HI clouds in the proximity of M 33

M. Grossi¹, C. Giovanardi¹, E. Corbelli¹, R. Giovanelli², M. P. Haynes², A. M. Martin²,
 A. Saintonge³, and J. D. Dowell⁴

¹ INAF – Osservatorio Astrofisico di Arcetri, L.go E. Fermi 5, 50125, Florence
 e-mail: grossi@arcetri.astro.it

² Center for Radiophysics and Space Research, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA

³ Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Zurich, 8057 Zurich, Switzerland

⁴ Department of Astronomy, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405, USA

Received 19 May 2008 / Accepted 31 May 2008

ABSTRACT

Aims. Neutral hydrogen clouds are found in the Milky Way and Andromeda halo both as large complexes and smaller isolated clouds. Here we present a search for HI clouds in the halo of M 33, the third spiral galaxy of the Local Group.

Methods. We have used two complementary data sets: a $3^\circ \times 3^\circ$ map of the area provided by the Arecibo Legacy Fast ALFA (ALFALFA) survey and deeper pointed observations carried out with the Arecibo telescope in two fields that permit sampling of the northeastern and southwestern edges of the HI disc.

Results. The total amount of HI around M 33 detected by our survey is about $10^7 M_\odot$. At least 50% of this mass is made of HI clouds that are related both in space and velocity to the galaxy. We discuss several scenarios for the origin of these clouds focusing on the two most interesting ones: (a) dark-matter dominated gaseous satellites, (b) debris from filaments flowing into M 33 from the intergalactic medium or generated by a previous interaction with M 31. Both scenarios seem to fit with the observed cloud properties. Some structures are found at anomalous velocities, particularly an extended HI complex previously detected by Thilker et al. (2002). Even though the ALFALFA observations seem to indicate that this cloud is possibly connected to M 33 by a faint gas bridge, we cannot firmly establish its extragalactic nature or its relation to M 33.

Conclusions. Taking into account that the clouds associated with M 33 are likely to be highly ionised by the extragalactic ultraviolet (UV) radiation, we predict that the total gas mass associated with them is $\geq 5 \times 10^7 M_\odot$. If the gas is steadily falling towards the M 33 disc it can provide the fuel needed to sustain a current star formation rate of $0.5 M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$.

Key words. galaxies: individual: M 33 – galaxies: evolution – galaxies: halos

1. Introduction

The origin of HI High Velocity Clouds (HVCs) is a long-standing problem since their discovery around the Milky Way about 40 years ago (Muller et al. 1963; Oort 1966). Different scenarios have been addressed to explain the nature of these clouds, and here we briefly summarise the ones that are of particular interest to the interpretation of the data presented herein.

- The “galactic fountain” model predicts that the clouds have a local origin and they form in the halo from hot gas ejected by supernova explosions in the disc. As the gas flows into the halo it radiatively cools down and then falls back onto the disc appearing as high-velocity moving gas (Shapiro & Field 1976; de Avillez 2000).
- Other interpretations suggest that at least a fraction of the observed HVCs have an extragalactic origin. One possibility is that such clouds are the remnant of gas stripped by previous interactions with smaller neighbors. The most direct evidence of such a process is given by the Magellanic Stream between the Milky Way and the Magellanic clouds (Mathewson et al. 1974) which contains about $2 \times 10^8 M_\odot$ of HI (at a distance of 55 kpc) (Putman et al. 2003).
- Another hypothesis for the origin of the HVCs assumes that HI clouds may constitute the gaseous counterparts of the “missing” dark satellites predicted by galaxy formation theories (Blitz et al. 1999; Braun & Burton 2000). The structure

formation scenario in the Lambda Cold Dark Matter (Λ CDM) paradigm predicts a larger number of dark matter dominated satellites around massive galaxies, such as the Milky Way or Andromeda, compared to what is currently observed (Klypin et al. 1999). Although new very faint Local Group (LG) dwarfs have been discovered in the last few years with the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) and other wide field optical surveys (see Simon & Geha 2007, and references therein), a discrepancy between theory and observations still exists, unless one assumes that such dark halos have not been able to form stars. They should then contain mainly ionised and neutral hydrogen bound in their dark matter potential wells. Therefore HVCs (particularly the compact HVC class) have been considered in the last few years as possible tracers of the missing dark matter halos.

– Hot intergalactic medium condensing into galaxies is another possibility to accrete gas and fuel star formation. At low redshift, low mass galaxies can get part of their gas through a cold accretion mode (Binney 1977; Katz & White 1993; Fardal et al. 2001; Murali et al. 2002; Keres et al. 2005). In this case the gas is not heated to the virial temperature of the halo but accretes at $T \sim 10^4$ K and establishes radiative equilibrium with extragalactic ionising radiation. In Cold Dark Matter (CDM) models this gas resides in filamentary structures and its accretion rate depends on the environment, being higher for isolated galaxies in low density

regions. The gas might enter the virial radius with high speed, of order $100\text{--}300\text{ km s}^{-1}$ and it might be shocked close to the galaxy disc where it radiates most of its excess energy. Alternatively the gas might convert part of its infall velocity into rotational velocity (see Keres et al. 2005, for a more detailed discussion). In the case of M 33 it is unclear whether any of the gas is accreted in this way, being a galaxy of intermediate mass, and the detection of any warm neutral gas in the halo will help quantify this possibility. This scenario is not very different from the original Oort suggestion (Oort 1970), that HVCs around galaxies are related to residual gas left over from their formation and gradually accreted by the host galaxy.

- Finally, in the case of galaxies orbiting a more massive one, such as M 33 which is a satellite of M 31, gas may be removed from the disc when the galaxy is close to the pericenter, and then, as the distance increases, the gas might fall back onto the disc. The orientation of the M 33 gas warp in the direction of M 31 and the shift of the center of the orbits in its outermost parts (Corbelli & Schneider 1997) might indicate that the M 31 disturbances are not negligible on the gaseous disc of its smaller companion, even though the smooth appearance of the M 33 stellar distribution constrains the history of their interaction (see Loeb et al. 2005). Numerical simulations (Jiang & Binney 1999) show that the warp itself might be generated by a slow gas-accretion process since gas infall reorients the outer parts of the halo.

Searching for gas in the halo of nearby galaxies can help to better understand the properties of HVCs and to discriminate between these different scenarios. A population of HI clouds possibly located in the vicinity of M 31 out to a projected distance of 50 kpc has been recently discovered (Thilker et al. 2004; Westmeier et al. 2005). Some of the HI features appear to have a tidal origin, being located in proximity of the giant stellar stream of M 31 and of its satellite NGC 205, while others are spatially isolated with radial velocities that differ from any known dwarf companion.

Contrary to the Milky Way and Andromeda, M 33 is fairly isolated, and its recent evolution seems to have been rather smooth since there is no evidence for recent mergers; the disc does not appear to be disturbed, there is no prominent bulge, and there are no traces of substructure in the stellar population of its outer regions (Ferguson et al. 2006). At the same time, M 33 is a blue galaxy with a much higher star formation rate per unit surface compared to the more massive companion M 31. Finding evidence of extraplanar gas, or gas in the very outer disc, can help constrain the evolutionary scenarios for this galaxy. Recent results of a chemical evolution model of M 33 point out that, to sustain its star forming activity and to explain its shallow metallicity gradient, M 33 needs to accrete gas at a rate of about $1 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (Magrini et al. 2007). The 21-cm survey around M 31 by Westmeier et al. (2005) was also extended to M 33, and it led to the discovery of only one HVC. Moreover, the presence of an arch of carbon stars at the edge of the optical disc (Block et al. 2004) supports an inside-out formation scenario and suggests that M 33 is still in the process of accreting matter which sporadically enhances star formation.

Therefore to search for additional HVCs and to inspect the HI content of the halo of M 33 we have used two complementary sets of data: 1) a $3^{\circ} \times 3^{\circ}$ 21-cm map of the M 33 region (centred on the optical position of the galaxy) extracted by the Arecibo Legacy Fast ALFA survey (ALFALFA; Giovanelli et al. 2005) carried out with the Arecibo telescope; 2) a map of two $3.5^{\circ} \times 1.5^{\circ}$ regions in the southeast and northwest part of the galaxy

enabling a study of the edge of the HI disc. The latter data have also been taken with the Arecibo telescope but with an integration time of 6^{m} per pointing (~ 10 times longer than ALFALFA) and higher spectral resolution (5 times ALFALFA).

