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ABSTRACT

\( \zeta \) Oph, HD 149757, is an O9.5 Vnn star with a very high projected rotational velocity (\( v \sin i \geq 340 \text{ km s}^{-1} \)). It is also a classical runaway star due to its high proper motion. We perform a quantitative analysis of its optical spectrum in order to measure important observables of the star such as its mass, effective temperature, luminosity and He, C, N, and O abundances. Comparing these observed values to those predicted by the rotating evolutionary models of the Geneva group we find that none of the two sets of models (those of 2000 and 2003) is capable of reproducing the characteristics of the star. Nevertheless, due to its runaway nature, the reason for this discrepancy may be that the star is not the result of the evolution of a single object, but the product of the evolution of a close binary system.
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1. Introduction

HD 149757, \( \zeta \) Oph, is an O9.5 Vnn star (Mason et al. 1998), with a very high projected rotational velocity (\( v \sin i \geq 340 \text{ km s}^{-1} \)) in all published values, as in Penny 1996; Herrero et al. 1992; and Howarth & Smith 2001) and a considerable He enhancement in its atmosphere, according to Herrero et al. (1992) who measured an He abundance by number of \( \epsilon(\text{He}) = 0.16 \) for this object.

Recent models of massive stellar structure and evolution with rotation (Meynet & Maeder 2000, 2003; Heger & Langer 2000, and references therein) show how stellar rotation can induce mixing processes in the star that bring nuclear processed material from the stellar core to the atmosphere, even at the first stages of the life of the star, during the main sequence phase (MS). This mixing is found to be more efficient for more massive objects and higher rotational velocities, and it is also a decreasing function of the metallicity. The sequence of surface contamination in the MS is the following: first a N enhancement appears, that grows as He enrichment starts, and finally CO depletions also occur (Meynet & Maeder 2000; Heger & Langer 2000).

Our work (Villamariz et al. 2002, hereafter Paper I) studies quantitatively the He & CNO abundances for a modest sample of O9 stars, showing that surface CNO contamination certainly exists for the fast rotator of the sample, HD 191423 (\( v \sin i = 450 \text{ km s}^{-1} \)). Many other authors have also found this effect in some OB stars, mainly in B supergiants (Gies & Lambert 1992; Lennon et al. 1993; McErlean et al. 1999; Daflon et al. 2001), and Venn (1995) also for some A supergiants. But a one-to-one relation between stellar rotation and these surface CNO patterns is still not clear.

For an object like HD 149757, with such a high rotational velocity, the He enhancement found in Herrero et al. (1992) agrees also with the above considerations, but a study of the CNO abundances is mandatory to give a more complete picture. Also the He abundance has to be revised to account for the lower He abundances that we determine now due to improvements in our analysis (see Villamariz & Herrero 2002, and Paper I for details).

Therefore, as the natural progress of our series on the chemical composition of Galactic OB stars we present our study about the He & CNO abundances of HD 149757, that together with other parameters such as its age and mass, will allow us to make a quantitative comparison with the predictions of the evolutionary models.

* The INT is operated on the island of La Palma by the ING in the Spanish Observatorio de El Roque de los Muchachos of the Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias.”

\(^{1}\) With \( \epsilon(\text{He}) = \frac{N(\text{He})}{N(\text{He}) + N(\text{H})} \); for reference the solar value is 0.08 (Ballantyne et al. 2000).
Nevertheless, the star is a classical runaway (Blaauw 1961, 1993; Gies 1987) due to its high proper motion ($\mu_\alpha = 13.07 \pm 0.85$ mas/yr, $\mu_\delta = 25.44 \pm 0.72$ mas/yr, from the Hipparcos Catalogue, ESA 1997). Therefore, it may not be a single star evolving by itself, but the result of the evolution of a close binary system, as considered in the literature (from Blaauw 1952; to Hoogerwerf et al. 2001). If this was the case, our discussion in terms of single massive stellar evolution would not be suitable, but the runaway origin of $\zeta$ Oph is not clear in part due to the results of this work and also because of another important question.

