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ABSTRACT

We report the discovery of Cl 2334+48, a rich cluster of galaxies in the Zone of Avoidance, identified in public images from the XMM-Newton archive. We present the main properties of this cluster using the XMM-Newton X-ray data, along with new optical spectroscopic and photometric observations. Cl 2334+48 is located at \( z = 0.271 \pm 0.001 \), as derived from the optical spectrum of the brightest member galaxy. Such redshift agrees with a determination from the X-ray spectrum \( (z = 0.263^{+0.002}_{-0.002}) \), in which an intense emission line is matched to the rest wavelength of the Fe Kα complex. Its intracluster medium has a plasma temperature of \( 4.92^{+0.55}_{-0.48} \) keV, a sub-solar abundance of \( 0.38 \pm 0.12 Z_\odot \), and a bolometric luminosity of \( 3.2 \times 10^{44} \) erg s\(^{-1}\). A density contrast \( \delta = 2500 \) is reached in a radius of \( 0.5 h_7^2 \) Mpc, and the corresponding enclosed mass is \( 1.5 \times 10^{14} M_\odot \). Optical images show an enhancement of \( g' - r' > 2.5 \) galaxies around the central galaxy, as expected if these were cluster members. The central object is a luminous E-type galaxy, which is displaced \( \sim 40 h_7^2 \) kpc from the cluster X-ray centre. In addition, it has a neighbouring arc-like feature (\( \sim 22'' \) or \( 90 h_7^2 \) kpc from it), probably due to strong gravitational lensing. The discovery of Cl 2334+48 emphasises the remarkable capability of the XMM-Newton to reveal new clusters of galaxies in the Zone of Avoidance.
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1. Introduction

Rich clusters of galaxies have been successfully used as tracers of large-scale structure formation and evolution, which has allowed setting constraints on various cosmological parameters (see, e.g., Bardeen et al. 1986; Henry 2000; Rosati et al. 2002). In the past, the majority of rich clusters was first identified in the optical and later observed in X-rays. However, with the advent of large and deep X-ray surveys, X-ray observations have become one of the most useful techniques for discovering clusters of galaxies, especially for intermediate and high-redshift systems (e.g. Gioia & Luppino 1994; Rosati et al. 1998; Adami et al. 2000). On the other hand, X-rays may also be useful for detecting clusters near the Galactic plane, where the increasing number of stars and extinction makes the optical identification of background galaxies difficult (see, e.g., Kraan-Korteweg & Jurasek 2000, and references therein).

The mapping of the large-scale structures hidden by the Galaxy has profound cosmological implications, as can be exemplified by the discovery of the Great Attractor at \( (l, b) \sim (320°, 0°) \) (Lynden-Bell et al. 1988; Kolatt et al. 1995). Indeed, the mass distribution derived from the large-scale distribution of galaxies and from the peculiar velocity field of nearby galaxies and/or clusters is still a matter of debate (see, e.g., Tonry et al. 2000; Hudson et al. 2004; Mieske et al. 2005). It is still not clear whether or not the bulk flow of galaxies is due mainly to the Great Attractor, or if there is a large contribution from the Shapley supercluster or other more distant large-scale structures (Nagayama et al. 2006; Proust et al. 2006; Radburn-Smith et al. 2006).

A systematic search for clusters in the Zone of Avoidance, \( |b| < 20° \), was carried out by Ebeling et al. (2002), where they made use of the ROSAT All Sky Survey Bright Source Catalog (RASS-BSC Ebeling et al. 1996; Voges et al. 1999) coupled with optical and near infrared follow-ups. A major difficulty with this approach is the low-energy band of RASS (0.1–2.4 keV) in which X-rays are strongly affected by the Galactic absorption, notably when \( N_H \geq 10^{21} \) cm\(^{-2}\).