The main thrust of this paper will be that of testing hypotheses that place the newly discovered clouds at the distance of M 33. We describe their main properties and we discuss the possible origins of these complexes and the implications for the evolution of the gaseous disc.

The paper is organised as follows: in Section 2 we describe the data sets we used for this study, in Sect. 3 we give a brief overview of the results, in Sects. 4–6 we describe the clouds detected in both data sets, in Sect. 7 we present the global properties of the clouds, in Sect. 8 we discuss some possible formation scenarios and we estimate the ionisation fractions and total gas masses of these clouds, and in Sect. 9 we present our final conclusions.

2. Observations

2.1. The ALFALFA survey

Part of our data set is provided by the ALFALFA survey, an ongoing blind 21-cm survey with the Arecibo telescope, to cover $\sim 7000 \text{ deg}^2$ of the high galactic latitude sky. We will only briefly describe the ALFALFA observations and data reduction procedure, since a more detailed description can be found elsewhere (see Giovanelli et al. 2005, 2007).

The ALFALFA observing strategy consist in a two-pass drift scan technique. The seven beam ALFA receiver is positioned along the local meridian and it records fourteen spectra (two polarizations per beam) every 1 s as the sky drifts over the dish. Each region of the sky is observed at two separate epochs to facilitate the separation of the signal from radio-frequency interference and spurious detections. All the drift scans over a certain region of the sky are then gridded to produce three dimensional cubes with grid points separated by $1'$ both in RA and Dec. The 100 MHz bandwidth is divided in 4096 channels providing a velocity coverage between -2000 and 18000 km s^{-1} . A data cube consists of 4 grids each stretching over 1024 channels. The M 33 cube has been chosen to be $3^{\circ} \times 3^{\circ}$ in size to ensure the coverage of the entire gaseous disc, and given that the systemic velocity of the galaxy is -180 km s^{-1} we have restricted our analysis to the first grid ($-2000 < V_{\text{hel}} < 3300 \text{ km s}^{-1}$). The corresponding velocity resolution is $\sim 5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (increasing to 10 km s^{-1} after Hanning smoothing). The average rms in the cube is around 2.5 mJy per channel. This implies a 1σ HI column density sensitivity of $5 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ over the velocity resolution of 10 km s^{-1} .

2.2. Higher sensitivity ALFA observations

We have mapped two fields around M 33 with the ALFA array on the Arecibo telescope: one to the northwest (field A) and the other to the southeast (field B) (Fig. 12). The size of each field is approximately 5 square degrees. The bottom left corner of field A is at $\Delta\text{RA} = -20'$ and $\Delta\text{Dec} = 0'$ with respect to the center of the galaxy, while the top right corner of field B is at $\Delta\text{RA} = -20'$ and $\Delta\text{Dec} = -20'$. The data have been obtained in December 2006. The channel spacing is $\sim 1 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ increasing to 2 km s^{-1} after Hanning smoothing. With an integration time of 6^{m} per pointing we reach an average rms noise of 1.5 mJy per channel for the 7 ALFA beams. Our observing strategy consisted in covering the survey area in Sparse Mode, a sampling scheme which allows to map approximately 1/3 of the total survey area

Table 1. Catalog of HI detections in the ALFALFA cube. The columns denote: the cloud identifying number in the catalog, the J2000 right ascension and declination, the heliocentric radial velocity, the velocity FWHM of the 21-cm line, the integrated flux, the HI mass, the cloud radius in kpc, the projected distance from the centre of M 33 in kpc, the virial mass and the type of the cloud (1 or 2) according to the relative radial velocity with respect to the systemic of M 33 (see Sect. 3).

ID	RA J2000	Dec J2000	V_{hel} km s^{-1}	ΔV km s^{-1}	S_{HI} Jy km s^{-1}	M_{HI} $10^5 M_{\odot}$	R (kpc)	d (kpc)	M_{vir} $10^7 M_{\odot}$	Type
AA1	01:34:36.9	30:59:35	-83	22	0.63	0.95	0.81	5.3	5.6	1
AA2	01:40:13.2	30:50:57	-107	31	0.67	1.07	0.76	20.3	11.8	1
AA3	01:34:02.9	31:12:12	-111	23	0.21	0.34	0.54	8.0	4.3	1
AA4	01:37:56.4	30:10:15	-122	29	3.46	5.27	1.43	14.9	18.7	1
AA5	01:39:31.4	30:41:30	-128	25	0.57	1.24	0.91	18.0	8.2	1
AA6	01:32:30.6	29:26:56	-148	26	12.2	24.1	1.60	18.6	16.0	1
AA7	01:38:11.8	29:47:11	-155	19	0.28	0.35	0.63	19.0	2.9	1
AA8	01:36:15.0	29:58:10	-158	15	0.35	0.55	0.82	12.7	1.8	1
AA9	01:33:27.8	29:14:49	-185	22	0.38	0.64	0.58	21.0	4.2	1
AA10	01:31:15.2	30:01:14	-188	13	0.56	0.66	0.7	12.6	0.8	1
AA11	01:38:26.0	30:50:37	-189	13	0.25	0.40	0.45	14.7	0.6	1
AA12	01:29:54.6	31:16:36	-194	15	1.08	1.87	1.24	15.3	2.8	1
AA13	01:34:16.7	31:31:25	-196	25	1.45	2.60	1.32	12.7	12.1	1
AA14	01:27:51.3	31:31:21	-246	24	0.92	1.88	0.90	22.5	7.7	1
AA15	01:28:46.3	31:41:02	-264	26	1.25	2.54	1.12	21.9	11.3	1
AA16	01:35:28.9	30:43:17	-292	15	0.21	0.36	0.53	5.2	1.0	1
AA17	01:29:52.0	31:04:23	-298	14	0.24	0.38	0.50	13.9	0.7	1
AA18	01:34:39.9	30:09:20	-326	23	1.21	1.11	1.31	17.8	7.7	2
AA19	01:33:26.6	29:29:42	-327	24	1.56	1.30	1.62	7.9	14.4	2
AA20	01:31:12.5	30:24:04	-341	25	0.61	0.80	1.03	9.2	9.7	2
AA21a	01:36:56.6	30:02:43	-372	27	6.91	11.35	3.01	13.5	33.6	2
AA21b	01:36:07.2	29:42:39	-383	25	4.62	7.7	2.8	15.9	26.7	2

(Cordes et al. 2003). Three adjacent pointings of ALFA are required in a dense sampling scheme to tile the sky with gain comparable to half the maximum gain (Freire 2003), while in Sparse Mode only one pointing out of these three is made. This scheme has the advantage that a larger solid angle is covered per unit time, and it has been adopted for a first analysis of the region. We have carried out 70 pointings in field A and 52 pointings in field B. Instead of offsetting the telescope to a blank area of the sky for the OFF observations we have taken the OFF pointings in the same field, $7''$ apart in RA. Therefore half of the pointings within each field are related to the ON observations, and half to the OFF observations. Calibrations have been performed using the pipeline available at the Arecibo site. To obtain a uniform map of the area despite the incomplete sky coverage, the data have then been gridded using the IDL procedure GRIDDATA, that interpolates scattered data values to a regular grid using the Kriging interpolation method, with grid points separated by $1'$ both in RA and Dec. The three dimensional cubes related to each field have then been inspected to search for HI clouds and they have been compared to the ALFALFA data set.

3. Overview of the results

The ALFALFA data and the higher sensitivity observations taken with the ALFA array at the Arecibo telescope reveal a population of HI clouds and complexes around M 33 (Fig. 1, Tables 1 and 2). The galaxy has a systemic heliocentric velocity of -180 km s^{-1} ; from the amplitude of the rotation curve (Corbelli 2003), one can infer that the maximum velocity a cloud can have to be gravitationally bound to the galaxy is within $\pm 140 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ of the systemic value. Since the detected objects are within the range $-80 \text{ km s}^{-1} < V_{\text{helio}} < -400 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, we can distinguish two types of features: type 1 clouds are those at velocities comparable with the rotation of the disc, between -80 km s^{-1} and -320 km s^{-1} ; type 2 have radial velocities

Fig. 1. The distribution of all the HI clouds detected around the disc of M 33 within the ALFALFA cube. The density contours range from $N_{\text{HI}} = 2 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, to $3 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. Type 1 clouds with $V > -180 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ are shown in light grey (red in the colour version of the figure), type 1 clouds with $V < -180 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ are in dark grey (light blue in the colour version), while type 2 clouds are plotted in black (dark blue). The extent of the M 33 HI disc is shown with two contours at a column density of $5 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ and $1 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$.

between -320 km s^{-1} and -400 km s^{-1} and they might not be gravitationally bound to the galaxy. Type 1 clouds can be either extended and spatially connected to the M 33 disc or

Table 2. Catalog of HI detections for the two fields observed with deeper ALFA pointings. The columns denote: the cloud identifying number in the catalog, the J2000 right ascension and declination, the heliocentric radial velocity, the velocity FWHM of the 21-cm line, the integrated flux, the HI mass, the cloud radius in parsecs, the projected distance from the centre of M 33 in kpc and the type of the cloud (1 or 2) according to the relative radial velocity with respect to the systemic of M 33. Here the radius R corresponds to the size of the Arecibo beam in parsecs since the clouds are not resolved.

