Evidence for wave harmonics in cool loops
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ABSTRACT

Aims. Using temporal series image data from the Coronal Diagnostic Spectrometer (CDS) on SOHO, we study oscillations found in intensity (radiance) measurements from the transition region O V 629 line with the aim of finding evidence of wave harmonics in cool loops.

Methods. Using standard Fourier techniques, together with a pre-whitening method, we measure all statistically significant frequencies present in oscillations found in flaring active region loops at the temperature of O V. By measuring the distances travelled by three Propagating Disturbances (PDs), estimates of their propagation speeds are calculated.

Results. Evidence is found for harmonics in the measured oscillations suggesting the presence of standing waves in the loops. We find that PDs in cool O V active region loops have sub-sonic velocities of between 12–24 km s⁻¹, suggesting they are moved either by slow mode (acoustic or slow magnetoacoustic) wave propagation or by bulk flows along the loops triggered by the flaring that also produces the PDs.

Conclusions. We find evidence that standing waves are present in flaring cool transition region loops. This evidence comes in the form of oscillations showing harmonic frequencies that match those expected for standing fast kink waves.
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1. Introduction

Nakariakov et al. (2004) and Tsiklauri et al. (2004) have previously suggested that oscillations with periods of 10–300 s, frequently observed in flaring coronal loops, may be produced by the second standing harmonic of the acoustic mode. In a recent paper, De Moortel & Brady (2007) found evidence of the 2nd harmonic loops and to identify the particular wave mode involved.

2. Observations and data reduction

For these observations we used the 90″ × 240″ wide slit of the Normal Incidence Spectrometer (NIS), part of the Coronal Diagnostic Spectrometer (CDS) on board the Solar and Heliospheric Observatory (SOHO) (Harrison et al. 1995).

The temporal series study AR_MOVIE was run during November 2005 in active region 10820 on the Western limb of the Sun. We shall discuss here two datasets from that observing run (see Table 1), concentrating on the TR line of O V 629.73 Å. The data were reduced using the latest versions of the standard CDS routines. For each of the 150 time frames in each dataset, the exposure time was 45 s leading to a cadence of 1010 s and a Nyquist frequency of 9.6 mHz.

3. Results

It was noticed in examining dataset 33813 that a region at the southern end of the field-of-view (FOV) (X ≈ 975″ → 1010″ and Y ≈ −365″ → −340″), cf. Fig. 1, possessed within it a Propagating Disturbance (PD) (Robbrecht et al. 2001). The PD does not propagate into this region but, instead, flares into life inside the loop it illuminates. It then begins to move along this loop from X ≈ 990″ to 1005″. At this location, it diminishes in intensity and disappears. Integrating along the curved trajectory of the maximum intensity in the PD gives a total distance travelled of ≈9144 km (7.6 ± 1.9 pixels, 1 pixel = 1.68″ and 1″ = 715 km) in a time of 673 s. That is, a velocity of 14 ± 3.4 km s⁻¹, which is below the sound speed at the temperature of O V (≈73 km s⁻¹). The PD is likely not moving perpendicular to the line-of-sight (LOS) and, therefore, this velocity should be taken as a lower bound value of the “true” velocity in the loop.

In Fig. 2a, we plot the variation of the maximum intensity found in the PD of Fig. 1 as a function of time, starting some 30 min before the start of the main flaring event (which occurs at 21:31 UT). As it is the variation of the maximum intensity, the position in the FOV is, therefore, not fixed. It can be seen from this plot that the intensity has a signature not dissimilar to that expected for a flare, that is, an initial sharp increase in intensity followed by a more gradual decrease with time.

Taking the oscillations found in the declining arm of the curve shown in Fig. 2a, i.e., between the times of 105–127 min, and removing the background intensity, produces the relative

Table 1. AR_MOVIE/v1 time series datasets obtained using the 90″ × 240″ CDS slit and an exposure time of 45 s in November 2005.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>Pointing (X, Y)</th>
<th>Start/End (UT)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11/11</td>
<td>33821</td>
<td>1012, −255</td>
<td>19:36/21:46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Fig. 1. Evolution of the PD in dataset 33813. The top ≈50” of the FOV has been omitted to remove the bright disk.

Fig. 2. Evolution of the maximum intensity in the a) PD of 33813; b) PD(a) of 33821; c) PD(b) of 33821; d) stationary flare of 33813. The time origins (or start times) are as given in Table 1.

Fig. 3. a) Time series of the PD of 33813 and resulting power spectrum. b) Pre-whitened time series and resulting power spectrum. The time origin is as given in Table 1 for dataset 33813.

Fig. 4. Evolution with time of PD(a) of dataset 33821. The bright solar disk in the top left of the image has been blacked out.

