

# A radiation driven implosion model for the enhanced luminosity of protostars near HII regions

K. Motoyama<sup>1</sup>, T. Umemoto<sup>2</sup>, and H. Shang<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Theoretical Institute for Advanced Research in Astrophysics, Dept. of Physics, National Tsing Hua University, 101, Sec. 2, Kuang-Fu Rd., Hsin-Chu, 30013, Taiwan  
e-mail: [motoyama@tiara.sinica.edu.tw](mailto:motoyama@tiara.sinica.edu.tw)

<sup>2</sup> Nobeyama Radio Observatory, Nobeyama, Minamimaki, Minamisaku, Nagano 384-1305, Japan

<sup>3</sup> Institute of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Academia Sinica, Taipei 106, Taiwan

Received 29 December 2006 / Accepted 7 February 2007

## ABSTRACT

*Context.* Molecular clouds near H II regions tend to harbor more luminous protostars.

*Aims.* We investigate whether a radiation-driven implosion mechanism enhances the luminosity of protostars near regions of high ionizing fluxes.

*Methods.* We performed numerical simulations to model collapse of cores exposed to UV radiation from O stars. We investigated the dependence of mass loss rates on the initial density profiles of cores and variation of UV fluxes. We derived simple analytic estimates of accretion rates and final masses of protostars.

*Results.* The radiation-driven implosion mechanism can increase accretion rates of protostars by 1–2 orders of magnitude. On the other hand, mass loss due to photo-evaporation is not high enough to have a significant impact on the luminosity. The increase in accretion rate results in luminosity 1–2 orders of magnitude higher than those of protostars that form without external triggering.

*Conclusions.* Radiation-driven implosion can help explain the observed higher luminosity of protostars in molecular clouds near H II regions.

**Key words.** stars: formation – ISM: HII regions – methods: numerical

## 1. Introduction

Massive stars play a very important role in star formation in giant molecular clouds. Strong radiation from massive stars impacts on the surrounding environment, and may trigger star formation nearby. Two scenarios of triggered star formation near H II regions have been suggested. One of them is “collect and collapse” (Elmegreen & Lada 1977; Whitworth et al. 1994). As the H II region expands, it pushes ambient gas into a shell. Subsequent fragmentation and collapse of the swept-up shell may form the next generation of stars. For example, a core within one fragment of the molecular ring surrounding the Galactic H II region Sh104 contains a young cluster (Deharveng et al. 2003). Radiation-driven implosion (hereafter, RDI) is the other scenario (Bertoldi 1989; Bertoldi & McKee 1990). When an expanding H II region engulfs a cloud core, the strong UV radiation will compress the core. Bright-rimmed clouds of cometary shapes found at the edges of relatively old H II regions are explained by Lefloch & Lazareff (1994) with a RDI model. To understand star formation in giant molecular clouds, we study the effects of the RDI.

Observational results indicate that the H II regions can strongly affect star formation nearby. Dobashi et al. (2001) investigated the maximum luminosity of protostars as a function of the parent cloud mass. They found that molecular clouds near H II regions contain more luminous protostars than others. Moreover, Sugitani et al. (1989) showed that the ratios of luminosity of the protostar to core mass of bright-rimmed globules are much higher than those in dark globules. These results

indicate that the RDI affects the luminosity of protostars near H II regions.

Recent studies suggest that star formation triggered by external compression can increase accretion rates and luminosity of protostars. Motoyama & Yoshida (2003) investigated collapses of cores compressed by external shock waves and found that accretion rates were enhanced. Some observations support this result. Belloche et al. (2006) observed the Class 0 protostar IRAS 4A in the star formation cloud NGC1333, whose star formation is suspected to be triggered by powerful molecular outflows (Sandell & Knee 2001). They showed a numerical model of collapse triggered by external pressure, which reproduces the observed density and velocity profiles. Moreover, they deduced a high accretion rate of  $(0.7\text{--}2)\times 10^{-4} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ . Their result indicates that external compression increases the accretion rate of a protostar, which produces high accretion luminosity. In this paper, we attribute the origin of higher luminosity in protostars to star formation activities induced by the RDI.

Some studies have been made on RDI. Bertoldi (1989) and Bertoldi & McKee (1990) developed an approximate analytical solution for the evolution of a cloud exposed to ionizing UV radiation. Lefloch & Lazareff (1994) investigated the RDI with numerical simulations. However, their calculations did not include self-gravity of the gas. Recently, SPH simulations including self-gravity have been performed. Kessel-Deynet & Burkert (2003) investigated the effects of initial density perturbations on the dynamics of ionizing clouds, and Miao et al. (2006) focused

on cloud morphology. Despite the many studies on RDI, little attention has been given to the effects of RDI on accretion rates.

In this paper, we explore whether the RDI can enhance accretion rates by orders of magnitude compared to those of protostars that form without an external trigger. RDI is expected to enhance the accretion rates as a result of strong compression, and it would increase the luminosity of protostars. On the other hand, photo-evaporation of the parent core due to RDI decreases the mass of the protostar, and would subsequently decrease the luminosity of the new protostar. We investigate which effect dominates.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we describe our numerical method. In Sect. 3, we present our results. In Sect. 4, we discuss luminosities of protostars based on our numerical results. In Sect. 5, we summarize our main conclusions.