ID	RA J2000	Dec J2000	V_{hel} km s^{-1}	ΔV km s^{-1}	S_{HI} Jy km s^{-1}	M_{HI} $10^4 M_{\odot}$	R (pc)	d (kpc)	Type
A22	01:35:20.4	29:25:58	-94	24	0.42	7.0	≤ 450	18.4	1
A23	01:29:12.2	31:13:41	-266	7	0.07	1.1	≤ 450	16.9	1

Fig. 2. Left: the distribution of type 1 clouds which have radial velocities compatible with the rotation of the M 33 disc ($-80 \text{ km s}^{-1} < V < -320 \text{ km s}^{-1}$). Right: the distribution of type 2 clouds whose radial velocities are below -320 km s^{-1} . The extent of the M 33 HI disc is shown with two contours at a column density of $5 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ and $1 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. In the colour version, the approaching and receding sides of the HI disc are displayed in blue and red respectively.

compact and “discrete” at least down to the resolution of our data sets. The spatial distribution of the majority of the clouds appears to follow the orientation of the outer HI disc of M 33 (Fig. 1), and their kinematic distribution is correlated with its rotation (Fig. 2), since the most negative velocities occur in the NW region and the less negative ones in the SE area of the outer disc.

The surface density maps and the spectra of all the objects detected are shown in the Appendix. In a few cases the spectra of the clouds cannot be fully separated from the bright disc of M 33. Due to the confusion with Galactic emission, it is impossible to isolate clouds with $V > -80 \text{ km s}^{-1}$.

The main properties of the clouds are displayed in Tables 1 and 2 for the two different data sets respectively. For the ALFALFA data (Table 1), the HI masses have been calculated from the following relation $M_{\text{HI}} = m_{\text{H}} d_{\text{M 33}}^2 \tan^2 \phi N_{\text{HI}}^{\text{tot}}$, where m_{H} is H atom mass, $d_{\text{M 33}}$ is the distance to M 33, ϕ is the angular size of 1 pixel ($1'$), $N_{\text{HI}}^{\text{tot}}$ is the integrated column density above the lowest significant contour density level, according to the rms in each zeroth-moment map of the clouds (see Table A.1). The HI column densities were derived from the zeroth-moment of the spectra under the assumption that the optical depth of the gas is negligible. Assuming a distance to M 33 of 840 kpc (Freedman et al. 1991) the HI masses range from $\sim 10^4 M_{\odot}$ to a few times $10^6 M_{\odot}$, with spectral line widths between 15 and 30 km s^{-1} .

The sizes of the clouds have been determined by fitting an ellipse to the lowest significant contour density level (see Table A.1), and then taking the geometric mean of the semiaxes. The degree of isolation with respect to M 33 was determined on

the basis of the lowest value of N_{HI} . Table 1 displays also the projected distance of the clouds from the centre of M 33, d , the viral masses, and whether they are classified as types 1 or 2.

In Table 2 we summarise the observational parameters of the additional detections in the higher sensitivity data set. In this case the clouds are not resolved, therefore we have calculated the neutral hydrogen masses from the relation $M_{\text{HI}} = 2.35 \times 10^5 d_{\text{M 33}}^2 S_{\text{HI}}$, and the upper limit on the radius R is given by the size of the Arecibo beam in parsecs.

Even though the ALFA beam has significant sidelobes ($\sim 9' \times 13'$ at the 3% level) the ALFALFA data do not show any clear correlation between the HI clouds and the brightness of the nearby gaseous disc of M 33. However as a further check on the reality of the detections the data was deconvolved using a CLEAN-like (Högbom 1974) algorithm. In this procedure the effective ALFALFA beam is modeled on a source-by-source basis so as to account for its position-dependent nature. The modeling consists of combining maps of the seven ALFA beams that were obtained as part of the A1963 project (Hoffman et al. 2007) with simulations of observations and gridding of a point source located at the same location as the source of interest. Once the effective beam pattern is known, the data in correspondence of each cloud are cleaned on a channel-by-channel basis until either a flux density or iteration limit is reached (see Dowell et al. 2008, for a more complete description of the methods used). The cleaning procedure confirmed the reality of all the detections listed in Table 1. However in the remainder of this paper we use and show the raw data (without applying the deconvolution algorithm) because the procedure produces an increase in the noise level.

Fig. 3. Column density map of AA6 (M33HVC1 in Westmeier et al. 2005). Contours are drawn from $3.5, 5.5, 7.5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. The map includes emission within the velocity range -143 to -169 km s^{-1} .

Fig. 4. Column density map of AA4 integrated over the velocity range -102 to -133 km s^{-1} . The cloud contours are drawn at $3, 4.5, 6, 7.5, 10 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, while the border of the M 33 disc continues at $15 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-2}$.

4. Type 1 clouds

Type 1 clouds have velocities closer to the systemic velocity of M 33, therefore they are more likely to be associated with the galaxy and possibly gravitationally bound to it. These clouds appear to be either isolated or spatially connected to the disc, however in the latter case, in order to be included in the catalog, their 21-cm emission must be spatially separated from that of the disc by a minimum spectral range of 10 km s^{-1} .

4.1. Clouds spatially connected to the disc

The largest structure is M33HVC1 found by Westmeier et al. (2005) in the southern edge of the disc (AA6 in Table 1). The cloud is at about 1° south of the centre of the galaxy and it appears to be connected to M 33 by a tidal bridge. It has a central core with a peak column density of $3 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ and it extends to the southeast where there is another substructure at a lower column density ($\sim 1 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-2}$) (Fig. 3). This in Westmeier et al. (2005) appeared as a separate gas clump. The HI mass above a column density of $3.5 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ is $2.4 \times 10^6 M_\odot$, the highest of the whole sample of clouds.

No clear optical counterpart related to the HI structure has been identified by McConnachie et al. (2004) using the Isaac Newton Telescope (INT) survey fields of the area. However, recently an extended globular-like cluster ($\sim 20 \text{ pc}$) has been discovered with the Subaru telescope in the southern edge of the disc of M 33 at a distance of $\sim 25 \text{ arcmin}$ from the peak of the 21-cm emission (Stonkute et al. 2008). The stripping of a dwarf satellite is one of the possible formation scenarios of extended clusters as it has been suggested for similar objects found in M 31 (Huxor et al. 2005). Therefore the possibility that the extended cloud is related to a tidal interaction with a dwarf-like satellite remains open.

AA4 is another extended structure with a large HI mass found in the eastern edge of the disc at a velocity range between -130 and -100 km s^{-1} (see Fig. 4). The cloud has a filamentary shape and it extends along the south-north direction from $(\alpha, \delta) = (1^{\text{h}}:38^{\text{m}}, 30^\circ:00')$ for approximately 30 arcmin in declination. A smaller cloud presumably associated with this feature is found at $(\alpha, \delta) = (1^{\text{h}}:38^{\text{m}}:12^{\text{s}}, 29^\circ:47')$ (AA7). The cloud shows a gradient in radial velocity along the south-north direction. At $V < -130 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ the cloud merges with the emission from the disc. The HI mass at half peak brightness amounts

Fig. 5. Channel maps of the area around AA14 and AA15. Velocity ranges from -246 km s^{-1} to -287 km s^{-1} in steps of 5 km s^{-1} as indicated in the top-right corner of each frame. Contours are at $7, 13, 19, 25, 100, 200 \text{ mJy}$. The figure shows the extent of the HI plume which connects cloud AA14 to the gaseous disc of M 33. The figure shows also the location of AA15 which appears to be separated from the HI plume down to the sensitivity level of the ALFALFA data set.

to $5.3 \times 10^5 M_\odot$. Figure 4 shows the column density map of AA4 over the velocity range where the emission from the cloud can be separated from that of the M 33 disc (from -102 to -133 km s^{-1}). Other clouds which connect to the disc are found (AA12, AA13, AA14). They have masses below $10^6 M_\odot$, and average column densities below 10^{19} cm^{-2} . Their properties are listed in Table 1, and their surface density maps and spectra are shown in Figs. A.2. Among these clouds, AA14 is particularly interesting, because it constitutes the final condensation of an extended HI plume connected to the disc of M 33. It lies at the edge of the extended HI disc (Fig. 5) where Corbelli et al. (1989) found a disturbed velocity field and an irregular HI distribution. The cloud is at about 15 arcmin from AA15, also shown in Fig. 5, which will be discussed in the next subsection.

