1. Introduction

Young open clusters offer the opportunity to investigate star formation simultaneously for a significant number of stars from high to very low mass. Processes like accretion, mass-loss and pulsation in the presence of both local and global magnetic fields can be studied (Monin et al. 2006). The pre-main sequence (PMS) phase of stellar evolution has been extensively investigated in the last decade (James et al. 2006). With the detection of magnetic fields (Wade et al. 2005) and the application of asteroseismic tools (e.g. Ripepi et al. 2006; Zwintz et al. 2006) pulsation in the presence of both local and global magnetic fields can be studied (Monin et al. 2006). The pre-main sequence (PMS) phase of stellar evolution has been extensively investigated in the last decade (James et al. 2006). With the detection of magnetic fields (Wade et al. 2005) and the application of asteroseismic tools (e.g. Ripepi et al. 2006; Zwintz et al. 2006) the early phases of the stellar evolution begin to reveal their mysteries.

We investigate the southern young open cluster NGC 6383 located at \( \alpha(2000.0) = 17^h 34^m 48^s \), \( \delta(2000.0) = -32^\circ 34' 00'' \), \( l = 355^\circ 690, b = 0^\circ 041 \) which has been a target of several investigations (see Zwintz et al. 2005, for a summary). This aggregate is especially interesting because it contains several variable stars and, due to a very massive binary system in its core, star formation is still ongoing (Rauw et al. 2003).

We present new Strömgren \( uby \) photometry of several fields of NGC 6383, together with a detailed analysis of the available 2MASS data (Skrutskie et al. 2006). The color–magnitude diagram clearly shows continuous star formation over about the last 4 Myr. We have derived the cluster parameters and discovered two rapidly-rotating PMS stars. These are very interesting objects for further observations. An analysis of the NIR data shows that PMS members are present to spectral types of M0 or even cooler. Some members show a NIR excess and are located in the photometric domain of Herbig Ae/Be and classical T Tauri stars. Based on all available information from our observations and the literature, a list of bona-fide members of NGC 6383 was compiled.

2. Observations and Reduction

The observations were performed during the night of 13/14.06.2004 at the 3.6 m telescope (ESO-La Silla), with the EFOSC2 (fast modus) and the Loral/Lesser 2048×2048 pixel (1 pixel = 0'.157) CCD, which yields a 5' field-of-view using a standard Strömgren \( uby \) filter set (Observer: M. Netopil).

As NGC 6383 is believed to be rather extended, about 20', we have chosen five overlapping fields in the cluster area to cover the most interesting objects. In total, 33 frames in each filter were observed with integration times ranging from 5 to 300 s.

The bias-subtraction, dark-correction, flat-fielding and point-spread-function fitting were carried out within standard IRAF V2.12.2 routines.

No offsets in instrumental magnitudes between the different overlapping fields were detected, within the photometric and transformation error limits.

The accurate \( uby \) photometry of IC 4651 published by Meibom (2000) was used to transform our instrumental magnitudes to standard ones. We have observed IC 4651 at different airmasses resulting in 25 individual frames. After correcting all frames for the airmass, the following standard relations were determined.
3. Results

Throughout this paper we have adopted the numbering system from WEBDA (http://www.univie.ac.at/webda), only in some cases we also list the numbers according to FitzGerald et al. (1978, F#) and Zwintz et al. (2005, Z#).

NGC 6383, which is associated with a large HII region, was targeted for several investigations in the past. They have been summarized by Zwintz et al. (2005) in some detail. The basic parameters of this cluster, from different sources, are: \( E(B - V) = 0.31(3) \) mag. 1.4 < \( d < 2.5 \) kpc and \( \log t < 4 \) Myr. Its apparent diameter is still a matter of debate. FitzGerald et al. (1978) concluded on the basis of star counts (see Fig. 1 therein) that only a core radius of 2′ should be considered, whereas Zwintz et al. (2005) give a value of 10′, which is based on Lyngå (1987). Kharchenko et al. (2005) list a core and cluster radius of 4′8, and 15′, respectively. Their age estimate of 5 Myr is compatible with the literature whereas their distance of 985 pc seems too low.