Flare-like evolution of the maximum intensity in the PD is shown in Fig. 2b. Integrating along the curved trajectory of the maximum intensity in the PD gives a total distance travelled of ≈23 806 km (19.8 ± 0.160 pixels) in a time of 1916 s. That is, a sub-sonic velocity of 12.4 ± 0.100 km s⁻¹. In Fig. 5, we show the variation of the relative intensity in PD(a) between the observing times of ≈50–80 min (cf. Fig. 2). Oscillations at frequencies of 2.5 mHz and 6.1 mHz with amplitudes of 11.5% and 6.1%, respectively, are found. Here the first and second frequencies are not in the expected 1:2 ratio. This may simply be a consequence of the crude frequency resolution of ≈0.5 mHz resulting from the short duration (≈30 min) of the PD/flare-like event.

In Fig. 6 we show a second PD, PD(b), occurring in the same loop system as PD(a). Integrating along the curved trajectory of the maximum intensity in the PD gives a total distance travelled of ≈25 586 km (21.3 ± 0.127 pixels) in a time of 1088 s. That is, a sub-sonic velocity of 23.5 ± 0.140 km s⁻¹. The evolution of the maximum brightening in this PD (Fig. 2c) does not follow the shape expected for a flare-type event, having a largely flat profile with no rapid increase in intensity. Another difference is that this time series possesses just a single statistically significant frequency (>95%) at 4.4 mHz.
As a final example of flare-like events in cool O\textsc{v} loops, we show in Fig. 7 an example of a stationary flaring event observed in the 33813 dataset. Here the flare appeared at the apex of the loop ($X \approx 995'' \rightarrow 1015'', Y \approx -340'' \rightarrow -320''$) and remained there for the duration of its existence, some 50 min. The evolution of the maximum intensity in this stationary flare may be seen in Fig. 2d. In this time series, unlike, in Figs. 2a and b, the measured oscillations are found in the rising arm of the light curve before the maximum brightening occurs (at \(\approx 50\) min). This stationary flare lasting for over 50 min is similar to the longer flare type discussed in Fig. 1 of Nakariakov et al. (2004), where the oscillations also largely occur in the rising arm of the flare’s light curve. This stationary flare possesses two harmonics of oscillation at 2.10 mHz and 5.04 mHz as shown in Fig. 8. Given the frequency resolution of \(\approx 0.4\) mHz in the \(\approx 40\) min of time series examined in Fig. 8, these two frequencies are likely to be harmonics of each other. We note the much larger amplitudes of oscillation (44\% and 26\%) in this stationary flare compared to the PDs of Figs. 3 and 5.

