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ABSTRACT

We present BVI photometry of the globular cluster NGC 6642 using the SOAR imager at the SOAR Telescope. The colour magnitude diagrams (CMD) reach =1.5 mag in V below the main sequence turn-off. A comparison of the overall sequences, and in particular the Red Giant Branch slope of NGC 6642 with that of M 5, indicates that the two clusters must have a similar metallicity of [Fe/H] ≈ −1.3. We also obtained a reddening E(B − V) = 0.42 ± 0.03 for NGC 6642, and a distance from the Sun of d = 7.2 ± 0.5 kpc. Therefore NGC 6642 is a moderately metal-poor globular cluster that is spatially located in the bulge at a galactocentric distance of R_{GC} ≈ 1.7 kpc. The comparison of CMDs of NGC 6642 with those of M 5 shows that there is a very good match of magnitude difference between turn-off and horizontal branch, suggesting comparable ages. The age of M 5 is typical of halo globulars, so NGC 6642 is coeval with the halo. It is a good candidate as one of the few genuine metal-poor and old bulge clusters, and might be one of the oldest fossils in the Galaxy.
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1. Introduction

For the vast majority of the known globular clusters in the Galaxy (Harris 1996, as updated at http://www.physics.mcmaster.ca/Globular.html), the properties have already been inferred by means of colour-magnitude diagrams (CMD). Until recently, no optical CMDs were available for NGC 6642. In Barbuy et al. (1999) a revision of clusters within 20° × 20° around the Galactic centre was presented, where NGC 6642 was not included due to a lack of information at the time on its HB morphology and distance to the Galactic centre.

In Piotto et al. (2002), 74 CMDs in the HST WFPC2 F439W and F555W bands were presented. Though NGC 6642 was included in that study, reddening and distance were adopted from Harris (1996). A JK CMD was presented by Minniti et al. (1995), who detected the cluster’s Red Giant Branch (RGB). Recio-Blanco et al. (2005) derived E(B − V) = 0.44 and an apparent distance modulus (m − M)_{F555W} = 16.70 using Hubble data.

The cluster NGC 6642, also designated ESO 522-SC32 and GCL 97, is located at α_{2000} = 18°31′ 54.3″, δ_{2000} = −23°28′35″ (ℓ = 9.81°, b = −6.44°). It is in Sagittarius, projected not far from the Galactic centre. Trager et al. (1995) estimated a core radius R_{core} = 6.2″ and a concentration parameter c = 1.99, which together imply a tidal radius r_{t} = 10′. The half-light radius is r_{h} = 44″. Minniti (1995) derived [Fe/H] = −1.40 from the spectroscopy of 13 individual cluster stars. The compilation by Harris (1996) provides [Fe/H] = −1.35, E(B − V) = 0.41, d_{0} = 7.7 kpc.

In such a central direction, bulge and inner halo are superimposed, and it is important to derive accurate positions, kinematical data, metallicity, and abundance ratios to characterise the membership of globular clusters with respect to both Galactic subsystems. Previous data indicate that NGC 6642 is a metal-poor globular cluster located in the bulge, while such borderline objects may provide clues to the bulge/inner halo issue, and in turn, to the early stages of the Galactic bulge formation.

In this work we present deep BVI CMDs for NGC 6642 and derive reddening, metallicity, and distance. We also determine the age of this cluster for the first time.

