\textit{MOST*} detects variability on $\tau$ Bootis A possibly induced by its planetary companion
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\section*{ABSTRACT}

\textbf{Context.} There is considerable interest in the possible interaction between parent stars and giant planetary companions in 51 Peg-type systems.

\textbf{Aims.} We shall demonstrate from \textit{MOST} satellite photometry and Ca II K line emission that there has been a persistent, variable region on the surface of $\tau$ Boo A, which tracked its giant planetary companion for some 440 planetary revolutions and lies $\sim$68$^\circ$ ($\phi = 0.8$) in advance of the sub-planetary point.

\textbf{Methods.} The light curves are folded on a range of periods centered on the planetary orbital period, and phase-dependent variability is quantified by Fourier methods and by the mean absolute deviation (MAD) of the folded data for both the photometry and the Ca II K line reversals.

\textbf{Results.} The region varies in brightness on the time scale of a rotation by $\sim$1 mmag. In 2004 it resembled a dark spot of variable depth, while in 2005 it varied between bright and dark. The 2004 light curve gives a spot rotation period of 3.5 ± 0.7 d compared to the known planetary orbital period of 3.3125 d. The amplitude spectrum of the 2005 light curve shows no marked peak at the orbital period but the mean absolute deviation (MAD) of the light curve has a well-defined maximum (half width $\sim$0.15 d) centered on the orbital frequency. Over the 123 planetary orbits spanned by the photometry, the variable region detected in 2004 and in 2005 are synchronized to the planetary orbital period within 0.0015 d. The Ca II K line in 2001, 2002, and 2003 also shows enhanced K-line variability centered on $\phi = 0.8$, extending coverage to some 440 planetary revolutions.

\textbf{Conclusions.} The apparently constant rotation period of the variable region and its rapid variation make an explanation in terms of conventional star spots unlikely. The lack of complementary variability at $\phi = 0.3$ and the detection of the variable region so far in advance of the sub-planetary point excludes tidal excitation, but the combined photometric and Ca II K line reversal results make a good case for an active region induced magnetically on the surface of $\tau$ Boo A by its planetary companion.
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\section{1. Introduction}

The detection of a giant planet extremely close (0.052 AU) to 51 Peg (Mayor & Queloz 1995; Marcy et al. 1997) anticipated the discovery of many more hot Jupiters near solar-type stars (see for example, Marcy & Butler 2000; Butler et al. 2006). While some $\sim$20\% of the known extra-solar planets are in fact 51 Peg-like systems, this high percentage is at least partially due to an observational bias that favors detection of the large reflex velocities induced by close companions, rather than to such a truly high incidence. There is recent evidence, nonetheless, supporting a hot-Jupiter excess (Gaudi et al. 2006; Butler et al. 2006) even after the observational bias is taken into account. A number of these close companions are seen in transit (Charbonneau et al. 2007) and the infrared spectral signatures of two of them have been detected directly, HD 209458b (Richardson et al. 2007) and HD 189733b (Grillmair et al. 2007), by the Spitzer Space Telescope.

The star $\tau$ Boo A (HR 5185, HD 120136, F7 V, $V = 4.5$) was announced in 1997 by Butler et al. (1997) as a 51 Peg system with the large radial velocity semi-amplitude of 469 m s$^{-1}$, and an orbital radius of 0.0462 AU corresponding to a minimum companion mass of 3.87 $M_{\text{Jup}}$ (more recently revised to 4.4 $M_{\text{Jup}}$ and a period of 3.3125 d by Butler in Leigh et al. 2003). Butler et al. (1997) also noted that $\tau$ Boo rotates quite rapidly for a solar-type star ($v \sin i \sim 14$ km s$^{-1}$) with a period (Baliunas et al. 1997) commensurate with the orbital period of the planet, suggesting tidal locking.
Following the announcement by Butler et al. (1997), Henry et al. (2000) carried out intensive Strömgren $h$ and $y$ photometry of τ Boo to set a limit to any transit. When they supplemented this with photometry from the six years 1993 to 1998, they found that the mean magnitude varied by ~2 mmag from year to year and the semi-amplitude of any variation (including transits) synchronized to the planetary orbital period was 110 ± 90 micro mag. In addition, they had monitored Ca II H & K using a narrow band filter on the Mount Wilson 100, and 60, inch telescopes for 13 years as part of the HK Project (Baliunas et al. 1998). Apart from an unexplained ~1.16 d period not seen in either photometry or radial velocities, they determined a rotation period of 3.2 d which they graded as “probable”. But the amplitude of the latter signal was small and they found the period ranged between 2.6 and 4.1 d, which they felt might be the result of differential rotation.

