

Long term photometric monitoring with the Mercator telescope[★]

Frequencies and mode identification of variable O-B stars^{★★}

P. De Cat¹, M. Briquet^{2,***}, C. Aerts^{2,3}, K. Goossens², S. Saesen^{2,†}, J. Cuypers¹, K. Yakut^{2,4}, R. Scufflaire⁵, M.-A. Dupret⁶, K. Uytterhoeven^{2,7,8}, H. Van Winckel², G. Raskin^{2,8}, G. Davignon^{2,8}, L. Le Guillou^{2,8}, R. Van Malderen², M. Reyniers^{2,***}, B. Acke^{2,***}, W. De Meester², J. Vanautgaerden², B. Vandebussche², T. Verhoelst^{2,***}, C. Waelkens², P. Deroo², K. Reyniers², M. Auselloos², E. Broeders², J. Daszyńska-Daskiewicz^{2,9}, J. Debossher², S. De Ruyter^{2,10}, K. Lefever², G. Decin², K. Kolenberg^{2,11}, A. Mazumdar^{2,12}, C. Van Kerckhoven², J. De Ridder^{2,***}, R. Drummond², C. Barban^{2,6}, E. Vanhollebeke², T. Maas², and L. Decin^{2,***}

¹ Koninklijke Sterrenwacht van België, Ringlaan 3, 1180 Brussel, Belgium
e-mail: peter@oma.be

² Instituut voor Sterrenkunde, K.U. Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200 B, 3001 Leuven, Belgium

³ Department of Astrophysics, Radboud University Nijmegen, 6500 GL Nijmegen, The Netherlands

⁴ Department of Astronomy and Space Sciences, Faculty of Science, University of Ege, 35100 İzmir, Turkey

⁵ Institut d'Astrophysique et de Géophysique, Université de Liège, Allée du Six Août 17, 4000 Liège, Belgium

⁶ Observatoire de Paris, LESIA, CNRS UMR 8109, 92195 Meudon, France

⁷ INAF - Osservatorio Astronomico di Brera, via E. Bianchi 46, 23807 Merate, Italy

⁸ MERCATOR Telescope, Calle Alvarez de Abreu 70, 38700 Santa Cruz de La Palma, Spain

⁹ Instytut Astronomiczny, Uniwersytet Wrocławski, Kopernika 11, 51-622 Wrocław, Poland

¹⁰ Sterrenkundig Observatorium, Universiteit Gent, Krijgslaan 281-S9, 9000 Gent, Belgium

¹¹ Institut für Astronomie, Universität Wien, Türkenschanzstrasse 17, 1180 Wien, Austria

¹² Astronomy Department, Yale University, PO Box 208101, New Haven, CT 06520-8101, USA

Received 7 August 2006 / Accepted 5 October 2006

ABSTRACT

Aims. We selected a large sample of O-B stars that were considered as (candidate) slowly pulsating B, β Cep, and Maia stars after the analysis of their HIPPARCOS data. We analysed our new seven passband GENEVA data collected for these stars during the first three years of scientific operations of the MERCATOR telescope. We performed a frequency analysis for 28 targets with more than 50 high-quality measurements to improve their variability classification. For the pulsating stars, we tried both to identify the modes and to search for rotationally split modes.

Methods. We searched for frequencies in all the GENEVA passbands and colours by using two independent frequency analysis methods and we applied a 3.6 S/N-level criterion to locate the significant peaks in the periodograms. The modes were identified by applying the method of photometric amplitudes for which we calculated a large, homogeneous grid of equilibrium models to perform a pulsational stability analysis. When both the radius and the projected rotational velocity of an object are known, we determined a lower limit for the rotation frequency to estimate the expected frequency spacings in rotationally split pulsation modes.

Results. We detected 61 frequencies, among which 33 are new. We classified 21 objects as pulsating variables (7 new confirmed pulsating stars, including 2 hybrid β Cep/SPB stars), 6 as non-pulsating variables (binaries or spotted stars), and 1 as photometrically constant. All the Maia candidates were reclassified into other variability classes. We performed mode identification for the pulsating variables for the first time. The most probable ℓ value is 0, 1, 2, and 4 for 1, 31, 9, and 5 modes, respectively, including only 4 unambiguous identifications. For 7 stars we cannot rule out that some of the observed frequencies belong to the same rotationally split mode. For 4 targets we may begin to resolve close frequency multiplets.

Key words. stars: early-type – stars: variables: general – stars: oscillations

1. Introduction

The MERCATOR telescope is a 1.2-m telescope located on the Roque de los Muchachos observatory in La Palma (Spain). Since

[★] Based on observations collected with the P7 photometer attached to the Flemish 1.2-m MERCATOR telescope situated at the Roque de los Muchachos observatory on La Palma (Spain).

^{★★} Section 4, including Figs. 2–29, is only available in electronic form at <http://www.aanda.org>, and Tables 2 and 3 are only available in electronic form at the CDS via anonymous ftp to [cvsarc.u-strasbg.fr](mailto:cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr) (130.79.128.5) or via <http://cdsweb.u-strasbg.fr/cgi-bin/qcat?J/A+A/463/243>

^{***} Postdoctoral Fellow of the Fund for Scientific Research, Flanders

[†] Research Assistant of the Fund for Scientific Research, Flanders

the start of scientific observations in May 2001, this telescope has been intensively used to observe variable O, B, A, and F main sequence stars with the P7 photometer, providing quasi-simultaneous observations in the 7 passbands of the GENEVA photometric system. The advantages of the use of the GENEVA photometric system are threefold: (1) the calibration of the system allows the determination of stellar parameters like the effective temperature and the surface gravity, (2) the observations are always obtained and reduced in the same way which is ideal to detect light-variability, and hence to search for pulsations, and (3) because the system consists of 7 filters, it provides the information necessary to determine the degree ℓ of the observed pulsation modes. Therefore, our long-term study enables




Fig. 1. Position in the $(\log(T_{\text{eff}}), \log(g))$ diagram of the variable O-B stars with MERCATOR data. In each panel, the lower and upper dotted lines show the ZAMS and TAMS, respectively. The dashed lines denote evolution tracks for stars with $M = 15, 12, 9, 6,$ and $3 M_{\odot}$. The dash-dot-dot-dotted and dash-dotted lines represent the theoretical instability strips for β Cep and SPB modes, respectively, with a frequency between 0.25 and 25 d^{-1} and $\ell \leq 2$ (black) / 4 (cyan) computed for the main sequence models described in Sect. 3.2 with the non-adiabatic code MAD (Dupret 2001) (the colour representation is only available in the online version of the paper). In panel **a**), the ZAMS, TAMS, and theoretical instability strips derived by Pamyatnykh (1999) are given in grey. The stars classified as (suspected) β Ceps, SPBs and Maias at the start of our project in 2001, are given with squares, triangles, and stars in panel **b**), **c**), and **d**), respectively. Typical error bars are given in the lower left parts. The objects with more than 50 observations are given with full symbols. The objects given in black are discussed in this paper.

us to classify northern objects into different classes of variable stars, and hence to contribute to the determination of the population rates in the northern hemisphere. Moreover, long time series of high-quality, quasi-simultaneous multicolour observations allow accurate class-definitions used in supervised classification of large data-sets (e.g. earth-based projects like OGLE and MACHO, or the future satellite missions COROT and GAIA; Debosscher et al. 2006). Long-term observations also help to reach the level of accuracy on the amplitudes of the observed variations in the GENEVA $U, B_1, B, B_2, V_1, V, G$ bands necessary to allow an unambiguous determination of the degree ℓ of the excited pulsation modes. A reliable identification of the excited

modes opens the door to asteroseismic modelling. The importance of dedicated long-term campaigns is well-illustrated by the case of HD 129929: after 21 years of single-site monitoring, Aerts et al. (2003) found the first strong evidence for non-rigid rotation and convective core overshoot in a β Cep star based on asteroseismic modelling of six frequencies, among which are components of two frequency multiplets.

Our first results, obtained after 18 months of observations were presented by De Cat et al. (2004b) and De Ridder et al. (2004). We now present results after 3 years of collecting data. This paper deals with the classification, frequency analysis and mode identification of the variable O-B stars. Cuyppers et al. (in preparation) focus on a similar study of variable A and F stars.

So far, 64 variable O-B stars have been observed with the MERCATOR telescope. At the start of our long-term project in 2001, some of our targets were classified as suspected β Cep stars (β Ceps; main sequence B 0–3 stars pulsating in low-order, low-degree p/g -modes with periods of 3–8 h; e.g. Stankov & Handler 2005), slowly pulsating B stars (SPBs; main sequence B 3–B 9 stars pulsating in high-order, low-degree g -modes with periods of 0.5–3 d; e.g. Waelkens 1991) or Maia stars (Maias; main sequence B 7–A 2 stars showing variations with periods of 2–8 h; e.g. Struve 1955). This classification was based on the photometric observations gathered with the satellite mission HIPPARCOS. Other objects were already known as confirmed β Ceps or SPBs. Since pulsation theory does not predict unstable modes for stars situated along the main sequence between the SPBs and the δ Scuti stars, the existence of the Maias as a separate group of variables is still disputable (e.g. Scholz et al. 1998; Aerts & Kolenberg 2005).

There are 35 targets observed at more than 50 epochs. For the well-known β Ceps HD 163472 (V2052 Oph), HD 165174 (V986 Oph), HD 214993 (12 Lac = DD Lac), and HD 216916 (16 Lac = EN Lac), the MERCATOR telescope was included in multi-site campaigns. Their results are discussed elsewhere (Handler et al. 2006; Handler et al., in preparation). We dedicated separate papers to the multi-periodic β Ceps HD 203664 (Aerts et al. 2006) and HD 21803 (KP Per; Saesen et al., in preparation), whose MERCATOR observations already allow us to begin asteroseismic modelling, and to HD 180642 (Aerts et al., in preparation), which was selected as a target for the COROT satellite. The MERCATOR data of the remaining 28 objects with more than 50 high-quality observations are analysed in this paper.

2. Description of the data

The use of the GENEVA photometric system offers some advantages essential for a statistically relevant study of variable stars. The MERCATOR telescope and the P7 photometer are always operated in the same way by the same group of astronomers, so that sustained observations in homogeneous conditions can be performed. The observations are planned in such a way that the program stars are observed at an almost constant air mass during the night. In our project, the air mass interval $v_z \in [1.05, 1.15]$ was generally used. For the stars in our project, the exposure times are typically 3 min. The planned sampling rates for the (candidate) p - and g -mode pulsators are a few times each hour and day, respectively. Every hour, a pair of standard stars with distinct spectral types in this air mass interval is observed to determine the extinction coefficients. The data acquisition and reduction has been centralised in Geneva since the early history of the system. For a full description of the reduction methods, we refer to Rufener (1986) and Burki et al. (1995).

Table 1. Overview of the 28 targets discussed in this paper. The stars classified as (suspected) β Ceps, SPBs and Maias at the start of our project in 2001 are given in the top, middle, and bottom part, respectively. For each object, we give: the HD number, the HIP number, the Johnson V magnitude m_V^1 , the spectral type SpT^1 , a binarity indication (VB = visual binary, SB1 = single-lined spectroscopic binary, SB2 = double-lined spectroscopic binary), the number of MERCATOR observations N used during the analysis, the corresponding total time span ΔT (days), the effective temperature $\log(T_{\text{eff}})^2$ (± 0.020 dex), the surface gravity $\log(g)^2$ (± 0.20 dex), the mass M (M_\odot), the radius R (R_\odot), the luminosity $\log(L/L_\odot)$ (dex), the age (10^6 years), the fraction of the main sequence lifetime $frac_{\text{MS}}$, the projected rotation velocity $v_{\text{eq}} \sin i$ (km s^{-1}), and the projected rotation frequency $f_\Omega \sin i = v_{\text{eq}} \sin i / 2\pi R$ (d^{-1}). The values of T_{eff} and $\log(g)$ given in *italics* are found by extrapolation out of the calibration tables. These results should be treated with caution, as well as those for the binaries. Error estimates (if available) are given between brackets in units of the last decimal.