In this work we report the discovery of Cl 2334+48, a rich cluster of galaxies with \( z = 0.271 \), projected onto the Galactic plane and identified in the XMM-Newton data archive. The source is described in Sect. 2. The main properties of this object were determined through the analysis of XMM-Newton X-ray data (described in Sect. 3.1), complemented by optical data (Sect. 3.2). The X-ray analysis is shown in Sect. 4, followed by a mass determination in Sect. 5. The optical data analysis is described in Sect. 6. For distances and luminosities, we use a ΛCDM cosmology with \( \Omega_M = 0.3, \Omega_L = 0.7 \), and \( H_0 = 70 h_70 \) km s\(^{-1}\) Mpc\(^{-1}\).

2. The source Cl 2334+48

A search in NED\(^1\) shows that there are 812 known clusters and groups (221 with measured redshift) in the Zone of Avoidance.

\(^1\) Nasa Extragalactic Database, www.ned.org
Figure 1 shows the clusters and groups in a strip around $l = 110^\circ$, $b = 0^\prime$ superposed on the dust map from Schlegel et al. (1998). The number of known clusters and groups drops to 134 (59 with known redshift) in a region limited by $|b| < 12.5^\circ$.

Within about 2 arcmin from the well-known Z And symbiotic star, an X-ray source, 1E 2331.6+4834, is located at $\text{RA} = 23^h34^m02.7^s$, $\text{Dec} = +48^\circ51^\prime08^"$ (hereafter Cl 2334+48, following the usual nomenclature), found on a Galactic plane survey with *Einstein* IPC (Hertz & Grindlay 1984). Even after optical and radio follow-ups by Hertz & Grindlay (1988) and Nelson & Spencer (1988), respectively, the nature of this source remained unknown. This position corresponds in Galactic coordinates to $l = 110.0507^\circ$, $b = -12.0743^\circ$, where the Galactic extinction is $E(B-V) = 0.211$ mag (Schlegel et al. 1998).

This source was also observed by the ROSAT PSPC and catalogued as 1WGA J2334.0+4851, with an estimated flux of $2.57 \times 10^{-13}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ (White et al. 2000). *XMM-Newton* also observed 1E 2331.6+4834 serendipitously in 2001. The MOS and pn sensitivities and spatial resolution allowed us to identify the source ([XMMU J233402.7+485108]) as a rich cluster of galaxies. This is thus the second cluster discovered this way by *XMM-Newton* in the Zone of Avoidance; the other one was [XMMU J183225.4-103645], a hot ($kT_g = 5.8$ keV), $z = 0.124$, rich cluster (Nevalainen et al. 2001).

### 3. Observations and data analysis

#### 3.1. XMM X-ray data

Cl 2334+48 was observed twice by *XMM-Newton* (EPIC), in revolutions 209 on 28 January 2001, and 276 on 11 June 2001. In both observations the symbiotic system Z And was the main target. About 80% of the ∼14 ks of the second observation (obssID 0093552801) was lost due to high soft-proton background. We report only on results obtained from the first observation (exposure time of about 24 ks; obssID 0093552701), which was partially contaminated by solar particles. This observation was made in *prime full window* mode with the *medium filter*.

The data were reduced with the Science Analysis System (SAS) software v6.5. All EPIC data were reprocessed using the *epproc* and *emproc* tasks. The data were cleaned following standard procedure, keeping only standard event grades (patterns 0–12 for MOS1/2 and 0–4 for the pn; flag = 0 always). Periods of high particle background were filtered out based on $E > 10$ keV band light curves. For the MOS detectors, we imposed an upper threshold of 0.4 count/s and a 1 count/s for the pn. The remaining usable exposure time was 21.2 ks for MOS1 and MOS2, and 14.2 ks for the pn observation.

Since this cluster is located at a very low Galactic latitude, the use of standard EPIC background files (e.g. Lumb et al. 2002) is not recommended. Therefore we estimated the background using large areas free of cluster emission on the same CCD chip as the source in each camera, after removing X-ray point sources.

The spectral analysis was performed with the X-ray package *XSPEC* 11.3.0. The energy channels were grouped such that each bin contained at least 25 events. In all cases, the three EPIC spectra were fitted simultaneously with the same model, but allowing a free normalization factor between the different instruments.