## 2. Numerical method

We simulate evolution of cores exposed to diffuse UV radiation. We assumed that a core of neutral gas is immersed within an H II region and is exposed to diffuse radiation from the surrounding ionized gas. In an actual H II region, the core is not only exposed to diffuse radiation but also to direct radiation from the ionizing star. The diffuse flux of Lyman continuum photons is  $\sim 15\%$  of the direct flux of Lyman continuum photons (Canto et al. 1998; Whitworth & Zinnecker 2004). The spherical core has an effective cross-section to diffuse radiation of  $4\pi r^2$  and to direct UV radiation of  $\pi r^2$ , respectively. The ratio of total number of diffuse photons to total number of direct photons is  $\sim 0.6$ . Our assumption underestimates the total amount of ionizing UV photons by a factor of  $\sim 2.6$ . Since the purpose of this paper is to investigate the effects of RDI on the accretion rate of a protostar, we neglected direct radiation and considered only diffuse radiation for simplicity. Effects of direct radiation and morphology of the core are beyond the purpose of the present paper, and will be discussed in our next paper using two dimensional simulations.

The ionizing UV photons enter from the outer boundary of the computational domain. The number flux of these UV photons is expressed as

$$F_i = 0.15 \times \frac{N_L}{4\pi d^2}, \quad (1)$$

where  $N_L$  is the total flux of ionizing UV photons from the star, and  $d$  is distance from the ionizing star to the core. We assume that the ionizing star is an O8V or an O4V star, whose  $\log N_L$  is  $48.87 \text{ s}^{-1}$  and  $49.70 \text{ s}^{-1}$ , respectively (Vacca et al. 1996).

We assumed that the sound speed is a function of the ionization fraction  $x$ . The hot ionized gas has a temperature of  $\sim 10^4 \text{ K}$  in an H II region. To imitate conditions of the hot ionized gas ( $x = 1$ ), the sound speed of the ionized gas  $c_i$  was set to  $13 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . The neutral gas ( $x = 0$ ) has two states: warm gas, if the density is lower than a critical density  $\rho_{\text{crit}} = 1.67 \times 10^{-23} (10 m_{\text{H}}) \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ , where  $m_{\text{H}}$  is the mass of hydrogen atom, and cold gas, if the density is higher than the critical density  $\rho_{\text{crit}}$ . We introduce this artificial condition for the initial pressure balance between the core and the rarefied warm gas surrounding it. The rarefied warm gas is ionized in a very short time and will hardly affect the time evolution of the core. The sound speed of neutral gas  $c_n$  is expressed as

$$c_n = \begin{cases} c_{\text{warm}} = 10 \text{ km s}^{-1} & \text{if } \rho \leq \rho_{\text{crit}} \\ c_{\text{cold}} = 0.2 \text{ km s}^{-1} & \text{if } \rho > \rho_{\text{crit}} \end{cases}, \quad (2)$$

where  $c_{\text{warm}}$  and  $c_{\text{cold}}$  are the sound speeds of warm gas and cold gas, respectively. The sound speed of the partially ionized gas ( $0 < x < 1$ ) is given as

$$c = \sqrt{(1-x)c_n^2 + xc_i^2}. \quad (3)$$

We assume that the initial core is a Bonnor-Ebert sphere, whose mass  $M_0$  is set to  $3 M_{\odot}$  or  $30 M_{\odot}$ . A Bonnor-Ebert sphere is a self-gravitating isothermal sphere in hydrostatic equilibrium with a surrounding pressurized medium (Bonnor 1956). Gravitational stability of a Bonnor-Ebert sphere is characterized by a non-dimensional physical truncation radius  $\xi_{\text{max}} = r_{\text{out}} \sqrt{4\pi G \rho_c} / c$ , where  $r_{\text{out}}$  is the truncation radius,  $G$  is the gravitational constant, and  $\rho_c$  is the central density. If  $\xi_{\text{max}}$  is smaller than the critical value  $\xi_{\text{crit}} = 6.45$ , the Bonnor-Ebert sphere is stable. All Bonnor-Ebert spheres that we use in the calculations are gravitationally stable ( $\xi_{\text{max}} = 3, 4, 5$ ).

It is reasonable to assume that the initial density distribution of the core has spherical symmetry. The density distribution of the core is assumed to remain unchanged while the core is immersed within an expanding H II region. The sound crossing-time of the Bonnor-Ebert sphere in model C is  $7.02 \times 10^5 \text{ yr}$ , and the expansion velocity of the H II region is approximated well with  $\dot{R}_i = c_i (R_i / R_{\text{st}})^{-3/4}$  at  $R_i > R_{\text{st}}$ , where  $R_i$  and  $R_{\text{st}}$  are the radii of the H II region and the Strömgren sphere. If we assume  $R_i = 10 \text{ pc}$  and an ambient density of  $100 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , the timescale on which the H II region engulfs the core,  $2R_0 / \dot{R}_i$ , is  $4.92 \times 10^4 \text{ yr}$ . This timescale is shorter than the sound-crossing time, and the adoption of spherical symmetry is acceptable for simplicity.