Fig. 6. Column density map of AA15. Contours are at $3.5, 6, 8.5, 11, 13.5 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. The velocity ranges from -246 km s^{-1} to -292 km s^{-1} .

Fig. 7. Column density map of AA2 (top-left) and AA5 (bottom-right). Contours are drawn from 3.5 to $8.5 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ in steps of $1 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. The map includes emission within the velocity range -91 to -153 km s^{-1} .

4.2. “Discrete” clouds

We have identified a population of discrete clouds whose HI emission appears to be spatially well separated from that of the disc of M 33. The majority of them are small, barely resolved systems, with $M_{\text{HI}} \lesssim 10^5 M_{\odot}$ (see Fig. A.1). Notable among these are AA2, AA5 and AA15.

AA15 is located at the edge of the northwestern side of the disc ($-245 \text{ km s}^{-1} < V < -285 \text{ km s}^{-1}$). The cloud has been detected in both our data sets and it shows a spherically-symmetric appearance (Fig. 6) with possible evidence for a radial velocity gradient in the east-west direction (see Fig. 5). The cloud has a radius of $\sim 1 \text{ kpc}$ and a mass of $2.5 \times 10^5 M_{\odot}$. A diffuse and low column density HI filament has been detected to the northwest of M 33 towards M 31. According to Braun & Thilker (2004), this diffuse structure appears to be fueling denser gaseous streams and filaments in the outskirts of both galaxies. AA15, together with AA14 lie along the same direction of this bridge and they can possibly represent the higher density condensations of the gas filaments connecting to M 31. It is also possible that the both structures may be related to a previous interaction with M 31, a possibility that we will discuss in Sect. 8.

Two other fairly isolated clouds are AA2 and AA5 (Fig. 7). At a projected distance of about one degree from the centre of M 33, they lie in the eastern side of the disc and they are

Fig. 8. Channel maps of AA2 and AA5. Contours are at 7, 10, 13, 16 mJy and the velocity ranges from -96 km s^{-1} to -153 km s^{-1} in steps of 5 km s^{-1} as indicated in the top right corner of each frame.

Fig. 9. Column density map of AA21, the HI complex with anomalous velocity to the southeast of M 33. Contours are drawn at 3 to $10.5 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ in steps of $1.5 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. The HI emission was integrated over the velocity range -354 to -395 km s^{-1} .

separated by less than 10 arcmin. There is a velocity gradient between the two clouds, as one can see from Fig. 8 with AA5 being closer to the systemic velocity of M 33 with respect to the other cloud. Faint 21-cm emission can also be seen between the two structures and it is likely that they are the highest column density condensation of the same HI structure. The total HI mass associated with the two clouds is $2.3 \times 10^5 M_{\odot}$.

5. Type 2 clouds

The most striking feature appearing from the ALFALFA data cube is the HI complex that had been serendipitously discovered by Thilker et al. (2002) with Westerbork Synthesis Radio Telescope (WSRT) observations of M 33. The Arecibo telescope beam is 10 times smaller than that of the WSRT (used for that survey in auto-correlation mode), thus we can better resolve the structure of this complex.

The complex extends for one degree in declination with radial velocities within the range $-350 \text{ km s}^{-1} < V_{\text{hel}} < -400 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Two main substructures (AA21a and AA21b in Table 1) can be distinguished from the ALFALFA data (Fig. 9)

Fig. 10. Channel maps of complexes AA21a and AA21b. Contours are at 8, 12, 16 mJy, and velocity ranges from -354 km s^{-1} to -395 km s^{-1} in steps of 5 km s^{-1} as indicated in the top-left corner of each frame.

Fig. 11. Column density maps of the other HI clouds with anomalous velocity: AA18 (left) and AA19 (right). Contours range from 3 to $5 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ in steps of $0.5 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-2}$.

showing a clear velocity gradient with the northern clump being closer in velocity to the disc ($-350 \text{ km s}^{-1} < V_{\text{hel}} < -390 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) (Fig. 10). At the same velocity range we find low column density clumps of gas to the northwest of the complex ($N_{\text{HI}} \lesssim 5 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-2}$) pointing towards the center of the disc, which may give indication for a possible connection to the disc of M 33 (see Fig. 9). A faint tail extends to the east for about one degree in RA, but this structure is more clearly detected with the deeper ALFA observations (see Fig. 13).

The mass of the two structures, if placed at 840 kpc, is $1.1 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$ and $8 \times 10^5 M_{\odot}$ respectively, and the column density does not exceed 10^{19} cm^{-2} at the Arecibo beam resolution. The complex is located at $\sim$ one degree from the centre of M 33, corresponding to a projected distance of about 15 kpc.

At higher velocities ($-310 \text{ km s}^{-1} < V_{\text{hel}} < -350 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) three previously undetected clouds are found (AA18, AA19, AA20). They are located in the southern area of the disc, all have similar radial velocity but they are spatially separated from one another. They are far less extended than the previous HI complex (with radii below $10''$) and their line widths are between 20 and 25 km s^{-1} . Clouds 18 and 19 (Fig. 11) have larger masses of around $10^5 M_{\odot}$ and show evidence for substructures while cloud 20 is smaller and more compact with a slightly lower HI mass ($8 \times 10^4 M_{\odot}$). Given their velocities they are at the border between types 1 and 2 classification.

6. Additional detections with the higher sensitivity ALFA observations

Figure 12 shows the three regions around M 33 that have been observed at Arecibo. The central square corresponds to the region covered by the ALFALFA survey. The two rectangles labeled as A and B indicate the fields where we performed deeper pointed observations with the ALFA array. The integration time was 10 times longer than ALFALFA. As we mentioned in Sect. 2.2 we reach a rms which is at best around 1.3 mJy (at a spectral resolution of 2 km s^{-1}), approximately 2 times better than the ALFALFA data set. This implies a 3σ mass limit of $1.3 \times 10^4 (\Delta V/20 \text{ km s}^{-1}) M_{\odot}$.

Given the incomplete sampling of fields A and B, to obtain a uniform 21-cm map of both areas, the fluxes at the different pointings have been interpolated over a regular grid with a spacing of $1'$ both in RA and Dec (see Sect. 2.2). We have created a three dimensional data cube for each field to search for additional detections with lower HI masses with respect to the ALFALFA survey ($\approx 10^4 M_{\odot}$).

In Fig. 12 we display the clouds that have been identified in both sets of data (squares), and the filled dots correspond to the two additional candidate clouds, one in the southern field (A22) and the other in the northern one (A23). A22 is located at the border of the low column density disc. Even though its mass ($M_{\text{HI}} = 7 \times 10^4 M_{\odot}$) is above the sensitivity threshold of ALFALFA, this cloud has not been identified in the survey data cube. Because of the gaps in our observations, it is not clear from the higher sensitivity data whether we are detecting the edge of the disc or a cloud, therefore we consider this detection as dubious. A23 appears to be related to the HI plume that we have discussed in Sect. 4.1 both because of its position and velocity. With a mass of $\sim 10^4 M_{\odot}$ and a very narrow line width, this cloud could not have been detected in the ALFALFA survey. Both candidate clouds are not resolved, thus we only show their spectra in Fig. A.5 without the corresponding contour maps.

Since we only find one low mass cloud within this data set, one can infer that a fully sampled survey of the area with the same sensitivity would not largely increase the number of HI clouds with $M_{\text{HI}} \approx 10^4 M_{\odot}$. We estimate that for an area of $3^\circ \times 3^\circ$ a fully sampled database would add approximately 6 clouds in that range of HI masses.