In Sect. 2 the observations, data reduction and calibration procedures are described. In Sect. 3 the CMDs and measurement of cluster parameters are presented. In Sect. 4 the relative age of NGC 6642 is derived and discussed, while concluding remarks are given in Sect. 5.
Table 1. Log of observations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Filter</th>
<th>Seeing (″)</th>
<th>Exp.Time [s]</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NGC 6642</td>
<td>B 0.9 × 0.8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>06.06.2005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B 1.1 × 0.9</td>
<td>1080</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B 0.9 × 0.8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10.07.2005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B 0.9 × 0.8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B 0.9 × 0.8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B 0.9 × 0.8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B 0.9 × 0.8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B 0.9 × 0.8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B 0.9 × 0.8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B 0.9 × 0.8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B 0.9 × 0.8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B 0.9 × 0.8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B 0.9 × 0.8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I 0.9 × 0.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I 0.78 × 0.75</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I 0.8 × 0.7</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I 0.8 × 0.7</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I 0.8 × 0.7</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I 0.8 × 0.7</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V 0.8 × 0.7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V 0.8 × 0.7</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V 0.9 × 0.9</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V 1.0 × 0.9</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V 0.8 × 0.75</td>
<td>600</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V 0.9 × 0.8</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V 1.0 × 0.9</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I 0.9 × 0.8</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>26.07.2005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Observations

The SOAR is a 4.1 m telescope, located at Cerro Pachon, Chile, and operated by AURA, for the consortium composed by CNPq-Brazil, NOAO, UNC and MSU.

SOAR is presently being commissioned for the first time for scientific use. The SOAR optical imager (SOI) is a bent-Cassegrain mounted optical imager using two EEV 2050 × 4100 CCDs to cover a 5.26 arcminute square field of view at a scale of 0.077"/pixel.

The observations of NGC 6642 were carried out by the SOAR staff in June and July 2005 (Table 1) with the SOAR SOI camera in the B, V and I bands. The full image has a gap of 10.8″ between the 2 CCDs. A binning 2 × 2 results in a pixel size of 0.154″. The images were flatfielded, bias subtracted, trimmed, and mosaicked by the SOAR staff members. The photometry was carried out using the DAOPHOT and ALLSTAR codes (Stetson 1994). The absolute calibration was obtained from the standard stars in the region Markarian A (Landolt 1992) observed on July 10th. This field is projected quite close to the cluster on the sky and it contains standards spanning a wide range in colour (−0.24 < V − I < 1.1). The standard fields were observed several times in BVI, collecting a total of 18 standard frames, almost all at the same airmass (1.19–1.21) during the night of July 10th. All the standard star images were measured with MIDAS codes, using an aperture of 50 pixels, considerably larger than the seeing of FWHM (5–6 pixels), in order to avoid the effects of frame-to-frame seeing variations. The very small instrumental magnitude variations of the same standards in different frames (<0.01 mag) indicate that the night was photometric. The calibration equations, transforming the instrumental magnitudes (bvi) into calibrated magnitudes (BVI), obtained from these standards are:

\[
V = v - 0.08(V - I) + 28.60
\]

\[
I = i + 0.03(V - I) + 27.77
\]

\[
B = b + 0.03(B - V) + 29.19
\]

\[
V = v - 0.09(B - V) + 28.60
\]

for 15 s in V, 10 s in I, and 25 s in B at 1.2 airmasses. The zero point errors in the equations are about 0.01 mag. The first set of observations (June 6th) and the long exposure I image obtained on July 26th were calibrated using the July 10th calibration, by transfer of 8 bright and isolated stars in common. Calibration of red stars is a well-known problem because of a lack of high quality, very red standards. Stars redder than V − I ≈ 1.0−1.2 are not only statistically rare, but often are long-period variables, and only in a few cases they are heavily reddened normal stars (F-G type). When available, these stars often show a wide scatter around the linear extrapolation of the calibration from bluer stars. In this case we prefer to use the extrapolation. In the present case, as usually occurs with CCD photometric systems, the colour terms are rather small and, consequently, the calibrations do not depart much from the linear extrapolations, indicating that the passband system matches the standard Johnson-Cousins system adopted in the Landolt standards. We also checked the colour term calibration using our previous observations at the ESO Danish 1.5 m telescope in 2000, calibrated using Landolt (1992) standard stars from the T Phoenix field containing standards with V − I ≤ 1.65. No significant colour deviation was detected.

