Redshift limits of BL Lacertae objects from optical spectroscopy (Research Note)
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ABSTRACT

Context. BL Lacertae objects have been the targets for numerous recent multiwavelength campaigns, continuum spectral variability studies, and theoretical spectral and variability modeling. A meaningful interpretation of the results of such studies requires a reliable knowledge of the objects’ redshifts; however, the redshifts for many are still unknown or uncertain.

Aims. Therefore, we hope to determine or constrain the redshifts of six BL Lac objects with unknown or poorly known redshifts.

Methods. Observations were made of these objects with the MDM 2.4 m Hiltner telescope. Although no spectral features were detected, and thus no redshifts could be measured, lower redshift limits were assigned to the objects based on the expected equivalent widths of absorption features in their host galaxies. Redshifts were also estimated for some objects by assuming the host galaxies are standard candles and using host galaxy apparent magnitudes taken from the literature.

Results. The commonly used redshift of $z = 0.102$ for 1219+285 is almost certainly wrong, while the redshifts of the other objects studied remain undetermined.
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1. Introduction

Blazars are among the most violent, highly variable astrophysical high-energy phenomena, with rapidly-varying emission from radio through $\gamma$-ray energies. They are thought to consist of relativistic jets from supermassive black holes, closely aligned with our line of sight.

BL Lacertae (BL Lac) objects, a subclass of blazars, are defined by their quasar-like continuum and the weakness or absence of broad emission lines in their optical spectra. These spectra are thought to be dominated by nonthermal emission from the highly relativistic jet, masking the contributions of the stars in the host galaxy, and line emission from gas clouds near the supermassive black hole.

There are various models for the source of the nonthermal emission from blazars. Of critical importance in distinguishing between the models is setting the energy scale, on which many parameters (e.g., the jet’s speed, density, magnetic field, etc.) depend. This can only be done if the objects’ redshifts, and hence distances, are known.

Many well-observed BL Lac objects have unknown or poorly known redshifts based on $\sim 1$–3 emission or absorption lines in low signal-to-noise ($S/N$) spectra. In several cases, a poorly determined redshift of a BL Lac is repeatedly cited throughout the literature until its reliability is no longer questioned. Thus, a project was undertaken to obtain the optical spectra of several of these objects at the MDM Observatory with the goal of obtaining redshift estimates or improved constraints.

Unfortunately, no definitive spectral features were revealed, and thus no definitive redshifts could be assigned. However, using the method of Sbarufatti et al. (2006), we were able to estimate a minimum redshift based on the expected equivalent widths of absorption features in the host galaxy. Also, assuming the host galaxies are standard candles (see, e.g., Nilsson et al. 2003), we estimated the redshifts of several objects based on the observed magnitude of the host galaxies, found from the literature, based on the method of Sbarufatti et al. (2005).

2. Observations and data analysis

2.1. Observations

Spectroscopic observations of six BL Lac objects were taken with the MDM 2.4 m Hiltner telescope in November 2005 and March 2006. Arc lamps and one standard star per night per wavelength setting were also observed for wavelength and spectrophotometric calibration, respectively. The CCDS spectrograph was used with a slit width of $1.5''$ and the 350 grooves/mm grating. The grating provides a wavelength range of $1592$ Å. Three different observing settings were used: $\sim 4000$–$5500$ Å (hereafter referred to as the blue setting), $\sim 5500$–$7000$ (green setting) and $\sim 7000$–$8500$ Å (red setting). For the red and green settings, the LG-400 order-blocking filter was used. Unfortunately, weather conditions did not allow observation of all the sources with all of the settings. Seeing during the observations was in the range $\sim 1$–$3''$ with an average of $\sim 1.5''$. On 29 November conditions were nearly photometric; on the other nights, thin cirrus drifted in and out of the field. On 29 November the seeing was $\sim 2''$, and the slit was not consistently aligned with the parallactic angle, leading to noticeable flux losses from atmospheric differential refraction. A summary of the observations can be found in Table 1.
Table 1. Blazar observations with the Hiltner telescope.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object</th>
<th>RA (J2000)</th>
<th>Dec (J2000)</th>
<th>Setting</th>
<th>Exp. time [s]</th>
<th>Obs. date (UT)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0219+428</td>
<td>02:22:39.6</td>
<td>+43:02:08</td>
<td>blue</td>
<td>4800</td>
<td>29 Nov. 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>green</td>
<td>7200</td>
<td>29 Nov. 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>blue</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>30 Nov. 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>red</td>
<td>10 800</td>
<td>30 Nov. 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0716+714</td>
<td>07:21:53.4</td>
<td>+71:20:36</td>
<td>green</td>
<td>7200</td>
<td>29 Nov. 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>blue</td>
<td>5400</td>
<td>30 Nov. 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1011+496</td>
<td>10:15:04.1</td>
<td>+49:26:01</td>
<td>blue</td>
<td>7200</td>
<td>26 Mar. 2006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Results of blazar observations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object</th>
<th>$m_B$</th>
<th>$S/N$</th>
<th>$EW_{\text{min}}$ [Å]</th>
<th>$z_{\text{spec}}$</th>
<th>$z_{\text{phot}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0219+428</td>
<td>15.35±0.50</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>$\geq0.096$</td>
<td>0.321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0716+714</td>
<td>13.39±0.50</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>$\geq0.070$</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1011+496</td>
<td>15.80±0.02</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.001</td>
<td>$\geq0.134$</td>
<td>0.213±0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1055+567</td>
<td>15.60±0.02</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>$\geq0.136$</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1219+285</td>
<td>14.88±0.50</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>$\geq0.104$</td>
<td>0.161±0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1426+428</td>
<td>17.10±0.50</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>$\geq0.106$</td>
<td>0.132±0.030</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Results