#### 3.2. Optical photometry and spectroscopy

Cl 2334+48 optical imaging was obtained by the XMM-Newton Survey Science Center (XMM-SSC) team in the framework of the XID programme (Yuan et al. 2001; Watson et al. 2001). The Z And field is also part of the XMM-SSC survey of the Galactic plane (Motch et al. 2003). We report on the public data taken with the optical mosaic Wide Field Camera (WFC) on the 2.5 m *Isaac Newton* Telescope (INT) with the $g'$ and $r'$ (Sloan system) filters on 16 July 2001. The $g'$ image has 600 s exposure and 1$''$ seeing, while the $r'$ has 1200 s and a seeing of 1.2$''$, both observed in photometric conditions.

The pre-processed and flat-fielded mosaics have astrometric solutions that are accurate to better than 0.5$''$, confirmed by us from comparison with the USNO-A2.0 catalogue (Monet et al. 1998). The positions and magnitudes of all detected objects were derived using the program SExtractor (Bertin & Arnouts 1996). Calibration to the standard SDSS system was made with the general extinction coefficients provided by the Wide Field Survey2 (WFS). It was possible to make a star-galaxy separation down to $m_r = 21$ mag.

A spectrum of the brightest cluster galaxy was obtained in order to check the redshift derived from the X-ray data. The observation was acquired on 14 May 2005 at the Keck II telescope, equipped with the Echellette Spectrograph and Imager (ESI) in echelle mode. A 1.25$''$ slit was used, yielding a velocity resolution of about 93 km s$^{-1}$. The useful range of wavelength was $\lambda = 6480–7625$ Å (orders 7 and 8), which corresponds to $\lambda = 5100–6000$ Å at the galaxy rest wavelength. A redshift for the object was obtained from cross-correlation techniques with a stellar spectrum of HD 19476 (a KOIII star), from the ELODIE database2 (Sect. 6).

---

2 http://www.ast.cam.ac.uk/~wfcsur/technical/photom/
3 http://atlas.obs-hp.fr/elodie/
4. Global properties of the X-ray gas

4.1. Imaging

Both EPIC-MOS and pn have a point spread function (PSF) with FWHM ~ 5″; however, their PSF have somewhat extended wings and the half energy width is ~14″. The PSF was not taken into account in our analysis.

The X-ray emissivity map shows that Cl 2334+48 is quite a regular cluster. Figure 2 displays the X-ray (0.5–8.0 keV; top panel) and i′-band (bottom panel) images, both with the smoothed X-ray contours overlaid. There are a few features to note. The peak X-ray emission is displaced from the brightest cluster member by ~10″ westwards. At the cluster redshift (z = 0.271; see Sect. 6), this offset corresponds to ~40 h\(_{70}^{-1}\) kpc. A number of point sources close to Cl 2334+48 are identified with active stars and AGNs (Motch et al. 2006). The bottom panel of Fig. 2 shows the probable cluster members inside a radius of 270 h\(_{70}^{-1}\) kpc (see details in Sect. 6).

Table 1. Surface brightness fitting results, where R\(_c\) (β-model) and a (Sérsic profile) are in h\(_{70}^{-1}\) kpc, and n\(_0\) is in 10\(^{-2}\) cm\(^{-2}\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>R(_c) or a</th>
<th>β or γ</th>
<th>n(_0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>β-model</td>
<td>98.1 ± 8.5</td>
<td>0.520 ± 0.022</td>
<td>1.49 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sérsic</td>
<td>65 ± 14</td>
<td>0.716 ± 0.078</td>
<td>1.30 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Using the task ellipse from STSDAS/IRAF\(^5\), we derived the X-ray brightness radial profile I(R). We used a 0.5–8.0 keV band image, combining exposure-map corrected MOS1 and MOS2 data, binned such that 1 image pixel corresponds to 6 arcsec. The CCD gaps and point sources have been masked out.

