Prieto, C., Barklem, P. S., Lambert, D. L., & Cunha, K. 2004, *A&A*, 420, 183
- Anders, E., & Grevesse, N. 1989, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 53, 197
- Beirão, P., Santos, N. C., Israelian, G., & Mayor, M. 2005, *ArXiv Astrophysics e-prints*
- Bensby, T., Feltzing, S., & Lundström, I. 2003, *A&A*, 410, 527
- Bensby, T., Feltzing, S., Lundström, I., & Ilyin, I. 2005, *A&A*, 433, 185
- Benz, W., Mordasini, C., Alibert, Y., & Naef, D. 2006, in *Tenth Anniversary of 51 Peg-b: Status of and prospects for hot Jupiter studies*, ed. L. Arnold, F. Bouchy, & C. Moutou, 24
- Bodaghee, A., Santos, N. C., Israelian, G., & Mayor, M. 2003, *A&A*, 404, 715
- Bond, J. C., Tinney, C. G., Butler, R. P., et al. 2006, *MNRAS*, 370, 163
- Chen, Y. Q., Nissen, P. E., Zhao, G., Zhang, H. W., & Benoni, T. 2000, *A&AS*, 141, 491
- Dehnen, W., & Binney, J. J. 1998, *MNRAS*, 298, 387
- Ecuivillon, A., Israelian, G., Santos, N. C., Mayor, M., & Gilli, G. 2006, *A&A*, 449, 809
- Ecuivillon, A., Israelian, G., Pont, F., Santos, N. C., & Mayor, M. 2007, *A&A*, 461, 171
- ESA 1997, *The Hipparcos and Tycho Catalogues*
- Fischer, D. A., & Valenti, J. 2005, *ApJ*, 622, 1102
- Fuhrmann, K. 2004, *Astron. Nachr.*, 325, 3
- Fuhrmann, K., Pfeiffer, M. J., & Bernkopf, J. 1998, *A&A*, 336, 942
- Gilli, G., Israelian, G., Ecuivillon, A., Santos, N. C., & Mayor, M. 2006, *A&A*, 449, 723
- Gonzalez, G. 1997, *MNRAS*, 285, 403
- Gonzalez, G. 1998, *A&A*, 334, 221
- Gonzalez, G., & Laws, C. 2007, *MNRAS*, 378, 1141
- Gonzalez, G., Laws, C., Tyagi, S., & Reddy, B. E. 2001, *AJ*, 121, 432
- Haywood, M. 2008, *A&A*, 482, 673
- Ida, S., & Lin, D. N. C. 2004, *ApJ*, 616, 567
- Israelian, G., Santos, N. C., Mayor, M., & Rebolo, R. 2003, *A&A*, 405, 753
- Johnson, D. R. H., & Soderblom, D. R. 1987, *AJ*, 93, 864
- Kupka, F., Piskunov, N., Ryabchikova, T. A., Stempels, H. C., & Weiss, W. W. 1999, *A&AS*, 138, 119
- Kurucz, R. 1993, *ATLAS9 Stellar Atmosphere Programs and 2 km s⁻¹ grid*. Kurucz CD-ROM No. 13 (Cambridge, Mass.: Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory), 13
- Kurucz, R. L., Furenlid, I., & Brault, J. T. L. 1984, *Solar flux atlas from 296 to 1300 nm*, National Solar Observatory Atlas, Sunspot, New Mexico, National Solar Observatory
- Laws, C., Gonzalez, G., Walker, K. M., et al. 2003, *AJ*, 125, 2664
- Mayor, M., Udry, S., Lovis, C., et al. 2008, *ArXiv e-prints*
- McWilliam, A. 1997, *A&A*, 35, 503
- Piskunov, N. E., Kupka, F., Ryabchikova, T. A., Weiss, W. W., & Jeffery, C. S. 1995, *A&AS*, 112, 525
- Robinson, S. E., Laughlin, G., Bodenheimer, P., & Fischer, D. 2006, *ApJ*, 643, 484
- Sadakane, K., Ohkubo, M., Takeda, Y., et al. 2002, *PASJ*, 54, 911
- Santos, N. C., Israelian, G., & Mayor, M. 2000, *A&A*, 363, 228
- Santos, N. C., Israelian, G., & Mayor, M. 2001, *A&A*, 373, 1019
- Santos, N. C., Israelian, G., Mayor, M., Rebolo, R., & Udry, S. 2003, *A&A*, 398, 363
- Santos, N. C., Israelian, G., & Mayor, M. 2004, *A&A*, 415, 1153
- Santos, N. C., Israelian, G., Mayor, M., et al. 2005, *A&A*, 437, 1127
- Snedden, C. 1973, *Ph.D. Thesis*, Univ. of Texas
- Sousa, S. G., Santos, N. C., Israelian, G., Mayor, M., & Monteiro, M. J. P. F. G. 2007, *A&A*, 469, 783
- Sousa, S. G., Santos, N. C., Mayor, M., et al. 2008, *A&A*, 487, 373
- Takeda, Y. 2007, *PASJ*, 59, 335
- Takeda, Y., Sato, B., Kambe, E., et al. 2001, *PASJ*, 53, 1211
- Thielemann, F.-K., Argast, D., Brachwitz, F., et al. 2002, *A&SS*, 281, 25
- Udry, S., Bonfils, X., Delfosse, X., et al. 2007, *A&A*, 469, L43
- Udry, S., Mayor, M., Naef, D., et al. 2000, *A&A*, 356, 590
- Udry, S., Mayor, M., Benz, W., et al. 2006, *A&A*, 447, 361
- Valenti, J. A., & Fischer, D. A. 2005, *VizieR Online Data Catalog*, 215, 90141