HD	HIP	m_V	SpT	binarity	N	ΔT	$\log(T_{\text{eff}})$	$\log(g)$	M	R	$\log(L/L_\odot)$	age	$frac_{\text{MS}}$	$v_{\text{eq}} \sin i$	$f_\Omega \sin i$
13745	10541	7.9	O9.7 II		158	1010	<i>4.550</i>	<i>4.03</i>						260 ⁹	
13831	10615	8.3	B0 IIIp		80	701	<i>4.520</i>	<i>3.93</i>						167 ⁸	
14053		8.5	B1 II		64	374	4.427	3.67	14.1(9)	8.0(18)	4.44(13)	10.2(5)	0.86(11)	70 ⁹	0.17(4)
19374	14514	6.1	B1.5 v		51	1010	<i>4.351</i>	<i>4.25</i>	8.3(12)	3.9(9)	3.54(25)	9(4)	0.32(26)	5 ⁵	0.025(6)
89688	50684	6.6	B3.2 IV		232	762	4.245	3.79	6.5(10)	5.1(12)	3.34(26)	40(6)	0.89(11)	215 ⁵	0.83(20)
149881	81362	7.0	B0.5 III	SB1	402	744	<i>4.460</i>	<i>3.81</i>	14.4(6)	6.7(12)	4.41(10)	8(1)	0.69(14)		
180968	94827	5.5	B0.5 IV	VB	205	472	4.419	3.66	14.0(10)	8.2(21)	4.44(15)	10.7(5)	0.89(12)	270 ⁶	0.65(17)
214680	111841	4.9	O9 v	VB	275	70	<i>4.532</i>	<i>4.10</i>						35 ⁴	
217782	113788	5.1	A3 vn	VB	345	130	<i>3.969</i>	<i>3.73</i>	2.6(2)	3.2(4)	1.83(14)	393(48)	0.94(7)	195 ⁸	1.20(15)
1976	1921	5.6	B5 IV	VB, SB1	235	1147	4.197	3.97	5.1(10)	4.0(13)	2.93(33)	57(7)	0.76(21)	140 ⁸	0.69(22)
3379	2903	5.9	B2.5 IV		136	417	4.237	4.16	5.4(9)	3.3(10)	2.93(32)	29(8)	0.45(33)	55 ⁵	0.33(10)
21071	15988	6.1	B7 v		233	1140	4.156	4.23	4.0(6)	2.7(7)	2.43(28)	54(17)	0.39(30)	62 ³	0.45(12)
24094	18151	8.4	B8		59	1047	<i>4.436</i>	<i>4.08</i>	12.5(25)	5.4(19)	4.15(34)	6(2)	0.44(32)		
25558	18957	5.3	B3 v		148	1046	4.214	4.22	4.9(7)	3.0(8)	2.76(29)	33(9)	0.40(29)	22 ³	0.14(4)
28114	20715	6.1	B6 IV		162	811	4.163	4.00	4.5(8)	3.6(12)	2.70(33)	74(7)	0.72(23)	11 ³	0.06(2)
28475	20963	6.8	B5 v	SB2	86	811	4.178	3.97	4.8(9)	3.8(13)	2.82(33)	67(9)	0.76(21)	30 ⁶	0.15(5)
112396	63096	6.8	A0		197	1012	3.995	4.15	2.4(4)	2.2(8)	1.60(34)	272(16)	0.52(31)		
138003	75641	7.9	B9		322	747	4.151	4.00	4.3(8)	3.5(12)	2.63(34)	83(9)	0.72(23)		
179588	94377	6.7	B9 IV	VB	128	1151	4.085	4.28	3.1(4)	2.2(5)	1.99(24)	94(37)	0.34(28)	35 ⁵	0.31(7)
182255	95260	5.2	B6 III	SB1	176	769	4.149	4.23	3.9(6)	2.6(7)	2.39(28)	58(18)	0.39(31)	14 ³	0.11(3)
191295	99250	7.2	B7 III		166	467	4.118	4.14	3.6(6)	2.7(9)	2.29(33)	91(9)	0.51(31)		
206540	107173	6.1	B5 IV		170	1178	4.145	4.11	4.0(7)	3.0(10)	2.47(34)	76(3)	0.55(30)	10 ⁶	0.07(2)
208057	108022	5.1	B3 ve		116	1162	4.221	4.15	5.1(8)	3.2(10)	2.85(31)	34(9)	0.46(33)	110 ⁶	0.68(21)
222555	116870	7.4	B9		249	1151	4.122	4.19	3.6(6)	2.6(8)	2.26(31)	78(21)	0.43(32)		
46005	31065	7.9	B8 v	VB	164	379	<i>4.325</i>	<i>4.43</i>	7.0(7)	3.2(3)	3.26(15)	4(2)	0.10(7)		
154689	83467	8.2	B9		113	127	4.020	4.22	2.5(4)	2.1(6)	1.67(31)	191(42)	0.42(32)		
169820	90337	6.4	B9 v		124	147	4.071	4.26	2.9(4)	2.2(6)	1.93(26)	112(39)	0.37(29)	136 ⁶	1.22(33)
208727	108348	6.5	B8 v		290	1162	4.112	4.22	3.4(5)	2.5(7)	2.18(30)	81(24)	0.40(31)	205 ⁵	1.62(45)

¹ Taken from the SIMBAD database, operated at CDS, Strasbourg, France; ² Obtained with the code CALIB (Künzli et al. 1997);

³ Mathias et al. (2001) (upper values); ⁴ Mokiem et al. (2005); ⁵ Abt et al. (2002); ⁶ Royer et al. (2002); ⁷ Clark & Steele (2000);

⁸ Abt & Morrell (1995); ⁹ Hill (1967).

Observations in the GENEVA filters allow a physically meaningful classification of early-type stars. With the code CALIB, physical parameters can be determined for unreddened B to mid-G stars on the main sequence or just above it. It is based on the calibrations proposed by Künzli et al. (1997). For hot stars ($T_{\text{eff}} > 11\,000$ K), the reddening-free parameters:

$$\begin{aligned}
 X &= 0.3788 + 1.3764[U - B] - 1.2162[B_1 - B] \\
 &\quad - 0.8498[B_2 - B] - 0.1554[V_1 - B] + 0.8450[G - B], \\
 Y &= -0.8288 + 0.3235[U - B] - 2.3228[B_1 - B] \\
 &\quad + 2.3363[B_2 - B] + 0.7495[V_1 - B] - 1.0865[G - B],
 \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

(defined by Cramer & Maeder 1979) have the optimum efficiency for determining the effective temperature T_{eff} and the surface gravity $\log(g)$, respectively. We used the mean GENEVA colours $[U - B]$, $[B_1 - B]$, $[B_2 - B]$, $[V_1 - B]$, and $[G - B]$ of observed O-B star to obtain T_{eff} and $\log(g)$ by assuming a solar composition (Fig. 1). Since the errors provided by this code are interpolation errors, we prefer to use more realistic errors of 0.020 and 0.20 dex on $\log(T_{\text{eff}})$ and $\log(g)$, respectively (indicated by the cross in the bottom left corner of Fig. 1; Morel et al. 2006). The targets that are observed at more than 50 epochs

are given with full symbols and the 28 objects for which the light curves are analysed in this paper are given in black. Their $\log(T_{\text{eff}})$ and $\log(g)$ values are given in Cols. 8 and 9 of Table 1. If the code CALIB needed an extrapolation from the calibration tables, these values are given in *italics*. These results should be treated with caution, as well as those for the binaries, indicated in Col. 5 of Table 1. The position in the H-R diagram of some of the variable O-B stars with MERCATOR data already indicates that their classification at the start of our project in 2001, as shown in Fig. 1, cannot be correct.

For our analysis, we only retained the high-quality data with a typical precision of 5 mmag. We calculated the mean μ^X and the standard deviation σ^X in each passband $X \in \{U, B_1, B, B_2, V_1, V, G\}$ and additionally removed data points deviating more than $3\sigma^X$ from μ^X (after having checked that we are not dealing with eclipses). The number of removed observations is generally much less than 10% of the number of retained ones, except for our brightest targets. Indeed, the photometry is less accurate for objects with $m_V \leq 5.5$. In the case of HD 214680 and HD 217782, we removed all the data from 7 nights because several observations within each of these nights showed values deviating much more than $3\sigma^X$ from μ^X for both objects. For

each target, the final number N of high-quality observations and the corresponding total time span ΔT in days are given in Cols. 6 and 7 of Table 1.

3. Data analysis methods

3.1. Frequency analysis

We performed time series analysis in all seven GENEVA passbands by comparing the results for the frequency interval $[0, 15] \text{ d}^{-1}$ with a frequency step of 0.0001 d^{-1} found with two independent frequency search algorithms, i.e. phase dispersion minimisation (Stellingwerf 1978) and the modified SCARGLE periodogram (Horne & Baliunas 1986). In the text below, we restrict ourselves to a discussion of the modified SCARGLE periodograms. If a frequency could be identified, we determined in each GENEVA passband the frequency that optimises the variance reduction. The amplitudes of the resulting frequencies were used to fix the frequency to the weighted mean f_j . Prewhitening was done by fitting its amplitude and phase, and additional frequencies were searched for in the residuals. An *underestimate* of the accuracy of the frequencies was computed for each filter as:

$$\sigma_{f_j}^X = \sqrt{6} \sigma_{\text{res}}^X / \pi \sqrt{N} A_j^X \Delta T \quad (2)$$

with N the number of observations, ΔT their total time span, A_j^X the amplitude of frequency f_j and σ_{res}^X the standard deviation of the final residuals in filter X (cf. Montgomery & O'Donoghue 1999). We adopted $\sigma_{f_j}^X = \sum_{X=U}^G \sigma_{f_j}^X / 7$ as a final estimate of the frequency accuracy. We only retained frequencies with an amplitude significance $\geq 3.6 \text{ S/N}$ -level, where the S/N-level was computed as the running average amplitude over a frequency interval with a width of 2 d^{-1} in a modified SCARGLE periodogram obtained after final prewhitening. This procedure is appropriate for our kind of data sets, as shown by Alvarez et al. (1998) and De Cat & Cuypers (2003). For O-B stars, the U -filter is best suited to detect pulsation frequencies since their amplitude is the highest in this filter. Therefore, we generally show the different steps of subsequent prewhitening in the U -filter in the left and middle panels of Figs. 2–29. The frequency analysis is stopped when none of the frequency peaks reaches the 3.6 S/N -level. The residual standard deviations σ_{res}^X can be used to judge the possibility of having more intrinsic frequencies than the ones retained from our analysis.

Afterwards, we applied a final fit to the light curves in each GENEVA passband X with all the N_f accepted frequencies f_j by using a superposition of sinusoidal models:

$$y^X(t) = C^X + \sum_{j=1}^{N_f} A_j^X \sin[2\pi(f_j t + \phi_j^X)], \quad (3)$$

where $t = \text{HJD} - 2450000$. The values and the corresponding standard errors of f_j , the amplitudes A_j^X , the phases ϕ_j^X , the constant terms C^X , and the residual standard deviations σ_{res}^X are given in Table 2. A similar frequency analysis procedure was applied to the observations in the HIPPARCOS H_p filter. These results are given in Table 3.

3.2. Mode identification

For all the N_f accepted frequencies f_j , the amplitudes A_j^X were used to calculate the observed amplitude ratios A_j^X/A_j^U to apply the method of photometric amplitudes, using the formalism of Dupret et al. (2003). This allows the determination of the most

probable values for the degree ℓ_j of the pulsation mode responsible for the observed variations with frequency f_j . We followed the same photometric identification procedure as De Cat et al. (2005), but we used a different grid of equilibrium models. Our grid consists of *main sequence* models with mass M between 2 and $15 M_\odot$ with steps of $0.1 M_\odot$ calculated with a recent version of the stellar evolution code CLÉS (version 18.2; written by R. Scuflaire) using the CEFF equation of state (Christensen-Dalsgaard & Daeppen 1992) and a Kurucz atmosphere with the junction point at optical depth $\tau = 10$. The upper limit of $15 M_\odot$ is due to the lack of Kurucz atmosphere models for more massive stars. We assumed neither convective overshooting nor diffusion. The main improvement of CLÉS is the use of a new value of the cross section of $^{14}\text{N}(p, \gamma)^{15}\text{O}$ recently measured by Formicola et al. (2004). We decided to use the 'new' solar values, i.e. an initial mass fraction of metals $Z_0 = 0.015$ and of hydrogen $X_0 = 0.71$, a mixing-length $\alpha_{\text{conv}} = 1.75$, and the standard metal mixture of Asplund et al. (2005). As shown by De Cat et al. (2004a), the lower value of Z_0 helps to solve the instability problems observed for some of the SPBs. Moreover, this value agrees better with the recent metallicity determinations of Niemczura (2003).

For all the equilibrium models in our grid, we used the non-adiabatic pulsation code MAD (version 1.0; written by M.-A. Dupret) to check the instability of modes with frequency between 0.25 and 25 d^{-1} and to calculate their theoretical amplitude ratios. We considered $\ell \leq 4$ for both p - and g -modes. Pulsation modes with higher ℓ are unlikely to be observed in photometry because of cancellation effects. For each star, we retained the models within the observed error box of $\log(T_{\text{eff}})$ and $\log(g)$ (cf. Table 1 and Fig. 1), and selected for each ℓ value the eigenfrequency that is the closest to the observed frequency to compare the observed and theoretical amplitude ratios. For each ℓ value, we used the percentage of the selected models for which all the corresponding theoretical amplitude ratios are compatible with the observed ones as a probability indicator for the identification. The results are given in Table 4 and the right panels of Figs. 2–29. As can be seen in Fig. 1, a significant part of the observed $(\log(T_{\text{eff}}), \log(g))$ error box falls outside the grid for some stars. In these cases, the results of the mode identification should be treated with caution. If the full error box falls outside the grid, we were unable to perform mode identification.

3.3. Fundamental parameters

For each star, we calculated the mean of the mass M (M_\odot), the radius R (R_\odot), the luminosity $\log(L/L_\odot)$ (dex), the age (expressed in 10^6 years), and the fraction of the main sequence lifetime frac_{MS} for the same models that we used for the mode identification. The resulting values are given in Cols. 10–14 of Table 1, respectively. We scanned the literature to search for values of the projected rotation velocity $v_{\text{eq}} \sin i$. The most recent value (if available) is given in Col. 15 of Table 1. These values were used in combination with the radius to calculate the projected rotation frequency $f_\Omega \sin i = v_{\text{eq}} \sin i / 2\pi R$ (d^{-1}), given in Col. 16 of Table 1. Because $f_\Omega \sin i$ corresponds to a lower limit of the rotation frequency f_Ω , this value can be used to judge whether an observed frequency can be the rotation frequency, or if close observed frequencies can be components of one rotationally split mode.

4. Results for individual stars

This section, including Figs. 2–29, is available only in electronic form at <http://www.aanda.org>.

