INTEGRAL discovery of non-thermal hard X-ray emission from the Ophiuchus cluster
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ABSTRACT

We present the results of deep observations of the Ophiuchus cluster of galaxies with INTEGRAL in the 3–80 keV band. We analyse 3 Ms of INTEGRAL data on the Ophiuchus cluster with the IBIS/ISGRI hard X-ray imager and the JEM-X X-ray monitor. In the X-ray band using JEM-X, we show that the source is extended, and that the morphology is compatible with the results found by previous missions. Above 20 keV, we show that the size of the source is slightly larger than the PSF of the instrument, and is consistent with the soft X-ray morphology found with JEM-X and ASCA. Thanks to the constraints on the temperature provided by JEM-X, we show that the spectrum of the cluster is not well fitted by a single-temperature thermal Bremsstrahlung model, and that another spectral component is needed to explain the high energy data. We detect the high energy tail with a higher detection significance (6.4σ) than the BeppoSAX claim (2σ). Because of the imaging capabilities of JEM-X and ISGRI, we are able to exclude the possibility that the excess emission comes from very hot regions or absorbed AGN, which proves that the excess emission is indeed of non-thermal origin. Using the available radio data together with the non-thermal hard X-ray flux, we estimate a magnetic field \( B \sim 0.1–0.2 \mu \text{G} \).

Key words. galaxies: clusters: individual: Ophiuchus – X-rays: galaxies: clusters – gamma rays: observations

1. Introduction

Clusters of galaxies are the biggest bound structures of the universe, and, according to the hierarchical scenario of structure formation, the latest ones to form. They are filled with a hot \((10^7–10^8 \text{ K})\) plasma, called intra-cluster medium (ICM), and thus radiate in soft X-ray bands through thermal Bremsstrahlung.

The most massive clusters should form by merging of smaller clusters, that would create shock waves when the ICM of the clusters merges (Sarazin 1999). Such events are probably the major source of heating in the ICM. Models predict that a large population of relativistic electrons should be created during the merging event, which are expected to radiate through synchrotron emission in the radio domain, and through inverse-Compton (IC) scattering with the cosmic microwave background (CMB) in the hard X-ray band (Ensslin & Biermann 1998).

Another possible model involves a population of multi-TeV electrons that would radiate in hard X-rays through synchrotron emission (Timokhin et al. 2004). While the extended radio emission from several clusters has been known for a long time (see e.g. Feretti & Giovannini 2007), there is still no firm detection of the hard X-ray emission. BeppoSAX observations report on the detection of a hard tail in the X-ray spectrum of at least Coma (Fusco-Femiano et al. 2004) and Abell 2256 (Fusco-Femiano et al. 2005), but these detections are rather weak and controversial (Rossetti & Molendi 2004). Hence, confirmation of these results by other instruments is important.

The Ophiuchus cluster is the second brightest cluster in the 2–10 keV band. It is a nearby \((z = 0.028, \text{Johnston et al.} 1981)\) rich cluster located in the direction of the Galactic Center \((l = 0.5^\circ, b = 9.4^\circ)\), with a very high plasma temperature \((kT \sim 10 \text{ keV})\). Using ASCA data, Watanabe et al. (2001) showed that the cluster is not dynamically relaxed and exhibits some regions with very high temperature \((kT \gtrsim 13 \text{ keV})\). Because these characteristics are very similar to the Coma cluster, they concluded that this cluster has also experienced a major merging event in the recent past. In the radio domain, Johnston et al. (1981) claimed that the source is associated with the steep-spectrum radio source MSH 17-203. The identification of this radio source as a radio halo from the Ophiuchus cluster implies the presence of relativistic electrons, and hence predicts the presence of a non-thermal high-energy tail in the X-ray spectrum. Nevalainen et al. (2004) searched for such an excess in a sample of nearby clusters with BeppoSAX/PDS. For the Ophiuchus cluster, their analysis reveals a mean temperature of \(9.1 \pm 0.6 \text{ keV}, \) and a \(2\sigma\) excess at hard X-rays. However, at such a low significance level, the excess might as well be due to statistical fluctuations or instrument systematic effects. Moreover, the PDS instrument on board BeppoSAX was non-imaging, so it was impossible to extract any information on the morphology of the hard X-ray emission. Therefore, the spectrum might be contaminated by absorbed point sources.