We have computed seven models of collapse of cores exposed to UV radiation from an O star. We summarize the parameters of our simulations in Table 1. For the three models (A, B, and C) we assume that the mass of the Bonnor-Ebert sphere is  $3 M_{\odot}$  and the ionizing star is an O8V star. These three models differ in the non-dimensional physical truncation radii of the Bonnor-Ebert spheres  $\xi_{\text{max}}$ . Bonnor-Ebert spheres with larger  $\xi_{\text{max}}$  have higher central densities and smaller radii. For other three models (D, E, and F) we assume that the ionizing star is an O4V star. In model G, we computed the case of a more massive  $30 M_{\odot}$  core, exposed to UV radiation from an O8V star.

We perform simulations in one spatial dimension using the Godunov method. Our hydrodynamical code has second-order accuracy in both space and time. The hydrodynamic equations are

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial r^2 \rho v}{\partial r} = 0, \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho v}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial r^2 \rho v^2}{\partial r} = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial r} - \frac{GM(r)\rho}{r^2}, \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{\partial M}{\partial r} = 4\pi r^2 \rho, \quad (6)$$

where  $r$  is the radius,  $\rho$  is the density,  $v$  is the radial velocity,  $P$  is the pressure, and  $M$  is the mass within radius  $r$ . For near-isothermality, the ratio of specific heat,  $\gamma$ , is set to be 1.001. The gas is assumed to be an ideal gas.

We solved the radiative transfer equation for the diffuse UV radiation using a two-stream approximation. Canto et al. (1998) first treated the diffuse UV radiation using an iterative two-stream approximation. Pavlakis et al. (2001) simplified the method and showed that a non-iterative version serves as a good approximation for centrally-peaked density distributions.

We adopted the latter for our Bonnor-Ebert spheres. The equations of evolution for the ionization fraction and propagation of diffuse UV radiation are given by

$$\frac{Dx}{Dt} = -\alpha x^2 n + 2(1-x)\sigma F(r), \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{dF}{dr} = -2(1-x)n\sigma F(r), \quad (8)$$

where  $n$  is the number density,  $F$  is the number flux of ionizing photons,  $\alpha = 2.7 \times 10^{-13} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$  is the recombination coefficient into the excited state ( $n \geq 2$ ), and  $\sigma = 3.0 \times 10^{-18} \text{cm}^2$  is the ionization cross-section. These equations were included in the hydrodynamic solver as follows. First, we solved Eqs. (4)–(6) by the Godunov method, in which electron density  $xn$  is treated as a passively advected variable. After that, we integrated Eqs. (7)–(8) implicitly (Williams 1999). Pressure and internal energy were updated using the new ionization fraction.

We verified our procedure above by a test problem described in Lefloch & Lazareff (1994). We simulated the time evolution of uniform neutral gas illuminated by an ionizing flux increasing linearly with time in one dimensional Cartesian coordinates. An ionization front propagates with constant velocity, and neutral gas accumulates ahead of the ionization front. We adopted the same parameters as Lefloch & Lazareff (1994). Deviations between numerical results and the analytic solutions were less than 1% and 5% for the density and the velocity, respectively. Moreover, we checked the accuracy of our code in spherical coordinates by comparing with the self-similar solution of Shu (1977).

The sink cell method (e.g. Boss & Black 1982) was used to avoid the long computational times encountered near the center. As the gravitational collapse proceeds, the infall velocity increases at the central region and the time step that satisfies the Courant-Friedrichs-Lewy (CFL) condition, which is necessary for numerical stability, reduces. If the central density exceeds the reference density  $\rho_{\text{sink}} \equiv 10^8 \rho_c$ , the central 10 grids within 10 AU will be treated as sink cells. The mass that enters the sink cell is treated as a point mass located at  $r = 0$ . The boundary condition at the outer boundary of the sink cell was given by extrapolation from the neighboring cells. Since the infall velocity near the sink cell is supersonic, this method does not affect the flow of outer gas.

We used non-uniform grids in order to obtain high resolution at the central region. Sizes of the  $i$ th grid from the center were given as  $\Delta r_i = (1 + \epsilon)\Delta r_{i-1}$ , where  $\epsilon$  is 0.02, and the size of the most inner grid is 1 AU. The outer boundary is located at  $3r_{\text{out}}$ . For example, we allocate 1473 grids in model C. For the core itself, 1200 grids were allocated. Reflecting and free boundary conditions were imposed at the inner and outer boundaries, respectively.