In Fig. 3, we show the brightness profile, together with two different fits: a Sérsic profile (Sérsic 1968) given by

\[
I(R) = I_0 \exp \left( -\left( \frac{R}{a} \right)^{1/n} \right) + B_1; \tag{1}
\]

and a β-model (Cavaliere & Fusco-Femiano 1976), in which

\[
I(R) = I_0 \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{R}{R_c} \right)^{2(1-\beta)} \right]^{-\beta} + B_2, \tag{2}
\]

where \(I_0\) is the central surface brightness, \(R_c\) and \(a\) are scale parameters, and \(B_1\) and \(B_2\) are constant terms to account for the background contribution. Table 1 summarises the resulting parameters.

The Sérsic profile gives a slightly better fit due to the first three surface brightness data points (the one most strongly affected by the PSF), while the β-model flattens too much towards the centre in comparison to the peaked X-ray profile (see Fig. 3). Notice that the background starts to have an important effect already at ~30″ from the centre.

In order to estimate the central electronic density, \(n_0\), which is related to \(I_0\), we integrated the bremsstrahlung emissivity along the line-of-sight within 20″ in the central region. The result was compared to the flux obtained by spectral fitting of the same region (the normalization parameter of the thermal spectral model in XSPEC, which is proportional to \(n_0^2\); Table 1).

\(^5\) STSDAS is a product of the Space Telescope Science Institute, which is operated by AURA for NASA. IRAF is distributed by the NOAO, which are operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under cooperative agreement with the NSF.
Table 2. Spectral fit results with a PHABS+MEKAL model (see Sect. 4.2). Uncertainties are at the 90% confidence level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( N_{\text{H}} )</th>
<th>( kT )</th>
<th>( Z )</th>
<th>redshift</th>
<th>( f_X ) [0.5–2.0 keV] ( ^{\dagger} )</th>
<th>( f_X ) [2.0–10.0 keV] ( ^{\dagger} )</th>
<th>( L_X ) [bolom.] ( ^{\dagger} )</th>
<th>( \chi^2 )/d.o.f.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(10(^{21} ) cm(^{-2} ))</td>
<td>(keV)</td>
<td>(Z(_{\odot} ))</td>
<td></td>
<td>(10(^{-11} ) erg cm(^{-2} ) s(^{-1} ))</td>
<td>(10(^{-11} ) erg cm(^{-2} ) s(^{-1} ))</td>
<td>(10(^{42} ) erg s(^{-1} ))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6(^{+0.2}_{-0.3} )</td>
<td>4.84(^{+0.50}_{-0.38} )</td>
<td>0.40(^{+0.13}_{-0.13} )</td>
<td>0.264(^{+0.012}_{-0.010} )</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.09/246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.39(^{+0.2}_{-0.4} )</td>
<td>5.43(^{+0.38}_{-0.39} )</td>
<td>0.41(^{+0.13}_{-0.13} )</td>
<td>0.264(^{+0.012}_{-0.010} )</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.12/247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6(^{+0.2}_{-0.3} )</td>
<td>4.92(^{+0.50}_{-0.40} )</td>
<td>0.38(^{+0.12}_{-0.12} )</td>
<td>0.271(^{*} )</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.09/247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.39(^{+0.2}_{-0.4} )</td>
<td>5.48(^{+0.40}_{-0.39} )</td>
<td>0.39(^{+0.12}_{-0.12} )</td>
<td>0.271(^{*} )</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.12/248</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{\dagger} \) Corrected for absorptions; \(^{*} \) fixed at the Galactic value (Dickey & Lockman 1990).

Fig. 4. EPIC spectra with the best-fit model (solid lines; see Table 2).

4.2. Spectral analysis

We extracted integrated EPIC spectra in the 0.3–8.0 keV band from a circular region of 65″ radius (270 h\(^{-1} \) kpc at \( z = 0.27 \)), centred on the cluster X-ray image (see Fig. 4). The source’s photons correspond to about 90% of the total good events (~1700 photons in each MOS camera, and ~3400 in the pn camera), the remaining being due to background contributions.

