A cold globule with a Class 0/I embedded source
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Abstract. Infrared observations of the dark cloud DC 303.8–14.2 and the embedded point source IRAS 13036–7644 have been made with the ISOPHOT instrument aboard ISO at 7.7 µm, 60 µm, 100 µm and 200 µm. We have compared the properties of dust in DC 303.8–14.2 and the Thumbprint Nebula (TPN), a morphologically similar globule but without star formation. The dust temperature at the center of DC 303.8–14.2 has a minimum of 14.6 ± 1 K, similar to that in the TPN. A comparison of far-infrared radial optical depth distributions between these clouds at angular scales of ~1.5′–3′ shows no difference. The observations suggest that there is a bright rim of 7.7 µm emission that peaks just outside the optical bright rim of the cloud, indicating a halo of very small dust particles or PAHs around the cloud. The bolometric luminosity of the IRAS source is estimated to be about 1.0 L⊙. The heating of the cloud by the IRAS source is negligible; the thermal structure of the cloud is dominated by external heating. We have studied the evolutionary status of the IRAS source and find it to be located between Class 0 and Class I, at a late accretion phase. The source was detected at 7.7 µm and we suggest that this emission is due to the 7.7 µm UIR band. We also give a compilation of small molecular clouds whose extended FIR emission has been mapped with ISO.
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1. Introduction

The dark cloud DC 303.8–14.2 (Hartley et al. 1986; Bourke et al. 1995) is a roundish globule-type cloud with an optical diameter of about 10′. It is located in the eastern part of the Chamaeleon II dark cloud complex, at a distance of about 150 pc (Knude & Høg 1998). The dust particles in the cloud scatter the photons of the general interstellar radiation field producing a bright rim-dark core structure at optical wavelengths (see Fig. 1). The point source IRAS 13036–7644 (α(2000) = 13h09m08s, δ(2000) = −77°04′) is located near the northeastern edge of the cloud. Its flux densities in 12 µm, 25 µm, 60 µm and 100 µm IRAS bands are 0.10, 1.08, 6.28 and 22.01 Jy, respectively (Prusti et al. 1992). The SED rises between 12–100 µm, and thus the IRAS source has been classified as a Class I young stellar object (YSO) (Gauvin & Strom 1992). Henning et al. (1993) have measured a 1.3 mm continuum flux density of 223 ± 49 mJy for the IRAS source. The emission is most probably thermal emission from cold circumstellar dust.

The kinematical state of the gas in the cloud, derived from molecular line observations, is ambiguous. Lehtinen (1997) has...
found that towards the IRAS source the high density tracers CS(J = 2–1), CS(J = 3–2) and H2CO(2−1J = 11) show asymmetrical double-peaked profiles with a brighter blue-shifted component; such profiles are characteristic of a collapsing cloud. On the other hand, HCO+(1–0) and 12CO(1–0) lines show a reversed line profile asymmetry, indicating outward motions.

IRAS 13036–7644 is located at the center of a bipolar molecular outflow, thus it is probably the driving source of this outflow (Lehtinen 1997).

IRAS 13036–7644 was studied in the J, H and K bands by Santos et al. (1998). There was neither a red stellar object nor extended nebulosity detected. They concluded that the IRAS source is a very young, deeply embedded source.

Garay et al. (2002) observed silicon monoxide SiO and methanol CH3OH emission from DC 303.8–14.2. Methanol was detected as a narrow line at the ambient cloud velocity, while no silicon monoxide was detected. This behaviour, together with the fact that in DC 303.8–14.2 the methanol abundance is relatively less enhanced than in more genuine Class 0 objects, led them to conclude that the IRAS source is at a later evolutionary stage at which the strength of the outflow has declined.

For deeply embedded objects like IRAS 13036–7644 the dust envelope reprocesses the luminous energy from the star to IR-radiation and therefore it is necessary to measure the SED in the FIR where the bulk of the emission is located. In the absence of flux measurements between 100 µm and 1.3 mm it has neither been possible to deduce reliably the YSO class of the IRAS source nor to derive the dust temperature and mass. Our ISO data are essential because the maximum of the SED is expected at about 200 µm. The shape of the SED can be used to classify the YSOs into different evolutionary classes.

Theories of isolated, low-mass star formation predict a radial density distribution of matter before and during the star formation process. The density distribution is a critical parameter for these theories because the mass infall rate during the protostellar phase depends on the core density profile at the beginning of the collapse phase. The Thumbprint Nebula (hereafter TPN) is a globule morphologically similar to DC 303.8–14.2, but the TPN has no signs of star formation (Lehtinen et al. 1998). Both globules are located close to each other in the Chamaeleon II/III region. These clouds provide an excellent pair to study the difference between a star forming and a non-star forming globule.

Due to their regular morphologies and sharp edges, DC 303.8–14.2 and TPN are well suited to study the potential existence of a halo-like distribution of very small grains or PAH particles, as suggested by observations and models (Bernard et al. 1992; Bernard et al. 1993). For this purpose we have made strip scans through DC 303.8–14.2 and TPN with a filter encompassing the strong UIR band at 7.7 µm.