Figure 2 shows the observed five fields with the location of members and non-members. The sizes (by area) of the symbols are inversely proportional to the \( V \)-magnitudes. Inspecting the area surrounding NGC 6383 in the Digitized Sky Survey (DSS), one can see several empty areas, probably caused by dark clouds. Dobashi et al. (2005) have also listed that region in their Atlas and Catalog of Dark Clouds, reporting a cloud and clumps extending over 0.27″ in this area. On the basis of this fact, a density profile using, for example, the extensive 2MASS data will evoke erratic results for the cluster diameter. However, a cluster radius of 10′ to 15′ seems to be within the realm of possibility, since the confirmed members are distributed over the whole observed field of ~15′ (Fig. 2). From the comparison of Fig. 2 with the DSS images, we conclude that we have covered only 60% of the complete cluster area, introducing an additional bias. Only further photometric observations can help establishing the diameter of NGC 6383.

All members of NGC 6383 which are later than a spectral type of A0 are still in their PMS phase. Rauw et al. (2003) studied the cluster in X-rays using data from the XMM-Newton satellite. They detected several X-ray sources, which were interpreted as signs of young T Tauri stars. FitzGerald et al. (1978) concluded that the central bright \((V = 5.7 \) mag) spectroscopic binary \((O7 V + O7 V) \) system, HD 159176 (WEBDA No. 1), triggered star formation within its surroundings. They derive an age of 2.8(5) Myr for it.

No estimation of the cluster metallicity exists in the literature. There are neither spectroscopic abundance investigations nor a photometric determination available. The latter is caused by the fact that only stars hotter than A0 are on the zero age main sequence and no giant or supergiant is a member of NGC 6383. Photometric metallicity calibrations are only available for giants (Hilker 2000) or stars later than A0 (Karaali et al. 2005). A query in WEBDA reveals that there are no other open clusters in the galactic vicinity of NGC 6383 with a known metallicity. The galactic distance, \( R_{GC} \), of NGC 6383 is about 5.0 to 6.0 kpc depending on its true distance from the Sun \((R_0 = 8.0 \) kpc). Taking a mean abundance gradient of \(-0.04 \) dex kpc\(^{-1} \) (Cunha & Dafflon 2005) for the Milky Way, an upper limit for the metallicity of \(-0.12 \) dex is evident. Such a small difference from the solar value does not alter the isochrone significantly (Girardi et al. 2002). However, it has to be emphasized that the “intrinsic” range of metallicities for a constant \( R_{GC} \) is about ±0.25 dex (Chen et al. 2003), but data for open clusters in the direction to the galactic center is still very rare.
3.1. Optical properties

For the further analysis, we have checked the intrinsic consistency of the available Johnson $UBV$ photometry included in WEBDA. In total, twelve independent data sources are available. The only systematic offset was found for the $(B-V)$ data by Zwintz et al. (2005). One has to keep in mind that the cited photometry was not obtained for an astrophysical analysis of cluster properties, but to detect pulsating members. Hence, the reduction was tuned for high relative photometric accuracy on time scales of hours. Not surprisingly, a re-reduction of the data in conformity with Johnson standards arrives at slightly different values. Using the method described in Mermilliod & Paunzen (2003), a second-order polynomial fit to the deviations (middle panel of Fig. 3) provides an intrinsically more accurate transformation to the Johnson system. We have not included these data in our further analysis.

The PMS evolutionary tracks by Siess et al. (2000) were fitted to the cluster’s color–magnitude diagram, and used to determine the cluster’s distance and reddening. These tracks are listed for luminosity and effective temperature, respectively. The transformation of luminosity into absolute magnitude is straightforward. More of a problem is the transformation of effective temperature into Strömgren $(b-y)$. Because several calibrations are available. First of all, the empirical ZAMS listed by Philip & Egret (1980) was used to convert the ends of the PMS tracks into the $(b-y)$ and $M_V$ plane. We found that the calibration by Hauck & Künzli (1996, Table 1) satisfies the color–magnitude diagram best. The results by Clem et al. (2004) confirm our choice. The final transformation is given as

$$\Theta_{\text{eff}} = +0.564(8) + 2.961(23)(b-y)_0 \quad (b-y)_0 < 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)
$$\Theta_{\text{eff}} = +0.564(9) + 0.822(9)(b-y)_0 \quad (b-y)_0 > 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)
$$\Theta_{\text{eff}} = \frac{5040}{T_{\text{eff}}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

including a small shift of +0.02 mag compared to the original equations to match the standard ZAMS line by Philip & Egret (1980).