The X-ray spectral properties of the ICM can be described very well by the MEKAL model (thermal plasma emission; Kaastra & Mewe 1993; Liedahl et al. 1995), associated to the PHABS model (Balucinska-Church & McCammon 1992) to account for the photoelectric absorption (Table 2). We opted for the standard Anders & Grevesse (1989) abundance table.

A relatively strong emission line was detected at 5.27\(^{+0.08}_{-0.10} \) keV, with an equivalent width of 669\(^{+315}_{-244} \) eV. Setting all parameters free during the fit, we found that this line is compatible with the Fe K\(_\alpha\) complex emitted by a thermal X-ray gas located at \( z = 0.263^{+0.012}_{-0.010} \). This fit results in a plasma temperature of \( kT = 4.84^{+0.50}_{-0.42} \) keV and a sub-solar abundance of \( Z = 0.40^{+0.13}_{-0.12} Z_{\odot} \). The resulting hydrogen column density, \( N_{\text{H}} = 1.6^{+0.3}_{-0.1} \times 10^{21} \) cm\(^{-2} \), is only slightly higher than the mean Galactic value obtained in the Dickey & Lockman (1990) HI survey (\( N_{\text{H}} \sim 1.39 \times 10^{21} \) cm\(^{-2} \)). Therefore, there is no evidence of any notable local excess absorption. To verify this statement, we fitted the whole cluster by fixing the \( N_{\text{H}} \) to the Galactic value. The derived temperature, metal abundance, and redshift are compatible with those of the first model (see Table 2).

The redshift derived from the X-ray spectrum of Cl 2334+48 agrees very well with the \( z = 0.271 \pm 0.001 \) obtained from the optical spectrum of the brightest cluster member (Sect. 6). Since there are lower uncertainties in the optical redshift determination, this redshift was used in the X-ray spectral fits. As before, similar results are obtained if the absorption column is taken as a free parameter, or if freezing it to the Galactic value. Both results are also statistically indistinguishable from those obtained freeing the redshift in the fits.

4.2.1. Temperature profile

In order to determine the temperature profile, we divide the cluster image in a central circular region and three concentric rings. Their dimensions are such that the signal-to-noise ratios are approximately constant in each accumulated data set, as a compromise between the spatial resolution and the quality of the spectral fitting.

The EPIC spectra of each spatial region were fitted with the PHABS+MEKAL model exactly as in Sect. 4.2, but the absorption column (\( N_{\text{H}} = 1.6 \times 10^{21} \) cm\(^{-2} \)), metallicity (\( Z = 0.38 Z_{\odot} \)), and redshift (\( z = 0.271 \)) were kept fixed to the adopted values of the integrated spectrum (Table 2). The resulting temperature profile is shown in Fig. 5, where we can see that it shows the characteristic rise from the centre and then falls outward. However, given the large error bars and the low spatial resolution, the temperature profile is still consistent with an isothermal profile at least up to \( \sim 70″ \). Hence, we adopt an isothermal profile with \( kT = 4.92^{+0.50}_{-0.48} \) keV, as derived in Sect. 4.2.
5. Mass determination

5.1. Gas and dynamical mass

The gas mass was obtained by simply integrating the gas density obtained in Sect. 4.1. The dynamical mass was computed with the assumption of spherical symmetry and hydrostatic equilibrium of the X-ray emitting gas, with the density and temperature profiles obtained above.

We used the β-model and Sérsic law. Both models can be integrated analytically, resulting in

$$M(<r) = 4\pi \mu m_p n_0 \int_0^r \left[ \frac{a^3}{v^3} y \left( 3 - \frac{v}{r} \left( \frac{r}{a} \right)^y \right) \right] \frac{r^3}{3} F_1 \left[ \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{5}{2} \left( \frac{r}{a} \right)^{2y} \right],$$

where the first line is for the Sérsic profile and the bottom line for the β-model. γ(a, x) and F1(a, b, c, x) are the incomplete gamma and the hypergeometric functions, respectively (see, e.g., Arfken 1970). For both models, μ is the mean molecular weight (~0.6, for a fully ionized primordial plasma) and m_p is the proton mass. For the Sérsic profile, we also have 2p = 1.0597v + 0.05563v^2 (see Lima Neto et al. 1999; Durret et al. 2005, for the deprojection of a Sérsic profile).