In this paper, we present the results of deep (3 Ms) observations of the Ophiuchus cluster with the IBIS/ISGRI and JEM-X instruments on board INTEGRAL, in the aim of investigating the presence of a high-energy tail. We present the specific method we have used to analyse ISGRI data. Finally, we use radio data to consider possible models for the non-thermal emission of this object.

2. Data analysis

2.1. ISGRI data analysis

The IBIS/ISGRI instrument on board INTEGRAL (Lebrun et al. 2003) is a wide-field \((29^\circ \times 29^\circ)\) coded-mask instrument sensitive in the 15–400 keV band. Its angular resolution (12 arcmin FWHM nominal) is of the same order of magnitude as the size of the core of the cluster (diameter \( \sim 10^\prime, \)))
Watanabe et al. 2001). Because of the large field-of-view (FOV) and the fact that INTEGRAL spends a significant part of its observing time in the Galactic Bulge region, the amount of data accumulated by the instrument on the Ophiuchus cluster is quite large, which allows us to reach a high signal-to-noise ratio for the cluster in the 17–60 keV band. Our analysis covers 1580 pointings (or Science Windows, ScWs), including 1493 ScWs from public data and 87 from the INTEGRAL AO-4 Key Programme, for a total observing time of 3 Ms. However, the location of the source (9.3° from the Galactic Center and 14.5° from the very bright X-ray binary Sco X-1) makes difficult the imaging analysis of this region with ISGRI. Furthermore, the periodic shape of the IBIS mask causes the images generated by the standard Offline Scientific Analysis software (OSA, Courvoisier et al. 2003) to present ghosts of bright sources which are not completely removed at some specific positions in the deconvolved sky images. This adds important systematic errors to long exposure mosaics in the vicinity of bright sources.

In a coded-mask instrument, the sky images are produced by the deconvolution of the shadow patterns cast by the sources in the FOV on the plane of the sky. When observing a single source, a large fraction of the detector (>45%) is not illuminated by the source, which allows us to measure the level of the background in each pointing. The detector image, or “shadowgram”, produced when observing a field containing n sources is a superposition of the shadow patterns of all the individual sources,

\[
S(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i \cdot PIF_i(x,y) + bB(x,y),
\]

where \(f_i\) and \(PIF_i(x,y)\) are respectively the flux of the ith source and the shadow pattern (called “pixel illumination fraction”) it casts, and \(bB(x,y)\) is a “background map”, i.e. a model of the background, in the pixel with coordinates \((x,y)\). Therefore, \(bB(x,y)\) gives the total background model in the pixel \((x,y)\).

If the background was flat over the whole detector, the deconvolution process would not be sensitive to the background. Therefore, background maps take the deviations from the flat background into account. The properties of the coded mask method allow us to compute a background map by averaging the count rates of a pixel for many pointings, excluding the pixels illuminated by a strong source. To take the variability of the background into account, we computed a specific background map for each revolution of INTEGRAL.

To the present day, the exact shape of the IBIS mask is not completely understood, so the PIFs created by the standard OSA software are not perfectly correct. The occurrence of even a handful of wrongly modelled pixels can very strongly affect image reconstruction. The identification of these pixels is made difficult by the fact that bright sources do also affect counts in exposed pixels, which should not be removed. In order to identify badly modelled pixels, we proceed in the following way: we fit the model described in Eq. (1) to the shadowgram and extract the background level and the flux of the sources present in the FOV. Then we remove bright sources and background from the shadowgram:

\[
S'(x,y) = S(x,y) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i \cdot PIF_i(x,y) - bB(x,y),
\]

with error map given by error propagation. In the case of a perfect PIF, the pixel distribution of the transformed detector image would be Gaussian, and hence 99.7% of the pixels would have a value within \([\mu - 3\sigma, \mu + 3\sigma]\). Since the ISGRI detector contains ~15,000 pixels, statistically we expect ~45 of them to show a deviation from the mean value larger than 3\(\sigma\). However, we notice that ~150 pixels deviate from the mean value by more than 3\(\sigma\), so we conclude that a large fraction of these pixels are incorrectly modelled. Then we perform a standard OSA 7.0 analysis ignoring these pixels. We also neglect the outer parts of the image (with off-axis angle >14°), where the systematic effects are the most important. Finally, we make a mosaic from the modified images in a standard way.