The two scenarios accepted nowadays for the formation of massive runaway stars, the BSS (binary-supernova scenario) and the DES (dynamical ejection scenario) as explained for example in Hoogerwerf et al. (2001) need to be reformulated to account for the recent findings of massive stellar evolution with rotation. To explain the observable differences between these two types of objects it is implicitly considered that surface contamination of the runaway can only be due to the accretion of processed material from its primary companion during the evolution of the close binary system, which is not necessarily the case as explained above: self contamination can occur in the isolated evolution of a massive rotating star.

We will address this question in more detail and attempt a definitive formation scenario for $\zeta$ Oph in a forthcoming paper; here we restrict our study to the question of whether the observable characteristics of $\zeta$ Oph are consistent with the evolution of a rotating single massive star.

In Sect. 2 we present our new analysis. In the H/He spectrum, that provides the new He abundance together with other stellar parameters such as effective temperature, logarithmic surface gravity, stellar mass and luminosity. In Sect. 3 we determine the CNO abundances and present the improvements we have introduced in the analysis. In Sect. 4 we compare the abundances to what massive stellar evolution with rotation predicts for this object and finally we conclude in Sect. 5.

### 2. New analysis of the H/He spectrum

The improvements that we have introduced in our NLTE planeparallel and hydrostatic analyses of OB stellar atmospheres, in comparison to those of Herrero et al. (1992), are three. First we adopt a slightly different criterion for the He I lines suitable for the analysis in the late types (O9 and early B, see Villamariz 2001, for details). Second is the inclusion of line-blocking in the spectral synthesis, first as in Herrero et al. (2000, M1 in Table 1) and later on with our actual better approximation presented in Paper I (Sect. 3.1, lb II in Table 1). The third is the consideration of microturbulence ($\xi$) in the synthesis of the H/He lines (Villamariz & Herrero 2000).

The observations used for this work are those presented in Herrero et al. (1992), with the ING 2.5 m Isaac Newton Telescope and the Intermediate Dispersion Spectrograph, with the H2400B grating yielding a spectral resolution of 0.6 Å and with exposure times giving a S/N in excess of 200 in the H$\gamma$ region.

In Fig. 1 we can see the evolution of the stellar parameters of $\zeta$ Oph from Herrero et al. (1992, model M1, solid line) to the present ones (model M5, dash-three dotted). The other three model spectra are the best fits considering all the improvements one by one, i.e., first considering only the new criterion for the He I lines (M2, dotted line), then adding line-blocking as in Herrero et al. (2000, M3, dashed), then considering also microturbulence in the synthesis of the H/He lines (M4, dash-dotted) and finally with the updated line-blocking of Paper I (M5, dash-three dotted).

The value of $15 \pm 2$ km s$^{-1}$ for microturbulence is the mean value found for the two O9 dwarfs analysed in Paper I, for which microturbulence could be determined independently from their N III and C III lines.

In all the references quoted at the beginning of the section it is shown how the new He abundances determined are systematically lower than or equal to the previous ones, the greater differences being for the hottest and more extended objects. The only exception to this is the consideration of the more complete line-blocking of Paper I, that as can be seen in Table 1 produces a slightly higher He abundance. This result is in contradiction to what we expected in Paper I, where we thought that the new opacities would not affect the formation of the H/He lines, since the strongest contribution to the H/He occupation numbers comes from a spectral range that is common to both treatments of line-blocking. Nevertheless see in Fig. 2 how the new treatment produces a (lbII) model with more He I and He II ionized into He III. This produces weaker He I and He II lines, that explains the necessity to increase the He abundance in order to fit the observed lines.