Flux-calibrated and normalized spectra for our sources are seen in Figs. 1 and 2 and results are summarized in Table 2. In a few instances the spectra show residual structure that we attribute to imperfect flux calibration (e.g., at $\sim4880$ Å in the 2005 spectra and $\sim4500$ Å in the 2006 spectra), and variations of slope between grating settings of similar origin. Low-frequency variations of this type do not significantly impact our analysis.

A brief description of the previous observations and results for individual objects are discussed below. All error bars quoted are 1σ error bars.

**0219+428 (3C 66A)** has been the target of a recent multiwavelength campaign (Böttcher et al. 2005) and has been extensively observed in the radio to γ-rays. Its optical spectrum was first observed by Wills & Wills (1974), who found it to be flat and featureless. Observations by Miller et al. (1978) with the Lick 3 m Shane reflector revealed an emission feature at 4044 Å, which they identified as Mg II 2800, giving the object a redshift of $z = 0.444$. However, the feature is located in a region where it is confused with telluric H₂O absorption, and the authors did not consider it reliable. *International Ultraviolet Explorer* observations detected a feature at 4044 Å, which they identified as Lyα emission at a redshift of $z = 0.444$, but the redshift of 0219+428 is still far from certain (Lanza et al. 1993). Its host galaxy was marginally resolved by Wurtz et al. (1996), and found to have a magnitude of $m_R = 19.0$. Converting to Johnson $R$ using the prescription of Kent (1985) yields $m_R = 18.43$, and thus a photometric redshift of $z = 0.321$. Wurtz et al. (1996) do not provide an error estimate for the magnitude; however, since it was only marginally resolved, the error can be assumed to be high. Our spectrum’s wavelength range does not cover the range of the Miller et al. (1978) feature, so we are unable to confirm or refute their detection; however, we were able to constrain 0219+428’s redshift to $z \geq 0.096$. The 0219+428 green spectrum was likely affected by slit losses due to atmospheric dispersion, which would explain the difference in slope from the red and blue spectra. Although we removed most telluric features,
Fig. 1. Blazar spectra taken in 2005.

Fig. 2. Blazar spectra taken in 2006.

we were unable to fully remove the A band feature (7594 Å) in the red spectrum.

PKS 0716+714 has been detected in X-rays and γ-rays (see, e.g., Foschini et al. 2006). High S/N spectra taken with the KPNO 2.1 m and MMT 6.5 m telescopes are flat and featureless (Rector & Stocke 2001). Our observations also did not reveal any spectral features, and we constrained its redshift to $z \geq 0.070$; despite its high S/N, its brightness does not allow for a higher constraint. Its host galaxy is unresolved, and Sbarufatti et al. (2005) used this to constrain its redshift to $z \geq 0.52$.