The photometric errors in NGC 6642 photometry can be found directly in the DAOPHOT-Allstars outputs. The program gives the Poissonian noise from the sky and star counts. The errors are less than 0.01 mag between V = 13 and 16, and reach 0.015 at V = 17.5 in the short exposure V frames (7 s). In the case of crowded fields such as in NGC 6642, however, the Poissonian noise is a lower limit indication of the real photometric error, because it increases only as a consequence of the higher background level. It takes neither the spatial noise (the residual noise from the flat fielding) or the fitting errors induced by the blends into account. Extensive tests carried out in the past demonstrated that the Poissonian errors in globular cluster crowded fields are typically 3–4 times lower than the errors derived from frame-to-frame or from artificial star experiments. For this reason we independently evaluated the photometric errors in the cluster area from frame-to-frame comparisons. Using V images with exposure times of 7 s and 600 s, errors of 0.015 mag were derived at V = 15, increasing up to 0.07 mag at V = 18, close to the limiting magnitude of the short exposure frame. These numbers should be representative of errors in the short exposures, because the signal-to-noise in the long exposure is considerably higher. A realistic evaluation of the photometric errors in crowded fields affected by differential reddening is difficult to measure. Still, the frame-to-frame method does not fully take the blends into account because if the seeing is not very different in the two frames, the blend effects are similar, but it does take into account the spatial noise and residual defects of the detector (important mainly for bright stars) because the images are shifted by several pixels. This
means that the frame to frame errors, while more realistic for the Poissonian error alone, still give a somewhat lower limit. We will employ CMDs derived from the combination of the short and long exposures.

Figure 1 shows a 7 s $V$ image of NGC 6642.

3. Colour–magnitude diagrams

Figures 2a,b show full field CMDs in $V$ vs. $B - V$ and $V$ vs. $V - I$. The horizontal branch (HB) is relatively rich in stars and well defined. The HB morphology includes blue and red stars with respect to the RR-Lyrae gap. Some asymptotic giant branch (AGB) stars are also present.

After trying to fit the mean loci of a number of template clusters of different metallicities to the CMD of NGC 6642, we found that the best match was found by using the CMD of M 5 (NGC 5904), after applying the appropriate shifts in magnitude and colour. In Fig. 3 we show the $V$ vs. $B - V$ CMD for an extraction of $0.13' < R < 1.3'$, where the mean locus of M 5 from Johnson & Bolte (1998) is overplotted. The CMDs reach $V \approx 21$ or almost $\approx 1.5$ mag below the turn-off, which is located at $V \sim 19.7$.

Figure 3 shows that the upper evolutionary sequences (RGB, SGB, and HB) of NGC 6642 and M 5 are essentially coincident, after applying shifts in magnitude of $\Delta V = 1.28$ and colour $\Delta (B - V) = 0.44$ (see below): in particular, the two RGBs have the same slope. Harris (1996) quotes a metallicity [Fe/H] = −1.29 for M 5. The good match shown in Fig. 3 indicates that NGC 6642 must have [Fe/H] = −1.3, confirming the previous results of Minniti (1995).