Figure 6 shows the resulting gas mass profiles, together with the dynamical mass. Both models predict quite the same gas mass profile in the range 6” < R < 200” (corresponding to 30 < r < 800 h_70^2 kpc), where the data are most reliable. Beyond these limits, the gas mass profiles diverge. The total gas mass at 200” is either 3.2 × 10^{13} M_⊙ 3.5 × 10^{13} M_⊙ depending on whether using the Sérsic profile or the β-model, respectively. The difference between the total mass profiles is more marked than the gas profiles, especially near the centre. At 200”, the dynamical mass is either 3.8 × 10^{14} M_⊙ for the Sérsic profile or 2.3 × 10^{14} M_⊙ for the β-model.

5.2. Virial radius and gas mass fraction

We also computed the dynamical mass density as a function of radius, which can, for example, be compared to the density of dark matter halos formed in cosmological N-body simulations. In Fig. 7 we show the dynamical mass density compared to a steep ρ ∝ r^{-3} profile, the same inner slope of the Navarro et al. (1997, NFW) “universal” profile for dark halos. Note that the inner slope of the total mass density is close to ρ ∝ r^{-1}, like the NFW profile.

While the use of the Sérsic law resulted in a very steep total density profile, adopting the β-model resulted in a central flat total density profile. However, both profiles are steep up to the X-ray image resolution; the flattening of the β-model is actually seen only when the profile is extrapolated inwards.

We estimated the radius corresponding to some values of the density contrast δ = ρ(r)/ρ(z). For δ = 200, we have the usual r_{200}, frequently associated with the virial radius. The available data are restricted to about 200”, which corresponds to a ratio δ ∼ 1000, and therefore well inside the virial radius.

Extrapolating the derived mass profile (Eq. (3)) from the available data, we obtained r_{200} = 3.4 h_70^{-1} Mpc and a corresponding virial mass of 3.8 × 10^{15} M_⊙ for the Sérsic law, or else r_{200} = 1.7 h_70^{-1} Mpc and 4.8 × 10^{14} M_⊙ for the β-model. Such a large discrepancy comes from the extrapolation of two models, owing to the different asymptotical behaviours, and those values are highly uncertain.

Using the mean cluster temperature as an estimator of the virial radius (Evrard et al. 1996),

$$r_{200} = 2.78 h_70^{-1} \left( \frac{kT}{10 \text{ keV}} \right)^{1/2} \left( \Omega_\Lambda (1 + z)^3 + \Omega_M \right)^{-1/2},$$

we obtain r_{200} = 1.7 h_70^{-1} Mpc. The expected mass inside r_{200}, which we take as the virial mass, using the relation M_{200} = (4π/3)200ρ_{200}r_{200}^3 is 5 × 10^{14} M_⊙. Both values are close to that of the β-model.

For δ = 5000, the equivalent radius can be calculated more precisely. We obtain r_{5000} ~ 320 h_70^{-1} kpc for both models, which corresponds to an enclosed mass of M_{5000} = 8.1 × 10^{15} M_⊙. For δ = 2500, the radius is still within the X-ray data image, and we have r_{2500} = 0.5 h_70^{-1} Mpc and M_{2500} = 1.5 × 10^{15} M_⊙. These values are very close to the ones from a similar cluster, Abell 1068, which has r_{2500} = 490 h_70^{-1} kpc, M_{2500} = 1.47 × 10^{15} M_⊙, and kT = 4.67 keV (Arnaud et al. 2005).
Fig. 8. The optical spectrum of the central galaxy, de-redshifted and smoothed with a boxcar filter.

Fig. 9. Density of galaxies with 16.3 < m_r < 21 as a function of the distance from the central galaxy in steps of 33".