Figure 4 shows the color–magnitude diagram with an adopted reddening of $E(b-y) = 0.21$ mag and a distance modulus $V - M_V$ of 12.0 mag. This corresponds to $E(B-V) = 0.29$ mag and a distance of about 1.7 kpc. The objects marked with filled circles are members according to the literature, asterisks represent the possible PMS members taken from Table 1. The stars with absolute magnitudes brighter than +1 mag are identified non-members taken from the literature (e.g. FitzGerald et al. 1978). Figure 5 shows the $(U-B)_0$ versus $(B-V)_0$ for cluster members, with the standard line from Girardi et al. (2002), computed for $E(B-V) = 0.29$ mag and an error limit of ±0.05 mag. This error band represents the main sequence up to $(B-V)_0 = +0.1$ mag very well. Cooler objects are still in their PMS phase and can have a peculiar behavior in this diagram. The ±0.05 mag error corresponds to $\Delta E(b-y) = 0.036$ and $\Delta V = 0.155$ mag. In Fig. 5, two outliers are noticeable, the objects No. 17 and 55. These two stars show no other abnormal behavior, which prevents any reliable conclusion about their nature. The star No. 55 is variable according to Zwintz et al. (2005) with an amplitude of about 25 mmag, which is too small to explain the apparent shift.

The observed color–magnitude diagram (Fig. 4) shows very interesting features. First of all, there is a lack of objects with absolute magnitudes between +0.5 and +2.0 mag. The only exception is object No. 264, for which a large reddening in the NIR is evident (Fig. 6). This gap seems to be the borderline between the members of NGC 6383 and the field population. The members in the PMS phase lie all within the isochrones between 1 to 4 Myr down to $M_V = 2.5$ mag (F0). These are PMS A-type objects mixed together with Herbig Ae/Be stars.

Fig. 2. The five observed fields in NGC 6383. The sizes (by area) of the symbols are inversely proportional to the $V$-magnitudes.

Fig. 3. Johnson $BV$ photometry by Zwintz et al. (2005) in comparison with the mean photometric values from WEBDA. The mean $\Delta(B-V) = 0.29$ mag and a distance of about 1.7 kpc. The objects marked with filled circles are members according to the literature, asterisks represent the possible PMS members taken from Table 1. The stars with absolute magnitudes brighter than +1 mag are identified non-members taken from the literature (e.g. FitzGerald et al. 1978). Figure 5 shows the $(U-B)_0$ versus $(B-V)_0$, for cluster members, with the standard line from Girardi et al. (2002), computed for $E(B-V) = 0.29$ mag and an error limit of ±0.05 mag. This error band represents the main sequence up to $(B-V)_0 = +0.1$ mag very well. Cooler objects are still in their PMS phase and can have a peculiar behavior in this diagram. The ±0.05 mag error corresponds to $\Delta E(b-y) = 0.036$ and $\Delta V = 0.155$ mag. In Fig. 5, two outliers are noticeable, the objects No. 17 and 55. These two stars show no other abnormal behavior, which prevents any reliable conclusion about their nature. The star No. 55 is variable according to Zwintz et al. (2005) with an amplitude of about 25 mmag, which is too small to explain the apparent shift.

The observed color–magnitude diagram (Fig. 4) shows very interesting features. First of all, there is a lack of objects with absolute magnitudes between +0.5 and +2.0 mag. The only exception is object No. 264, for which a large reddening in the NIR is evident (Fig. 6). This gap seems to be the borderline between the members of NGC 6383 and the field population. The members in the PMS phase lie all within the isochrones between 1 to 4 Myr down to $M_V = 2.5$ mag (F0). These are PMS A-type objects mixed together with Herbig Ae/Be stars.
New detections: Nos. 13 and 32, both show a moderate excess of $E = 0.21(4)$ mag and a distance of $1.7(3)$ kpc.

Non-members brighter than +1 mag were selected from the literature (e.g., FitzGerald et al. 1978).