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Time evolution

To illustrate common features of the time evolution, we describe results in model C with  $d = 9$  pc listed in Table 1. Figure 1 shows the time evolution of density, velocity, ionization fraction, and pressure. Initially, the core was in hydrostatic equilibrium with the rarefied warm neutral gas. At  $t = 0$ , UV radiation, whose UV photon flux is  $F_i$ , started and radiated from the outer boundary. The outer layer of the core was ionized by UV radiation. Temperature and pressure at this outer layer increased

**Table 1.** Parameters adopted in the simulations.

Model	$\xi_{\text{max}}^a$	$\rho_c^b$ [g cm <sup>-3</sup> ]	$M_0^c$ [ $M_\odot$ ]	Spectral type of ionizing star	$d^d$ [pc]
A	3	$1.05 \times 10^{-20}$	3	O8V	3–10
B	4	$3.01 \times 10^{-20}$	3	O8V	3–10
C	5	$6.09 \times 10^{-20}$	3	O8V	3–10
D	3	$1.05 \times 10^{-20}$	3	O4V	3–10
E	4	$3.01 \times 10^{-20}$	3	O4V	3–10
F	5	$6.09 \times 10^{-20}$	3	O4V	3–10
G	5	$6.09 \times 10^{-22}$	30	O8V	3–10

<sup>a</sup> Non-dimensional truncation radius of initial core (Bonnor-Ebert sphere). <sup>b</sup> Central density of initial core. <sup>c</sup> Total mass of initial core. <sup>d</sup> Distance from ionizing star.

drastically. The hot ionized gas then expanded outwards, accelerated to  $\sim 40 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , and drove a shockwave into the core. At  $t = 1.02 \times 10^5$  yr, the shockwave reached the center of the core. The dashed line in Fig. 1a shows that the core is compressed into a small region of radius  $\sim 3700$  AU at this time. The density becomes  $\sim 10^3$  times higher than the initial density and the core becomes gravitationally unstable.


The core collapses subsequently. We see from Fig. 1a and b that density and velocity of the compressed core increase rapidly after  $t = 1.02 \times 10^5$  yr due to self-gravity. After the central density exceeds the reference value  $\rho_{\text{sink}}$ , the sink-cell treatment turns on, at which point a protostar forms at the center of the core. At this phase, inner region of the core accretes onto the central protostar, and the ionized outer layer expands outward.

Velocities of the shock front and the ionization front remain nearly constant, on the other hand. Figure 2 shows locations of the ionization and the shock fronts as functions of time. The velocity of the shock front is a constant value of  $\sim 1.37 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . The analytic estimation described in Sect. 4.1 shows a similar velocity of  $1.18 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . For convenience, we define the ionization front at the location where the ionization fraction is 0.9. How the ionization front is defined hardly affects the results, since, as shown in Fig. 1c, the transition layer from neutral to ionized gas is very thin. The average velocity of the ionization front is  $1.23 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , and analytic estimation shows a similar velocity of  $1.14 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ .

Some fraction of the incident UV photons is absorbed in the layer of the photo-evaporated gas. In this layer, ionized hydrogen atoms recombine with electrons at the rate of  $\alpha x^2 n$  per unit volume per unit time. Some UV photons are used for ionization of these recombined hydrogen atoms. We define  $Q$ , the ratio of UV photon flux at the ionization front to the incident UV photon flux, as the photo-evaporation rate of the core. Figure 3 shows  $Q$  as a function of radius. Spitzer (1978) derived an analytic estimation of  $Q$  as

$$Q = \frac{2}{1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{4\alpha F_i r_{\text{IF}}}{3c_i^2}}}, \quad (9)$$

where  $r_{\text{IF}}$  is the radius of the ionization front. Our numerical results show a slightly larger value than that of the analytic estimation by assumptions. Spitzer (1978) assumed that the photo-evaporated gas expands at the constant sound speed  $c_i$ . As shown in Fig. 1b, however, the photo-evaporated gas accelerated to  $\sim 3c_i$  ( $\sim 40 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ) in our simulations due to the pressure gradient. This high velocity reduces the density of the photo-evaporated gas. As a result, the recombination rate of hydrogen atoms is smaller than that of the analytic estimate.



**Fig. 1.** Time evolution of **a)** density profile, **b)** velocity profile, **c)** ionization fraction and **d)** pressure profile in the model with  $\xi_{\max} = 5$  and  $d = 9$  pc. The dotted lines denote the profiles at  $t = 0$ . The profiles at  $t = 0.66 \times 10^5$  yr,  $t = 1.02 \times 10^5$  yr, and  $t = 1.09 \times 10^5$  yr are labeled by dash-dotted, dashed, and solid lines, respectively.

### 3.2. Accretion rates

As a reference, we simulate the spontaneous collapse of the core without UV radiation. Since the thermal pressure balances the gravitational force in the Bonnor-Ebert sphere, we slightly enhance the density to  $\rho = 1.05 \rho_{\text{BE}}$ , where  $\rho_{\text{BE}}$  is the density of a marginally stable Bonnor-Ebert sphere ( $\xi_{\max} = 6.45$ ) to initiate collapse. This core whose mass is  $3 M_{\odot}$  collapses by self-gravity, and the average value of the accretion rate is  $7.4 \times 10^{-6} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ .

The RDI indeed increases accretion rates. Figure 4 shows the accretion rate as a function of time in model C with  $d = 9$  pc. The accretion rates are measured at the radius of 10 AU. The horizontal line indicates the average accretion obtained from the reference model. At  $t = 1.02 \times 10^5$  yr, the central density reaches the threshold density  $\rho_{\text{sink}}$ , and the sink cell method turns on. The accretion rate increases rapidly afterwards, and lasts for  $\sim 10^4$  yr. Figure 5 shows the average values of accretion rate as a function of distance from the ionizing star. The accretion rates increase with decreasing distance from the ionizing star, and with increasing UV photon flux. If the cores are located within 10 pc from an ionizing star, the accretion rates can go 1–2 orders higher than models without UV radiation.


The accretion rates not only depend on the UV photon fluxes but also on  $\xi_{\max}$  and  $M_0$ . Cores with larger values of  $\xi_{\max}$  have smaller radii and higher densities. Shock velocities and densities in post-shock regions are larger in the models with small  $\xi_{\max}$ . Gas of higher density has a shorter free-fall time, and reaches an accretion rate higher in the models with smaller  $\xi_{\max}$ . We will compare this with analytic estimates. In the model G, not only a small free-fall time but also the large mass of compressed core is responsible for the high accretion rate.

### 3.3. Final masses


Photo-evaporation can reduce the actual mass that accretes onto the protostar. In the outer layer, hot ionized gas expands outward at a velocity up to  $\sim 40 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . Photo-evaporation of gas takes place at  $\sqrt{2GM}/r$ , except for the case of very small cores. The escape velocity of the Bonnor-Ebert sphere in model C is  $\sim 0.42 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , for example, is much smaller than that of the photo-evaporated flow. Photo-evaporation prevents a large amount of gas from accreting onto the protostar, and the final mass of the protostar can be small due to mass loss by photo-evaporation.

The final masses of protostars decrease with decreasing distance from the ionizing star. Figure 6 shows the final masses of protostars as functions of distance from the ionizing star. The mass of a protostar is defined as mass that has entered sink cells, and calculations continue until accretion rates become sufficiently small ( $10^{-9} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ). Mass loss due to photo-evaporation at the surface of the core is proportional to the incident UV photon flux and final masses of protostars are smaller near the ionizing star. For an O8V or an O4V star, mass loss due to photo-evaporation varies from several percent to several tenths of a percent of the initial core mass. The more massive the ionizing star becomes, the smaller the final mass.

The final masses not only depend on the UV photon fluxes but also on the sizes of cores. Figure 6 shows that mass loss due to photo-evaporation is significant in the model G, in which the initial radius of the core is 10 times larger than that in the model C. The larger core has a larger photo-evaporation rate and longer time to be exposed to UV radiation because of the larger surface area and longer crossing time of the shock wave. This is the reason why the core loses large amounts of mass in model G.



**Fig. 2.** Location of the ionization front and shock front. The thick (black) and thin (red) solid lines label the position of shock front and ionization front in model C with  $d = 9$  pc, respectively. The thick (black) and thin (red) dashed lines label the analytic estimates described in Sect. 4.1, respectively.



**Fig. 3.** The ratio of UV photon flux at the ionization front to incident UV photon flux as a function of radius in the model C with  $d = 9$  pc. The dashed line indicates the analytic estimation which is given by Eq. (9).

For the same reason, final masses are small in the models with small  $\xi_{\max}$ .

## 4. Discussion


### 4.1. Analytic estimates

In this section, we derive analytic estimates of final mass and accretion rates of protostars under the influence of RDI. For simplicity, we assume that a core initially has a uniform density  $n_0$  and a radius  $R_0$ . The core is exposed to UV radiation whose number flux of UV photons is  $F_i$ . Spherical symmetry is assumed.


The final mass of protostar  $M_f$  is expressed as

$$M_f = M_0 - \int_0^{t_s} 4\pi r_{\text{IF}}(t)^2 \dot{m} dt, \quad (10)$$

where  $r_{\text{IF}}(t)$  is the radius of the ionization front at time  $t$ ,  $\dot{m}$  is the mass loss flux at radius  $r_{\text{IF}}(t)$ , and  $t_s$  is the crossing time of the shockwave. The second term on the right-hand side of Eq. (10) expresses mass loss due to photo-evaporation. We only consider mass loss during the compression phase when the core is compressed into a small region by the shock. After the compression,



**Fig. 4.** Accretion rate as a function of time in the model C with  $d = 9$  pc. The horizontal line indicates the average value of the accretion rate in the model without UV radiation.



**Fig. 5.** The average accretion rates as functions of distance from the ionizing star. Open diamonds, open squares, open circles label results from the model A, B, and C, respectively. Filled diamonds, filled squares, filled circles label models D, E, and F, respectively. The crosses are for the model G. The horizontal line indicates the average accretion rate in the reference model. The dotted line, dash-dotted line and dashed line indicate analytic estimation for models A, B, and C, respectively.


the core collapses within the free-fall time of the compressed cores that is much shorter than  $t_s$ . We need  $\dot{m}$ ,  $t_s$ , and  $r_{\text{IF}}(t)$  to estimate the final mass of protostars using Eq. (10).