Table 4. Overview of the observed modes (frequencies and ℓ values) in the SPB stars (*top*), the β Cep stars (*middle*) and the hybrid β Cep/SPB stars (*bottom*). The frequencies needing further observational confirmation are given in *italics*. The ℓ values are sorted according to a decreasing probability from left to right. The ℓ values for which the theoretical amplitude ratios are compatible with the observed ones for all the considered models within the (T_{eff} , $\log(g)$) error box are given in bold. Within each category, the objects are given according to a decreasing number of frequencies and/or identified modes. For the 7 objects for which the classification as a confirmed pulsator is new, the previous classification is indicated between brackets.

HDnr classification	HIPnr	data	f_1 (d ⁻¹)	ℓ_1	f_2 (d ⁻¹)	ℓ_2	f_3 (d ⁻¹)	ℓ_3	f_4 (d ⁻¹)	ℓ_4	f_5 (d ⁻¹)	ℓ_5
HD 182255	HIP 95260	MERCATOR	0.97185(3)	1, 4	0.79225(4)	1, 4	0.62526(7)	1, 4, 2	<i>1.12780(10)</i>	1, 4	<i>1.02884(11)</i>	1, 4, 2
		HIPPARCOS	0.79214(3)		0.97187(4)		0.62512(10)					
HD 21071	HIP 15988	MERCATOR	1.18843(1)	1	1.14934(3)	1, 4, 2	<i>1.41968(7)</i>	1, 2	<i>0.95706(9)</i>	1, 4, 2		
		HIPPARCOS	1.18843(3)		1.14942(6)							
HD 179588	HIP 94377	MERCATOR	<i>0.85654(4)</i>	1, 2, 4	<i>2.04263(5)</i>		<i>2.19989(7)</i>	1, 4, 2, 3	<i>1.83359(9)</i>	1, 4, 2, 3		
		HIPPARCOS	0.81599(7)									
HD 222555	HIP 116870	MERCATOR	0.56397(3)	1, 2, 4	<i>0.38543(7)</i>	1, 4, 2	<i>0.90754(6)</i>		<i>0.46435(10)</i>	2, 1		
		HIPPARCOS	0.56255(6)									
HD 206540	HIP 107173	MERCATOR	0.72002(4)	1, 4	0.62125(5)	2, 4	<i>0.38271(8)</i>	1, 4, 2				
		HIPPARCOS	0.71989(7)		0.62159(8)							
HD 191295	HIP 99250	MERCATOR	0.71908(6)	1, 4	<i>0.49011(12)</i>	1, 2, 4	<i>0.29302(17)</i>	1, 4, 2				
		HIPPARCOS	0.71908(5)									
HD 25558	HIP 18957	MERCATOR	0.65265(2)	1, 4	1.93235(8)	1, 2, 3, 4	<i>1.17913(10)</i>	1, 2, 4, 3				
		HIPPARCOS	0.65284(5)		1.92977(22)							
HD 208057	HIP 108022	MERCATOR	0.89050(7)	1, 4, 2	0.80213(10)	1, 2, 4	<i>2.47585(8)</i>	2, 3, 4				
		HIPPARCOS	0.80184(5)		0.89045(7)							
HD 1976	HIP 1921	MERCATOR	0.39946(4)		0.93895(4)	1, 2, 3, 4	<i>1.20346(6)</i>	1, 2, 3, 4				
		HIPPARCOS	0.93914(3)		0.39934(5)							
HD 28114	HIP 20715	MERCATOR	<i>0.48790(4)</i>	1, 4	<i>0.49666(8)</i>	1, 4						
		HIPPARCOS	0.79104(23)									
HD 3379	HIP 2903	MERCATOR	1.82023(19)	2, 3, 4	1.59418(22)	2, 3, 4						
HD 28475	HIP 20715	MERCATOR	0.68369(7)	2, 3, 4	<i>0.40893(13)</i>	1, 4						
		HIPPARCOS	0.68537(7)									
HD 169820	HIP 90337	MERCATOR	2.12509(32)	4, 2								
(spotted star) ¹		HIPPARCOS	2.12509(10)									
HD 138003	HIP 75641	MERCATOR	0.66110(3)									
		HIPPARCOS	0.66097(5)									
HD 14053		MERCATOR	<i>6.08608(11)</i>	4	<i>9.61805(22)</i>	4, 2	<i>7.69877(21)</i>	4	<i>2.74081(29)</i>	4	<i>2.86425(80)</i>	
(rejected β Cep) ²												
HD 89688		MERCATOR	<i>0.79649(10)</i>	2, 4, 3	<i>5.79148(11)</i>	2, 3	<i>10.92814(10)</i>		<i>4.09715(16)</i>	0, 1		
(constant) ¹												
HD 180968	HIP 94827	MERCATOR	0.63754(8)	1, 3, 2	<i>2.18570(17)</i>	2, 3, 4						
(λ Eri star) ³		HIPPARCOS	0.63747(7)									
HD 13831	HIP 10615	MERCATOR	<i>0.97525(8)</i>	–	<i>5.41824(11)</i>	–						
(rejected β Cep) ²												
HD 214680	HIP 111841	MERCATOR	<i>3.2584(8)</i>	–								
HD 13745		MERCATOR	<i>1.13358(6)</i>	–	<i>2.64441(6)</i>	–	<i>0.68063(7)</i>	–	<i>8.18548(11)</i>	–		
(constant) ¹		HIPPARCOS	11.44972(6)									
HD 19374	HIP 14514	MERCATOR	<i>1.32663(4)</i>		6.50027(9)		<i>4.36573(12)</i>	1, 2, 3				
(rejected β Cep) ²												

¹ De Cat et al. (2004b); ² Stankov & Handler (2005); ³ Balona (1995).

5. Summary and discussion

We analysed the GENEVA (and HIPPARCOS) data of the 28 O-B stars with more than 50 *high-quality* observations collected during the first three years of scientific observations of the P7 photometer attached to the MERCATOR telescope. We contributed to the discussion of the existence of the Maia stars as a separate group of variables because four of our targets, i.e. HD 46005, HD 154689, HD 169820, and HD 208727, were classified as such at the start of our project. HD 154689 is the only Maia candidate for which the effective temperature T_{eff} and the

surface gravity $\log(g)$, that we determined from the mean GENEVA colours, is consistent with this classification (rightmost star in Fig. 1). However, the Maia candidates are either reclassified as an SPB star (HD 169820) or we found indications in our MERCATOR data set that they show periodic variations due to external causes such as surface spots (HD 46005 and HD 154689) or binarity (HD 208727).

Of the 28 studied targets, we classified 21 as *pulsating* variables. An overview of the results of the frequency analysis and mode identification of the fourteen SPB stars, five β Cep stars,

and two hybrid β Cep/SPB stars is given in the top, middle, and bottom rows of Table 4, respectively. For 7 of them, the classification as a confirmed pulsator is new. The Maia candidate HD 169820 is one of them. De Cat et al. (2004b) considered it as a candidate spotted star, but we now classified it as a rapidly rotating SPB star.

We detected a total of 61 frequencies in the MERCATOR data, of which at least 21 (=35%) should be considered as well-established because they are also present in the HIPPARCOS H_p data and/or other data-sets. The other 40 frequencies need further observational confirmation. After 1.5 years of MERCATOR observations, De Cat et al. (2004b) found a total 27 frequencies for the 17 pulsating variables that are in common with our sample. After 3 years, the number of MERCATOR observations increased by 17% and we observed 50 frequencies for these stars (i.e. an increase of 85%), of which 25 are new and 3 are aliases of the previously known frequencies. We could not confirm 2 of the frequencies reported by De Cat et al. (2004b). For HD 13831, HD 14053, HD 19374, and HD 214680, i.e. the four pulsating variables that were *not* analysed by De Cat et al. (2004b), only 3 of the 11 detected frequencies in the MERCATOR data could be identified with frequencies previously mentioned in the literature. For HD 182255, HD 208057, and HD 1976, the dominant frequency in the MERCATOR data corresponds to the second frequency in the HIPPARCOS data. The same scenario was found for the confirmed SPB star HD 215573 (De Cat & Aerts 2002). For HD 179588, HD 28114, and HD 13745, none of the frequencies are commonly found in the MERCATOR and HIPPARCOS data, pointing to complex multi-periodic beating.

For six stars, we doubt that we are dealing with *pulsating* variables. We classified HD 24094, HD 112396, HD 149881, and the Maia candidate HD 208727 as ellipsoidal variables. Their dominant frequencies in the MERCATOR data, which correspond to $2f_{\text{orb}}$, are $1.90105(2) \text{ d}^{-1}$, $1.41491(4) \text{ d}^{-1}$, $0.38445(4) \text{ d}^{-1}$ and $3.16780(1) \text{ d}^{-1}$, respectively (Table 2). Our classification is strengthened for HD 149881 and HD 24094 because they were already classified as a confirmed and suspected binary, respectively, and for HD 112396 because the available radial-velocity observations in the literature have a peak-to-peak amplitude of more than 100 km s^{-1} . For the Maia candidates HD 46005 and HD 154689, we interpret the observed variations in terms of rotational modulation with $2.50476(2) \text{ d}^{-1}$ and $1.95767(110) \text{ d}^{-1}$, respectively, as the rotation frequency f_{Ω} . For HD 154689, up to the second harmonic of f_{Ω} is observed, which is typical for spotted stars (North 1984; Briquet et al. 2004).

For the remaining star, i.e. the visual binary HD 217782, we were not able to detect periodic variations. In the periodogram, significant peaks occur only at frequencies invoked by the time sampling. We therefore classify it as photometrically constant up to better than 6 mmag. Our classification, especially for the non-pulsating stars, should be checked with (high-resolution) spectroscopic data. For the stars classified as spotted stars, we expect a different behaviour in the line-profiles of different chemical elements. For those we classified as binaries, we should observe large global Doppler shifts. Moreover, a radial-velocity curve is mandatory to enable accurate modelling of multiple systems.

For all the variable objects, except for HD 13831, HD 13745, and HD 214680 because of the limitations of our grid, we interpreted the observed variations in terms of stellar pulsations by applying the method of photometric amplitude ratios. This is the first time that mode identification has been performed for the *pulsating* variables, resulting in 4 unambiguous identifications: one $\ell = 1$ mode and three $\ell = 4$ modes (Table 4). If we consider the most probable identifications, we end up with 1,

31, 9, and 5 $\ell = 0, 1, 2,$ and 4 modes, respectively (leftmost ℓ value given for each mode in Table 4). In the case of equal probability, the lowest ℓ value was preferred because the cancellation decreases for decreasing ℓ values. The dominance of $\ell = 1$ modes for the SPB stars is consistent with the recent results obtained with spectroscopic mode identifications (De Cat et al. 2005) and with theoretical predictions (Townsend 2003). The observed amplitude ratios *with their 1σ error* are inconsistent with those of modes with $\ell \leq 4$ for 8 frequencies. The observed amplitude ratios of two of them, i.e. f_1 of HD 1976 and f_1 of HD 138003, resemble those of HD 53921, i.e. a close visual binary with components of a similar spectral type (De Cat et al. 2005). HD 1976 is also known as a close visual binary ($\rho = 0'157(3)$, $\Delta m = 0.89(10) \text{ mag}$), but HD 138003 is not known as such. For the other pulsating stars in our sample known to be components of a visual binary (HD 179588, HD 180968, and HD 214680), we did not observe the same kind of amplitude ratio behaviour.

For the *non-pulsating* variables, the application of the method of amplitude ratios was done only to check how well the behaviour of the amplitude ratios can be interpreted in terms of pulsation modes. For the binaries, the observed amplitude ratios are all close to 1 (Figs. 23–26). Those of HD 24094 and HD 208727 can be successfully identified with an $\ell = 2$ (or 3) mode. This observation is not in contradiction with our classification as an ellipsoidal variable because a *sectoral* $\ell = 2$ mode pulsating with $2f_{\text{orb}}$ mimics an ellipsoid rotating with f_{orb} . Moreover, the higher the orbital frequency, the stronger the tidal forces, and the greater the deformation of the components. This gives a natural explanation for why the identification of the longer period binaries HD 112396 and HD 149881 fails. Also the variations with f_{Ω} of the spotted star HD 46005 could be successfully modelled with an $\ell = 2$ mode, while the observed amplitude ratios for the dominant frequency $2f_{\Omega}$ of HD 154689 are very atypical for stellar pulsation (Fig. 27).

For the multi-periodic SPB stars HD 1976, HD 3379, HD 21071, HD 25558, HD 28475, HD 179588, and HD 182255, and for the multi-periodic β Cep star HD 14053, some of the observed close frequencies may be components of the same rotationally split mode based on the value of the projected rotation frequency $f_{\Omega} \sin i$. However, the results of the mode identification do not always support such a scenario. For HD 28114, HD 182255, HD 191295, and HD 206540, we observed either close frequencies that differ by less than $1.5/\Delta T$ (and hence the frequency values cannot be trusted; Loumos & Deeming 1978) or frequencies that are almost exact aliases of each other. This possibly reflects that we are beginning to resolve (very) close frequency multiplets.

The detection of the new hybrid β Cep/SPB stars HD 13745 and HD 19374 is extremely interesting from an asteroseismic point of view because they simultaneously pulsate in high-order g -modes and low-order p/g -modes, probing the deep interior and the outer layers, respectively. If our classification is correct, HD 13745 is the first hybrid β Cep/SPB star in the hot common part of the theoretical instability strips of the β Cep and SPB stars predicted by Pamyatnykh (1999). Before our study, the coexistence of short and long time-scale pulsations have been claimed for a few other hot stars: the well-known β Cep star HD 29248 (ν Eri; Jerzykiewicz et al. 2005, Handler et al. 2004) and the SPB stars HD 3379 (53 Psc; Le Contel et al. 2001), HD 160762 (ι Her; Mathias & Waelkens 1995; Chapellier et al. 2000), and HD 886 (γ Peg; Chapellier et al. 2006). We could not confirm this result for HD 3379 with the MERCATOR data. We also found simultaneous short and long time-scale variations for the Be stars

HD 180968 and HD 13831, but in this case, we interpret the low frequency as the rotation frequency.