Regarding the individual and relative quality of the line fits, the subsequent models reproduce what we find in all previous

### Table 1. Parameters of the models plotted in Fig. 1, M1 to M5, and of all the models used. Note that for CNO $\epsilon(X)$ is in the standard $\epsilon(X) = 12 + \log \frac{X}{X_{\odot}}$, while for He $\epsilon(He) = \frac{N(He)}{N(He)_{\odot}}$. Our $v \sin i = 400 \pm 20$ km s$^{-1}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mod.</th>
<th>$T_{\text{eff}}$</th>
<th>$\log g$</th>
<th>$\epsilon(\text{He})$</th>
<th>$\xi$</th>
<th>$\epsilon(C)$</th>
<th>$\epsilon(N)$</th>
<th>$\epsilon(O)$</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Line</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>32 500</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>initial</td>
<td>solid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
<td>34 000</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>He I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3</td>
<td>34 000</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>lb I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M4</td>
<td>34 000</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8.19</td>
<td>7.89</td>
<td>8.69</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5</td>
<td>34 000</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>lb II</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M6</td>
<td>34 000</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8.19</td>
<td>7.89</td>
<td>8.69</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M7</td>
<td>34 000</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7.86</td>
<td>8.34</td>
<td>8.69</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M8</td>
<td>34 000</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7.86</td>
<td>8.34</td>
<td>8.69</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M9</td>
<td>34 000</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7.86</td>
<td>8.34</td>
<td>8.69</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. Evolution of the parameters of HD 149757, from those of Herrero et al. (1992, model M1, solid line) to ours (model M5, dash-three dotted). Model M2 (dotted) accounts for the new criterion for He lines, M3 (dashed) for line-blocking, M4 (dash-dotted) for micro-turbulence and the final M5 (dash-three dotted) accounts for all the previous with the updated version of line-blocking. See text for details. Our uncertainties are the following: $\Delta T_{\text{eff}} = 1000$ K, $\Delta \log g = 0.10$ dex, $\Delta \epsilon(\text{He}) = 25\%$ and $\Delta \xi = 2\, \text{km s}^{-1}$.

works. The extremely poor fit to the He II 4686 line with our final model is perfectly acceptable for this line in this spectral range (see discussion on this line in Herrero et al. 2000, Sect. 6.2).

Thus we find that the He abundance of our object is nearly normal or slightly enhanced. As clearly shown in Table 1 this new abundance relies on the new criterion for the He I lines, which is somewhat subjective. Nevertheless, this is the only possibility, since the simultaneous fitting of all He I lines with a unique set of stellar parameters is not possible in this spectral range, as many other authors in the literature have also found (see McErlean et al. 1999, and references therein).

Howarth & Smith (2001) consider the von Zeipel’s variation of $T_{\text{eff}}$ and $\log g$ with latitude in a rotating object, something that is not usually done. Apart from this remarkable improvement, their model physics and fitting criteria are essentially those of Herrero et al. (1992), and therefore their comparable He abundances of $0.20 \pm 0.03$ and $0.16 \pm 0.04$ respectively confirms that our neglect of the von Zeipel’s law in the analysis is not important, even for this very fast rotator.

2.1. Stellar mass, age and position in the HR diagram

Directly from the previous analysis, making use of the obtained parameters and adding to them only the stellar absolute visual magnitude ($M_V = -4.2$, Howarth & Prinja 1989), we can obtain a stellar radius (as in Kudritzki et al. 1980) of $8.3 \pm 1.5 \, R_\odot$, a mass of $19 \pm 11 \, M_\odot$ and a stellar luminosity of $8.3 \pm 4.0 \times 10^4 \, L_\odot$; we can also place the object in the HR diagram to attempt an age determination (see Fig. 3).

Interestingly, with their more sophisticated methodology, Howarth & Smith (2001) find a mass of $20 \, M_\odot$, an area-equivalent radius of $8.5 \, R_\odot$ and a luminosity of $9.12 \times 10^4 \, L_\odot$, in agreement with our results.