The mass loss flux  $\dot{m}$  due to photo-evaporation is expressed as

$$\dot{m} = m_H Q F_i, \quad (11)$$

where  $Q$  is the ratio of the UV photon flux that arrives at the surface of the core to the incident UV photon flux  $F_i$ . The UV photons ionize the outer layer of the core, but some fraction is absorbed in the photo-evaporated flow. As shown in Fig. 3,  $Q$  approaches unity as the ionization front enters the inner region of the core. However, we assume that  $Q$  remains constant through the compression phase and calculate the value of  $Q$  at the radius  $R_0$  using Eq. (9).

The shock velocity  $u_s$  is derived following Bertoldi (1989) to estimate the shock crossing time  $t_s$ . Assuming that ram pressure



**Fig. 6.** Ratio of final mass of protostar to initial core mass as a function of distance from the ionizing star. The open diamonds, the open squares, the open circles indicate results in the models A, B, and C, respectively. The filled diamonds, the filled squares, the filled circles indicate results in the models D, E, and F, respectively. The crosses indicate results in the model G. The dotted line, the dash-dotted line and the dashed line indicate analytic estimations for model A, B, and C, respectively.

of the flow equals thermal pressure of the post-shock region, we obtain

$$n_0 u_s^2 = c_n^2 n_1, \quad (12)$$

where  $n_0$  and  $n_1$  are number densities of the undisturbed region and the post-shock region, respectively. The equation of mass continuity at the ionization front gives

$$QF_i = n_1 u'_{\text{IF}}, \quad (13)$$

where  $u'_{\text{IF}}$  is the velocity of ionization front in the rest frame of the post-shock region. The ionization front is assumed to be D-critical (cf. Spitzer 1978), and the velocity of the ionization front is given as

$$u'_{\text{IF}} \simeq \frac{c_n^2}{2c_i}. \quad (14)$$

Substituting Eqs. (14) into (13) gives

$$2QC_i F_i = c_n^2 n_1. \quad (15)$$

Combining Eqs. (12) and (15), we obtain

$$u_s = \sqrt{\frac{2c_i QF_i}{n_0}}. \quad (16)$$

Using Eq. (16), the shock crossing time is expressed as

$$t_s = \frac{R_0}{\sqrt{\frac{2c_i QF_i}{n_0}}}. \quad (17)$$

We derive the velocity of the ionization front  $u_{\text{IF}}$  in the rest frame of the undisturbed region to obtain the radius of the ionization front  $r_{\text{IF}}(t)$ . Taking into account  $n_1 = \left(\frac{u_s}{c_n}\right)^2 n_0$  and  $n_0 u_s = n_1 (u_s - v_1)$ , we obtain

$$v_1 = u_s - \frac{c_n^2}{u_s}, \quad (18)$$

where  $v_1$  is the velocity of the post-shock region in the rest frame of the undisturbed region. The velocity of the ionization front is

$$u_{\text{IF}} = u_s - \frac{c_n^2}{u_s} + \frac{c_n^2}{2c_i}. \quad (19)$$

Using  $u_{\text{IF}}$ , radius of the ionization front is expressed as

$$r_{\text{IF}} = R_0 - u_{\text{IF}} t. \quad (20)$$

The final mass of the protostar using Eq. (10) gives

$$M_f = M_0 - 4\pi m_{\text{H}} QF_i \left( R_0^2 t_s - R_0 u_{\text{IF}} t_s^2 + \frac{u_{\text{IF}}^2}{3} t_s^3 \right). \quad (21)$$

This equation gives us the analytic estimate of the final mass of a protostar influenced by RDI.

The accretion rate, over a free-fall time, is roughly estimated by

$$\dot{M} = \frac{M_f}{t_{\text{ff}}}, \quad (22)$$

where  $t_{\text{ff}} = \sqrt{\frac{3\pi}{32\rho_i G}}$  is the free-fall time of the compressed core. The core is compressed into a radius of  $r_{\text{IF}}(t_s)$  when the shock reaches its center. The density of the compressed core is then given as

$$\rho_f = \frac{M_f}{\frac{4\pi}{3} r_{\text{IF}}(t_s)^3}, \quad (23)$$

and Eq. (22) gives us the analytic estimate of the accretion rate.

#### 4.2. Luminosity

The luminosity of a protostar is mainly produced by accretion, because nuclear burning does not influence much of the luminosity during the protostellar collapse phase. The accretion luminosity  $L$  is expressed as

$$L = \frac{GM_* \dot{M}}{R_*}, \quad (24)$$

where  $M_*$  is the mass,  $\dot{M}$  is the accretion rate, and  $R_*$  is the radius of the protostar. Enhancement of accretion rates due to RDI has a large effect on the luminosity. Although the accretion rate depends not only on the distance from the ionizing star but also on the  $\xi_{\text{max}}$  of the Bonnor-Ebert sphere, it is relatively not as sensitive to  $\xi_{\text{max}}$  compared to the distance. Our results show that for cores located within 10 pc of an ionizing star, the accretion rates becomes 1–2 orders of magnitude higher than those without triggering from the UV radiation.