Examining Fig. 1, it can be seen that the essentially horizontal loop in which the PD is present extends out to a solar $X$ of \(\approx 920''\). So the minimum distance from the loop apex to the footpoints is \(\approx 90''\). If we assume the loop is semi-circular, and 90'' is the radius, then the total loop length (L) is \(2.02 \times 10^8\) m. Using this L, and a temperature of 0.25 MK for O\textsc{v}, in Eq. (3) of Tsiklauri et al. (2004) gives an expected 2nd harmonic period of 2.66 \(\times 10^3\) s (0.376 mHz) for standing acoustic waves. This is an order of magnitude larger than the “2nd” order harmonic found in Fig. 3, i.e., 224 s (or 4.46 mHz). This implies that, if the oscillations we see are due to low harmonic orders, then the propagation speed of the waves must also be larger than the sound speed by an order of magnitude. This then strongly suggests that we are observing fast kink waves, which are the only waves (cf. Aschwanden et al. 1999; Nakariakov & Ofman 2001) that would match our observed periods of between 164–476 s (2.1–6.1 mHz). We note that intensity oscillations from fast kink waves can be observed despite their incompressibility as explained in Cooper et al. (2003). If we assume that the periods seen in Fig. 3 are due to fast kink waves, and that 2.23 (\(\approx 448\) s) mHz is the 1st order harmonic, then using Eq. (4) of Aschwanden et al. (1999), and our loop length (L) of \(2.02 \times 10^8\) m, gives a kink velocity, $c_k$, of 901 ± 325 km s$^{-1}$. The large uncertainty comes from taking the frequency resolution of 0.773 mHz in Fig. 3 as the (conservative) error in the measured frequency and assuming an error of 10\% in the loop limb is located at a solar $X$ of \(\approx 920''\). So the minimum distance from the loop apex to the footpoints is \(\approx 90''\). If we assume the loop is semi-circular, and 90'' is the radius, then the total loop length (L) is \(2.02 \times 10^8\) m. Using this L, and a temperature of 0.25 MK for O\textsc{v}, in Eq. (3) of Tsiklauri et al. (2004) gives an expected 2nd harmonic period of 2.66 \(\times 10^3\) s (0.376 mHz) for standing acoustic waves. This is an order of magnitude larger than the “2nd” order harmonic found in Fig. 3, i.e., 224 s (or 4.46 mHz). This implies that, if the oscillations we see are due to low harmonic orders, then the propagation speed of the waves must also be larger than the sound speed by an order of magnitude. This then strongly suggests that we are observing fast kink waves, which are the only waves (cf. Aschwanden et al. 1999; Nakariakov & Ofman 2001) that would match our observed periods of between 164–476 s (2.1–6.1 mHz). We note that intensity oscillations from fast kink waves can be observed despite their incompressibility as explained in Cooper et al. (2003). If we assume that the periods seen in Fig. 3 are due to fast kink waves, and that 2.23 (\(\approx 448\) s) mHz is the 1st order harmonic, then using Eq. (4) of Aschwanden et al. (1999), and our loop length (L) of \(2.02 \times 10^8\) m, gives a kink velocity, $c_k$, of 901 ± 325 km s$^{-1}$. The large uncertainty comes from taking the frequency resolution of 0.773 mHz in Fig. 3 as the (conservative) error in the measured frequency and assuming an error of 10\% in the loop limb is located at a solar $X$ of \(\approx 920''\). So the minimum distance from the loop apex to the footpoints is \(\approx 90''\). If we assume the loop is semi-circular, and 90'' is the radius, then the total loop length (L) is \(2.02 \times 10^8\) m. Using this L, and a temperature of 0.25 MK for O\textsc{v}, in Eq. (3) of Tsiklauri et al. (2004) gives an expected 2nd harmonic period of 2.66 \(\times 10^3\) s (0.376 mHz) for standing acoustic waves. This is an order of magnitude larger than the “2nd” order harmonic found in Fig. 3, i.e., 224 s (or 4.46 mHz). This implies that, if the oscillations we see are due to low harmonic orders, then the propagation speed of the waves must also be larger than the sound speed by an order of magnitude. This then strongly suggests that we are observing fast kink waves, which are the only waves (cf. Aschwanden et al. 1999; Nakariakov & Ofman 2001) that would match our observed periods of between 164–476 s (2.1–6.1 mHz). We note that intensity oscillations from fast kink waves can be observed despite their incompressibility as explained in Cooper et al. (2003). If we assume that the periods seen in Fig. 3 are due to fast kink waves, and that 2.23 (\(\approx 448\) s) mHz is the 1st order harmonic, then using Eq. (4) of Aschwanden et al. (1999), and our loop length (L) of \(2.02 \times 10^8\) m, gives a kink velocity, $c_k$, of 901 ± 325 km s$^{-1}$. The large uncertainty comes from taking the frequency resolution of 0.773 mHz in Fig. 3 as the (conservative) error in the measured frequency and assuming an error of 10\% in the loop
length (Nakariakov & Ofman 2001). This kink velocity is close to values found in coronal loops, e.g., by Nakariakov & Ofman (2001), once the uncertainties and the obvious differences in density between the TR and the corona are taken into account. This then suggests that the frequencies measured in Figs. 3, 5 and 8 are the 1st and 2nd order harmonics of fast kink waves. We show in Fig. 9 a space-time ($X - T$) plot traced along the curved line of the loop illuminated in the bottom of Fig. 1, between ($X \approx 975'' \rightarrow 1010''$ and $Y \approx -365'' \rightarrow -340''$), and at approximately the same times as in Fig. 3. In Fig. 9, we filtered the $X - T$, using standard Fourier hi- and band-pass filtering at each position along the $Y$ axis, in order to enhance those oscillations between 1.3–3.4 mHz (the 1st harmonic) and those above 3.4 mHz (the 2nd harmonic) (cf. Fig. 3). The presence of oscillations (the standing waves) at these frequencies is obvious, the light and dark stripes illustrating their peaks and troughs. $X - T$ plots of PD(a) and PD(b) produced similar results but we do not include them here due to a lack of space.

Movies of all the PDs shown here (Figs. 1, 4, 6 and 7) are available at http://star.arm.ac.uk/preprints.

4. Discussion and conclusions

In this letter we show the first evidence for harmonics of standing waves in cool loops, likely to be formed by the superposition of oppositely propagating fast kink waves. The standing waves are revealed as small flaring regions, either stationary or propagating at sub-sonic velocities of 12–24 km s$^{-1}$ (the PDs), temporarily illuminate the loops to TR temperatures. The low velocities at which the PDs propagate suggests they are moved either by slow mode (acoustic or slow magnetoacoustic) wave propagation or by bulk plasma flows along the loops triggered by the same flaring that produces the PDs. The flaring activity may possibly also be the mechanism that is exciting the standing waves in the loops. Mendoza-Briceño & Erdélyi (2006) found the presence of “cool gas blobs” (analogous to our PDs) traveling at mainly sub-sonic velocities to be a natural consequence of their model studying coronal loop oscillations triggered by random energy releases. They found, moreover, a suggestion that standing waves, propagating waves and moving “gas blobs” could all be present together, in excellent agreement with the results presented here. We note that Wang et al. (2005) found evidence of propagating “blobs” of plasma in hot loops as seen by SUMER/SOHO. Examining a number of our contemporaneously observed coronal lines (figures not included to save space), we find that there is no decrease or change in the coronal intensity relative to that seen in the cool loops, suggesting that the “blobs” seen in SUMER/SOHO hot loops may not be a direct high temperature counterpart of the PDs found here.
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