Unlike the image reconstruction process, the standard OSA spectral extraction procedure does not suffer from these problems as long as the input source catalog contains all sources brighter or comparable with the analysed source. Therefore, for spectral extraction we performed a standard OSA 7.0 analysis with a source catalog extracted from the mosaic image.

2.2. JEM-X data analysis

The JEM-X X-ray monitor on board INTEGRAL (Lund et al. 2003) consists of two identical X-ray detectors with coded mask, JEM-X 1 and JEM-X 2, sensitive in the 3–35 keV band. It is designed for the spectroscopic and imaging study of the sources detected by IBIS, with a better spatial resolution (3.5 arcmin FWHM nominal) and a field-of-view of 7.5° half-response diameter. On the Ophiuchus cluster, we reached a 160 ks effective exposure time, for a total observing time of 350 ks.

The analysis of the Ophiuchus field with JEM-X does not present the same difficulties as those affecting the IBIS observations. Indeed, both the Galactic Center and Sco X-1 are outside the field of view of JEM-X. We therefore performed a completely standard image analysis, taking advantage of the improvements in the image reconstruction process introduced in OSA 7.0, in particular regarding the astrometry. In order to reach the deepest sensitivity of JEM-X, we combined all JEM-X 1 and JEM-X 2 ScWs in a single mosaic. Spectral extraction has been performed by extracting the fluxes from this mosaic in four separate energy bands chosen to provide clear detection in each individual band and to complement the ISGRI spectrum without gap. The fact that the Ophiuchus cluster is probably extended with JEM-X is not a problem, since the flux is integrated over the source. Therefore we simply let the width of the fitted Gaussian free.

When extracting fluxes from JEM-X mosaics, there is no standard way in OSA to provide associated responses. The redistribution matrices (RMFs) of both JEM-X instruments are stable with time and quite close for both instruments; we therefore simply use the RMF of JEM-X 1. The area responses (ARFs) are however varying both with time and with the instrument. In particular, the low-energy efficiency changed very strongly (by a factor close to 3 for JEM-X 1) when the event rejection criteria were modified. It is therefore necessary to build an ARF suitable for the analysis of the spectrum extracted from the combined mosaic. To do this, we extract the correct ARF for each ScW and calculate the average of these ARFs weighted by the effective exposure time for each ScW, taking into account the dead time and the vignetting. As most observations use JEM-X 1 with the new rejection criterion, in practice the resulting ARF is quite close to that valid for any of the late JEM-X 1 ScWs. Therefore, the choice of a particular ARF does not affect qualitatively any of the results presented in this paper.
3. Imaging results

As demonstrated in Eckert et al. (2007) for the Coma cluster, the angular resolution of INTEGRAL can be sufficient to get important information on the morphology of the hard X-ray emission from clusters. Since the Ophiuchus cluster is a very bright cluster below 10 keV, one can use the JEM-X monitor to study the change in morphology with energy. Figure 1 shows the JEM-X mosaic image of the cluster in the 3–18 keV band, with contours from ASCA/GIS observations of the cluster. For comparison, the inset shows the JEM-X image of the cataclysmic variable V 2400 Oph, in the same field. The extended nature of the Ophiuchus cluster in the JEM-X images is clear.