The HB level is located at $V_{\text{HB}} = 16.35 \pm 0.04$ if we take the blue and red sides of the HB variable gap. The average value of the $V$ magnitudes of the 9 RR Lyrae that we identified from Hazen (1993) is $V_{\text{RR}} = 16.43 \pm 0.06$. It is not surprising that the average RR Lyrae magnitude is fainter, because our measurements are from very short exposure times as compared to the RR Lyrae periods. Since the RR Lyrae have asymmetric light curves, with more time spent at fainter magnitudes, we expect their instantaneous luminosities to vary, from a minimum of 0.02 up to about 0.1 mag, fainter than the non variable HB stars. The colour of the giant branch at the level of the HB is $B - V_{\text{RGB}} = 1.26$ and $V - I_{\text{RGB}} = 1.46$. For the reference cluster M 5, the colours are $B - V_{\text{RGB}} = 0.85$.
(Sandquist et al. 1996) and $V - I_{\text{RGB}} = 0.97$ (Johnson & Bolte 1998). Therefore $\Delta(B - V) = 1.26 - 0.85 = 0.41$ and $\Delta(V - I) = 1.46 - 0.97 = 0.51$. Given that the M5 reddening is $E(B - V) = 0.03$ (Harris 1996) or $E(V - I) = 0.04$, we have a reddening of $E(B - V) = 0.44$ and $E(V - I) = 0.54$ for NGC 6642. The latter gives $E(B - V) = 0.54/1.33 = 0.41$ using Dean et al. (1978). The small difference in the reddening obtained from the two colours is most likely due to differences in the zero points of the photometries. Adopting an average of $0.42 \pm 0.03$ and the total-to-selective absorption parameter $R_V = 3.1$, we get $A_V = 1.30 \pm 0.09$. The absolute distance modulus is $(m - M)_0 = 16.35 - 1.30 - 0.74 = 14.3$, where the $M_V = 0.74$ has been adopted from Buonanno et al. (1989). Distance errors are dominated by uncertainties at the HB level, $\epsilon_V \approx 0.1$ mag. This error includes the dispersion contributed by the instantaneous magnitudes of the RR Lyrae stars. Considering the errors of HB level and $V$ absorption in quadrature we obtain a total error in the distance modulus of $\pm 0.13$. Accordingly, the distance from the Sun corresponds to $d_0 = 7.2 \pm 0.5$ kpc.

The Galactocentric coordinates of the cluster, assuming a distance of the Sun to the Galactic centre of $R_\odot = 8.0$ kpc (Reid 1993), are $X = -1.0$ ($X < 0$ is our side of the Galaxy), $Y = 1.2$, and $Z = -0.8$ kpc. The Galactocentric distance is $R_{GC} = 1.7$ kpc. We conclude that the cluster is spatially located within the bulge.

Concerning the kinematics, Harris (1996) gives a radial velocity $v_{LSR} = -45$ km s$^{-1}$. This low velocity for NGC 6642 in such central direction is compatible, within uncertainties, with the bulge rotation (Côté 1999). However, considering the distribution of globular clusters in Fig. 11 of Côté (1999), membership in the inner halo cannot be ruled out. Another possibility is that NGC 6642 is a halo cluster near perigalacticon, but in such a case a higher velocity would be expected. Proper motion determination would nevertheless be necessary to rule out the halo alternative. In addition, determination of metallicity and abundance ratios would provide further constraints on the cluster membership in Galactic subsystems.

### 3.1. Variables

The cluster NGC 6642 is known to contain many variable stars. Hazen (1993) reported the study of 18 RR Lyrae stars. Table 2 reports the magnitudes and colours for 11 variables from Hazen (1993) as indicated in Fig. 4.

We confirm that V1 is not an RR Lyrae star since it is located near the tip of the giant branch. It has too red a colour, so it is very likely a cluster long-period variable, possibly a Mira type for which Hoffleit (1972) gives $P = 216$ days. Interpreting the red colour of V2 is more difficult. It is located on the RGB, with a considerably redder colour index than the RR Lyrae variable gap at $0.60 < B - V < 0.90$. However, both its $V$ magnitude (16.33) and its period measured by Hazen (1993) ($P = 0.436$ days) are compatible with the cluster RR Lyrae. It is not easy to explain its anomalous colour with blends or with a variable star of the field. The remaining 9 variables are located in the expected cluster variable gap region from $B - V = 0.61$ to 0.83 at an average magnitude of $V = 16.43$, as already reported in Sect. 3. V2 is located at about $30''$ from the cluster centre. Half of the other variables we identified (V7, V13, V11, V12, and V3) are more distant. Therefore we confirm the previous Hazen (1993) suggestion that these variables belong to the cluster. She found an average $B_{\text{RR}} = 17.0$ and derived $V = 16.3 \pm 0.2$ for the HB value, in very good agreement with our previous independent measurement of $V_{\text{HB}} = 16.35$. We cannot check the consistency of our photometry directly with Hazen (1993)’s zero point, because we have no common secondary standard, but there is no evidence of inconsistencies between the two photometries.