Fig. 10. Colour–magnitude diagram in the g' and i' bands inside a circle of radius 65", centred on the brightest cluster galaxy. The next three brightest galaxies after the first-ranked galaxy of the cluster are marked with squares (see Sect. 6).

The gas mass fraction, \( f_{\text{gas}} \), is computed simply as the ratio between the gas mass and the total mass at a given radius, and is related to the baryon fraction as

\[
\text{baryon fraction} = 1 + \frac{M_{\text{gal}}}{M_{\text{gas}}}.
\]

Here \( M_{\text{gal}} \) is the baryonic mass in galaxies, which may be estimated as \( M_{\text{gal}} \sim 0.16 \, h_0^{0.5} \, M_{\text{gas}} \) (White et al. 1993; Fukugita et al. 1998).

Figure 6 (bottom panel) shows the obtained gas mass fraction. For the \( \beta \)-model, \( f_{\text{gas}} \) rises up to \( \sim r_{2500} \) (\( \sim 500 \, h_\odot^{-1} \, \text{kpc} \)) and then decreases very slowly for the Sérsic model. This difference in behaviour comes from the different form of the dynamical mass for each model. At 200", \( f_{\text{gas}} \) is \( \sim 0.16 \) for the \( \beta \)-model and \( \sim 0.09 \) for the Sérsic profile. These values are close to those obtained by Allen et al. (2002), \( f_{\text{gas}} = 0.113 \pm 0.005 \) at \( r_{2500} \), for six regular clusters.

Within the interval 5" \( \leq R \leq 200" \), the derived dynamical properties, dynamical mass, gas fraction, and total mass density profile could be considered as normal if compared to the established properties of the regular rich clusters of galaxies.

6. Optical properties

The redshift of the central galaxy (RA = 23^h34^m03.2^s, Dec = +48°51′09.3″) was derived from echelle spectroscopy, as described in Sect. 3.2. In particular, the Mg_b band and the Na lines are very prominent in the spectrum (Fig. 8). We derived a redshift \( z = 0.271 \pm 0.001 \), which confirms the value independently obtained from the X-ray analysis.

The \( g' \) and \( i' \) images were used to estimate the extent of the cluster and obtain the colour–magnitude diagram. We show the density of galaxies with observed magnitudes 16.3 < \( m_{\text{r}} \) < 21 in Fig. 9, in concentric annuli of increasing radii around the central galaxy (which has \( m_r = 16.3 \) mag). As expected, the density increases steeply towards the centre of the cluster within a radius of about 65" (or 270 \( h_\odot^{-1} \, \text{kpc} \) at \( z = 0.27 \)). We then use all identified galaxies in this region to obtain the colour–magnitude diagram shown in Fig. 10. The most probable cluster members have \( g' - i' \geq 2.5 \).

The colour–magnitude diagram shows that the next three brightest galaxies after the first-ranked galaxy of the cluster (Fig. 2, lower panel, and Fig. 10) have luminosities from four to six times lower than that of the central galaxy. These three objects are located in the northeastswards region. Without redshifts, we can just speculate at this point that these could form a foreground group, although they do have the right colours to be in the cluster.

We obtained the surface brightness profile of the central object with only the \( i' \) image (Fig. 11), since the \( g' \) image did not have sufficient signal-to-noise ratio. An \( r^1/4\)-profile was fitted to the galaxy surface brightness profile between 1.5" and 22". There is no significant light excess over the de Vaucouleurs profile, as one would expect for a cD galaxy.

An arc-like structure is identified in both the \( g' \) and \( i' \) images (see Fig. 2, lower panel). Its relative position, tangential to the semi-major axis of the expected mass distribution of the cluster, suggests that this feature could be due to strong gravitational lensing. Such an arc-like feature is compatible with what is expected for a distant galaxy at \( z > 1 \), lensed by a cluster with mass similar to that derived for Cl 2334+48 in Sect. 5.1.
Assuming that such an arc-like feature is indeed a lensed background galaxy, we use the singular isothermal sphere (SIS) model to roughly estimate the cluster mass up to its angular position. We further assume that the arc is located at the Einstein radius, in this case, 22′′ (90 h70−1 kpc at the cluster distance) from the centre of the brightest cluster galaxy. If the background galaxy has 0.8 ≤ z ≤ 4, then the mass is (3.5 ≤ M ≤ 5.5) × 1013 M⊙. That is roughly 3 to 4 times the total mass obtained with the X-ray observation (see Fig. 6).