Later, Cuntz et al. (2000) and Rubenstein & Schaefer (2000) speculated that magnetic interaction between a giant planet and its primary in a 51 Peg system could generate detectable chromospheric activity and other effects such as those seen in HD 192263 by Henry et al. (2002) and Santos et al. (2003). The interaction can be tidal and/or magnetic. If such planet-induced heating of the star lay in a narrow range of stellar longitude it would track the planet. This implies that tidally induced activity would have a period of $\sim P_{\text{orb}}/2$ while magnetic activity would have a period of $P_{\text{orb}}$.

Shkolnik et al. (2003) and Shkolnik et al. (2005) specifically looked for signs of magnetic interaction in several 51 Peg primaries by monitoring Ca II H & K reversals in high resolution spectra. They found two cases where chromospheric activity was apparently synchronized to the planetary orbital periods: HD 179949 ($M_\text{bol} \sin i = 0.98 M_\odot$, $P_{\text{orb}} = 3.09$ d, $a = 0.045$ au) and $\upsilon$ And ($M_\text{bol} \sin i = 0.71 M_\odot$, $P_{\text{orb}} = 4.62$ d, $a = 0.059$ au). Gu et al. (2005) suggested that Alfvén waves generated by the planet’s motion through the stellar magnetic field caused an excitation focused at the stellar surface. Unlike tidally induced activity which is expected at the sub-planetary point (where the line joining the centers of the star and planet intersect the stellar surface) and 180° from it, the longitude of magnetically induced activity would be dictated by the stellar magnetic field geometry.

Despite the $>4.4 M_{\text{Jup}}$ planetary companion mass, Shkolnik et al. (2005), like Henry et al. (2000), also found only a low level of chromospheric activity for τ Boo A in 2001, 2002, and 2003 and they could see none obviously synchronized to the planetary period. They felt that the τ Boo A system was an exception that proved the Alfvén wave model because tidal locking would avoid motion of the planet relative to the permanent component of the stellar magnetic field.

From spectro-polarimetric observations with ESPaDOnS on the Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope, Catala et al. (2007) recently detected a magnetic field of similar magnitude to the global solar value of a few Gauss on τ Boo A but with a more complex topology. Although their phase coverage was limited, they found that the Stokes $V$ profile variations were best modeled with a 3.1 d equatorial period, a 3.7 d polar period, and an inclination of the rotation axis to the line of sight $i = 40°$. They pointed out that this range of rotation periods is similar to that found by Henry et al. (2000) from their long term monitoring of Ca II H&K, and clearly supports the suggestion of differential rotation.

We (Walker et al. 2006) reported an active photometric region on τ Boo synchronised to the orbital period of the planet based on photometric observations with the Microvariability and Oscillations of Stars (MOST) satellite in 2004 and 2005. Subsequently, a numerical error was found to have led to an inaccurate plot on which this conclusion was based. Here, the error has been corrected and we provide further details of the observations and a more in-depth analysis from which we present an argument that there is indeed an active region on the star that rotates synchronously with the planet, some 68° in advance of the sub-planetary point. It is interesting to note that Shkolnik et al. (2005) found a similar positive advance in phase ($\phi = 0.8$) for the active spot on HD 179949 induced by its planet. Catala et al. (2007) speculate that, given their strong evidence for differential rotation of τ Boo, the rotation period at the stellar latitude of the active region found by MOST must correspond to synchronism with the planet orbit at an intermediate latitude.

The star τ Boo was originally added to the MOST observing list as a priority candidate for the detection of phased, reflected signals from the planet (Green et al. 2003). It was also an interesting candidate in the search for solar-type p-modes, the primary MOST science goal. These will be the topics of later papers.