Because we detect only the core of the cluster, it is not possible to fit the surface brightness with an isothermal beta profile, but fitting the image with a Gaussian model,

\[ I(r) = \Lambda \exp \left( -\ln(2) \frac{r^2}{R^2} \right), \tag{3} \]

where \( R \) is the half-width at half-maximum (HWHM), one can get an indication of the size of the detected region and of possible deviations from the spherical model. Fitting the JEM-X image shown in Fig. 1 with the model described in Eq. (3), we find \( R = 3.6 \pm 0.1 \) arcmin. This value is significantly larger than the PSF of JEM-X (1.8 arcmin HWHM), which confirms that the source is seen as extended. This is, after SN 1006 (Kalemci et al. 2006), the second report of an extended source for JEM-X. The \( R \) parameter corresponds to the superposition of the radial profile of the source and of the PSF of the instrument, \( R^2 = R_{\text{source}}^2 + HWHM_{\text{JEM-X}}^2 \). Therefore, the angular size of the source at half-maximum becomes \( R_{\text{source}} = 3.1 \pm 0.1 \) arcmin. Figure 2 shows the radial profile of the source compared to the PSF of the instrument, as well as the total apparent radial profile from JEM-X data. We can see that the radial profile is well modelled by a Gaussian. The extension of the source is clear.

From ASCA data, Watanabe et al. (2001) find the same value for the core of the cluster, \( R_c = 3.2' \). They also report on an extended region North-West of the center of the cluster that shows significant deviations from the spherical model. The authors note that this excess coincides with the closest X-ray point source, 1RXS J171209.5-231005. Figure 3 shows the residuals of the JEM-X image compared to the spherically symmetric model. A clear excess North-West of the center is also visible. However, it is clear that this excess is not due to 1RXS J171209.5-231005, which is located outside the region detected by JEM-X. The deviations from the spherical model are hence more likely due to over-densities or excess temperatures in the ICM, which indicates that the cluster is not dynamically relaxed, probably because of a merger in its recent history.

We used the available JEM-X data to create a hardness ratio map (7–18 keV to 3–7 keV) in order to estimate the temperature variations within the detected region of the cluster. To estimate the dependence of the JEM-X 7–18 to 3–7 keV hardness ratio with temperature, we simulated JEM-X spectra for models with different input temperatures and computed the hardness ratios. The result is shown in the top panel of Fig. 4. Because of the sensitivity up to 18 keV, the temperature dependence is steeper than in the case of ASCA. In the center of the cluster, we find a hardness ratio \( HR = 0.78 \pm 0.09 \), which corresponds to a temperature \( kT = 9.1^{+1.4}_{-1.0} \). The bottom panel of Fig. 4 shows the deviations of the hardness ratio compared to the central value, in units of \( \sigma \). We find no deviations to the central value at significance level above 0.7\( \sigma \), which corresponds to variations of \( \pm 2 \) keV compared to the central value. In ASCA data, although there is evidence for a strong spatial dependence of the temperature, this dependence is found only in the outer parts of the cluster, while the core, which is the only region detected by JEM-X, shows an almost iso-thermal profile, compatible with the JEM-X results. This analysis shows that a single-temperature model should describe well the total JEM-X spectrum.

Figure 5 shows the ISGRI significance image in the 20–40 keV band extracted from the mosaic created with the method described in Sect. 2.1. Because of the lower spatial resolution, the extension of the source is not obvious (see the image of V 2400 Oph in the inset for comparison). However, a more detailed analysis of the source reveals that the source is indeed extended also for ISGRI. Fitting the image with the model described in Eq. (3), one finds \( R = 8.9 \pm 0.3 \) arcmin, which...
is slightly larger than the PSF of the instrument (7.2 arcmin HWHM). Taking into account the contribution of the radial profile of the source and of the PSF of the instrument similar to the analysis presented above for JEM-X, this corresponds to \( R_{\text{source}} = 5.1^{+0.5}_{-0.6} \). Even though the source is seen as extended, the apparent size of the Ophiuchus cluster is smaller than the PSF of the instrument. To estimate the error on the standard flux extraction in the case of extended sources, Renaud et al. (2006) presented the results of simulations assuming a uniform disk. For an angular size of 5’, they evaluate the relative error to be \( \sim 5\% \). Since the cluster has a peaked radial profile instead of a uniform disk, the relative error on the flux extracted by the OSA spectral extraction tool is \( < 5\% \). For this reason, from now on we will treat the source as point-like for ISGRI.