Considering its position in the HR diagram (HRD), $\zeta$ Oph seems to be slightly more evolved than our reference slowly rotating O9 V stars in Paper I, HD 214680 and HD 34078, who lie very close to the zero age MS (ZAMS, see Fig. 3). They are reference objects in the sense that they do not show any CNO contamination on their surface.

To estimate the age of our object we intersect the vertical line defined by its effective temperature with the two sets of rotating evolutionary tracks (see Sect. 4 for details). For the 2000 tracks, there is no intersection with the models for $20 \, M_\odot$ and initial rotational velocities of $400$, $500$ and $611 \, \text{km s}^{-1}$. These tracks are not shown in Fig. 3 for clarity, but they are the most appropriate considering the actual value of $v \sin i \geq 340 \, \text{km s}^{-1}$ of our object.

Linearly extrapolating the tracks at $200$ and $300 \, \text{km s}^{-1}$ for $25 \, M_\odot$ up to $500 \, \text{km s}^{-1}$, the age of our object has an upper limit of $5 \, \text{Myr}$ ($\tau \leq 5 \, \text{Myr}$). Doing the same for the 2003 tracks the upper limit shifts to $3.8 \, \text{Myr}$. 
3. Analysis of the CNO spectrum

3.1. Preliminary comparison

To address the question of the CNO abundances of our target star ζ Oph we start by comparing its spectrum to that of the fast rotator HD 191423, analysed in Paper I. Rather than comparing them directly we first degrade the spectrum of ζ Oph, $v \sin i = 400$ km s$^{-1}$, up to the higher rotational velocity of HD 191423 ($v \sin i = 450$ km s$^{-1}$, see Fig. 4).

In Fig. 4 we see clearly that the C$^\text{III}$-O$^\text{II}$ features at λ 4067-78 of ζ Oph are stronger than in HD 191423, while the N$^\text{III}$ lines at λ 4511-24 and λ 4379 are weaker. This points to less CNO contamination in our target object.

Since the stellar parameters of both objects are quite similar (see Table 2), we can appreciate how different their CNO content can be. But of course, as they are not exactly the same, the differences in the spectral features will not only be due to a different CNO composition. Therefore this comparison is just illustrative; only after the full CNO analysis can a quantitative comparison be done.

3.2. Methodology and new He abundance

The methodology followed to analyse broad-lined spectra is not the curve of growth method, but direct CNO spectral synthesis. Briefly, we compute a full H/He & CNO spectrum based on the stellar parameters of our object and with the reference CNO abundances of non-contaminated objects, to compare to the observed spectrum (model M6). We then compute subsequent model spectra until we find the best fit to the observed CNO features (for more details see Paper I), that in this case yields $\epsilon(C) = 7.86 \pm 0.3$, $\epsilon(N) = 8.34 \pm 0.3$ and $\epsilon(O) = 8.69 \pm 0.3$ in the standard $\epsilon(X) = 12 + \log f(X)$ (model M7, see Fig. 5).

The H/He lines are now to be fitted. The previous H/He analysis did not consider any metal species for the synthesis of the spectra, so the contribution of contiguous metallic lines to the H/He lines was neglected.

For fast rotators however this contributions can be especially severe, since the lines are so wide. Therefore, as seen in Fig. 6, the synthesis of the full H/He & CNO spectrum yields a slight correction of the He abundance, from 0.11 to 0.09. The best H/He model M5 (solid line) turns into model M7 (dotted) when the combined H/He & CNO spectrum is synthesized (for the CNO abundances previously found), and the correction of the He abundance to 0.09 produces better fits to the He lines (model M8, dashed).

The He abundance is determinant in the model atmosphere, that is held fixed for the subsequent line formation of the CNO model spectra. Therefore this change in the He abundance, although small, may cause a change in the model CNO lines. Therefore we compute a new H/He & CNO model spectrum with the previous CNO abundances on the basis of the new He abundance, and we find that the new fits to the CNO features (model M9, see Fig. 7) are better than before, so the CNO abundances need not be changed. These better fits are due also to the improvements we have implemented in the combined CNO model atom used this time for the spectral synthesis (see Sect. 3.3).