The estimation of distance error is difficult because neither a turn-off point nor red giants are present in NGC 6383. That is the reason why widely different distance estimations (1.4 to 2.5 kpc) for this aggregate can be found in the literature. From Fig. 4 we are able to make a rough estimation of the distance error by inspecting the location of members with respect to the ZAMS and PMS tracks. Taking into account the error in reddening for both parameters we can still fit all features, within observational errors, with a shift of $\pm 0.4$ mag. The final cluster parameters and their errors are: $E(B - V) = 0.29(5)$ mag and $d = 1.7(3)$ kpc.

### 3.2. Near-Infrared properties

The 2MASS data base (Skrutskie et al. 2006) with Near-Infrared measurements (NIR) was queried for appropriate entries in a radius of 10′ around the cluster’s center, covering the five observed fields. The coordinates of these objects were then transformed into a rectangular ($X$, $Y$) frame and compared with the star positions within our images. We have only included objects with 2MASS ($JHK$) measurements within two arc seconds of the optical source and with unambiguous identifications. This resulted in 262 positive detections.

We have used the 2MASS data to search for signs of NIR excess and circumstellar dust. The results of the method described by Suchkov et al. (2002), analyzing the $(V - K_S)$ versus $(b - y)_0$ diagram, are in total agreement with the much more accurate conclusions from the $(J - H)_0$ versus $(H - K_S)_0$ diagram, shown in Fig. 6, for all bona-fide and possible members.

The standard lines for the main sequence included in Fig. 6 are from Bessell & Brett (1988) with the reddening vectors according to Rieke & Lebofsky (1985). The differences between the 2MASS photometric system and the one from Bessell & Brett (1988) are only marginal, in the range of a few hundredths of a magnitude (Carpenter 2001, Appendix A). The locus of the classical T Tauri stars is from Meyer et al. (1997), whereas the region of Herbig Ae/Be and emission line F-type objects is taken from Hernandez et al. (2005). Notice that the weak-emission T Tauri stars cannot be separated from main sequence objects (Meyer et al. 1997). We find five objects with a significant NIR-excess:

- Stars with known NIR excess: Nos. 20, 27 (F4) and 264 (F6) were targets of the investigation by van den Ancker et al. (2000). The amount of excess nicely correlates with the deviation from the standard relation for normal type stars within our diagram (see their Fig. 2).
- New detections: Nos. 13 and 32, both show a moderate excess, which makes them interesting targets for a spectroscopic investigation.

The star No. 264 is highly reddened, probably caused by circumstellar material. There are also a few probable members which show similar but less extreme behavior.

For the final step, we have investigated all cool stars located inside the PMS tracks (Fig. 4) for which 2MASS measurements are available. Fourteen of them are placed in the domain of Herbig Ae/Be and T Tauri stars, with thirty additional objects located in the region of the standard relation (Fig. 6). Ten objects have available $(U - B)$ measurements (Fig. 5), placing them well on the standard relation given the cluster’s reddening. Table 1 lists the 44 objects which are good candidate PMS members of NGC 6383, selected on the basis of the above mentioned criteria. Further spectroscopic and kinematic data are required to establish their nature and membership.

### 3.3. Two rapidly rotating PMS stars

We would like to comment on two very interesting stars, No. 379 (Z64) and 382 (Z71), published by Zwintz et al. (2005), for which our new photometry adds important information. Both stars fall well within the PMS domain in the NIR (Fig. 6) and can be regarded as members of NGC 6383. This is supported by the reddening-free $m_K$ values of 0.414 and 0.525 mag respectively, which are typical for stars later than K (Strömgren 1966). The hypothesis that both are highly reddened B-type stars, as formulated by Zwintz et al. (2005), can therefore be excluded. The angular velocities of both objects are about 16 radians d$^{-1}$ or approximately 30 to 40% of their break-up velocity, if we assume that the found periods are due to rotation. Such high values are not typical for PMS stars (Herbst et al. 2002) but have been observed in similar objects (Strassmeier et al. 2005). Rapidly-rotating PMS stars are most important for understanding the accretion process combined with the effects of stellar magnetic fields (Eisner et al. 2005).