Since the apparent size of the source is smaller than the PSF of ISGRI, it is not possible to study in detail the hard X-ray morphology of the source. However, it is possible to measure the best fit position for the center of the source in different energy bands. Figure 6 shows the 90% error circles for the position of the source in the 20–24, 24–30 and 30–40 keV bands overlaid on the JEM-X 3–18 keV mosaic image. The yellow cross shows the position of the center of the cluster from the Chandra image. The black crosses represent the position of the 2 brightest point sources close to the center in the Chandra image. We can see on this image that the hard X-ray emission is compatible with the soft X-ray morphology of the cluster, and is not displaced towards any of the weak point sources seen in the Chandra image, which excludes the possibility that these point sources contribute in a significant way to the high-energy spectrum.

4. Spectral analysis

4.1. INTEGRAL broad band spectrum of the cluster

Since, unlike the case of the Coma cluster, the source is only very slightly larger than the PSF of ISGRI, we can use the standard OSA 7.0 spectral extraction tool with our time-dependent background maps to get an accurate flux estimation. Starting from

![Fig. 3. Residuals from the spherically symmetric model with JEM-X brightness contours overlaid, in units of \( \sigma \). Similar to previous ASCA observations, a significant excess is found North-West of the center of the cluster.](image1)

![Fig. 4. Top: simulated JEM-X 7–18/3–7 keV hardness ratio as a function of temperature. Bottom: Deviations of the JEM-X 7–18/3–7 keV hardness ratio compared to the central value in units of \( \sigma \), with JEM-X brightness contours overlaid. The map does not show any significant spatial dependence of the temperature within the detected region.](image2)
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Fig. 5. ISGRI significance map in the 20–40 keV band, with surface brightness contours from ASCA in green. The inset shows the ISGRI image of a point source, the cataclysmic variable V 2400 Oph, for comparison.

Fig. 6. 90% error circles for the position of the center of the source in the 20–24 (red), 24–30 (blue) and 30–40 keV (white) ISGRI mosaic images, overlaid on the JEM-X 3–18 keV image. The yellow cross shows the position of the centroid of the cluster from the Chandra image. The black crosses show the position of the two brightest X-ray point sources detected in the Chandra image.

thermal model and an additional power-law component fitted simultaneously (Method 1). Table 1 shows the results of the fitting procedure using such a power-law in addition to the thermal emission, with the plasma temperature left free while fitting (Method 1) and fixed to the value found by fitting independently the 3–20 keV part of the spectrum (Method 2), which is expected to be completely dominated by the thermal component. The BeppoSAX claim (2σ excess at high energies) was obtained by fixing the temperature to the value obtained from the low-energy spectrum, which is similar to Method 2. Therefore, we can see that the clear excess (6.4σ) detected with this method in INTEGRAL data is much more significant than the value obtained by BeppoSAX.

The value found for the plasma temperature is consistent with the BeppoSAX measurement of $kT = 9.1 \pm 0.6$ keV, but significantly lower than the ASCA value of $kT = 10.9 \pm 0.4$ keV. However, the ASCA/GIS instrument was sensitive only up to 10 keV, and thus could not detect the cutoff. Because of the sensitivity above 10 keV, the INTEGRAL and BeppoSAX values certainly provide more accurate measurements.

Fixing the thermal component to the value found in the 3–20 keV band, one can try to constrain the acceptable range for the photon index of the non-thermal component. Leaving only the photon index and the normalisation of the power-law free while fitting, one finds $\alpha = 1.62^{+0.32}_{-0.35}$. The photon index of 2.0 used to derive the values of the HXR emission is compatible (within 1σ) with this value. With such a hard photon index, it is clear that the excess cannot be explained by the presence of hot gas. Indeed, if instead of a power-law we fit the excess with a second thermal component, we can derive a lower
limit to the temperature of the second component, which we find to be $kT > 50$ keV. Together with the temperature map from both JEM-X and ASCA, this proves that the excess cannot be explained by the presence of very hot gas.