In the southern field we have also identified the extended HI complex AA21 and we show its contour map in Fig. 13. As we have already mentioned in Sect. 4.1 the two substructures of this complex (AA21a and AA21b) are detected as one single cloud extending for 8 min in right ascension (~ 2 degrees). Figure 13 shows the column density map of the complex. The contours range from 2 to $10 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. Summing the flux of all the pixels within the lowest column density contour we measure a mass of $4 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$. This value is two times larger than what we have measured with the ALFALFA survey. This implies that a substantial fraction of the HI mass resides in low column density gas. It is also possible that we are overestimating the extension of the cloud since the eastern edge at $\text{RA} > 12^{\text{h}}:41^{\text{m}}$ could be an artifact due to the presence of two strong background radio sources, B2 0138+29B and MG3 J014111+2938, with a flux at 1.4 GHz of 500 mJy and 236 mJy respectively (Condon et al. 1998).

We do not find any clouds in the outer edges of the fields both in the northern and southern side. The lack of features in the northern field is notable since here is where the Wright cloud is located, whose possible association with M 33 has been considered in the past (Wright 1979). The northern edge of the

Fig. 12. A view of the fields observed with higher sensitivity. The $3^\circ \times 3^\circ$ square is the size of the ALFALFA cube, while the two rectangles indicate the fields where we have carried out deeper pointed observations with the ALFA array. Filled circles correspond to the additional HI clouds detected with the higher sensitivity data labeled with the ID given in Table 2. The squares indicate the objects detected in both data sets and they are labeled with the ID given in Table 1. The circle at the edge of the northern field gives the position of the peak emission of the tip of the Wright cloud. We also display the Galex image of M 33 (near UV filter) and the two contours show the HI disc at a column density of $5 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ and 10^{21} cm^{-2} .

Fig. 13. HI column density map of complex AA21 from the higher sensitivity data set. Contours are at 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, $10 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-2}$.

complex appears at $V_{\text{hel}} \sim -380 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, at about 3.5 degrees from the centre of M 33. The absence of a clear connection between the disc of the galaxy and the cloud (see Fig. 12) suggests that this is a distinct complex not related to M 33.

7. Cloud virial masses

As we noted in Sect. 5 the more massive clouds ($M_{\text{HI}} \gtrsim 2 \times 10^5 M_\odot$) have an elongated or filament-like shape, and in some cases appear to connect to the disc at a certain velocity suggesting a tidal origin (see AA4, AA6, AA13, AA14). The “discrete” clouds instead have masses below $10^5 M_\odot$, radii smaller than 1 kpc and smaller line widths (except for AA2). If we assume that these clouds are close to the internal dynamical equilibrium and they are self-gravitating, then the total mass is given by $M_{\text{vir}} = (5R\sigma^2/\alpha G)$ where α is a parameter which depends on the cloud geometry and the degree of virialisation (Bertold & McKee 1992), and $\alpha \sim 1$ if the clouds are spherical and virialised. For $M_{\text{vir}} = fM_{\text{HI}}$, the velocity dispersion σ (i.e. the full width at half maximum divided by $2\sqrt{2 \ln 2}$ and corrected for the spectral resolution) can be expressed as a function of M_{HI} and the radius of the cloud R

$$\frac{GM_{\text{HI}}}{5R} = \frac{1}{f} \frac{\sigma^2}{\alpha}. \quad (1)$$

Thus, the relation between the observed values of σ^2 and the ratio of the HI mass to the radius ($\frac{GM_{\text{HI}}}{5R}$) should be linear if the clouds are self-gravitating and the slope should give the neutral hydrogen mass fraction of the total dynamical mass (f^{-1}).

Fig. 14. Top: the observed velocity dispersion versus the ratio of the HI mass to HI the radius ($\frac{GM_{\text{HI}}}{5R}$) of the M 33 clouds. Filled dots correspond to type 1 clouds detected in the ALFALFA cube (black) and in the higher sensitivity data set (grey). Squares indicate the complexes with anomalous velocities. The dotted line corresponds to a virial to HI mass ratio $f \sim 2000$. Bottom: a similar plot for the M 31 clouds. The values are taken from Westemeier et al. (2005, Table 1). Here the dotted line corresponds to $f \sim 150$.

Figure 14 (upper panel) shows that the majority of the clouds have $(\frac{GM_{\text{HI}}}{5R}) \lesssim 0.15 \text{ (km s}^{-1}\text{)}^2$ and their location on the plot is fitted by a line corresponding to a total to HI mass ratio $f \sim 2000$. The clouds that do not follow this relation are the more extended and massive ones (AA4, AA13, AA21a, AA21b, AA15 and AA6 which is significantly offset to the top of the figure, beyond the plotted range of the y axis). The high values of f imply that without an additional mass component, such as dark-matter or ionised gas, the self-gravity of the neutral gas would be inefficient to keep clouds bounded. Moreover the compact and isolated clouds appear to be more dark matter dominated than the more extended ones, as if there were two different population of clouds. However it is also possible that such a different trend is due the fact that the assumption of virialisation is especially wrong for the more extended clouds if they have a tidal origin.

As a comparison we plot the same quantities for the M 31 clouds (Westmeier et al. 2005). A clear trend is not visible in this case (see the lower panel of Fig. 14). Only some of the clouds can be fitted by a linear relation with $f \sim 150$, while the others show a more scattered distribution in the $\sigma^2, \frac{GM_{\text{HI}}}{5R}$ plane compared to the M 33 ones.

The average HI column densities of the M 33 cloud population are below $2 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, which is where sharp HI edges of spiral discs appear (Corbelli & Salpeter 1993; Maloney 1993), and they are low enough to expect that the gas is highly ionised. Thus it is important to evaluate the total gas mass and the ionisation fraction which depends both on the intensity of the ionising radiation and the gravitational potential of the clouds. The source of ionisation can be both the local UV extragalactic background radiation and the UV light escaping the star forming disc of M 33. In the first case, the theoretical calculation by Haardt & Madau (1996) is generally adopted, and it is in agreement with the observational limits given by H α surveys of intergalactic HI clouds (Weiner et al. 2002) and by the HI truncation of the extended galactic discs (Corbelli & Salpeter 1993).

As regards the escaping fraction of the M 33 UV flux, Hoopes & Walterbos (2000) estimate that it is very low. At most 4% of the stellar ionising photons can escape if one assumes that there are additional sources that can ionise the interstellar gas. Given a SFR of $0.5 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (Magrini et al. 2007), the total number of ionising photons produced by M 33 is $\dot{N} = 10^{53} \text{ photons s}^{-1}$. If the escape fraction is $\epsilon = 0.02$, the ionising flux from M 33 equals the extragalactic background on one side of the cloud ($F_{\text{EG}}^{\text{UV}} = 3.2 \times 10^3 \text{ photons cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$) at a distance from the disc $d \sim 70 \text{ kpc}$.

Hence, at 20 kpc the ionising flux escaping the M 33 disc can be as much as a factor 12 stronger than the extragalactic radiation field. We shall consider this as an upper limit because the effective escape fraction and the cloud galactocentric distances are unknown. In the next section we will estimate the ionisation fraction of the clouds assuming the intensity and energy distribution of the extragalactic ionising background as given by Haardt & Madau (1996), unless stated differently.

8. Possible cloud formation scenarios

The origin of the gas clouds detected in the proximity of M 33 is uncertain and we have not reached a definitive conclusion yet. The first issue is to address whether they are gravitationally bound to M 33 or whether they represent a local population of HI structures in the Milky Way halo.

We have distinguished two type of objects according to their velocity. Type 1 clouds have $-40 \text{ km s}^{-1} < V_{\text{hel}} < -320 \text{ km s}^{-1}$

and we have assumed that they are associated with M 33, also because they seem to follow the rotation pattern of the galaxy (i.e. most of the clouds in the northeast part of the galaxy have more negative velocities and those in the southwest have more positive velocities than -180 km s^{-1}). However we cannot easily derive the cloud velocity vectors and their galactocentric radii since they are not a disc population. Type 2 clouds have radial velocities greater than -40 km s^{-1} or less than -320 km s^{-1} and they have been considered unbound to M 33. They might just lie in the same sky area but they might be closer or more distant than M 33, or they might be colliding with it at high speed on a hyperbolic orbit.