### 4. Relative age

#### 4.1. Field decontamination

The relatively low latitude and the bulge-intercepting line of sight of NGC 6642 imply that there is a lot of field contamination, as shown by the width of the main sequence (MS) and turn-off (TO) (Fig. 5a). To minimize the effect of foreground and background field stars on the cluster CMDs, we applied a decontamination procedure based on the number-density of stars present in the offset field. As offset field we used the North-South extension at the East edge of the CCD field, with a size of $23''$, this being the least cluster-contaminated...
Fig. 5. a) Original $V$ vs. $V-I$ CMD of the central region (0.0–1.5') of NGC 6642. b) Same area field-star CMD extracted at the East edge of the frame. c) Decontaminated cluster CMD (see Sect. 4.1). The dashed line shows the short/long exposure threshold.

Fig. 6. Same as Fig. 5c, where the mean locus of M 5 CMD is overplotted.

decontaminated CMD (panel c) the subgiant branch is defined, although apparently somewhat depleted. This might be a real feature or else a decontamination artifact. A comparison with the HST CMD of the central parts of NGC 6642 (see Fig. 14 of Piotto et al. 2002) shows similar CMDs, perhaps with the presence of a small gap at the subgiant branch level. The decontamination procedure was also applied to the bright range in Fig. 5. However, the field-star density for bright stars is so low compared to that of the cluster that essentially no star was subtracted.

A fundamental result of the field subtraction (panel c) is the relatively narrow TO (within $V-I \approx 1.0$–1.2), which in turn shows that bulge stars dominate the observed TO-red side (panel a). The decontaminated CMD helps constrain the cluster age (Sect. 4.2).

4.2. Age of NGC 6642 relative to M 5

Figure 3 compares the dereddened $V$ vs. $B-V$ CMD of NGC 6642 with the mean locus of the template cluster M 5 (Sandquist et al. 1996). The upper sequences are reproduced very well, and by matching the blue and red parts of the HB and the GB, the M 5 TO coincides with the expected locus of NGC 6642 TO.

Figure 6 gives the $V$ vs. $V-I$ decontaminated CMD overplotted with the mean locus of M 5 (Johnson & Bolte 1998), with appropriate shifts in magnitude and colour. As in the BV
analysis, the bright sequences are well fitted and the $VI$-field subtracted TO is reproduced well in the range $V-I \approx 1.0-1.3$, which is considerably narrower than the distribution of stars in the BV CMD. The above indicates an age for NGC 6642 that is comparable to that of M 5. At the RGB base, some oversubtraction seems to have occurred. However the general fit is not affected, since the HB and GB extents provide constraints and leverage. Rosenberg et al. (1999) and De Angeli et al. (2005) have shown that M 5 has an age compatible with the mean age of the halo clusters, so that NGC 6642 is coeval with the halo.

5. Concluding remarks

The SOAR telescope and the optical imager SOI have produced suitable scientific images of NGC 6642 in its first commissioning phase. Subarcsecond images were obtained. A CMD that reaches below the turn–off was obtained, allowing us to measure its age compared to the template halo cluster M 5. NGC 6642 is coeval with M 5, therefore with the halo. The other parameters we found for NGC 6642 are consistent with the literature: $E(B-V) = 0.42 \pm 0.03$, $d_H = 7.2 \pm 0.5$ kpc, and $[\text{Fe/H}] = -1.3$. This cluster shows an intermediate metallicity in the tail of the metallicity distribution of the bulge (McWilliam & Rich 1994), and it is spatially located within the bulge. The genuine bulge metal-poor globular clusters might be the most ancient fossil records of the Galaxy (van den Bergh 1993), and NGC 6642 may well be one of these objects.

Kinematical studies, in particular of proper motions, would be very interesting to verify to which component of the spheroid it belongs. Further analysis of great interest would be to derive abundance ratios from high resolution spectroscopy of individual stars, which might give hints to characteristics of the inner halo or bulge population for this cluster.
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