### 4. Conclusions

We have investigated the young open cluster NGC 6383 with several photometric systems, presenting new Strömgren $uvby$ CCD photometry for 272 stars. From this data we derive a reddening of $E(b - y) = 0.21(4)$ mag and a distance of...
Table 1. A list of the 44 probable members of NGC 6383 that are still in their PMS phase. These objects were selected on the basis of several photometric diagrams. The column “Flag” denotes stars that are in the PMS region in the NIR diagram (Fig. 6).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No(our)</th>
<th>No(WEBDA)</th>
<th>(b − y)$_0$</th>
<th>M$_V$</th>
<th>Flag</th>
<th>No(our)</th>
<th>No(WEBDA)</th>
<th>(b − y)$_0$</th>
<th>M$_V$</th>
<th>Flag</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>0.823</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>5.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>1.134</td>
<td>5.77</td>
<td></td>
<td>164</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>1.027</td>
<td>5.81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.868</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td></td>
<td>169</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.868</td>
<td>5.76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.872</td>
<td>5.21</td>
<td></td>
<td>178</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>0.563</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.890</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td></td>
<td>179</td>
<td>607</td>
<td>0.520</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>5.81</td>
<td></td>
<td>180</td>
<td>611</td>
<td>0.539</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>0.461</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td></td>
<td>191</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>0.545</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.087</td>
<td>5.61</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>0.738</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.216</td>
<td>5.84</td>
<td></td>
<td>197</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>0.574</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>0.894</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>0.537</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>1.074</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>535</td>
<td>0.728</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.296</td>
<td>6.07</td>
<td></td>
<td>217</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>0.494</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.828</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td></td>
<td>226</td>
<td>604</td>
<td>0.585</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>543</td>
<td>0.778</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td></td>
<td>231</td>
<td>609</td>
<td>0.748</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>0.824</td>
<td>5.41</td>
<td></td>
<td>273</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>0.592</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>541</td>
<td>0.659</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td></td>
<td>277</td>
<td>503</td>
<td>0.544</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118</td>
<td>546</td>
<td>0.832</td>
<td>4.49</td>
<td></td>
<td>297</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>0.494</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.335</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>301</td>
<td>571</td>
<td>0.753</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.175</td>
<td>6.03</td>
<td></td>
<td>307</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>0.837</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>0.888</td>
<td>5.40</td>
<td></td>
<td>308</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>0.497</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136</td>
<td>539</td>
<td>1.305</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td></td>
<td>312</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>0.753</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.328</td>
<td>6.14</td>
<td></td>
<td>328</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>0.432</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 5. (U − B)$_0$ versus (B − V)$_0$ diagram for the members (filled circles) and possible members (asterisks). The standard line is taken from Girardi et al. (2002) computed for E(B − V) = 0.29 mag and an error limit of ±0.05 mag. The only significant outliers are the stars No. 17 and 55 (known as variable).

d = 1.7(3) kpc. An upper age limit of 4 Myr was determined for NGC 6383. Neither a turn-off point nor red giants have been detected.

In the $M_V$ versus $(b − y)_0$ color–magnitude diagram, 44 probable PMS members could be traced from A to very cool M-type objects. At least 14 of them are in the NIR (2MASS) domain of classical T Tauri stars. Appropriate isochrones clearly show that members are present from 1 to 4 Myr with a distinct separation from the field population at absolute magnitudes between +0.5 and +2.0 mag.

Fig. 6. (J − H)$_0$ versus (H − K)$_{S0}$ diagram. The standard lines for the main sequence are from Bessell & Brett (1988), with the reddening vectors (dotted lines) according to Rieke & Lebofsky (1985). The locus of the classical T Tauri stars (dashed line) is from Meyer et al. (1997), whereas the region of Herbig Ae/Be and emission line F-type objects is taken from Hernandez et al. (2005).
Five stars with a large NIR excess are unambiguously identified in a \((J - H)_0\) versus \((H - K_S)_0\) diagram. We also report the identification of two rapidly-rotating PMS stars with angular velocities of approximately 30 to 40\% of their break-up velocity. Such objects are still very rare and most important for our understanding of the early stages of stellar evolution, in the presence of accretion and local magnetic fields.
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