### 4.2. Possible origins of the non-thermal component

Since the hard X-ray flux observed by INTEGRAL cannot be explained by thermal bremsstrahlung, there are two possible origins for the non-thermal flux in the hard X-ray band. Indeed, it can be due either to inverse-Compton (IC) scattering of GeV electrons with the CMB (Ensslin & Biermann 1998), or to synchrotron emission from another population of very high energy electrons ($E \sim $ PeV, e.g. Timokhin et al. 2004; Inoue et al. 2005). To construct our models, we assume that the electron distribution is a power-law with spectral index 2.0 and a high-energy cut-off.

Assuming that the non-thermal component comes from IC scattering of the same electrons that produce radio halos, one can try to constrain the physical parameters needed to explain the radio/hard X-ray flux (Thierbach et al. 2003), in particular the value of the magnetic field in the cluster. Unfortunately, the existing radio data are old, and the size of the region used to extract the radio flux differs for all the measurements, so the shape of the radio spectrum cannot be well constrained. Using the radio data presented in Johnston et al. (1981), we tried nevertheless to consider jointly the radio and hard X-ray data of the cluster to constrain models for the spectral energy distribution. The bottom panel of Fig. 9 shows the corresponding spectral energy distribution, with models computed for several values of magnetic field $B$ and cut-off energy $E_{\text{cut}}$.

Figure 9 shows the corresponding spectral energy distributions for different values of magnetic field and a cut-off energy $E_{\text{cut}} \sim 0.3$ PeV. The solid black line shows the 10 mCrab flux level in the TeV range. The radio data are from Johnston et al. (1981). The dashed lines at energies ~10 TeV show the attenuation of the IC spectrum due to absorption by the extragalactic infrared and CMB backgrounds.

### 5. Discussion

The combined ISGRI/JEM-X spectrum of the cluster exhibits a clear (6.4σ) excess at high energies. At such significance level, it is now clear that an additional component is needed to explain the relatively high hard X-ray flux observed both by INTEGRAL and BeppoSAX. Unlike the PDS instrument on board BeppoSAX, the ISGRI and JEM-X instruments on board INTEGRAL are imaging, which allowed us to study the changes in temperature throughout the detected region, and show that the hard excess cannot be explained by the presence of very hot plasma.
in some regions of the cluster. Besides, in the large FoV of the PDS instrument, there was no guarantee that the possible excess was not the result of isolated point sources, for instance absorbed active galactic nuclei. The imaging capabilities of INTEGRAL allow us to exclude this possibility. Indeed, we find evidence that the source is extended even above 20 keV, and coincides spatially with the X-ray emission. Moreover, high angular resolution X-ray imaging of the cluster with Chandra does not reveal the presence of any bright point source below 10 keV in the region detected by INTEGRAL, which shows that contamination of the INTEGRAL spectrum by point sources is very unlikely. Therefore, we claim with good confidence that the high-energy tail observed by INTEGRAL and BeppoSAX is certainly due to non-thermal emission from relativistic particles accelerated during a major merging event in the recent past.

Assuming that the non-thermal component is explained by IC scattering from the same electrons that produce the synchrotron radio halo, we used the existing radio data together with the INTEGRAL measurement to make a joint model for the synchrotron/IC components, and estimated the magnetic field value to be \( B \approx 0.1\text{--}0.2 \mu \text{G} \). This value is similar to that estimated with the same method for the Coma cluster (Fusco-Femiano et al. 1999) and with the typical magnetic field values extracted from equipartition assumption (Thierbach et al. 2003), but contradicts the higher values (\( B \approx 1\text{--}5 \mu \text{G} \)) derived from Faraday rotation measures (Kim et al. 1991; Clarke et al. 2001). To resolve the discrepancy between the Faraday rotation and Inverse-Compton methods, Goldshmidt & Rephaeli (1993) suggested that the magnetic field might be decreasing with radius, such that in the outer regions the electron cooling through IC emission would dominate, while in the center, the electrons would radiate more strongly in the radio domain. However, the spatial resolution of INTEGRAL allows us to measure the magnetic field within a region of radius less than 6 arcmin from the center of the cluster, and therefore to show that the value of \( B \) measured from the HXR radiation does not come from the outer regions of the cluster. More recently, Beck et al. (2003) suggested that the presence of turbulence might introduce a bias in the value of \( B \) derived from Faraday rotation measures. From X-ray imaging of the Coma cluster, Schuecker et al. (2004) demonstrated that turbulence is indeed playing an important role in unrelaxed clusters. Although it is much less studied, Ophiuchus is similar to Coma in many aspects, so this explanation could apply as well in our case. In any case, the measurement of the magnetic field through Faraday rotation measures relies on several assumptions, whereas the expected radio/hard X-ray flux correlation provides a direct measurement of the magnetic field, so the lower values obtained in both Ophiuchus and Coma (\( B \approx 0.1 \mu \text{G} \)) certainly provide a better estimate of the cluster magnetic field. Better constraints on the shape of the radio spectrum are therefore important to measure the magnetic field with better accuracy.