Acknowledgements. This research was made possible thanks to the financial support from the Fund for Scientific Research - Flanders (FWO), under projects G.0178.02 and G.0332.06, and from the Research Council of the University of Leuven under grant GOA/2003/04. K.U. acknowledges financial support from a European Union Marie Curie Intra-European Fellowship, under contract MEIF-CT-2006-024476. We are indebted to our colleagues of the Geneva Observatory who reduced the MERCATOR data, and to our referee, Dr. Jaymie Matthews, for the valuable suggestions and remarks to improve this manuscript. This research has made use of the SIMBAD astronomical database operated at the CDS in Strasbourg, France.

References

- Abt, H. A., & Morrell, N. I. 1995, *ApJS*, 99, 135
 Abt, H. A., & Boonyarak, C. 2004, *ApJ*, 616, 562
 Abt, H. A., Levato, H., & Grosso, M. 2002, *ApJ*, 573, 359
 Adelman, S. J. 2001, *A&A*, 367, 297
 Aerts, C., & Kolenberg, K. 2005, *A&A*, 431, 615
 Aerts, C., Thoul, A., Daszyńska, J., et al. 2003, *Science*, 300, 1926
 Aerts, C., de Cat, P., de Ridder, J., et al. 2006, *A&A*, 449, 305
 Alvarez, M., Hernandez, M. M., Michel, E., et al. 1998, *A&A*, 340, 149
 Andrews, K. E., & Dukes, R. J. 2000, *BAAS*, 32, 1477
 Andrews, J. E., Dukes, R. J., & Mills, L. R. 2003, *BAAS*, 35, 1340
 Asplund, M., Grevesse, N., & Sauval, A. J. 2005, in *Cosmic Abundances as Records of Stellar Evolution and Nucleosynthesis*, ASP Conf. Ser., 336, 25
 Balona, L. A. 1995, *MNRAS*, 277, 1547
 Balona, L. A., & Marang, F. 1988, *Informational Bulletin on Variable Stars*, 3157, 1
 Blaauw, A. 1956, *ApJ*, 123, 408
 Bondal, K. R. 1967, *The Observatory*, 87, 220
 Brandt, J. C., Heap, S. R., Beaver, E. A., et al. 1998, *AJ*, 116, 941
 Briquet, M., Aerts, C., Lüftinger, T., et al. 2004, *A&A*, 413, 273
 Burki, G., Rufener, F., Burnet, M., et al. 1995, *A&AS*, 112, 383
 Chapellier, E., Le Contel, D., Le Contel, J. M., Mathias, P., & Valtier, J.-C. 2006, *A&A*, 448, 697
 Chapellier, E., Mathias, P., Le Contel, J., et al. 2000, *A&A*, 362, 189
 Cheng, K.-P., & Neff, J. E. 2003, *AJ*, 125, 868
 Chochol, D., & Grygar, J. 1976, *Bulletin of the Astronomical Institutes of Czechoslovakia*, 27, 181
 Christensen-Dalsgaard, J., & Daeppen, W. 1992, *A&ARv*, 4, 267
 Clark, J. S., & Steele, I. A. 2000, *A&AS*, 141, 65
 Cramer, N., & Maeder, A. 1979, *A&A*, 78, 305
 De Cat, P., & Aerts, C. 2002, *A&A*, 393, 965
 De Cat, P., & Cuypers, J. 2003, in *Interplay of Periodic, Cyclic and Stochastic Variability in Selected Areas of the H-R Diagram*, ASP Conf. Ser., 292, 377
 De Cat, P., Daszyńska-Daszkiewicz, J., Briquet, M., et al. 2004a, in *IAU Colloq. 193: Variable Stars in the Local Group*, ASP Conf. Ser., 310, 195
 De Cat, P., De Ridder, J., Uytterhoeven, K., et al. 2004b, in *IAU Colloq. 193: Variable Stars in the Local Group*, ASP Conf. Ser., 310, 238
 De Cat, P., Briquet, M., Daszyńska-Daszkiewicz, J., et al. 2005, *A&A*, 432, 1013
 de Jager, C. 1953, *Bull. Astr. Inst. Neth.*, 12, 91
 De Ridder, J., Cuypers, J., De Cat, P., et al. 2004, in *IAU Colloq. 193: Variable Stars in the Local Group*, ASP Conf. Ser., 310, 263
 Debusscher, J., Aerts, C., & Vandebussche, B. 2006, in *Astrophysics of Variable Stars*, ASP Conf. Ser., 349, 219
 Delgado, A. J., & Garrido, R. 1981, *Informational Bulletin on Variable Stars*, 1992, 1
 Dukes, R. J., Kubinec, W. R., Kubinec, A., & Adelman, S. J. 2003, *AJ*, 126, 370
 Dupret, M. A. 2001, *A&A*, 366, 166
 Dupret, M.-A., De Ridder, J., De Cat, P., et al. 2003, *A&A*, 398, 677
 ESA. 1997, *The Hipparcos and Tycho Catalogues* (ESA SP-1200; Noordwijk: ESA)
 Fehrenbach, C., Burnage, R., Dufloy, M., et al. 1987, *A&AS*, 71, 263
 Formicola, A., Imbriani, G., Costantini, H., et al. 2004, *Phys. Lett. B*, 591, 61
 Garrido, R., & Delgado, A. J. 1982, *Informational Bulletin on Variable Stars*, 2080, 1
 Gerbaldi, M., Faraggiana, R., & Lai, O. 2003, *A&A*, 412, 447
 Gies, D. R. 1994, in *Pulsation, Rotation, and Mass Loss in Early-Type Stars*, IAU Symp., 162, 89
 Gonzalez Bedolla, S. 1994, in *Pulsation, Rotation, and Mass Loss in Early-Type Stars*, IAU Symp., 162, 31
 Grygar, J. 1963, *Bull. Astr. Inst. Neth.*, 17, 305
 Handler, G., Jerzykiewicz, M., Rodríguez, E., et al. 2006, *MNRAS*, 365, 327
 Handler, G., Shobbrook, R. R., Jerzykiewicz, M., et al. 2004, *MNRAS*, 347, 454
 Hill, G. 1967, *ApJS*, 14, 263
 Hill, G., Odgers, G. J., & Drolet, B. 1976, *A&A*, 51, 1
 Horne, J. H., & Baliunas, S. L. 1986, *ApJ*, 302, 757
 Hube, D. P. 1983, *JRAS Can.*, 77, 142
 Hube, D. P., & Aikman, G. C. L. 1991, *PASP*, 103, 49
 Humphreys, R. M. 1978, *ApJS*, 38, 309
 Jerzykiewicz, M. 1974, *PASP*, 86, 43
 Jerzykiewicz, M., & Sterken, C. 1990, *A&A*, 227, 77
 Jerzykiewicz, M., Handler, G., Shobbrook, R. R., et al. 2005, *MNRAS*, 360, 619
 Kallinger, T., Reegen, P., & Weiss, W. W. 2002, *A&A*, 388, L37
 Kamper, K. W. 1974, *AJ*, 79, 54
 Kaper, L., Henrichs, H. F., Nichols, J. S., & Telting, J. H. 1999, *A&A*, 344, 231
 Koch, R. H. 1990, *Bulletin d'Information du Centre de Données Stellaires*, 38, 175
 Koen, C., & Eyer, L. 2002, *MNRAS*, 331, 45
 Kohoutek, L., & Wehmeyer, R. 1999, *A&AS*, 134, 255
 Künzli, M., North, P., Kurucz, R. L., & Nicolet, B. 1997, *A&AS*, 122, 51
 Lane, M. C. 1976, *Informational Bulletin on Variable Stars*, 1202, 1
 Le Contel, J. M., Chapellier, E., Valtier, J. C., et al. 1988, *Informational Bulletin on Variable Stars*, 3131, 1
 Le Contel, J.-M., Mathias, P., Chapellier, E., & Valtier, J.-C. 2001, *A&A*, 380, 277
 Loumos, G. L., & Deeming, T. J. 1978, *Ap&SS*, 56, 285
 Lynds, C. R. 1959, *ApJ*, 130, 577
 Mason, B. D., Gies, D. R., Hartkopf, W. I., et al. 1998, *AJ*, 115, 821
 Mathews, R. T. 1956, *PASP*, 68, 455
 Mathias, P., & Waelkens, C. 1995, *A&A*, 300, 200
 Mathias, P., Aerts, C., Briquet, M., et al. 2001, *A&A*, 379, 905
 Mokiem, M. R., de Koter, A., Puls, J., et al. 2005, *A&A*, 441, 711
 Montgomery, M. H., & O'Donoghue, D. 1999, *Delta Scuti Newsletter*, 13, 28
 Morel, T., Butler, K., Aerts, C., Neiner, C., & Briquet, M. 2006, *A&A*, 457, 651
 Münch, G., & Flather, E. 1957, *PASP*, 69, 142
 Murdoch, K. A., Drew, J. E., & Anderson, L. S. 1994, *A&A*, 284, L27
 Niemczura, E. 2003, *A&A*, 404, 689
 North, P. 1984, *A&AS*, 55, 259
 Pamyatnykh, A. A. 1999, *Acta Astron.*, 49, 119
 Parenago, P. P. 1958, *Sov. Astron.*, 2, 151
 Percy, J. R. 1971, *AJ*, 76, 1105
 Percy, J. R., & Au-Yong, K. 2000, *Informational Bulletin on Variable Stars*, 4825, 1
 Plasket, J. S., Harper, W. E., Young, R., & Plaskett, H. 1921, *Publ. Dominion Astrophys. Obs.*, 1, 300
 Plasket, J. S., & Pearce, J. A. 1930, *Publ. Dominion Astrophys. Obs.*, 5, 1
 Pribulla, T., Kreiner, J. M., & Tremko, J. 2003, *Contributions of the Astronomical Observatory Skalnaté Pleso*, 33, 38
 Royer, F., Grenier, S., Baylac, M.-O., Gómez, A. E., & Zorec, J. 2002, *A&A*, 393, 897
 Rufener, F. 1986, *A&A*, 165, 275
 Sahade, J., Struve, O., & Williams, A. D. 1956, *PASP*, 68, 266
 Sareyan, J.-P., Le Contel, J.-M., Ducatel, D., & Valtier, J.-C. 1979, *A&A*, 72, 313
 Scholz, G., Lehmann, H., Hildebrandt, G., Panov, K., & Iliev, L. 1998, *A&A*, 337, 447
 Smith, M. A. 1978, *ApJ*, 224, 927
 Stagg, C. 1983, *Informational Bulletin on Variable Stars*, 2376, 1
 Stankov, A., & Handler, G. 2005, *ApJS*, 158, 193
 Stellingwerf, R. F. 1978, *ApJ*, 224, 953
 Sterken, C. 1988, *A&A*, 189, 81
 Struve, O. 1955, *Sky & Tel.*, 14, 461
 Townsend, R. H. D. 2003, *MNRAS*, 343, 125
 Underhill, A. B., & van Helden, R. C. P. 1966, *AJ*, 71, 183
 van den Bos, W. 1960, *Publ. Yerkes Observatory*, 9, 103
 Van Hoof, A., & Blaauw, A. 1964, *Bull. Astr. Inst. Neth.*, 17, 451
 Waelkens, C. 1991, *A&A*, 246, 453
 Waelkens, C., Aerts, C., Kestens, E., Grenon, M., & Eyer, L. 1998, *A&A*, 330, 215
 Walker, M. F. 1952, *AJ*, 57, 227
 Williams, A. D. 1954, *PASP*, 66, 88
 Wolf, M. 1987, *Informational Bulletin on Variable Stars*, 3003, 1

Online Material

4. Results for individual stars

In this section, we describe the results for the individual stars. These results allow us to (re)classify them as either an SPB star (Sect. 4.1.1), a β Cep star (Sect. 4.1.2), a hybrid β Cep/SPB star (Sect. 4.1.3), a binary (Sect. 4.2.1), a spotted star (Sect. 4.2.2), or a constant star (Sect. 4.3). In each subsection, the stars are sorted according to a decreasing number of detected frequencies and/or increasing HD number.