2. MOST photometry and light curves

The MOST satellite, launched on 30 June 2003, has been fully described by Walker et al. (2003). For the 2004 and 2005 observations of τ Boo, the 15/17.3 cm Rumak-Maksutov telescope fed two CCDs, one for tracking and the other for science, through a single, custom, broadband filter (350–700 nm) which has no analog in any other photometric system. A Fabry image of the telescope entrance pupil covering some 1500 pixels was projected onto the science CCD. Since the experiment was designed to detect photometric variations with periods of minutes at micro-magnitude precision it does not use comparison stars or flat-fielding for calibration. A dramatic reduction in tracking jitter early in 2004 to ~1 arcsec led to a significant improvement in photometric precision.

The star τ Boo A has an M2 dwarf stellar companion, τ Boo B, which is 4.2 mag fainter than, and 2.87 asec from, A (Patience et al. 2002). B would always have been included with A in the 54 arcsec diameter photometric field stop and, even if variable, cannot have contributed significantly to the variations we detected in the light curve because of its faintness.

The observations reported here were reduced independently by RK (UBC) and DH (Vienna). In both cases, outlying data points caused by poor tracking or cosmic ray hits were removed. At certain orbital phases, MOST suffers from parasitic light, mostly Earth shine, the amount and phase depending on the stellar coordinates, spacecraft roll and season of the year. To track the background, data are recorded for seven Fabry images adjacent to the target. In the UBC reduction (Walker et al. 2007), background signals are combined in a mean and subtracted from the target photometry – a procedure which simultaneously corrects for bias, dark and background signals.

The Vienna reduction has been outlined in complete detail by Reegen et al. (2006). It uses linear correlations of target and background pixel intensities with the development of a step-wise multiple linear regression to remove only those target variations which also occur in the background. The regression analysis provides the correct scaling to account for variations in the contamination level from pixel to pixel. The multivariate solution has proved very effective in reducing the stray light contamination.

The MOST light curves from both the UBC and Vienna reductions gave essentially identical light curves for both 2004 and 2005. There was a brief observing run in 2006 covering less than two planetary revolutions. Early in 2006 the tracking
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Fig. 1. MOST light curves for τ Boo from 2004 and 2005. The solid points are means from each 101.413 min MOST orbit. Individual observations are shown in grey. More details in Table 1 and text. The dashed vertical lines indicate zero phase (planet between observer and primary) of successive planetary orbits (P = 3.3125 d).

Table 1. MOST observations of τ Bootis A.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Dates HJD – 2451545</th>
<th>Total days</th>
<th>Duty cycle %</th>
<th>Exp cycle s</th>
<th>σa mmag</th>
<th>σb mmag</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>1552.4 to 1563.9</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>1937.4 to 1959.0</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

σa rms errors for data binned at the MOST 101.413 min orbital period.

σb rms errors for the unbinned data.

The light curves discussed in the rest of this paper and displayed in Fig. 1 are all based on the Vienna reduction as we feel that it provides the more appropriate background elimination.

2.1. Visual inspection and Fourier analysis

A log of the MOST observations of τ Boo A in 2004 and 2005 is given in Table 1. The 2004 run covered nearly 4 planetary orbits while that in 2005 covers nearly 7. In neither set were there any gaps >3 h. The reduced duty cycle is largely due to rejection of outliers during South Atlantic Anomaly (SAA) passages and high stray light phases. For the analysis in this paper, data were binned at the MOST orbital period of 101.413 min. Figure 1 displays full light curves for each year where time is given as JD-2451545 (heliocentric). The orbital binned data are the solid circles while the individual observations are faint, grey points. The vertical dashed lines correspond to zero phase (when the planet lies directly between the observer and the primary) of the planetary orbital period (3.3125 d). The complete light curves can be downloaded from the MOST Public Archive at www.astro.ubc.ca/MOST. The formal rms point-to-point precision in the individual observations, and the satellite orbital means, σ, are also listed in Table 1.