Enhanced luminosity around bright-rimmed clouds are seen in observations. Sugitani et al. (1989) observed three bright-rimmed globules associated with cold IRAS sources in  $^{13}\text{CO}(J = 1-0)$  emission. They compared the physical parameters with four isolated dark globules and found that the ratios of the luminosity to globule mass, 3–13  $L_{\odot}/M_{\odot}$ , are 1–2 orders higher than the values of isolated dark globules, 0.03–0.3  $L_{\odot}/M_{\odot}$ , as shown by our calculations. These objects are good candidates for influence by the RDI.

Protostars near HII regions may spend most of their lifetime in the state of high luminosity. If the duration of the high accretion rate is much shorter than the lifetime of the protostar, it is relatively difficult to catch the protostar in its high-luminosity state by observations. In the simulations, the protostellar phase

**Table 2.** Star formation regions of potential triggered origins.

Cloud	Spectral type of main ionizing star	Projected distance from ionizing star	Estimated shock velocity	Evidence of triggered star formation
Molecular pillars in M16 <sup>1</sup>	O5V	2 pc	1.3 km s <sup>-1</sup>	age gradient of YSOs
IC1396N <sup>2</sup>	O6.5f	11 pc	0.6 km s <sup>-1</sup>	age gradient of YSOs
SFO11, SFO11NE, SFO11E <sup>3</sup>	O6V	11 pc	1.4 km s <sup>-1</sup>	on-going star formation near ionization front
Orion bright-rimmed clouds <sup>4</sup>	O8III <sup>a</sup> or O6: <sup>b</sup>	–	–	on-going star formation near ionization front

<sup>a</sup> Ionizing star of B30 and B35. <sup>b</sup> Ionizing star of IC2118, LDN1616, and LDN1634.

References: (1) Fukuda et al. (2002); White et al. (1999); (2) Getman et al. (2007); (3) Thompson et al. (2004); (4) Lee et al. (2005).

begins from the time when the sink-cell method is turned on and lasts until 99% of the final mass has accreted within the sink cells. The lifetime of the protostar in model C is  $9.1 \times 10^3$  yr with  $d = 9$  pc. As shown in Fig. 4, the accretion rate is enhanced for a duration of  $8.4 \times 10^3$  yr, comparable to the lifetime of the protostar. It is likely that protostars near H II regions are observed in the state of higher luminosity.

#### 4.3. Comparison with observations

Evidence of triggered star formation has been suggested at the edges of many H II regions. Some observations favor the scenario of sequential star formation around a massive star. Lee et al. (2005) selected candidates of pre-main sequence stars based on 2MASS colors in the Orion region, and revealed the spatial distribution of classical T Tauri stars using their spectroscopic observations. The pre-main sequence stars form between the ionizing star and the bright-rimmed clouds, but not deeply inside the cloud. The youngest stars are found near the cloud surfaces, forming an apparent age gradient. As the H II region expands, it might be able to trigger star formation sequentially from near to far. Several such examples are summarized in Table 2.

RDI may contribute to the triggering mechanism around a massive star. Lee et al. (2005) found that only bright-rimmed clouds with strong IRAS 100  $\mu\text{m}$  and H $\alpha$  emission show signs of ongoing star formation. This suggests that star formation may have been triggered by strong compression from the ionization shock, because these emissions are tracers of dense gas and ionization. Small-scale age gradients of YSOs near the ionization fronts are seen in some molecular clouds. Fukuda et al. (2002) observed heads of molecular pillars  $\Pi_1$  and  $\Pi_2$  in the Eagle Nebula (M 16). They found that protostars and starless cores are ordered in age sequence. The more evolved objects are closer to the cloud heads (and the ionizing star) and the younger objects are farther from the exciting star. Similar age gradients are also seen in bright-rimmed clouds (Sugitani et al. 1995) and the cometary globule IC1396N (Getman et al. 2007). These age gradients near the ionization front seem to suggest that star formation may be sequentially triggered by ionization shocks.

Stars formed facing the H II regions appear to be more luminous. Yamaguchi et al. (1999) carried out  $^{13}\text{CO}(J = 1-0)$  observations toward 23 southern H II regions and identified 95 molecular clouds associated with H II regions. They found that IRAS point sources tend to be more luminous on the side facing an H II region. Their result is consistent with enhanced luminosity as a result of the RDI.

Shock speeds derived from observations are similar. White et al. (1999) and Thompson et al. (2004) derived shock velocities from pressure in pre- and post-shock regions. Getman et al. (2007) derived shock velocity from the age gradients of YSOs. Their estimated values of a few km s<sup>-1</sup> are consistent with our results. For example, Thompson et al. (2004) estimated that the shock velocity in bright-rimmed cloud SFO11 is  $\sim 1.4$  km s<sup>-1</sup>. SFO11 is illuminated by an O6V star whose projected distance is 11 pc. These conditions are close to those in model C with  $d = 9$ , whose ionizing star is an O8V star. As described in section 3.1, the averaged shock velocity is  $\sim 1.37$  km s<sup>-1</sup> in this model.