4.1. Pulsating variables

4.1.1. Slowly pulsating B stars

Dukes et al. (2003) studied **HD 182255** (HIP 95260, HR 7358, 3 Vul = V377 Vul) in detail on the basis of 7 seasons of data collected with the Four College Consortium Automatic Photoelectric Telescope (APT) and 17 coudé spectra obtained with the 1.22-m telescope of the Dominion Astrophysical Observatory (DAO). They found three main frequencies (0.9719 d^{-1} , 0.7923 d^{-1} , 0.8553 d^{-1}) and one combination frequency (1.76420 d^{-1}) and could confirm the spectroscopic 367^d26 orbit first determined by Hube & Aikman (1991). Their first two main frequencies were previously observed in the AURÉLIE spectra of Mathias et al. (2001), who found, apart from $0.47233(3) \text{ d}^{-1}$, two additional possible frequencies in the HIPPARCOS data, leading to a suggestion of a frequency quintuplet. In the MERCATOR data, we find five frequencies (Fig. 2), of which two are known ($f_1 = 0.97185(3) \text{ d}^{-1}$, $f_2 = 0.79225(4) \text{ d}^{-1}$) and three are new ($f_3 = 0.62526(7) \text{ d}^{-1}$, $f_4 = 1.12780(10) \text{ d}^{-1}$, and $f_5 = 1.02884(11) \text{ d}^{-1}$). A re-analysis of the HIPPARCOS data leads to $f_1^{\text{h}} = 0.79214(3) \text{ d}^{-1}$, $f_2^{\text{h}} = 0.97187(4) \text{ d}^{-1}$, and $f_3^{\text{h}} = 0.62512(10) \text{ d}^{-1}$. Contrary to Mathias et al. (2001), we retained f_3^{h} because of the agreement with the MERCATOR data, although it is *not* the highest peak. Since there is no signal around f_4 or f_5 in the HIPPARCOS residuals, we are not sure about the real frequency values. Especially f_5 should be treated with caution, because $f_1 + f_5 \simeq 2.0 \text{ d}^{-1}$, which might indicate that f_5 is an artefact or that we are beginning to resolve a close frequency multiplet. For all the modes of HD 182255, the best fit is found for $\ell = 1$ although the identification is ambiguous (Table 4 and Fig. 2, right panels). For (f_2, f_1, f_4) or (f_3, f_2, f_1) to belong to a rotationally split $\ell = 1$ multiplet, we need a rotation frequency $f_{\Omega} = 0.33(3) \text{ d}^{-1}$. This is compatible with the lower limit $f_{\Omega} \sin i = 0.11(3) \text{ d}^{-1}$ derived from the stellar parameters given in Table 1 and would imply $i < 20^\circ$.

HD 21071 (HIP 15988, HR 1029, V576 Per) is clearly a multi-periodic pulsator. The two main frequencies of the MERCATOR data, i.e. $f_1 = 1.18843(1) \text{ d}^{-1}$ and $f_2 = 1.14934(3) \text{ d}^{-1}$, coincide with those of the HIPPARCOS data (Table 4). These two frequencies were recently also observed in APT data by Andrews et al. (2003), who suggested the presence of additional periodicities. Indeed, in our MERCATOR data, we have evidence for two new frequencies: $f_3 = 1.41968(7) \text{ d}^{-1}$ and $f_4 = 0.95706(9) \text{ d}^{-1}$ (Fig. 3). However, due to the strong aliasing and the lack of agreement with the candidate frequencies in the HIPPARCOS data, we are not sure to have picked the correct ones. We unambiguously identify f_1 as $\ell = 1$, while the observed variations of the other modes are also compatible with $\ell = 2$ or 4 (Table 4 and Fig. 3, right panels). For f_1 and f_2 to be components of a rotationally split $\ell = 1$ mode, f_{Ω} should be close to 0.078 d^{-1} , which is much lower than the lower limit $f_{\Omega} \sin i = 0.45(12) \text{ d}^{-1}$ (Table 1). However, the observed frequency spacing is compatible with the theoretical spacing of $\ell = 1$ modes of subsequent

radial orders, or between an $\ell = 1$ and an $\ell = 2$ or 4 mode in this frequency range for appropriate stellar models. On the other hand, $f_{\Omega} \sin i$ corresponds to the rotation frequency needed for (f_4, f_1, f_3) to be a rotationally split $\ell = 1$ mode.

For **HD 179588** (HIP 94377, HR 7285, V338 Sge), there is evidence for the presence of at least four periodic signals in the MERCATOR light curves. Our frequency search points towards $f_1 = 0.85654(4) \text{ d}^{-1}$, $f_2 = 2.04263(5) \text{ d}^{-1}$, $f_3 = 2.19989(7) \text{ d}^{-1}$, and $f_4 = 1.83359(9) \text{ d}^{-1}$ (Fig. 4). None of these frequencies corresponds to the first frequency $f_1^{\text{h}} = 0.81599(7) \text{ d}^{-1}$ found in the HIPPARCOS data. Due to the strong aliasing, we cannot rule out that we selected aliases of the true frequencies (f_2 and f_3 are aliases of the frequencies retained by De Cat et al. 2004b). The results of the mode identification should be treated with caution because HD 179588 is a close visual binary for which the angular separation ρ and magnitude difference Δm between the two visual components were $0''.664(2)$ and $0.83(1)$ mag, respectively, at the epoch of the HIPPARCOS observations (ESA 1997). We find $\ell = 1$ as the most probable identification for f_1, f_3 and f_4 , but the identification is ambiguous. The observed amplitude ratios of f_2 are compatible with none of the considered theoretical modes (Table 4 and Fig. 4, right panels). The close frequencies f_1^{h} and f_1 may be components of a rotationally split mode. Among the best candidates for the fifth frequency, we find 0.79467 d^{-1} , but its modified SCARGLE amplitude does not exceed the 3.6 S/N-level. This frequency would fit in a split multiplet if we are dealing with an $\ell \geq 2$ mode, since $f_1 - f_1^{\text{h}} \simeq 2(f_1^{\text{h}} - 0.79467)$. The mode identification for f_1 does not contradict such a scenario. However, the observed $v_{\text{eq}} \sin i$ value is not in favour of this possibility: the observed frequency spacings can only be explained if f_{Ω} is close to 0.025 d^{-1} , but this value is much lower than $f_{\Omega} \sin i = 0.31(7) \text{ d}^{-1}$ (Table 1). On the other hand, $f_{\Omega} \sin i$ is close to the rotation frequency needed for f_2, f_3 , and/or f_4 to be members of a rotationally split mode.

Before the launch of the HIPPARCOS mission, **HD 222555** (HIP 116870, V394 And) was not known as a variable. The main frequency in the MERCATOR data, $f_1 = 0.56397(3) \text{ d}^{-1}$, is very close to the main frequency in the HIPPARCOS data (Table 4). In the MERCATOR data, there is *marginal* evidence for at least three additional frequencies in the GENEVA *U* passband: $f_2 = 0.38543(7) \text{ d}^{-1}$ and $f_3 = 0.90754(6) \text{ d}^{-1}$, and $f_4 = 0.46435(10) \text{ d}^{-1}$ (Fig. 5). Also 1.81279 d^{-1} is a good candidate for an additional frequency, but it does not reach the 3.6 S/N-level. The additional frequencies should be considered as *candidates* only because they are found in a different order in the other GENEVA passbands, they do not exceed the 3.6 S/N-level in *all* the passbands (e.g. f_3 in the *U*-filter, see Fig. 5), and there is no agreement with the variations in the residual HIPPARCOS data, where 0.69445 d^{-1} is the best candidate for a second frequency. In any case, we classify HD 222555 as a multi-periodic SPB. Mode identification leads to $\ell = 1$ as the best overall solution for f_1 and f_2 , and to $\ell = 2$ for f_4 , while the observed amplitude ratios of f_3 are not compatible with any of the considered theoretical modes with $\ell \leq 4$ (Table 4 and Fig. 5, right panels). Since no $v_{\text{eq}} \sin i$ value is available for HD 222555, we cannot judge upon the possibility of frequencies being components of a rotationally split mode.

Mathias et al. (2001) included **HD 206540** (HIP 107173, HR 8292) in their targetlist of northern suspected SPBs for spectroscopic monitoring. They found this star to be multi-periodic, but they were unable to separate the physical frequencies from the aliases. We now have evidence that their best candidates for the main HIPPARCOS frequency, i.e. $0.65359(4) \text{ d}^{-1}$ and $0.76237(4) \text{ d}^{-1}$, do *not* correspond to the physical one. Indeed,




Fig. 2. Results for HD 182255. In the *left panels*, we show the window function (*top*), and the modified SCARGLE periodograms in the different steps of subsequent prewhitening in the GENEVA *U* passband. The detected frequencies $f_1 = 0.97185(3) \text{ d}^{-1}$, $f_2 = 0.79225(4) \text{ d}^{-1}$, $f_3 = 0.62526(7) \text{ d}^{-1}$, $f_4 = 1.12780(10) \text{ d}^{-1}$, and $f_5 = 1.02884(11) \text{ d}^{-1}$ are indicated with arrows. The grey line corresponds to the 3.6 S/N-level. In the *middle panels*, we show phase diagrams with the detected frequencies. The dots and the grey full line denote the observations and the harmonic fit, respectively. The *right panels* show the results of the photometric mode identification. For each theoretical model within the observed range of $\log(T_{\text{eff}})$ and $\log(g)$ (cf. Fig. 1 and Table 1), the theoretical amplitude ratios for modes with $\ell = 1, 2, 3$, and 4 are represented with a red dashed, a green dash-dotted, a blue dotted, and a cyan dash-dot-dot-dotted line, respectively. The dots indicate the observed amplitude ratios and their standard error.

our MERCATOR data point towards $f_1 = 0.72002(4) \text{ d}^{-1}$ (Fig. 6) as the main frequency for HD 206540. This frequency corresponds to $f_1^h = 0.71989(7) \text{ d}^{-1}$, which is the fourth highest peak in the modified SCARGLE periodogram resulting from the HIPPARCOS H_p data. Moreover, the frequency differences between f_1^h and the best candidates given by Mathias et al. (2001) correspond to the highest peaks in the window function of the HIPPARCOS data. We therefore accept f_1^h as the main HIPPARCOS frequency for HD 206540. After prewhitening, we find $f_2 = 0.62125(5) \text{ d}^{-1}$ and $f_3 = 0.38271(8) \text{ d}^{-1}$ in the MERCATOR data (Fig. 6), of which f_2 corresponds to the second frequency in the HIPPARCOS data (Table 4). The results of the mode identification are ambiguous. The most probable identifications for f_1, f_2 , and f_3 are $\ell = 1, 2$, and 1, respectively (Table 4 and Fig. 6, right panels). Note that f_3 is close to $1 - f_2$, and that there is evidence for an alias frequency of f_1 in the residuals, i.e. 2.72274 d^{-1} . This may indicate that f_2 and/or f_3 are/is *not* an intrinsic frequency, but that we begin to resolve a close frequency multiplet for this slow rotator ($v_{\text{eq}} \sin i < 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$; Mathias et al. 2001).

Waelkens et al. (1998) first classified **HD 191295** (HIP 99250, V1473 Aql) as a new suspected SPB on the basis of the observed variations in the HIPPARCOS data. The

first frequency in the MERCATOR data, i.e. $f_1 = 0.71908(6) \text{ d}^{-1}$, agrees perfectly with the one in the HIPPARCOS data (Table 4). After prewhitening, there are no significant peaks left in the HIPPARCOS periodogram. For the prewhitened MERCATOR data, there are several significant peaks which are each other's alias, of which $f_2 = 0.49011(12) \text{ d}^{-1}$ and $0.51271(17) \text{ d}^{-1}$ are the highest. To check the presence of even more frequencies, we additionally prewhitened with f_2 . In the resulting periodograms, there are still significant peaks for $f_3 = 0.29302(29) \text{ d}^{-1}$ and its aliases. After prewhitening with f_3 , there are no longer any significant peaks (Fig. 7, bottom panel). We therefore conclude that HD 191295 is a multi-periodic SPB, but, apart from the main frequency, we are not yet able to derive the frequency values. The photometric identification shows that observed variations are most probably invoked by $\ell = 1$ modes, even though the identifications are ambiguous (Table 4 and Fig. 7, right panels). As for HD 206540, f_3 is close to $1 - f_1$. However, since an $v_{\text{eq}} \sin i$ value is not available, we cannot estimate the spacings expected for rotationally split frequency multiplets.

For **HD 25558** (HIP 18957, HR 1253, V1133 Tau), there is evidence for up to three frequencies in the MERCATOR data: $f_1 = 0.65265(2) \text{ d}^{-1}$, $f_2 = 1.93235(8) \text{ d}^{-1}$, and $f_3 = 1.17913(10) \text{ d}^{-1}$ or one of their aliases (Fig. 8). The first two are close to




Fig. 3. Same as Fig. 2, but for $f_1 = 1.18843(1) \text{ d}^{-1}$, $f_2 = 1.14934(3) \text{ d}^{-1}$, $f_3 = 1.41968(7) \text{ d}^{-1}$, and $f_4 = 0.95706(9) \text{ d}^{-1}$ in the GENEVA *U* passband of HD 21071.




Fig. 4. Same as Fig. 2, but for $f_1 = 0.85654(4) \text{ d}^{-1}$, $f_2 = 2.04263(5) \text{ d}^{-1}$, $f_3 = 2.19989(7) \text{ d}^{-1}$, and $f_4 = 1.83359(9) \text{ d}^{-1}$ in the GENEVA *U* passband of HD 179588.




Fig. 5. Same as Fig. 2, but for $f_1 = 0.56397(3) \text{ d}^{-1}$, $f_2 = 0.38543(7) \text{ d}^{-1}$, $f_3 = 0.90754(6) \text{ d}^{-1}$, and $f_4 = 0.46435(10) \text{ d}^{-1}$ in the GENEVA U passband of HD 222555. The 3.6 S/N-level is not reached for f_3 in this passband.




Fig. 6. Same as Fig. 2, but for $f_1 = 0.72002(4) \text{ d}^{-1}$, $f_2 = 0.62125(5) \text{ d}^{-1}$, and $f_3 = 0.38271(8) \text{ d}^{-1}$ in the GENEVA U passband of HD 206540.

$f_1^h = 0.65284(5) \text{ d}^{-1}$ and $f_2^h = 1.92977(22) \text{ d}^{-1}$ as found in the HIPPARCOS data. Note that f_2 does not correspond to the highest peak in the modified SCARGLE periodogram, but this frequency was preferred due to the similarity with f_2^h , which was one of

the two candidate frequencies in the HIPPARCOS data proposed by Mathias et al. (2001). The mode identification is ambiguous for all modes, but the best fit is found for $\ell = 1$ (Table 4 and Fig. 8, right panels). Given that $f_\Omega \sin i = 0.14(4) \text{ d}^{-1}$ (Table 1), a




Fig. 7. Same as Fig. 2, but for $f_1 = 0.71908(6) \text{ d}^{-1}$, $f_2 = 0.49011(12) \text{ d}^{-1}$, and $f_3 = 0.29302(17) \text{ d}^{-1}$ in the GENEVA *U* passband of HD 191295.