The τ Boo light curve observed by MOST evolves rapidly and changes in shape in as little as one stellar rotation. The light curves show peak to peak excursions ∼0.002 mag – something difficult to track from a ground based observatory – but typical of the year to year changes seen by Henry et al. (2000). In 2004 there is a clear set of four minima of variable depth which occur at roughly the same planetary orbital phase. In the longer string of observations in 2005, there are three or possibly four minima which resemble those in 2004 and occur at the same planetary orbital phase. For the remaining two or three planetary revolutions there is either a maximum or no obvious deviation at that phase. Figure 2 shows the Fourier spectra for both light curves together with their respective window functions. The window function is the Fourier spectrum in which every data point is set to 1 thereby providing a measure of the frequency resolution. A linear trend was removed from the 2005 data before performing the

CCD system of MOST failed due to a particle hit. Since then, both science and tracking have been carried out with the Science CCD system. The 2006 observations of τ Boo were among the first in which the single Science CCD was used simultaneously for tracking and science. The 2006 data-set included a half day gap when time began to be shared by chopping to an alternate field. During the half day gap the electronic board changed temperature and, because of the long settling time, caused the photometric rms errors to double compared with 2004 and 2005. Consequently, the 2006 data have not been included in this paper.

The light curves discussed in the rest of this paper and displayed in Fig. 1 are all based on the Vienna reduction as we feel that it provides the more appropriate background elimination.
1. The two window functions are shown in the insert.

Fig. 2. Amplitude spectra for the MOST τ Boo light curves from each of 2004 (dots) and 2005 (solid). The vertical dashed line corresponds to the frequency of the planetary orbit, 0.302 c d\(^{-1}\). The two window functions are shown in the insert.

Fig. 3. The MOST light curves of τ Boo from 2004 and 2005 phased separately and together to two cycles of the planetary orbital period of 3.3125 d. The solid points are 0.05 phase mean values and the open circles are satellite orbital means. Errors are smaller than the sizes of the points in all cases. Zero phase corresponds to the planet lying directly between the observer and the primary.

transform. The vertical dashed line indicates the planetary orbital period of 0.302 cycle d\(^{-1}\) (3.3125 d). The amplitude spectrum for 2004 shows a marked peak at 0.287 ± 0.048 c d\(^{-1}\) (3.48 ± 0.7 d) where the error is based on the FWHM of the peak. There are peaks of much lower significance in 2005.

Figure 3 shows the light curves folded on a double cycle of the planetary orbital period of 3.3125 d (Leigh et al. 2003) where zero phase corresponds to the planet being directly between the observer and the primary. 0.05 phase means are shown by solid points and the satellite orbital means by open circles (the errors are smaller than the points in all cases). In 2004, a shallow minimum ~1 mmag near phase 0.8 is obvious, while in 2005, there are both shallow minima and also a maximum at phase 0.8 with peak to peak variations ~1 mmag. Enhanced variability can also be seen within the 2004 minimum.

3. MAD analysis

To properly quantify the apparent activity as a function of phase, especially in 2005, we calculate the mean absolute deviation (MAD) signal. We use the following formalism to calculate the MAD signal. The index of the individual phase bins [1 to 20] is \(i\), and \(n_i\) is the total number of data points in each bin where \(k\) is the index of the signal values in each phase bin [1 to \(n_i\)]. The mean reference is the mean of the entire data-set and MAD, values for each phase bin are calculated from:

\[
\text{MAD}_i = n_i^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n_i} |x_{ik} - \text{mean}|
\]

We use a phase minimum of \(T_0 = 2452892.864\) (JD–2 451 545 = 1347.864 d – the sub-planetary point), and calculate the MAD signal using the MOST data binned every orbital period (the large solid points of Fig. 1).

We calculated the MAD signal for a range of periods for the 2004, 2005, and 2004 & 2005 data. For every MAD signal we searched for the most significant box-shaped increase in the MAD curve. To quantify the strength of such a bump we used the wavelet box-fitting least squares (EELBS) signal-to-noise statistic of Kovács et al. (2002). Alternative means of quantifying the strength of a feature in the MAD curve are expected to give similar results. The BLS signal for a range of periods for the 2004, 2005 and 2004 & 2005 data is shown in Fig. 4. 10 000 period steps were used. The BLS algorithm was restricted to search for box-shaped signals with phase durations from 0.25 to 0.45. We tested the range in periods from 2.6 to 4.1 d reported by Henry et al. (2002) from Ca II H & K observations.