Lee & Chen (2006) found that classical T Tauri stars exist near the surfaces of bright-rimmed clouds and intermediate mass stars exist relatively farside clouds. One possible difference in stellar mass may be the density or mass in the parent cores. Photo-evaporation may reduce the mass that would otherwise accrete onto the stars. In our simulations a few percent to several tenths of a percent of the initial mass may be lost by photo-evaporation. The mass of young stars may be smaller near the surface of bright-rimmed clouds compared to inner regions.

## 5. Conclusions

We simulate the evolution of cores exposed to strong UV radiation around H II regions. We investigate possible effects of radiation-driven implosion on the accretion luminosity of forming protostars. We also developed analytic estimates of accretion rates and final masses of protostars, which are compared to the numerical results.

The main findings are:

1. Radiation-driven implosion can compress the cores and decrease the free-fall time.
2. The accretion rates are positively influenced by the incident UV photon flux.
3. Photo-evaporation of the parent cores may decrease the final masses of protostars. However, mass loss due to photo-evaporation does not significantly affect the accretion luminosity.
4. The final mass of a protostar depends mainly on the size of the parent core and incident UV photon flux. The final mass of a protostar decreases with the increase of UV photon flux. Dense compact cores, on the other hand, are hardly affected by mass loss due to RDI.
5. The RDI increases the luminosity of protostars by more orders of magnitude than spontaneous star formation without RDI.

*Acknowledgements.* The authors would like to thank Wen-Ping Chen, Huei-Ru Chen, Jennifer Karr, and Ronald Taam for helpful discussions. This work is

supported by the Theoretical Institute for Advanced Research in Astrophysics (TIARA) operated under Academia Sinica, and the National Science Council Excellence Projects program in Taiwan administered through grant number NSC95-2752-M-001-008-PAE, and NSC95-2752-M-001-001. Numerical computations were in part carried out on VPP5000 at the Astronomical Data Analysis Center, ADAC, of the National Astronomical Observatory of Japan.

## References

- Belloche, A., Hennebelle, P., & André, P. 2006, *A&A*, 453, 145  
 Bertoldi, F. 1989, *ApJ*, 346, 735  
 Bertoldi, F., & McKee, C. F. 1990, *ApJ*, 354, 529  
 Bonnor, W. B. 1956, *MNRAS*, 116, 351  
 Boss, A. P., & Black, D. C. 1982, *ApJ*, 258, 270  
 Canto, J., Raga, A., Steffen, W., & Shapiro, P. 1998, *ApJ*, 502, 695  
 Deharveng, L., Lefloch, B., Zavagno, A., et al. 2003, *A&A*, 408, L25  
 Dobashi, K., Yonekura, Y., Matsumoto, T., et al. 2001, *PASJ*, 53, 85  
 Elmegreen, B. G., & Lada, C. J. 1977, *ApJ*, 214, 725  
 Fukuda, N., Hanawa, T., & Sugitani, K. 2002, *ApJ*, 568, L127  
 Getman, K. V., Feigelson, E. D., Garmire, G., Broos, P., & Wang, J. 2007, *ApJ*, 654, 316  
 Kessel-Deynet, O., & Burkert, A. 2003, *MNRAS*, 338, 545  
 Lee, H.-T., & Chen, W. P. 2006, *ApJ*, submitted, [arXiv:astro-ph/0509315]  
 Lee, H.-T., Chen, W. P., Zhang, Z.-W., & Hu, J.-Y. 2005, *ApJ*, 624, 808  
 Lefloch, B., & Lazareff, B. 1994, *A&A*, 289, 559  
 Miao, J., White, G. J., Nelson, R., Thompson, M., & Morgan, L. 2006, *MNRAS*, 369, 143  
 Motoyama, K., & Yoshida, T. 2003, *MNRAS*, 344, 461  
 Pavlakis, K. G., Williams, R. J. R., Dyson, J. E., Falle, S. A. E. G., & Hartquist, T. W. 2001, *A&A*, 369, 263  
 Sandell, G., & Knee, L. B. G. 2001, *ApJ*, 546, L49  
 Shu, F. H. 1977, *ApJ*, 214, 488  
 Spitzer, L. 1978, *Physical processes in the interstellar medium* (New York: Wiley-Interscience), 333  
 Sugitani, K., Fukui, Y., Mizuno, A., & Ohashi, N. 1989, *ApJ*, 342, L87  
 Sugitani, K., Tamura, M., & Ogura, K. 1995, *ApJ*, 455, L39  
 Thompson, M. A., White, G. J., Morgan, L. K., et al. 2004, *A&A*, 414, 1017  
 Vacca, W. D., Garmany, C. D., & Shull, J. M. 1996, *ApJ*, 460, 914  
 White, G. J., Nelson, R. P., Holland, W. S., et al. 1999, *A&A*, 342, 233  
 Whitworth, A. P., & Zinnecker, H. 2004, *A&A*, 427, 299  
 Whitworth, A. P., Bhattal, A. S., Chapman, S. J., Disney, M. J., & Turner, J. A. 1994, *MNRAS*, 268, 291  
 Williams, R. J. R. 1999, *MNRAS*, 310, 789  
 Yamaguchi, R., Saito, H., Mizuno, N., et al. 1999, *PASJ*, 51, 791