3.3. CNO abundances and improvements in our CNO model atom

The CNO abundances of our object are obtained as explained in the previous section by fitting the CNO spectral features found in its spectrum. In Fig. 5 we can see the models computed for ζ Oph, yielding the following CNO abundances: $\epsilon(C) = 7.86 \pm 0.3$, $\epsilon(N) = 8.34 \pm 0.3$ and $\epsilon(O) = 8.69 \pm 0.3$.

As explained there the determination of the He and CNO abundances is coupled. See in Fig. 7 how the small change in the He abundance from 0.11 to 0.09 due to the consideration of the blends with CNO of the H/He lines, does not imply a change in the determined CNO abundances.

In this figure we show not only the effect of the He abundance on the model CNO lines, but also the effect of the new combined CNO model atom used to calculate model M9. In Paper I we could only determine a lower limit for the N abundance of the fast rotator HD 191423, because a fit to all the N$^\text{III}$ features was not possible with a unique value of the N abundance. Therefore we have improved our combined CNO model atom in the spectral range around He$^\text{I}$ 4541 and N$^\text{III}$ 4511-24,
Fig. 4. Comparison of HD 149757 ($v \sin i = 400$ km s$^{-1}$, dotted line) and HD 191423 ($v \sin i = 450$ km s$^{-1}$, solid), with the former spectrum degraded to the rotational velocity of the latter. The spectral features used for the CNO analysis are indicated, the CNO blend at $\lambda$4630-52 is not used as explained in Paper I. IB stands for interstellar band.

Fig. 5. H/He & CNO model spectra to determine the abundances of $\zeta$ Oph. First a model with non-contaminated CNO composition is computed (M6, solid line), and the final best fit to the CNO spectral features yields the stellar abundances (model M7, dotted). Both models are for $\epsilon$(He) = 0.11, $\xi$ = 15 km s$^{-1}$ and the initial CNO model atom (see text).

Fig. 6. Fitting the H/He lines of $\zeta$ Oph when their blends with CNO lines are considered. The H/He model spectrum M5 is shown (solid line) together with the H/He and CNO models M7 (dotted) and M8 (dashed), with $\epsilon$(He) = 0.11 and $\epsilon$(He) = 0.09 respectively. Both M7 and M8 are computed for the CNO abundances found for this object: $\epsilon$(C) = 7.86 ± 0.3, $\epsilon$(N) = 8.34 ± 0.3 and $\epsilon$(O) = 8.69 ± 0.3.
Fitting the CNO spectral features of $\zeta$ Oph with models M7 (solid line) and M9 (dotted), both for $\epsilon$(C) = 7.86, $\epsilon$(N) = 8.34 and $\epsilon$(O) = 8.69. M7 is for $\epsilon$(He) = 0.11 and the initial CNO model atom and M9 is for $\epsilon$(He) = 0.09 and the improved one (see text).

and around He 14387 and N III 4379 in order to overcome this difficulty.

The improvements in each region consisted of including all the C III, N III and O II transitions of the VALD database not already present in the model atom and a revision of the oscillator strengths for consistency with those of VALD (Kupka et al. 1999; Ryabchikova et al. 1999).

In Table 3 we give all the details. The determination of the N abundance seems to be resolved, at least for this case.

4. Comparison with evolutionary models

In the HR diagram in Fig. 3 we see how the evolutionary tracks have evolved from Meynet & Maeder (2000) to Meynet & Maeder (2003). The basic differences between the two sets of models are the use of the mass loss rates of Vink et al. (2000, 2001) and Nugis & Lamers (2000) for O and WR stars and the consideration of the wind anisotropies induced by rotation, both included in the 2003 models. Some other improvements are also introduced, see Meynet & Maeder (2003) for details.