As can be seen in Fig. 4, the most significant MAD range in periods displayed in the 2005 light-curve is approximately 3.15–3.4 d (within the period range reported by Catala et al. 2007). The most significant MAD range in periods displayed in 2004 occurs at longer periods: 3.4–3.7 d, which does not exclude the orbital period. For the combined 2004 & 2005 data the most significant MAD range in periods is 3.26–3.49 d. The apparent beating phenomenon apparent in the 2004 & 2005 data is due to the one-year gap between our observations. For the 2004 data, as the signal is strongly sinusoidal we argue that the Fourier Transform analysis discussed in Sect. 2.1 is a much better indicator of the most significant period of the observed modulation, rather than the MAD signal.

We repeated the above MAD analysis over a wider range of periods so as to rule out tidal-interactions, and longer term variability. For this extended MAD analysis 30 000 period steps were tested with periods from 1.0 to 10.0 d. These results are displayed in Fig. 5. As can be seen in this figure the most significant MAD signal is near that of the planetary period. The apparent beating phenomenon, due to the one-year gap between our observations, is again obvious. The other peaks in this figure that approach the significance of the main peak near the planetary period are all harmonics and subharmonics of the planetary period, and for this reason are not believed to be significant.

In Fig. 6 the MAD signals for τ Boo are plotted separately and together from 2004 and 2005 phased to the planetary orbital period of 3.3125 d. This shows the increased activity in 2005 near \(\phi = 0.8\). There is a similar but less well differentiated enhancement in 2004 with the combined data showing the most obvious effect.
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4. Synchronism of the 2004 and 2005 light curves

The independent phasing to the planetary orbital period of the 2004 dark spot and the variable region in 2005 is insufficiently precise to make a convincing case for synchronism with the planetary period. The spot in 2004 occurs at approximately 0.875±0.05 in phase, while the 2005 variable region (determined from Fig. 6) is at phase 0.825±0.05. But, there were 406.6 days between the first MOST observation of τ Boo A in 2004 and the final observation in 2005, equivalent to nearly 123 planetary revolutions. If the spot and the variable region from 2004 and 2005 are the same then they are synchronized with the orbital period of the planet \((P = 3.31245 \text{ d})\) to better than 0.04% of the planetary period.

5. Ca II K line activity

As part of the search for chromospheric activity synchronized to planetary orbital periods in 51 Peg systems, Shkolnik et al. (2005) observed Ca II H and K reversals on fifteen nights for τ Boo over four semesters in 2001, 2002 (2 semesters) and 2003. The K line residuals from an overall mean are plotted as a function of orbital phase in Fig. 7 of Shkolnik et al. (2005). Like Henry et al. (2000), they found the general level of chromospheric activity to be low with no obvious enhancement synchronized to the orbital period.

Here, we look instead for enhanced variability which might mimic that seen in white light by MOST. In Fig. 7 MAD values for the residual K line emission are shown averaged over two phase bins: one during the photometrically determined active period, phases 0.6 to 1.1, and the other outside of it, phases 0.1 to 0.6. The error bars span twice the average measurement error within each bin. Despite the average intensity level for the K line differing from year to year, no attempt was made to align the average annual values. There appears to be a significant increase in variability in the 0.8 phase centered bin relative to the other.

If the enhanced variability of the residual Ca II K line reversal intensities in the 0.8 centered phase bin in fact results from the same variable region detected by MOST on τ Boo, then this result supports the conclusion that there was significantly enhanced chromospheric and white light variability some 68°
1. of the primary from the photometry but, both Henry et al. (2000) and 2003 also displayed enhanced K-line variability centered on phase 440 orbital periods suggesting that this is indeed a really long lived feature.

If the variable region has in fact persisted over the 123 planetary orbits covered by the 2004 and 2005 light curves then the active spot would be synchronized to the planetary orbital period to within 0.0015 d. The τ Boo A Ca II K line in 2001, 2002 and 2003 also displayed enhanced K-line variability centered on which potentially extends the detection to some 440 orbital periods suggesting that this is indeed a really long lived feature.