On the other hand, if the magnetic field values derived from Faraday rotation measure are correct, the IC emission from the population of electrons that radiate in the radio domain is not sufficient to explain the hard X-ray flux detected by INTEGRAL. This implies the presence of another population of electrons at much higher energies (\( E \sim 100 \text{ TeV} \)) that would radiate in hard X-rays through synchrotron radiation and would up-scatter the photons of the CMB to produce TeV emission (see the top panel of Fig. 9). In this case, our models predict a flux in the TeV domain that would be firmly detectable by HESS. Indeed, our lowest curve, which corresponds to the highest allowed value for the magnetic field (10\( \mu \text{G} \)), coincides with the sensitivity limit of HESS. Therefore, observations of the cluster in very high energies are crucial to constrain the models of particle acceleration in Ophiuchus in particular and clusters of galaxies in general.

6. Conclusion
In this work, we presented the results of broad-band INTEGRAL observations of the Ophiuchus cluster in the 3--18 keV band with the JEM-X X-ray monitor and in the 17--80 keV with the ISGRI hard X-ray imager. We have shown that the source is extended for both instruments and that the morphology of the source is consistent with previous studies (Sect. 3). We presented a JEM-X hardness-ratio map (7--18 keV to 3--7 keV) and found that the plasma temperature does not vary significantly within the detected region. In Sect. 4, we presented the total INTEGRAL spectrum of the cluster in the 3--80 keV band. We explained that the emission cannot be described by a single-temperature MEKAL model, and that an additional spectral component is needed to explain the significant excess found at energies above 20 keV compared to the best fit (\( kT = 8.5 \text{ keV} \)) for the thermal emission below 20 keV. Consequently, we measure a hard X-ray excess emission from the Ophiuchus cluster, at a much higher confidence level (6.4\( \sigma \) for the fixed temperature model) than the BeppoSAX claim.

With spectral and imaging analysis, we have shown that this excess cannot be explained by the presence of very hot thermal gas or by contamination of the spectrum by point sources. Indeed, fitting the excess with a second thermal component, we found an unrealistic lower limit to the temperature, \( kT > 50 \text{ keV} \). Comparing the JEM-X and ISGRI images with X-ray images from higher resolution instruments (Chandra and ASCA), we found that the morphology of the cluster does not depend on energy, and that no bright point sources are detected in the high-resolution images, which excludes the possibility that the hard X-ray emission comes from point sources embedded in the cluster. Therefore, we conclude that the high-energy tail is due to non-thermal emission from relativistic electrons, probably accelerated during a merging event in the recent history of the cluster.

To investigate the origin of this non-thermal component, we presented different models for the spectral energy distribution (Sect. 4.2). If the emission is of inverse-Compton origin from GeV electrons on the CMB, we used the available radio data to construct a joint radio/hard X-ray model for the spectral energy distribution. Comparing the level of the radio and hard X-ray flux, we were able to estimate the magnetic field in the cluster, which we found to be \( B \approx 0.1\text{--}0.2 \mu \text{G} \). On the other hand, if the hard X-ray emission comes from synchrotron radiation of another population of very high energy electrons, our models predict a detectable flux in the TeV domain. Therefore, observations of the Ophiuchus cluster in the TeV domain, as well as better measurements of the radio spectrum of the cluster, will allow us to constrain the models, and determine if clusters of galaxies are able to accelerate particles up to very high energies.
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