The consequences of these differences in the MS are wider tracks at slightly lower luminosities and longer MS lifetimes. Concerning the evolution of the rotational velocities and surface composition (see Figs. 8 and 9) the 2003 models show much smoother changes with stellar age: rotation decreases considerably more slowly and the He & CNO enrichments/depletions appear later and are fewer.

We compare first our mass and (projected) rotational velocity, see Fig. 8. Our mass of 19 $M_\odot$ is in agreement with both the 2000 and 2003 values for the models with initial 20 $M_\odot$ mass, although the star’s position in the HRD lies closer to the 25 $M_\odot$ tracks. This effect is related to the well-known “mass discrepancy” (Herrero et al. 1992) that is still not completely solved (Repolust et al. 2004). Our value of 400 km s$^{-1}$ for the projected rotational velocity is much too high for the 2000 models while a 2003 model starting at ≈ 500 km s$^{-1}$ would be able to reproduce our measurement.

Concerning the surface composition (see Fig. 9), our He and O abundances are in agreement with the evolutionary predictions, that hardly change in the MS, while our N enrichment and C depletion are much higher than predicted. The comparison is worse with the 2003 models.

Thus, under the 2003 tracks, our high rotational velocity could be explained, but our N enrichment and C depletion are much too high. The 2000 tracks on the contrary cannot explain our high projected rotational velocity, but predict our observed abundances of He, N and O.

This may indicate that either the mixing mechanisms are more efficient than considered in the evolutionary models for a given rotational velocity, or that the loss of angular momentum is less efficient so that high rotational velocities last longer in the MS. This is what Herrero & Lennon (2004) find for O stars, and Trundle et al. (2004) for B supergiants.

However, until the runaway nature of $\zeta$ Oph is clarified (in a forthcoming paper) the results presented here cannot serve as constraints for the evolutionary models, since the origin of our object may be a close binary system rather than a single massive star.

5. Conclusions

We find that the fast rotator $\zeta$ Oph shows a surface composition with N enriched and C depleted, with normal He and O abundances. Our abundances are based on our last analysis of this object, that includes improvements in our method first presented in Paper I. In particular we are now capable of considering this object, that includes improvements in our method first presented in Paper I. In particular we are now capable of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trans.</th>
<th>$E_1$</th>
<th>$E_2$</th>
<th>log g/f</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C III 4056</td>
<td>324 212.49</td>
<td>348 859.99</td>
<td>0.199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N III 4379</td>
<td>320 365.7</td>
<td>343 116.4</td>
<td>1.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N III 4527</td>
<td>287 591.5</td>
<td>309 691.8</td>
<td>-0.471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N III 4539</td>
<td>311 690.3</td>
<td>333 712.0</td>
<td>-0.453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N III 4544</td>
<td>311 715.2</td>
<td>333 712.0</td>
<td>-0.152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N III 4546</td>
<td>314 217.3</td>
<td>336 206.9</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N III 4547</td>
<td>287 706.9</td>
<td>309 691.8</td>
<td>-1.384</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 8. Comparison of our measured mass and (projected) rotational velocity with the MS evolutionary models of Meynet & Maeder (2000, dashed line) and Meynet & Maeder (2003, solid). Models are for the quoted pairs of initial mass and equatorial rotational velocity. We plot the two possible upper limits for the age of our object: 5 and 3.8 Myr according to the 2000 and 2003 tracks respectively.

giving more reliable $N$ abundances due to the improvements introduced in the model atom used for the analysis.

When comparing our surface composition with the predictions of the evolutionary models of the Geneva group (Meynet & Maeder 2000, 2003) we find better (although not complete) agreement with the 2000 models, while for the rotational velocity only the 2003 models would produce the high value of 400 km s$^{-1}$ we observe.

The fact that $\zeta$ Oph is a runaway prevents us from going any further in discussing the meaning of these disagreements, that may be just indicating that this object does not come from the evolution of a single massive star, but from the evolution of a close binary system. Only after the runaway nature of $\zeta$ Oph is clarified can this discussion continue.
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