Because MOST detected but a single “spot” with a unique period, it is not possible to say anything about differential rotation of the primary from the photometry but, both Henry et al. (2000) and Catala et al. (2007) have presented good evidence for differential rotation from Ca II H & K photometry and magnetic field variations. The presence of a global stellar magnetic field implies regeneration by dynamo action for which the engine is generally assumed to be differential rotation (see for example Ossendrijver 2003). Given that spots like those on the Sun are expected to gradually migrate and appear at different latitudes, our evidence that the variable region has persisted for more than 4 years 68° in advance of sub-planet point suggests that it owes its existence and rotation period to the planet rather than stellar rotation.

The existence of only a single “spot” significantly in advance of the sub-planet point effectively rules out the possibility that the activity is tidally induced and makes magnetic interaction the most likely candidate. The rapidity of the variability on stellar rotational time scales makes interpretation in terms of conventional stellar spot groups unlikely, but the weakness of the signals presented here does not allow us to totally rule out that possibility. Regardless, we feel the most plausible explanation for the observed modulation of the light curve is an active region magnetically induced on the star by the planetary companion.

Based on the cyclical dwell time or visibility of the active region in 2004 and the inclination of the rotation axis of 40° suggested by Catala et al. (2007), the active region appears to lie closer to a latitude of +30° than to the equator. If true, this would lend support to the contention of Catala et al. (2007) that the active region has developed at a latitude in synchronous rotation with the planet. For the moment, this remains speculation until we have a better understanding of the excitation mechanism. It will be very important to continue attempts to map the magnetic field on the primary and its variations.

Taken together, we feel that the MOST photometry and the monitoring of Ca II H & K over four years make a credible case for variability resulting from an active region induced magnetically on the surface of τ Boo A by its planetary companion.

Fig. 6. The MOST MAD signals for τ Boo from 2004 and 2005 phased separately and together to two cycles of the planetary orbital period of 3.3125 d. Error bars are smaller than the points in all cases. Zero phase corresponds to the planet lying directly between the observer and the primary.

Fig. 7. Average mean absolute deviations (MAD) for the combined 2001, 2002 (2 data sets), and 2003 integrated Ca II K line flux residuals from Shkolnik et al. (2005) (see their Fig. 7) phased to the 3.3125 day orbital period of τ Boo b. One phase bin corresponds to the photometrically active period found by MOST, φ = 0.6–1.1, and the other to the less active period, φ = 0.1–0.6. The error bars are double the average measurement error within each bin.

6. Conclusions

The light curves presented in this paper from 2004 and 2005 are the “most” continuous and accurate ever obtained for τ Boo and offer a unique opportunity to look for the subtle photometric effects of star-planet interaction in this 51 Peg-type system.

To the eye, the 2004 curve (Fig. 1) shows a persistent, periodic dark “spot” causing ~1 mmag dimming, roughly synchronized to the planetary orbital period. A similar intermittent “spot” is seen in the longer run of 2005. We have tried to quantify the effects in this paper. A Fourier transform of the 2004 light curve (Fig. 2) gives a period of 3.5 ± 0.7 d but no signal of similar strength in 2005. However, the obvious activity in 2005 shows a well defined maximum in the MAD values (half width ~0.15 d) centered on the planetary orbital frequency of 3.3125 d (Fig. 3). The spot in 2004 and the variable region defined by the maximum MAD in 2005 both precede the sub-planetary longitude on the primary by ~68° (φ = 0.8) when the light curves are phased to the planetary orbital period (Figs. 3 and 6).

If the variable region has in fact persisted over the 123 planetary orbits covered by the 2004 and 2005 light curves then the active region appears to lie closer to a latitude of +30° than to the equator. If true, this would lend support to the contention of Catala et al. (2007) that the active region has developed at a latitude in synchronous rotation with the planet. For the moment, this remains speculation until we have a better understanding of the excitation mechanism. It will be very important to continue attempts to map the magnetic field on the primary and its variations.
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