1011+496 has been detected by EGRET (Thompson et al. 1995), BeppoSAX (Donato et al. 2005) and MAGIC (Albert et al. 2007). Its last published spectrum was obtained with the McDonald Observatory 2.7 m telescope (Machalski 1991). Their spectrum was from 3200 Å to 6000 Å and included an unidentified feature at $\sim$3700 Å. Another spectrum with the KPNO 2.1 m telescope from 4400–6500 Å showed no emission or absorption lines. Neither spectrum had a S/N > 5. Its redshift is usually quoted as $z = 0.20$, as this is the redshift of the nearby cluster, A950, to which 1011+498 is presumed to belong.
With our higher S/N spectrum we were also unable to detect any spectral features; however, we could constrain its redshift to \( z \geq 0.134 \). With a resolved host galaxy magnitude of \( m_R = 17.30 \) from HST observations (Urry et al. 2000), its photometric redshift can be estimated to be \( z = 0.213 \pm 0.041 \), in agreement with it being part of the cluster A950. 1011+496 has never had an optical spectrum published beyond 6500 Å.

1055+567 has also been detected by EGRET (Thompson et al. 1995) and BeppoSAX (Donato et al. 2005). Marchã et al. (1996) report a redshift of \( z = 0.410 \) based on probable detection of the \([\text{O III}]\) doublet in MMT spectra. A measurement by Bade et al. (1998) using the WHT obtained at the source at a comparable flux level, does not appear to confirm the \([\text{O III}]\) emission and the authors instead estimate \( z = 0.144 \) based on NaI D absorption and blended H\(\alpha\) + [N II] emission. However, both features are very weak, and the putative emission feature is additionally suspect since it sits on the wing of the atmospheric A-band absorption feature. Our spectrum does not cover the wavelength range of these features, and we can only constrain the redshift to be \( z \geq 0.136 \). This object has not had an observation of its host galaxy published, thus we cannot estimate a photometric redshift. It should be noted that, although the \( z = 0.144 \) value is cited more often in the literature (and is quoted as such in the Simbad database), the \( z = 0.410 \) redshift is still used as well.

1219+285 (W Comae) has been detected by EGRET (Sreekumar et al. 1996) and is considered a promising target for Very High Energy (VHE) \( \gamma \)-rays by instruments such as VERITAS or MAGIC. Weistrop et al. (1985) performed spectroscopy on the object with the 4 m KPNO telescope and estimated a redshift of \( z = 0.102 \) based on \([\text{O III}]\) and Ha. However, the spectrum shows strong residuals due to sky subtraction and possibly other problems, and the authors acknowledge the line identifications are uncertain. Our high S/N spectrum did not reveal any spectral features, but we did not observe in a range that would allow us to confirm the features detected by Weistrop et al. (1985). Nesci et al. (2001) published a spectrum of the object with the 2.6 m Byurakan Observatory telescope but were unable to confirm the observation of \([\text{O III}]\) detected by Weistrop et al. (1985). The host galaxy of 1219+285 was resolved by Nilsson et al. (2003), and they found its magnitude to be \( m_R = 16.60 \pm 0.10 \). Based on this measurement, we estimate its photometric redshift to be \( z = 0.161 \pm 0.035 \), a considerable discrepancy with the spectroscopic value of Weistrop et al. (1985). We could spectroscopically constrain the redshift of 1219+285 to \( z \geq 0.104 \). It therefore seems unlikely that the Weistrop et al. (1985) redshift of \( z = 0.102 \) is correct.

1426+428 has been detected at VHE \( \gamma \)-rays by CAT and HEGRA (Djannati-Atai et al. 2002; Petry et al. 2000) and has been the target of multiwavelength campaigns (Horns 2003). Its only reported optical spectrum was published by Remillard et al. (1989). Their highest S/N spectrum (S/N \( \sim 10 \)) with the MDM 1.3 m telescope yielded \( z = 0.129 \) from marginal detections of Mg I and Na I at \( \sim 5800 \) and \( \sim 6650 \) Å. Unfortunately, we were not able to achieve a higher S/N spectrum, nor were we able to observe at a wavelength above 5500 Å, thus we could not confirm this observation; we could only constrain its redshift to \( z \geq 0.106 \). The host galaxy of 1426+428 was resolved by Urry et al. (2000) and found to have \( m_R = 16.14 \), leading to a photometric redshift of \( z = 0.132 \pm 0.030 \). Our measurements are consistent with the previous redshift claims.

4. Summary

The spectra of six BL Lac objects with poorly known or unknown redshifts have been obtained. For several objects, these spectra have higher S/N than any previously published. Based on this papers’ results, the commonly used redshift of \( z = 0.102 \) for 1219+285 is almost certainly wrong. The redshifts of the other objects studied remain undetermined.
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