The total mass derived by gravitational lensing tends to be different from the mass obtained with X-ray observations (e.g. Allen et al. 2002; Cypriano et al. 2004) for hot and/or disturbed clusters. Moreover, the mass derived from lensing may be higher than the X-ray derived mass due to the mass concentrations along the line-of-sight of the cluster (Metzler et al. 2001). The candidate arc lies at a position where it could indeed be an image of a lensed background object. However, only deeper and higher resolution imaging and/or spectroscopy of the arc itself would confirm its nature.

7. Summary and conclusions

In this paper we report on the discovery of Cl 2334+48, a cluster of galaxies in the Zone of Avoidance, from XMM-Newton X-ray images. New photometric and spectroscopic observations of the system are presented. The main results of our analysis of the X-ray and optical data can be summarised as follows.

- Cl 2334+48 is at a redshift of z = 0.271 ± 0.001, based on the optical spectrum of the brightest cluster member. The redshift derived from the X-ray spectral fitting is z = 0.263±0.012.
- The X-ray emission is consistent with an isothermal ICM with kT = 4.92±0.50 keV, gas metallicity of 0.38 ± 0.12 Z⊙, and bolometric luminosity of 3.2 × 1044 erg cm−2 s−1.
- There is no evidence of a strong local N4 absorption in the ICM.
- It has, within 800 h−370 kpc, a gas mass of 3.2 × 1013 M⊙ or 3.5 × 1013 M⊙, a gas fraction of 0.09 ± 0.16, and a dynamical mass of 3.8 × 1014 M⊙ or 2.3 × 1014 M⊙ if either a Sérsic or a β-model, respectively, is considered for the gas and total mass cumulative profiles. The total virial mass of the cluster within the virial radius of 1.7 h−370 Mpc was determined to be M200 = 5 × 1014 M⊙.
- There is a significant overdensity of galaxies around the brightest cluster object, within the inner 65″ (~270 h70 kpc). Most objects are red, with g−r > 2.5, as expected if these are members of the cluster.
- The surface brightness of the central galaxy corresponds to a r1/4 law, indicating that the object is a normal elliptical and not a cD galaxy.
- An arc-like structure, probably due to strong lensing, is seen in both the g′ and r′ optical images. This feature is located at ~22″ (90 h70−1 kpc) from the central galaxy of the cluster. Better images are needed to obtain a secure mass determination of the cluster from the gravitational lensing map.

Although Cl 2334+48 was detected in X-ray in the past (see Sect. 2), its nature was unknown. At a Galactica latitude of ~12°, an optical identification of the cluster, alone, would be difficult. Due to the high sensitivity and good spatial resolution of the XMM-Newton EPIC cameras, such a cluster was easily detected, and we were able to derive its main X-ray properties, as well as its redshift.

The X-ray emission is almost spherically symmetric and the temperature profile has the usual shape (although the error bars are large) observed in relaxed clusters (Figs. 2 and 5). The X-ray derived properties based on hydrostatic equilibrium follow the scaling relations of nearby regular clusters. However, the X-ray peak emission does not coincide with the brightest member galaxy by an offset of ~10″ (40 h70−1 kpc; see Fig. 2). If this displacement is real, it indicates that this cluster is not quite relaxed and some dynamical event (possibly a sub-cluster merging we may surmise) took place a few Gyrs ago. The central galaxy is not a cD, owing to the lack of an extended stellar envelope, which may be interpreted as a sign of the relative youth of this cluster.
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Fig. 11. Surface brightness of the central galaxy in the r′ band. The solid line represents a r1/4 (de Vaucouleurs) profile. The size of the symbols represents upper limits of the estimated errors of μ.r′.