Among type 2 objects, the most interesting and puzzling is the HI complex AA21 showing a difference of 200 km s^{-1} with respect to the systemic velocity of M 33. Given its larger extension compared to the other clouds, and the anomalous velocity the more straightforward interpretation would be that this is a local HI complex. If AA21 is in the Milky Way halo, its radial velocity would be about -240 km s^{-1} in the Galactic Standard of Rest frame. Four clouds with similar velocities are found in the Leiden/Dwingeloo Survey (LDS) catalog (de Heij et al. 2002) within 10 degrees from M 33. Some clouds with similar high velocities in the area can also be noticed in the map of Wakker (2004) between the Wright cloud and the Magellanic Stream (see also Wright 1979). The complex extends over an area which is larger than 1 square degree (see Fig. 13) and the line width of the spectral profile is around 30 km s^{-1} . The estimated crossing time $-t_{\text{cr}} \propto 2R/(\Delta V) \simeq 0.6 \text{ Myr (d/kpc)}$ where d is the cloud distance – gives an estimate of the timescale for the cloud to double its size. Either if we assume it is in the Milky Way halo (between 10 and 50 kpc implying t_{cr} of the order of 6 to 30 Myr), or at M 33 distance ($t_{\text{cr}} \sim 500 \text{ Myr}$) the cloud is not gravitationally stable and it would disperse very quickly, unless it is confined by an external pressure medium (if it is in the MW halo) or by dark matter.

If this is a cloud on a hyperbolic orbit, which is passing near M 33 without being accreted to it, one might find evidence for an interaction between the two systems. As mentioned in Sect. 4.1, the ALFALFA data show faint clumps of gas to the northwest of the complex towards the center of M 33. The structure also shows a faint tail on the opposite side which may suggest a process of tidal stripping, as the cloud is entering the gravitational potential of M 33. Higher sensitivity observations of the northern and southern faint structures of this complex are needed to establish whether it is interacting with M 33 or not.

In the following subsections we discuss five possible scenarios for the origin of type 1 clouds: (i) gaseous satellites, confined in dark mini-halos around M 33; (ii) condensations from hot cosmic filaments; (iii) tidally stripped gas from the M 33 disc during a close encounter with M 31; (iv) gas stripped from a dwarf galaxy during a closer encounter in the past or a merger; (v) disc gas ejected by supernovae in giant HII regions. Type 1 clouds can fit in any a scenario from (i) to (v) while type 2 clouds fit into scenarios (ii), (iv).

To estimate the cloud total gas mass and to better constrain the scenarios on their origin we shall consider two possible configurations. In one case the clouds are confined by their own dark halo, as if they were small satellites of M 33 (scenario (i)). The other possibility is instead that the clouds have no associated dark mini-halo but they are inside the gravitational potential of the M 33 dark matter halo. This second option will be discussed mainly in the case of scenario (ii), but it applies also to (iii), (iv), (v).

8.1. Gaseous counterparts of dark matter mini-halos

In the absence of dark matter, the gas would be insufficient to keep the clouds gravitationally bound. As mentioned in the previous section, the crossing time of the gas would be rather short, about 100 Myr, or half a revolution period, $t_{\text{cr}} \propto \sim 100 \text{ Myr} (R/\text{kpc}) (\Delta V/20 \text{ km s}^{-1})^{-1}$, where R is the cloud radius and ΔV the 21-cm line width.

If the clouds are the gaseous counterparts of the missing dark satellites, they will be confined by their own dark matter halo. Their line width and extent will give an estimate of the virial mass at the HI radius (see Col. 10 in Table 1), but not to the total mass of the satellite, because the 21-cm emission comes from a smaller region of the dark halo where most of the neutral hydrogen is contained. Therefore the expected halo mass is relatively small, of the order of few times $10^8 M_{\odot}$. Numerical simulations of structure formation in the CDM scenario (e.g. Klypin et al. 1999) predict that the number of dark minihalos around a massive galaxy is a strongly decreasing function of their virial mass.

According to Sternberg et al. (2002, hereafter ST02), the number of minihalos within a distance d of the parent galaxy with a mass $M_{\text{vir,p}}$, exceeding a certain rotational scale velocity v_s which defines the total mass of the minihalo¹ ($M_{\text{vir,h}}$) is

$$N(> v_s, < d) = 1.06 \times 10^3 \left(\frac{M_{\text{vir,p}}}{10^{12} M_{\odot}} \right) \left(\frac{d}{1 \text{ Mpc}} \right) \times \left(\frac{v_s}{10 \text{ km s}^{-1}} \right)^{-2.75}. \quad (2)$$

For a maximum distance of 50 kpc, and a M 33 halo mass $M_{\text{vir,p}} = 5 \times 10^{11} M_{\odot}$ (Corbelli 2003), the number of subhalos with mass greater than $10^9 M_{\odot}$ is only 4, while it increases to 25 for $M_{\text{vir,h}} > 10^8 M_{\odot}$, comparable to the number of objects we detected. Among these, in Fig. 14 we show that there are 16 clouds with a large ratio of the virial to HI mass ($f \sim 2000$), which could more likely represent a population of dark matter dominated satellites.

If we take out the two largest clouds the average radius is 0.7 kpc and the average HI mass is $0.9 \times 10^5 M_{\odot}$. ST02 have computed models of clouds confined by a dark matter minihalo for different cloud parameters and for different values of the pressure of the medium (P_{HIM}) which surrounds them (a galactic corona or a hot intergalactic medium). Since M 33 does not show evidence of a corona, we will consider models with a low external pressure $P_{\text{HIM}} = 10 \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ K}$. In this condition the minimum halo mass needed to have the gas bound is $2 \times 10^8 M_{\odot}$ (see Fig. 4 in ST02). If we choose the model closer to our observed values ($r_{\text{HI}} = 0.6 \text{ kpc}$, $M_{\text{HI}} = 2 \times 10^5 M_{\odot}$, and a Burkert halo with $M_{\text{vir}} = 3 \times 10^8 M_{\odot}$), the cloud total gas mass is 50 times more massive than the neutral component and extends for more than 3 kpc.

We estimate the total HI mass associated with all type 1 clouds to be $\sim 5 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$ which gives a total gas mass of $\sim 10^8 M_{\odot}$, most of which is ionised.

Assuming they fall onto the disc at a speed of 100 km s^{-1} , from an average distance of 20 kpc, the estimated gas accretion rate is about $0.8 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. This is comparable to the value predicted by the chemical evolution model of Magrini et al. (2007) to sustain a star formation rate of about $0.5 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, as observed in M 33.

Note, however, that these estimates only take into account the intergalactic radiation field. If the escaping fraction of the

UV photons from M 33 is not negligible, this provides an additional source of ionising radiation which will increase the estimated total gas mass.

8.2. Gaseous filaments connecting to the HI disc

How galaxies get their gas is still an open question in astrophysics. As the gas enters a galaxy virial radius and cools, it might accrete into the outer disc and propagate through radial infall towards the inner star forming regions, or it might populate halo orbits and spiral in towards the star forming disc. Addressing in detail this issue is beyond the scope of this paper but we will consider here a simplified picture to estimate some basic properties of the clouds in M 33 in the case where they do not have their own dark matter halo.

At column densities lower than $5 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ the gas neutral fraction may decrease very rapidly for a small variation in the gas column density (Corbelli & Salpeter 1993; Maloney 1993), we will then assume that the clouds are HI condensations inside a more extended, ionised component confined to a plane. This simplified picture may describe either the cosmic filament scenario or clouds which are tidal debris resulting from a close encounter with M 31. We also assume that the neutral hydrogen vertical extent is comparable to the observed cloud HI radius. If the gas is embedded in a dark matter distribution (the M 33 halo), the vertical acceleration near the plane depends only on the surface density of the mass within the gas layer (Kuijken & Gilmore 1989; Maloney 1993), which at the radii of interest, is mainly contributed by the dark halo.

Given an incident ionising radiation field we can then compute the halo dark matter density and the total gas surface density needed to reproduce the observed HI size and column density. We use a numerical code which solves for the ionisation, chemical and hydrostatic equilibrium of a plane-parallel slab of gas. The procedure and the numerical code is described in detail by Corbelli et al. (2001). The density scale height h will depend on the dark-to-gas-mass ratio, η , according to:

$$h = \frac{c_s^2}{4G\Sigma_g} \sqrt{\frac{1}{1+\eta^2}}$$

$$\eta = \frac{\pi\Sigma_{\text{dm}}}{2\Sigma_g}$$

where G is the gravitational constant, c_s is the gas sound speed, Σ_g is the gas surface density and Σ_{dm} the dark matter surface density. Assuming the Haardt & Madau (1996) UV background, for a given Σ_g and η , the numerical code finds the gas neutral fraction as a function of the height above the slab mid-plane, and hence the HI column density perpendicular to the plane. Therefore we vary η and Σ_g until we match the observed HI column density and h equals the observed HI radius. From η and Σ_g we infer the total gas mass associated with the cloud and the dark matter density that we can compare to the value required by the rotation curve.