Fig. 8. Same as Fig. 2, but for $f_1 = 0.65265(2) \text{ d}^{-1}$, $f_2 = 1.93235(8) \text{ d}^{-1}$, and $f_3 = 1.17913(10) \text{ d}^{-1}$ in the GENEVA *U* passband of HD 25558.

low inclination angle i is needed for the observed frequencies to be members of the same rotationally split mode.

The two periodic signals observed in the HIPPARCOS data of **HD 208057** (HIP 108022, HR 8356, OQ Peg) are also present in the MERCATOR data. Indeed, in the GENEVA *U*-filter, we detect $f_1 = 0.89050(7) \text{ d}^{-1}$ and $f_2 = 0.80213(10) \text{ d}^{-1}$. These two frequencies are not present in all the other GENEVA passbands. Moreover, we find the frequencies in a different order than in the HIPPARCOS data. We additionally find evidence for $f_3 =$

$2.47585(8) \text{ d}^{-1}$ or one of its aliases (Fig. 9). For both f_1 and f_2 , $\ell = 1$ is the most probable identification while f_3 is best fitted with an $\ell = 2$ mode (Table 4 and Fig. 9, right panels). For f_1 and f_2 to be components of a rotationally split mode, we need $f_\Omega \leq 0.17 \text{ d}^{-1}$, which is much lower than the lower limit $f_\Omega \sin i = 0.68(21) \text{ d}^{-1}$ (Table 1).

The object **HD 1976** (HIP 1921, HR 91, V746 Cas) is a triple system: it consists of a close visual binary with a period of 152.7 years ($\rho = 0'.157(3)$, $\Delta m = 0.89(10) \text{ mag}$ at the epoch of




Fig. 9. Same as Fig. 2, but for $f_1 = 0.89050(7) \text{ d}^{-1}$, $f_2 = 0.80213(10) \text{ d}^{-1}$ and $f_3 = 2.47585(8) \text{ d}^{-1}$ in the GENEVA U passband of HD 208057.




Fig. 10. Same as Fig. 2, but for $f_1 = 0.39946(4) \text{ d}^{-1}$, $f_2 = 0.93895(4) \text{ d}^{-1}$, and $f_3 = 1.20346(6) \text{ d}^{-1}$ in the GENEVA U passband of HD 1976.

the HIPPARCOS observations; ESA 1997) of which one of the components is a spectroscopic binary with a period of 27^d_8 (Abt & Boonyarak 2004). It has been observed by Andrews & Dukes (2000) with APT from late 1999 on. They found two frequencies which are compatible with those found in the HIPPARCOS data. These frequencies were not confirmed by the spectroscopic data of Mathias et al. (2001). We identified three intrinsic frequencies in the MERCATOR data, of which the first 2 coincide with those observed in the HIPPARCOS data: $f_1 = 0.39946(4) \text{ d}^{-1}$,

$f_2 = 0.93895(4) \text{ d}^{-1}$, and $f_3 = 1.20346(6) \text{ d}^{-1}$ (Fig. 10). This is one frequency less than De Cat et al. (2004b) retained after 1.5 years of MERCATOR observations for this star. Moreover, their third frequency corresponds to $2 \cdot f_3$. Because HD 1976 is a multiple system, the $\log(T_{\text{eff}})$ and $\log(g)$ values given in Table 1, and hence the results of the mode identification should be treated with caution. The observed amplitude ratios of f_1 are not compatible with any of the considered theoretical pulsation modes with $\ell \leq 4$ and resemble those of HD 53921, which is also a




Fig. 11. Same as Fig. 2, but for $f_1 = 0.48790(4) \text{ d}^{-1}$ and $f_2 = 0.48666(8) \text{ d}^{-1}$ in the GENEVA *U* passband of HD 28114.




Fig. 12. Same as Fig. 2, but for $f_1 = 1.82023(15) \text{ d}^{-1}$ and $f_2 = 1.59418(17) \text{ d}^{-1}$ in the GENEVA *B* passband of HD 3379.

close visual binary (De Cat et al. 2005). For f_2 and f_3 , the observed amplitude ratios are compatible with all considered ℓ values, of which $\ell = 1$ is the most probable one (Table 4). Given that $f_\Omega \sin i = 0.69(22) \text{ d}^{-1}$ (Table 1), we cannot exclude that f_1 and f_2 are components of a rotationally split mode.

For **HD 28114** (HIP 20715, HR 1397, V1143 Tau), we detected two intrinsic frequencies in the MERCATOR data, i.e. $f_1 = 0.48790(4) \text{ d}^{-1}$ and $f_2 = 0.48666(8) \text{ d}^{-1}$ (Fig. 11). None of these frequencies coincides with the frequency $f_1^h = 0.79104(23) \text{ d}^{-1}$ found in the HIPPARCOS data. Due to the strong aliasing, we are not sure that we retained the true frequencies. Moreover, the frequency values cannot be trusted since f_1 and f_2 differ less than $1.5/\Delta T$ (Loumos & Deeming 1978). They are slightly different from those found in the first 18 months of data (De Cat et al. 2004b), so we may be in the process of resolving a close frequency multiplet. Indeed, both frequencies are identified as $\ell = 1$ (or 4; Table 4 and Fig. 11, right panels) and HD 28114 is known as a slow rotator ($v_{\text{eq}} \sin i < 11 \text{ km s}^{-1}$; Mathias et al. 2001).

Photometric and spectroscopic variations were first reported for **HD 3379** (HIP 2903, HR 155, 53 Psc = AG Psc) by Williams (1954) and Mathews (1956), respectively. The former reported a period of 0^d09165 which could not be confirmed by the spectroscopic variations of the latter. Percy (1971) concluded that it is unlikely that the photometric variations of HD 3379 exceed 5 mmag. One decade later, Sareyan et al. (1979) classified HD 3379 as a β Cep star since they observed short period variations (0^d08) both in photometric and spectroscopic observations, of which the amplitude might be variable. Jerzykiewicz & Sterken (1990) ascribed the previously reported low-amplitude variations of HD 3379 to the comparison star HD 560 (34 Pcs). Wolf (1987) found a large-amplitude photometric variation with a period of about 0^d096 , while Le Contel et al. (1988), Balona & Marang (1988), and Percy & Au-Yong (2000) found HD 3379 to be constant to better than 10, 2, and 10 mmag, respectively. Le Contel et al. (2001) reported up to four low-amplitude frequencies (1.81 d^{-1} , 0.11 d^{-1} , 1.22 d^{-1} , 1.57 d^{-1}) based on more than




Fig. 13. Same as Fig. 2, but for $f_1 = 0.68369(7) d^{-1}$ and $f_2 = 0.40893(13) d^{-1}$ in the GENEVA *U* passband of HD 28475.




Fig. 14. Same as Fig. 2, but for $f_1 = 2.12509(32) d^{-1}$ in the GENEVA *U* passband of HD 169820.




Fig. 15. Same as Fig. 2, but for $f_1 = 0.66110(3) d^{-1}$ in the GENEVA *U* passband of HD 138003.

100 spectroscopic observations with a time span of $11d^0$. The origin of their second frequency is unclear, but they attribute the other three frequencies to SPB-pulsations. With our

MERCATOR data, there is *marginal* evidence for two frequencies reported by Le Contel et al. (2001), i.e. $f_1 = 1.82023(19) d^{-1}$ and $f_2 = 1.59418(22) d^{-1}$ (Fig. 12). We find no confirmation for any

of these frequencies in the HIPPARCOS data. We identify both f_1 and f_2 with $\ell \geq 2$ modes (Table 4 and Fig. 12, right panels). It is plausible that f_1 and f_2 are components of a rotationally split mode with $\ell \geq 2$ because we find $f_\Omega \sin i = 0.33(10) \text{ d}^{-1}$ (Table 1).

The object **HD 28475** (HIP 20963, HR 1420, V1144 Tau) is known as a double-lined spectroscopic binary with an eccentricity $e = 0.35$ and an orbital period of $13^{\text{d}}8761(4)$. It consists of two nearly identical B5-type stars (Hube 1983). Hence, both components are potential SPBs. Because the system is completely detached, neither eclipse nor ellipsoidal variations are expected. With the MERCATOR data, we retained two frequencies: $f_1 = 0.68369(7) \text{ d}^{-1}$ and $f_2 = 0.40893(13) \text{ d}^{-1}$ (Fig. 13). This confirms the suggested multi-periodic character after the analysis of the first 18 months of MERCATOR data (De Cat et al. 2004b), but our and their main frequency are aliases of each other. The first frequency is close to $f_1^{\text{h}} = 0.68537(7) \text{ d}^{-1}$, found as one of the highest peaks in the periodogram of the HIPPARCOS data. The second frequency is new. The observed amplitude ratios of f_1 are compatible with $\ell \geq 2$, while those of f_2 can be explained with $\ell = 1$ or 4 modes (Table 4 and Fig. 13, right panels). Since we are dealing with a double-lined binary, these results of the mode identification should be treated with caution. We cannot exclude that f_1 and f_2 are components of a rotationally split mode because we derived $f_\Omega \sin i = 0.15(5) \text{ d}^{-1}$ from the stellar parameters of HD 28475 (Table 1).

For the Maia candidate **HD 169820** (HIP 90337, HR 6906), we find $f_1 = 2.12509(32) \text{ d}^{-1}$ as the only frequency in the MERCATOR and HIPPARCOS data (Table 4 and Fig. 14). The T_{eff} and $\log(g)$ values derived from the GENEVA photometry put HD 169820 within the theoretical instability strip of the SPBs (Table 1 and Fig. 1). The models appropriate for HD 169820 have no unstable modes in the frequency range around f_1 . However, because we are dealing with a rapidly rotating star ($v_{\text{eq}} \sin i = 136 \text{ km s}^{-1}$; Royer et al. 2002) and since f_1 is identified with an $\ell = 4$ or 2 mode (Table 4 and Fig. 14, right panel), the difference between the observed frequency and the frequency in the co-rotating frame can be large. This, in combination with the presence of f_1 in the GENEVA colours, favours the classification as a rapidly rotating SPB in analogy with HD 121190 (Aerts & Kolenberg 2005).

For **HD 138003** (HIP 75641, FK Boo), $f_1 = 0.66110(3) \text{ d}^{-1}$ is the only frequency found in the MERCATOR and HIPPARCOS data, as well as in the GENEVA colours (Fig. 15). Because there are unstable modes near f_1 in appropriate theoretical models for HD 138003, we have no reason to question the SPB-nature of this object. However, the observed amplitude ratios do not follow the trends predicted for pulsation modes with $\ell \leq 4$ (Fig. 15, right panel). They resemble those of HD 53921, which is a close visual binary (De Cat et al. 2005).

4.1.2. β Cep stars

Hill (1967) tentatively classified the Perseus OB1-member **HD 14053** (NGC 869 612) as a β Cep star. Because his data in the U filter are poorly distributed in phase, the frequency $4.9458(12) \text{ d}^{-1}$ that was observed in the B filter could not be confirmed. The stellar parameters derived from the MERCATOR data put this object well within the theoretical instability strip of the β Ceps (Fig. 1). HD 14053 is the only object in our sample that has not been observed by the HIPPARCOS satellite. We have evidence for up to five frequencies in the MERCATOR data: $f_1 = 6.08608(11) \text{ d}^{-1}$, $f_2 = 9.61805(22) \text{ d}^{-1}$, $f_3 = 7.69877(21) \text{ d}^{-1}$, $f_4 = 2.74081(29) \text{ d}^{-1}$, and $f_5 = 2.86425(80) \text{ d}^{-1}$, or one of their

aliases (Fig. 16). We cannot exclude that Hill's frequency and f_1 are aliases. In the residual periodogram, clear frequency peaks still appear, but in each filter at different values (Fig. 16, bottom left panel). We stopped the frequency search at this point. Our frequencies need further observational confirmation, but we classify HD 14053 as a multi-periodic β Cep star. The frequencies f_1 , f_3 , and f_4 are unambiguously identified as an $\ell = 4$ mode, while the observed amplitude ratios of f_2 are compatible with both $\ell = 2$ and 4. Those of f_5 are not compatible with any of the considered theoretical modes (Table 4 and Fig. 16, right panels). Based on $f_\Omega \sin i = 0.17(4) \text{ d}^{-1}$ (Table 1), we cannot exclude that f_4 and f_5 are components of a rotationally split mode.

Plasket & Pearce (1930) first announced the radial velocity of **HD 89688** (HIP 50684, HR 4064, 23 Sex = RS Sex) to be variable. This statement could not be confirmed nor ruled out by the observations of Sahade et al. (1956). They questioned the photometric variations reported by Walker (1952) and de Jager (1953) who classified this object as a suspected β Cep star. Recently, Percy & Au-Yong (2000) found HD 89688 to be constant to better than 10 mmag. The periodograms of the MERCATOR data of HD 89688 are very noisy and the aliasing is severe. We have evidence for up to four frequencies and retained $f_1 = 0.79649(10) \text{ d}^{-1}$, $f_2 = 5.79148(11) \text{ d}^{-1}$, $f_3 = 10.92814(10) \text{ d}^{-1}$, and $f_4 = 4.09715(16) \text{ d}^{-1}$ (Fig. 17). There are no common peaks with the modified SCARGLE periodogram of the HIPPARCOS data, where 7.3902 d^{-1} and 7.7459 d^{-1} are the highest peaks. These frequencies both reduce the H_p variance with the same amount, so we cannot prefer one above the other. The results of the mode identification are ambiguous (Table 4 and Fig. 17, right panels). The most probable identifications for f_1 , f_2 , and f_4 are $\ell = 2$, 2, and 0/1, respectively. The observed amplitudes of f_3 are inconsistent with all the considered theoretical modes with $\ell \leq 4$ (Fig. 17, right panels). Given HD 89688's position in the H-R diagram, we may be dealing with a hybrid β Cep/SPB star (Fig. 1). However, since f_1 is close to $f_\Omega \sin i = 0.83(20) \text{ d}^{-1}$, f_1 can easily be interpreted as f_Ω as well in case of a sufficiently high inclination angle i .

The periodic Be star (λ Eri star) **HD 180968** (HIP 94827, HR 7318, 2 Vul = ES Vul) is known as a close visual binary with $\rho = 1''.748(12)$ and $\Delta m = 3.55(6) \text{ mag}$ at the epoch of the HIPPARCOS observations (ESA 1997). Plasket & Pearce (1930) found the first indications of radial-velocity variations. Lynds (1959) reported a frequency of 1.6404 d^{-1} in a time series of yellow light observations. Stagg (1983) confirmed the short period photometric variability, but the period of Lynds (1959) did not fit his new data. A decade later, Gies (1994) reported line-profile variations with a period of 0.787 d^{-1} . We found $f_1 = 0.63754(8) \text{ d}^{-1}$ and $f_2 = 2.18570(17) \text{ d}^{-1}$ in the MERCATOR time series (Fig. 18). The first frequency coincides with f_1^{h} , which is the second highest peak in the modified SCARGLE periodogram of the HIPPARCOS data. The frequency found by Lynds (1959) is a 1 d^{-1} alias frequency of f_1 . The observed amplitude ratios of f_1 are compatible with pulsation modes with $\ell \leq 3$, and those of f_2 with $\ell \geq 2$ (Table 4 and Fig. 18, right panels). HD 180968 is situated in the middle of the instability strip of the β Cep stars. The frequency f_1 falls outside the theoretically predicted range of unstable modes, even if the frequency shifts expected for rapid rotation are taken into account. Given that only one low frequency is found and that rotational modulation is one of the possible explanations for variations in Be stars, we prefer the interpretation of f_1 as the rotation frequency. Moreover, f_1 is fully compatible with $f_\Omega \sin i = 0.65(17) \text{ d}^{-1}$ (Table 1).

The object **HD 13831** (HIP 10615, V473 Per) is known as a Be star since $\text{H}\alpha$ has been observed in emission




Fig. 16. Frequency analysis of the data of HD 14053 in the GENEVA *B* passband. In the *left panels*, we show the window function (*top*), and the modified SCARGLE periodograms in the different steps of subsequent prewhitening. The detected frequencies $f_1 = 6.08608(11) \text{ d}^{-1}$, $f_2 = 9.61805(22) \text{ d}^{-1}$, $f_3 = 7.69877(21) \text{ d}^{-1}$, $f_4 = 2.74081(29) \text{ d}^{-1}$, and $f_5 = 2.86425(80) \text{ d}^{-1}$ are indicated with arrows. The grey line corresponds to the 3.6 S/N-level. In the *middle panels*, we show phase diagrams with the detected frequencies. The dots and the grey full line denote the observations and the harmonic fit, respectively. The *right panels* show the results of the photometric mode identification. For each theoretical model within the observed range of $\log(T_{\text{eff}})$ and $\log(g)$ (cf. Fig. 1 and Table 1), the theoretical amplitude ratios for modes with $\ell = 0, 1, 2, 3$, and 4 are represented with a black full, a red dashed, a green dash-dotted, a blue dotted, and a cyan dash-dot-dot-dotted line, respectively. The dots indicate the observed amplitude ratios and their standard error.

(Kohoutek & Wehmeyer 1999). Its projected rotation velocity $v_{\text{eq}} \sin i = 167(28) \text{ km s}^{-1}$ amounts to 33% of the critical break-up velocity (Clark & Steele 2000). Hill (1967) classified HD 13831 as photometrically constant while Garrido & Delgado (1982) observed variations with a frequency of about 5.2 d^{-1} with a peak-to-peak amplitude of $0^{\text{m}}03$ in the Strömrgren *u*, *v*, and *b* passbands, and classified it as a new β Cep star. In the HIPPARCOS catalogue, it is listed as an unsolved variable. In the MERCATOR data, we have evidence for two frequencies: $f_1 = 0.97525(8) \text{ d}^{-1}$ and $f_2 = 5.41824(11) \text{ d}^{-1}$, or one of their aliases (Fig. 19). The β Cep-like variations observed by Garrido & Delgado (1982) are confirmed by f_2 . Because there is no intersection between the $(\log(T_{\text{eff}}), \log(g))$ error box and our grid of models, we are unable to identify the modes (Fig. 19, right panels). As for HD 180968, we interpret the low frequency f_1 as the rotation frequency of HD 13831.

The first O-star in our sample, **HD 214680** (HIP 111841, HR 8622, 10 Lac), is the most massive member of the Lacertae OB Ib association (Humphreys 1978). Murdoch et al. (1994) report the presence of Br α and Br γ emission features in the infrared spectrum of this O9 V MK standard. An overview of its properties is given by Brandt et al. (1998). HD 214680 has a vi-

sual component of 10 mag and a separation $\rho = 62''3$ at the epoch of the observations of Mason et al. (1998). Line-profile and/or radial-velocity variations were already observed on several occasions (e.g. Grygar 1963; Underhill & van Helden 1966; Chochol & Grygar 1976) before Smith (1978) classified HD 214680 as a 53 Persei star (most members of this class are now reclassified as SPBs). He found a frequency of 4.89 d^{-1} in line-profile variations which he ascribed to an $\ell = 2$ sectoral mode. Smith also found evidence that it concerns a complex pulsator capable of changing its amplitude and period on a time scale of months. Migrating discrete absorption components are observed in the UV resonance doublets, with a reoccurrence timescale of about 7 days (Kaper et al. 1999 and references therein). Photometric variability with an amplitude between 20 and 30 mmag and a candidate frequency of 3.75 d^{-1} have been reported by Delgado & Garrido (1981), but this has never been confirmed. Our MERCATOR data gives evidence for periodic photometric variations with $f_1 = 3.2584(8) \text{ d}^{-1}$ (Fig. 20). It cannot be excluded that Delgado & Garrido's frequency and f_1 are aliases. No identification could be performed for this star because the full $(T_{\text{eff}}, \log(g))$ error box of HD 214680 falls outside the grid of models. We recall that HD 214680 is the brightest object of our sample and that




Fig. 17. Same as Fig. 16, but for $f_1 = 0.79649(10) \text{ d}^{-1}$, $f_2 = 5.79148(11) \text{ d}^{-1}$, $f_3 = 10.92814(10) \text{ d}^{-1}$, and $f_4 = 4.09715(16) \text{ d}^{-1}$ in the GENEVA *B* passband of HD 89688.




Fig. 18. Same as Fig. 16, but for $f_1 = 0.63754(8) \text{ d}^{-1}$ and $f_2 = 2.18570(17) \text{ d}^{-1}$ in the GENEVA *U* passband of HD 180968.

a significant amount of data was rejected because of the poor quality. Although our results should be treated with caution, we now consider HD 214680 as a β Cep star.

4.1.3. Hybrid β Cep/SPB stars

The second O-star in our sample is **HD 13745** (HIP 10541, V354 Per). Hill (1967) found light variations for this object with

a frequency of $2.2203(4) \text{ d}^{-1}$ and classified it as a new β Cep. Based on the HIPPARCOS data, it was first classified as a constant star, but later reclassified as a periodic variable with frequency $11.44972(6) \text{ d}^{-1}$ by Koen & Eyer (2002). We have evidence for the presence of four frequencies in the MERCATOR data: $f_1 = 1.13358(6) \text{ d}^{-1}$, $f_2 = 2.64441(6) \text{ d}^{-1}$, $f_3 = 0.68063(7) \text{ d}^{-1}$, and $f_4 = 8.18548(11) \text{ d}^{-1}$, or one of their aliases (Fig. 21). None of these frequencies coincide with frequencies previously reported in the literature. Because f_1 , f_2 , and f_3 are SPB frequencies




Fig. 19. Same as Fig. 16, but for $f_1 = 0.97525(8) \text{ d}^{-1}$ and $f_2 = 5.41824(11) \text{ d}^{-1}$ in the GENEVA *B* passband of HD 13831.




Fig. 20. Same as Fig. 16, but for $f_1 = 3.2584(8) \text{ d}^{-1}$ in the GENEVA *G* passband of HD 214680.

and f_4 is a β Cep frequency, we classify HD 13745 as a hybrid β Cep/SPB star. This is consistent with its position in the H-R diagram which is rather close to the hot common theoretical β Cep/SPB instability strip predicted by e.g. Pamyatnykh (1999) (Table 1 and Fig. 1). Unfortunately, none of the models of our grid falls within the observed error box of HD 13745, so we are unable to perform mode identification for this star (Fig. 21, right panels). Given that the observed amplitude ratios resemble those of e.g. HD 3379 (g -modes; Fig. 12) or HD 14053 (p -modes; Fig. 16), we are convinced that they are compatible with p - and/or g -modes.

The star **HD 19374** (HIP 14514, HR 938, 53 Ari = UW Ari) is a runaway star from the I Ori association (Blaauw 1956) that is situated along the border of the theoretical instability strip of the β Ceps (Fig. 1). Its behaviour is controversial. On the one hand, the spectroscopic observations of Münch & Flather (1957) and Van Hoof & Blaauw (1964) and the photometric observations of Bondal (1967) all showed variations which are compatible with a frequency of about 6.5 d^{-1} , leading to the classification as a β Cep. On the other hand, the spectroscopic and photometric observations of Lane (1976) and Jerzykiewicz (1974), respectively, revealed no significant variations. Sterken (1988) observed a

large scatter in radial velocity on a time scale of a few hours which may be caused by line-profile variations, but he rules out the classification as a β Cep and prefers an interpretation as a “totally disrupted system”. Gonzalez Bedolla (1994) reobserved this star photometrically during three nights. He observed periodic variations only during the night in 1980 and a flash of about one hour during the last night in 1982. Therefore, a peculiar amplitude modulation or transient variability may better account for the observations of this star. Adelman (2001) included HD 19374 in his list of the “least variable stars” observed with the HIPPARCOS satellite. The MERCATOR data gives evidence for three frequencies: $f_1 = 1.32663(4) \text{ d}^{-1}$, $f_2 = 6.50027(9) \text{ d}^{-1}$, and $f_3 = 4.36573(12) \text{ d}^{-1}$, or one of their aliases (Fig. 22). The frequency previously mentioned in the literature is confirmed by f_2 . A frequency as low as f_1 is not predicted for models compatible with the position of HD 19374 in the H-R diagram. Given that $v_{\text{eq}} \sin i = 5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (Abt et al. 2002), no large frequency shifts are expected for the non-zonal components of non-radial modes. Moreover, f_1 is unlikely to be the rotation frequency f_{Ω} because $f_{\Omega} \sin i$ is very low (Table 1). The available spectroscopic studies do not allow us to relate f_1 to binary motion. We therefore tentatively classify HD 19374 as a hybrid β Cep/SPB star. Because an




Fig. 21. Frequency analysis of the data of HD 13745 in the GENEVA U passband. In the *left panels*, we show the window function (*top*), and the modified SCARGLE periodograms in the different steps of subsequent prewhitening. The detected frequencies $f_1 = 1.13358(6) \text{ d}^{-1}$, $f_2 = 2.64441(6) \text{ d}^{-1}$, $f_3 = 0.68063(7) \text{ d}^{-1}$, and $f_4 = 8.18548(11) \text{ d}^{-1}$ are indicated with arrows. The grey line corresponds to the $3.6S/N$ -level. In the *middle panels*, we show phase diagrams with the detected frequencies. The dots and the grey full line denote the observations and the harmonic fit, respectively. In the *right panels*, the dots indicate the observed amplitude ratios and their standard error. No identification could be performed for this star because the full $(T_{\text{eff}}, \log(g))$ error box of HD 13745 falls outside the grid of models.




Fig. 22. Same as Fig. 21, but for $f_1 = 1.32663(4) \text{ d}^{-1}$, $f_2 = 6.50027(9) \text{ d}^{-1}$, and $f_3 = 4.36573(12) \text{ d}^{-1}$ in the GENEVA U passband of HD 19374. The *right panels* show the results of the photometric mode identification. For each theoretical model within the observed range of $\log(T_{\text{eff}})$ and $\log(g)$ (cf. Fig. 1 and Table 1), the theoretical amplitude ratios for modes with $\ell = 1, 2, 3$, and 4 are represented with a red dashed, a green dash-dotted, a blue dotted, and a cyan dash-dot-dot-dotted line, respectively. The dots indicate the observed amplitude ratios and their standard error.

extrapolation of the calibration tables was needed for the determination of T_{eff} and $\log(g)$ of HD 19374, its position in the H-R diagram (Fig.1) and hence also the results of the mode identification should be treated with caution. We only find compatibility between the observed amplitude ratios of f_3 and modes with $\ell \leq 3$ (Table 4 and Fig. 22, right panels).