For type 1 clouds we find that the dark matter densities range from 0.17 to $2.7 \times 10^{-25} \text{ gr cm}^{-3}$ with a mean value of $10^{-25} \text{ gr cm}^{-3}$. If we assume a typical cloud galactocentric distance of 20 kpc, the halo dark matter density (ρ_{DM}) at that radius for both a NFW and a Burkert profile is $\rho_{\text{DM}} = 10^{-25} \text{ gr cm}^{-3}$ (Corbelli 2003), which is in perfect agreement with the mean value derived for the clouds. From the ratio of the total gas to HI surface density we find that the clouds are 90% ionised

¹ For example for $v_s = 15 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, $M_{\text{vir,h}} = 2 \times 10^8 M_{\odot}$ (see Sternberg et al. 2002).

and the total gas mass associated with type 1 objects is around $5 \times 10^7 M_\odot$. As noted before this is only a lower limit, since this does not include the ionising field contributed by the M 33 disc itself.

8.3. Tidal debris from an interaction with M 31

The clouds appear to be mostly concentrated along a main axis which is oriented towards M 31 and this may be an indication that the clouds have formed in a previous interaction with Andromeda. The two galaxies are at a projected distances of ~ 200 kpc. There is evidence for possible past interactions between them: Braun & Thilker (2004) found a large HI structure at low column density between the two galaxies, and the warp in the outer HI disc is skewed towards the direction of M 31 (Corbelli & Schneider 1997). Simulations of the motion of the system M 31/M 33 (Loeb et al. 2005) on the basis of the measured proper motion of M 33 (Brunthaler et al. 2005) predict that there may have been close encounters between the two galaxies in the past which resulted in the stripping of material from the M 33 outer disc, leaving the stellar disc unperturbed. Calculation of the transverse velocity of M 31 (van der Marel & Guhatakurta 2007) would imply an orbit with a semi-major axis $a = 127$ kpc, a pericenter distance $r_{\text{per}} = 30$ kpc and an orbital period of 2.4 Gyr. With M 33 in motion on such an orbit, tidal deformations would be expected. Particularly the clouds to the northeastern side (AA14, AA15) are related both in space and velocity to an extended plume of gas (see Fig. 5) which may give further support to the tidal origin hypothesis and the whole structure (both the plume and the clouds) may indicate the continuation of the HI filament detected by Braun & Thilker between the two galaxies (2004, see Fig. 9 therein).

8.4. Gas stripped from dwarf galaxies

Another possibility for the origin of the clouds could be that they are the remnants of material stripped from a dwarf galaxy during a past close encounter. To date evidences for mergers or accretion are rare for M 33 and this galaxy is thought to have evolved in relative isolation (Ferguson et al. 2006). However this is still a controversial issue since there are claims of the presence of a halo stellar component and of a possible tidal stream in M 33 (McConnachie et al. 2006). The recent discovery of an extended cluster in the southern side of the disc has been related to the possibility of an accretion event (Stonkute et al. 2008), as it has been suggested for similar objects found in M 31 (Huxor et al. 2005). The cluster is at about 25 arcmin (6 kpc) from the center of cloud AA6, located in projection against the HI bridge that connects it to the disc of M 33. Further investigation of the area is needed to understand whether the origin of this cloud could be related to a possible merger with a dwarf-like satellite.

8.5. Galactic fountain

A galactic fountain within M 33 seems unlikely to have produced the observed cloud distribution. In this scenario gas is heated and ionised by supernova explosions, rises above the galactic plane within the halo where it cools down, condenses and then falls back towards the disc (Shapiro & Field 1976; Bregman 1980). Only one cloud (AA1) appears to be correlated (in projection) to a bright HII region (see Fig. 15). The $H\alpha$ flux is $1.6 \times 10^{-13} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (6000 times higher than the background). From the catalog of HI holes in the interstellar medium of M 33

Fig. 15. Contour density maps of the two closest HI clouds to the stellar disc of M 33 (AA1, AA16) superimposed on the $H\alpha$ image of the galaxy (Hoopes & Walterbos 2000). Only AA1 appears (in projection) to be related to a star forming region to the northeast of the optical disc. All the other clouds are farther from the star forming disc of the galaxy.

(Deul & den Hartog 1990) we find a correspondence in position between the hole n. 128, the HII region and the center of cloud AA1. The diameter of the hole is ~ 500 pc and the estimated swept-up mass is $10^6 M_\odot$ with an age of 30 Myr, values that are compatible with the observed HI mass and size of AA1. All other clouds are beyond the stellar disc, making this scenario appear unlikely to explain the origin of the HVCs in M 33. Moreover the galactic fountain hypothesis could not explain large velocities like those of several clouds in this sample.

9. Conclusions

HI clouds seem to be a common feature in the halos of spiral discs at least in the LG. The Milky Way and Andromeda have been known to contain a population of high velocity clouds (HVCs) and here we show that a similar gaseous component is detected in the environment of M 33.

At the distance of 840 kpc, the HI masses of the clouds range between 10^4 and few times 10^6 solar masses. They are found within a projected distance of ~ 20 kpc and they appear to be distributed (in projection) along the major axis of the outer disc which is warped towards the direction of the Andromeda galaxy. The total HI mass associated with type 1 clouds is around $5 \times 10^6 M_\odot$ and it goes up to $7 \times 10^6 M_\odot$ if one includes also type 2 objects.

The origin of high velocity clouds is still under debate; in this paper we have discussed some possible scenarios for the formation of HVCs in M 33 and how they fit with their observed properties.

We have explored the possibility that these clouds might be associated with a population of dark-matter dominated satellites. From ST02 approximately 25 dark mini-halos would be expected within 50 kpc from M 33 with total masses around $10^8 M_\odot$. This is comparable to the total number of clouds we have detected (19 excluding those with anomalous velocity). From the comparison to their mini-halo models we find that this scenario would be consistent with the observed line widths and radii. Given the overall low HI column densities (below 10^{19} cm^{-2}) a large fraction of the gas would be ionised. The

ionised component could be up to 50 times larger than the neutral one, with the ionised envelope extending for more than 3 kpc. We derive that the total gas mass associated with all type 1 clouds would be of the order of $10^8 M_{\odot}$. Assuming that all the gas locked in the halo is falling back towards the disc at an average velocity of 100 km s^{-1} from an average distance of 20 kpc, we derive a gas accretion rate of $\lesssim 0.8 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$.

We have also considered other scenarios in which HI clouds are condensations within a hot intergalactic medium (cosmic filaments), or they are either tidal debris of a previous interaction between M 33 and M 31 or remnants of gas stripped from a dwarf satellite at earlier times. Quantifying the total gas mass (HI and HII) within the M 33 halo in these cases is more difficult and we can only set a lower limit of $5 \times 10^7 M_{\odot}$.

Higher angular resolution observations of the M 33 population of HI clouds will be useful to probe their inner structure, and to search for a higher column density core and internal velocity gradients.

At smaller radial velocities ($V < -320 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) we have resolved a HI complex that had been serendipitously discovered by Thilker et al. (2002) with Westerbork observations of M 33. The complex is at about one degree from the centre of the galaxy ($\sim 15 \text{ kpc}$), but it is characterised by a large velocity relative to M 33 ($\sim 200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) which complicates a firm association to the galaxy. Two main substructures can be distinguished from the ALFALFA data and there appear to be faint clumps of gas pointing towards the center of M 33, which may give an indication for a possible interaction with the galaxy. We cannot exclude that this is a cloud not gravitationally bound to M 33 and higher sensitivity observations of the region are needed to test this hypothesis. On the other hand, if this is a local HI complex, a search for similar clouds in this part of the sky would help to disentangle the origin of this object.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank A. Burkert for useful discussions on this manuscript. R.G., M.P.H. acknowledge partial support from NSF grants AST0307661 and AST0607007, and from the Brinson Foundation. A.M.M. is supported by a National Defense NDSEG Fellowship. This work is based on observations collected at Arecibo Observatory. The Arecibo Observatory is part of the National Astronomy and Ionosphere Center, which is operated by Cornell University under a cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation. We wish to thank the Arecibo Observatory staff for the help during the observations and data reduction.