4.2. Non-pulsating variables

For the six stars in this section, we argue that they were misclassified as *pulsating* variables. We prefer a classification as an ellipsoidal variable or a spotted star instead. It is not always clear which of the two should be preferred, and our classification should be checked with high-resolution spectroscopic data. We will also use the method of amplitude ratios for these *non-pulsating* variables to check how good/bad the behaviour of the amplitude ratios can be discriminated from pulsation modes.

4.2.1. Binaries

For four objects, we have strong indications that we are dealing with binaries instead of pulsators. The clearest case is **HD 208727** (HIP 108348, HR 8377, V2173 Cyg) which is a rapidly rotating star for which the HIPPARCOS team found a frequency of $3.16778(3) \text{ d}^{-1}$. This object was therefore classified as a suspected SPB (Waelkens et al. 1998) and later on as a suspected Maia star. The values of T_{eff} and $\log(g)$ derived from the GENEVA photometry put HD 208727 within the theoretical instability strip of the SPBs (Fig. 1). Recently, Kallinger et al. (2002) obtained 70 additional Stromgren b and y observations and confirmed the HIPPARCOS frequency. They found no evidence for additional variability and tentatively attribute the observed variations to rotational modulation since no unstable modes are found in this frequency range for a model with similar parameters to those of HD 208727. It is clear that HD 208727 is an ellipsoidal variable instead. Indeed, we found $f_1 = 3.16780(1) \text{ d}^{-1}$ and $f_2 = 1.58344(10) \text{ d}^{-1} \simeq \frac{1}{2}f_1$ as the first and second frequency in the MERCATOR data. Optimisation yields $f_{\text{orb}} = 1.58390(10) \text{ d}^{-1}$ (Fig. 23). Variations with $2f_{\text{orb}}$ are very dominant in the GENEVA passbands, while f_{orb} is the only frequency present in the GENEVA colours. In periodograms of the HIPPARCOS H_p data, the only significant peak corresponds to $3.16778(3) \text{ d}^{-1} \simeq 2f_{\text{orb}}$. After prewhitening, there is no evidence of intrinsic variability. If the system were synchronised, the orbital inclination i would be close to 90° because $f_\Omega \sin i = 1.62(45) \text{ d}^{-1}$ (Table 1). However, we have no observational evidence of eclipses. The observed amplitude ratios of HD 208727 corresponding to the dominant frequency f_1 are all close to 1 (Fig. 23, right panel). Only the selected $\ell = 2$ modes of a few models are compatible with them. This is no surprise because a sectoral $\ell = 2$ mode pulsating with $2f_{\text{orb}}$ mimics an ellipsoid rotating with f_{orb} .

In the H-R diagram, the object **HD 24094** (HIP 18151, CY Cam) is located close to the theoretical instability strip of the β Ceps (leftmost filled triangle in Fig. 1), while the main frequency observed in the HIPPARCOS data is characteristic of SPBs. It is classified as a contact binary with hot components for which a good light-curve is lacking, but with frequent observations of minima which allow the determination of a reliable ephemeris (Pribulla et al. 2003). With our MERCATOR data, we find $f_1 = 1.90105(2) \text{ d}^{-1}$ as the only periodic signal in the GENEVA light curves. It reduces the variance in the data of the passbands with 90% or more, but it is not present in the GENEVA

colours. We have no evidence for the presence of a periodic signal with $\frac{1}{2}f_1$ in the MERCATOR data. Optimisation of this frequency yields $f_{\text{orb}} = 0.95053(14) \text{ d}^{-1}$ (Fig. 24), which only has a small but significant amplitude in the U , B_1 , B and G filter. Hence, the classification as a contact binary cannot be confirmed or ruled out. If the variations with f_1 are interpreted in terms of pulsations, then we find compatibility between the observed and theoretical amplitude ratios of $\ell = 2$ or 3 modes (Fig. 24, right panel).

For **HD 112396** (HIP 63096), one frequency is clearly present in the data of the GENEVA passbands: $f_1 = 1.41491(4) \text{ d}^{-1}$. This frequency coincides with the main frequency in the HIPPARCOS data. The most dominant peaks in the periodogram of the residual MERCATOR data are close to integer values and remain below the 3.6 S/N-level. There are no longer any dominant peaks in the residual HIPPARCOS data. Hence, there is no evidence for multi-periodicity. Given that variations with f_1 are not significantly present in the GENEVA colours and that the peak-to-peak amplitude of three v_{rad} observations of Fehrenbach et al. (1987) amounts to 105 km s^{-1} , we tentatively classify HD 112396 as an ellipsoidal variable with an orbital frequency $f_{\text{orb}} = 0.70745(21) \text{ d}^{-1}$ (Fig. 25). This suggestion is strengthened by the observation that the amplitude ratios cannot be successfully interpreted in terms of pulsation modes with $\ell \leq 4$ (Fig. 25, right panel) and that HD 112396 is situated outside the theoretical instability strips of both the SPBs and β Ceps (Fig. 1, rightmost filled triangle).

The radial-velocity variations of **HD 149881** (HIP 81362, V600 Her) were first detected by Plasket & Pearce (1930). Lynds (1959) observed short-periodic light variations ($P \sim 0^d.2$) and first classified it as a β Cep star. Hill et al. (1976) studied HD 149881 both photometrically and spectroscopically and concluded that this object is an ellipsoidal variable with an orbital period of $P_{\text{orb}} = 5^d.20065(2)$. They attributed the additional period of $P \sim 0^d.18$ to β Cep pulsations of the primary component, which recently evolved off the main sequence (Koch 1990). With the MERCATOR data, we can confirm the classification of HD 149881 as an ellipsoidal variable because we have evidence for $f_1 = 0.38445(9) \text{ d}^{-1}$ in some of the GENEVA passbands, which corresponds to $P_{\text{orb}}/2$ (Fig. 26). Like HD 112396, an interpretation of f_1 in terms of pulsation fails (Fig. 26, right panel). After optimisation, we find $f_{\text{orb}} = 0.19223(53) \text{ d}^{-1}$. After prewhitening, there are only significant peaks at integer frequencies in the GENEVA passbands (Fig. 26, bottom left panel). Hence, we do not have evidence for pulsations in the primary component. In the HIPPARCOS data, no significant frequency peaks are found.

4.2.2. Spotted stars

Although **HD 154689** (HIP 83467) has been flagged as an “unsolved” variable in the HIPPARCOS catalogue, Koen & Eyer (2002) classified it as a new suspected periodic variable because they found evidence for the frequency 3.91545 d^{-1} . Because of its position in the H-R diagram, we considered it as a suspected Maia star (right star in Fig. 1). With the new MERCATOR data, we find the same main frequency $f_1 = 3.91587(81) \text{ d}^{-1}$ (Fig. 27). However, this signal is not significantly present in the GENEVA colours (except for $[U - B]$). The amplitude of f_1 increases towards the red filters and cannot be modelled with pulsation modes with $\ell \leq 4$ (Fig. 27, right panel). Moreover, one of the best candidates for the second frequency is $f_1/2$. We suspect that we are dealing with rotational modulation instead of stellar pulsation, and that $f_1/2$ corresponds to the rotation




Fig. 23. Frequency analysis of the data of HD 208727 in the GENEVA *U* passband. In the *left panels*, we show the window function (*top*), and the modified SCARGLE periodograms before and after prewhitening with $f_{\text{orb}} = 1.58390(10) \text{ d}^{-1}$ and $2f_{\text{orb}}$. The dominant frequency $f_1 = 2f_{\text{orb}}$ is indicated with an arrow. The grey line corresponds to the $3.6S/N$ -level. In the *middle panel*, we show a phase diagram with f_{orb} . The dots and the grey full line denote the observations and the harmonic fit, respectively. The *right panel* shows the results of the interpretation of the dominant frequency in terms of pulsation. For each theoretical model within the observed range of $\log(T_{\text{eff}})$ and $\log(g)$ (cf. Fig. 1 and Table 1), the theoretical amplitude ratios for modes with $\ell = 1, 2, 3$, and 4 are represented with a red dashed, a green dash-dotted, a blue dotted, and a cyan dash-dot-dot-dotted line, respectively. The dots indicate the observed amplitude ratios and their standard error.




Fig. 24. Same as Fig. 23, but for $f_{\text{orb}} = 0.95053(14) \text{ d}^{-1}$ and $2f_{\text{orb}}$ in the GENEVA *U* passband of HD 24094.




Fig. 25. Same as Fig. 23, but for $f_{\text{orb}} = 0.70745(21) \text{ d}^{-1}$ and $2f_{\text{orb}}$ in the GENEVA *U* passband of HD 112396.

frequency. After optimisation, we find $f_{\Omega} = 1.95767(110) \text{ d}^{-1}$ with the MERCATOR and HIPPARCOS data. In the MERCATOR

data, up to the second harmonic ($2f_{\Omega}, 3f_{\Omega}$) is significant, while only the first harmonic is significant in the HIPPARCOS data.




Fig. 26. Same as Fig. 23, but for $f_{\text{orb}} = 0.19223(53) \text{ d}^{-1}$ and $2f_{\text{orb}}$ in the GENEVA *B* passband of HD 149881.




Fig. 27. Frequency analysis of the data of HD 154689 in the GENEVA *U* passband. In the *left panels*, we show the window function (*top*), and the modified SCARGLE periodograms before and after prewhitening with $f_0 = 1.95767(110) \text{ d}^{-1}$, $2f_0$, and $3f_0$. The dominant frequency $f_1 = 2f_0$ is indicated with an arrow. The grey line corresponds to the 3.6S/N -level. In the *middle panel*, we show a phase diagram with f_0 . The dots and the grey full line denote the observations and the harmonic fit, respectively. The *right panel* shows the results of the interpretation of the dominant frequency in terms of pulsations. For each theoretical model within the observed range of $\log(T_{\text{eff}})$ and $\log(g)$ (cf. Fig. 1 and Table 1), the theoretical amplitude ratios for modes with $\ell = 1, 2, 3$, and 4 are represented with a red dashed, a green dash-dotted, a blue dotted, and a cyan dash-dot-dot-dotted line, respectively. The dots indicate the observed amplitude ratios and their standard error.




Fig. 28. Same as Fig. 27, but for $f_1 = 2.50476(2) \text{ d}^{-1}$ in the GENEVA *U* passband of HD 46005.

Light curves of spotted stars vary in almost all cases with at least two harmonics (North 1984; Briquet et al. 2004). There is no evidence for additional frequencies in the residual signal.

In the SIMBAD Astronomical database, the Maia candidate **HD 46005** (HIP 31065, V727 Mon) is classified as an eclipsing binary of the β Lyrae type. It is known as a visual binary with




Fig. 29. Frequency analysis of the data of HD 217782 in the GENEVA *U* passband. We show the window function (*top*) and the modified SCARGLE periodograms (*bottom*). The grey line corresponds to the 3.6 S/N-level.

$\rho = 1''.075(20)$ and $\Delta m = 2.40(13)$ mag at the epoch of the HIPPARCOS observations (ESA 1997). Therefore, the stellar parameters derived from the GENEVA photometry should be treated with caution (Table 1). They are not compatible with the classification as a B8-type star (SIMBAD), and put this object below the cool common part of the theoretical instability strips of the SPBs and β Ceps (leftmost star in Fig. 1). The main frequency in the MERCATOR and HIPPARCOS data coincide. We found $f_1 = 2.50476(2) \text{ d}^{-1}$ (Fig. 28). This frequency reduces the variance with 90% or more in all the GENEVA passbands and it is present in the variations of the GENEVA colours. There is no evidence for additional frequencies. The variations with f_1 can be successfully modelled with an $\ell = 2$ mode (Fig. 28, right panel). However, the observed photometric amplitudes are extremely large for an $\ell = 2$ mode: from 57.7(10) mmag in *U* to 48.2(12) mmag in *G* (Table 2) and 50.2(24) mmag in *H_p* (Table 3). This is more than 50% larger than what is observed for the large-amplitude rapidly-rotating β Cep star HD 203664 (Aerts et al. 2006). Moreover, the frequency f_1 is high for an SPB-frequency and low for a β Cep-frequency, and there are no unstable modes with eigenfrequencies in this frequency range for appropriate models. We therefore propose surface spots with f_1 or one of its subharmonics as rotation frequency as an alternative interpretation. However, we cannot exclude binarity.

4.3. Constant star

The A-star **HD 217782** (HIP 113788, 2 And) is known as a β Pictoris-like object. Both an interstellar cloud in the line-of-sight and in-falling circumstellar gas are observed (Cheng & Neff 2003 and references therein). Unlike β Pictoris, there is no evidence for circumstellar dust nor chromospheric activity. HD 217782 was first classified as a λ Bootis star (i.e. a metal-poor Population I A-type star) by Parenago (1958), but this classification was later questioned by Gerbaldi et al. (2003). Indeed, HD 217782 is a visual binary for which Kamper (1974) found an eccentric orbit of 74 years. They could not confirm the identification of the A component as a suspected spectroscopic binary by Plasket et al. (1921), but the evidence for light variations of the B component first stated by van den Bos (1960) remained. Given the low value of the angular separation and magnitude difference between the two visual components ($\rho = 0''.387$ and $\Delta m = 2.17$ mag at the epoch of the HIPPARCOS observations; ESA 1997), spectroscopic observations of HD 217782 result in composite spectra, so that the metal lines may appear too weak and mimic those formed in a single metal deficient atmosphere. Like HD 19374, HD 217782 is listed as one of the least variable stars observed with the HIPPARCOS satellite (Adelman 2001). The MERCATOR data give no evidence for periodic variations since the obvious peaks seen in the modified SCARGLE periodograms all correspond to frequencies invoked by the time sampling (Fig. 29). We classify HD 217782 as photometrically constant to better than 6 mmag.