References

Bertoldi, F., & McKee, C. F. 1992, *ApJ*, 395, 140
 Binney, J. 1977, *ApJ*, 215, 483
 Blitz, L., Spergel, D. N., Teuben, P. J., Hartmann, D., & Burton, W. B. 1999, *ApJ*, 514, 818
 Block, D. L., Freeman, K. C., Jarrett, T. H., et al. 2004, *A&A*, 425, L37
 Braun, R., & Burton, W. B. 2000, *A&A*, 354, 853
 Braun, R., & Thilker, D. A. 2004, *A&A*, 417, 421
 Bregman, J. N. 1980, *ApJ*, 236, 577
 Brunthaler, A., Reid, M. J., Falcke, H., Greenhill, L. J., & Henkel, C. 2005, *Science*, 307, 1440
 Burkert, A. 1995, *ApJ*, 447, L25
 Condon, J. J., Cotton, W. D., Greisen, E. W., et al. 1998, *AJ*, 115, 1693
 Corbelli, E. 2003, *MNRAS*, 342, 199
 Corbelli, E., & Salpeter, E. E. 1993, *ApJ*, 419, 104
 Corbelli, E., & Schneider, S. E. 1997, *ApJ*, 479, 244
 Corbelli, E., Schneider, S. E., & Salpeter, E. E. 1989, *AJ*, 97, 390
 Corbelli, E., Salpeter, E. E., & Bandiera, R. 2001, *ApJ*, 550, 26
 Cordes, J. M., Freire, P. C. C., Lorimer, D. R., et al. 2006, *AJ*, 637, 446
 de Avillez, M. A. 2000, *Ap&SS*, 272, 23

de Heij, V., Braun, R., & Burton, W. B. 2002, *A&A*, 392, 417
 Deul, E. R., & den Hartog, R. H. 1990, *A&A*, 229, 362
 Dowell, J. D. 2008, in preparation
 Fardal, M. A., Katz, N., Gardner, J. P., et al. 2001, *ApJ*, 562, 605
 Ferguson, A. M. N., Chapman, S., Ibata, R., et al. 2006, in *Planetary nebulae beyond the Milky Way*, ed. L. Stanghellini, J. R. Walsh, & N. G. Douglas (Berlin: Springer), 286
 Freedman, W. L., Wilson, C. D., & Madore, B. F. 1991, *ApJ*, 372, 455
 Freire, P. C. C. 2003, *Optimal Tiling for Non-Drifting ALFA Surveys* (ALFA Tech. Memo 2003-2007; Arecibo NAIC), <http://alfa.naic.edu/pfreire/tiling/>
 Giovanelli, R., Haynes, M. P., Kent, B. R., et al. 2005, *AJ*, 130, 2613
 Giovanelli, R., Haynes, M. P., Kent, B. R., et al. 2007, *AJ*, 133, 2569
 Haardt, F., & Madau, P. 1996, *ApJ*, 461, 20
 Högbom, J. A. 1974, *A&AS*, 15, 417
 Hoopes, C. G., & Walterbos, R. A. M. 2000, *ApJ*, 541, 597
 Huxor, A. P., Tanvir, N. R., Irwin, M. J., et al. 2005, *MNRAS*, 360, 1007
 Jiang, I. G., & Binney, J. 1999, *MNRAS*, 303, L7
 Katz, N., & White, S. D. M. 1993, *ApJ*, 412, 455
 Kereš, D., Katz, N., Weinberg, D. H., & Davè, R. 2005, *MNRAS*, 363, 2
 Klypin, A., Kravtsov, A. V., Valenzuela, O., & Prada, F. 1999, *ApJ*, 522, 82
 Kuijken, K., & Gilmore, G. 1989, *MNRAS*, 239, 571
 Loeb, A., Reid, M. J., Brunthaler, A., & Falcke, H. 2005, *ApJ*, 633, 894
 Magrini, L., Corbelli, E., & Galli, D. 2007, *A&A*, 470, 843
 Maloney, P. 1993, *ApJ*, 414, 41
 Mathewson, D. S., Cleary, M. N., & Murray, J. D. 1974, *ApJ*, 190, 291
 McConnachie, A., Ferguson, A., Huxor, A., et al. 2004, *ING NewsL.*, 8, 8
 McConnachie, A. W., Chapman, S. C., Ibata, R. A., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, 647, L25
 Muller, C. A., Oort, J. H., & Raimond, E. 1963, *C.R.Acad. Sci. Paris*, 257, 1661
 Murali, C., Katz, N., Hernquist, L., Weinberg, D. H., & Davè, R. 2002, *ApJ*, 571, 1
 Navarro, J. F., Frenk, C. S., & White, S. D. M. 1996, *ApJ*, 462, 563
 Oort, J. H. 1966, *BAN*, 18, 421
 Oort, J. H. 1970, *A&A*, 7, 381
 Putman, M. E., Staveley-Smith, L., Freeman, K. C., Gibson, B. K., & Barnes, D. G. 2003, *ApJ*, 586, 170
 Shapiro, P. R., & Field, G. B. 1976, *ApJ*, 205, 762
 Simon, J. D., & Geha, M. 2007, *ApJ*, 670, 313
 Sternberg, A., McKee, C. F., & Wolfire, M. G. 2002, *ApJSS*, 143, 419 (ST02)
 Stonkute, R., Vansevičius, V., Arimoto, N., et al. 2008, *AJ*, 135, 1482
 Thilker, D. A., Braun, R., & Walterbos, R. A. M. 2002, in *Seeing Through the Dust: the Detection of HI and the Exploration of the ISM in Galaxies*, ed. A. R. Taylor, T. L. Landecker, & A. G. Willis (San Francisco: ASP), 370
 Thilker, D. A., Braun, R., Walterbos, R. A. M., et al. 2004, *ApJ*, 601, L39
 van der Marel, R. P., & Guhatakurta, P. 2007, *ApJ*, in press [arXiv:0709.3747]
 Wakker, B. P. 2004, in *High Velocity Clouds*, ed. H. van Woerden, B. P. Wakker, U. J. Schwarz, & K. S. de Boer (Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers), 25
 Weiner, B. J., Vogel, S. N., & Williams, T. B. 2002, in *Extragalactic Gas at Low Redshift*, ed. J. S. Mulchaey, & J. Stocke (San Francisco: ASP), 256
 Westmeier, T., Braun, R., & Thilker, D. 2005, *A&A*, 436, 101
 Wright, M. C. H. 1979, *ApJ*, 233, 35

Appendix A: Column density maps and spectra of the HI clouds in M 33

In the Appendix we display the maps of the integrated HI emission and the corresponding spectra of the clouds detected in the proximity of M 33. The velocity range over which the contour maps have been derived is indicated by the vertical dotted lines in the spectrum plots. The contour levels for each cloud are given in Table A.1. Figures A.1 and A.2 show the maps and spectra of type 1 clouds, which have been divided into two subgroups as described in Sect. 4. Discrete clouds (Sect. 4.2) are illustrated in Fig. A.1, while the clouds which appear to be spatially connected to the disc of M 33 (Sect. 4.1) are displayed in Fig. A.2. Figures A.3 shows type 2 clouds. Finally Fig. A.4 refers to the spectra of the two additional clouds detected with the higher sensitivity data set (Sect. 6).

Fig. A.1. Column density maps and spectra of the “discrete” type 1 clouds (see Sect. 4.2).

Fig. A.2. Column density maps and spectra of type 1 clouds which are spatially connected to the disc of M 33 (see Sect. 4.1).

Fig. A.3. Column density maps and spectra of type 2 clouds (see Sect. 5).

Fig. A.4. 21-cm spectra of the additional clouds detected in the higher sensitivity data set (see Sect. 6).

Table A.1. Contour levels of the column density maps shown in Figs. A.1–A.3.

ID	N_{HI} 10^{18} cm^{-2}
AA1	3, 4.5, 6, 7.5
AA2	3, 4.5, 6, 7.5
AA3	2.5, 3, 3.5, 4
AA4	3, 4.5, 6, 7.5, 10, 15
AA5	3, 4.5, 6, 7.5, 9
AA6	3.5, 5.5, 7.5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30
AA7	2, 3, 4
AA8	2, 3, 4
AA9	3, 4, 5
AA10	3, 5, 7
AA11	2, 3.5, 5
AA12	2, 4, 6, 8
AA13	3, 5, 7, 9
AA14	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8
AA15	3.5, 6, 8.5, 11, 13.5
AA16	2, 3, 4
AA17	3, 4, 5
AA18	3, 3.5, 4, 4.5
AA19	3, 3.5, 4, 4.5, 5
AA20	2, 3, 4, 5
AA21	3, 4.5, 6, 7.5, 9, 10.5