### 2. Observations and data reduction

The observations were performed with the ISOPHOT (Lemke et al. 1996) instrument aboard the ISO (Kessler et al. 1996) satellite. We used the observing mode PHT 22, i.e. a raster map on a two-dimensional regular grid. The TDT numbers of the 7.7 µm, 60 µm, 100 µm and 200 µm observations of DC 303.8–14.2 are 71901321, 71901422, 71901220 and 71901119, respectively. The observations of DC 303.8–14.2 were made on November 6th 1997.

The C100 camera (our 60 and 100 µm observations) is a 3 × 3 pixel array, and the distance between the pixel centers is 46.0′. For the C200 camera (2 × 2 pixel array) the distance is 92.0′. The relatively narrow 7.7 µm filter is centered on the 7.7 µm Unidentified Infrared feature. For details see Table 1.

The mapped area is about 22′ × 22′ at 100 µm and 200 µm. At 7.7 µm and 60 µm we performed a strip scan with a length of about 13′ across the IRAS source. In the 100 µm map the distances of raster points in the in-scan and cross-scan directions are 135″ (i.e. full detector width) and 90″ (one pixel overlap). In the 200 µm map both grid steps are 180″ (full detector width). The 100 µm and 200 µm maps have a rotation angle (from north to east) of 25°. At 7.7 µm and 60 µm the linear scans have a rotation angle of 179°, and the distance between raster positions is 50″. The pixel sizes used in the final maps at 60 µm, 100 µm and 200 µm are 45″, 45″ and 90″, respectively.

The data analysis is based on the PIA1 (ISOPHOT Interactive Analysis) V 8.1. (Gabriel et al. 1997). The detector ramps were corrected for non-linearity, and glitches in ramps were removed by using the two threshold glitch recognition method. The ramps were fitted with 1st order polynomials. Orbital-position dependent dark currents were subtracted. For the flat-field correction we have applied a statistical method: we correlate the value of the reference pixel, at each raster position, with other pixels. Rather than comparing the reference pixel only with pixels belonging to the same raster position, we take a mean of two particular pixels located symmetrically around the reference pixel. This reduces the scatter in the pixel-to-pixel relation caused by a surface brightness gradient in the image.

The intensity calibration, each raster map is bracketed with faint calibration source (FCS) measurements of 32s, which determine the actual responsivity of the pixels at the time of the observation. The C100 camera has signal transients during the FCS measurement. Asymptotic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Filter</th>
<th>λref [µm]</th>
<th>Δλ [µm]</th>
<th>d_{Airy} [′′]</th>
<th>Pixel/aperture size [′′]</th>
<th>Map size [′]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1_7.7</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>14 × 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>43.5 × 43.5</td>
<td>13 × 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>83.9</td>
<td>43.5 × 43.5</td>
<td>23 × 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>67.3</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>89.4 × 89.4</td>
<td>21 × 21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 The ISOPHOT data presented in this paper were reduced using PIA, which is a joint development by the ESA Astrophysics Division and the ISOPHOT Consortium (the ISOPHOT Consortium is led by the Max-Planck-Institute for Astronomy (MPIA), Heidelberg). Contributing ISOPHOT Consortium institutes are DIAS, RAL, AIP, MPIK, and MPIA.
values of the signal were derived by applying the drift modelling of PIA. The surface brightnesses derived this way are in excellent agreement with the COBE/DIRBE\(^2\) (http://lambda.gsfc.nasa.gov/product/cobe) data (for details of the comparison see Lehtinen et al. 1998; Lehtinen et al. 2000; Lehtinen et al. 2001). The C200 camera signal is stable during the FCS measurements and thus no drift modelling is required. The absolute accuracy of the ISO data is estimated to be 25% at all wavelengths.

Based on the zodiacal emission model of Good (1994), the value of the zodiacal light at the time and position of observations at 7.7 \(\mu\)m, 60 \(\mu\)m, 100 \(\mu\)m and 200 \(\mu\)m is estimated to be 5.7, 5.7, 1.5 and 0.2 MJy sr\(^{-1}\), respectively.

3. Observed properties of DC 303.8–14.2

3.1. General characteristics

An optical red band Digital Sky Survey image (“Second Epoch Southern survey” UK Schmidt IIIaF + RG610) is shown in Fig. 1. The globule is characterized by a bright rim due to scattered light of the Interstellar Radiation Field (ISRF). At the bright rim the optical depth through the cloud is 1.5\(^{m}\)–2\(^{m}\) which is optimum for scattering. At optical wavelengths the shape of the cloud is cometary, with a sharp edge at the north-eastern side, and a tail pointing to the south-west. The IRAS source is located close to the column density maximum of molecular material. As can be seen in Figs. 3c and d, the extended emission is not symmetric with respect to the IRAS source. Thus we cannot fit the extended emission with a single Gaussian surface having its maximum at the position of the IRAS source.

In addition to IRAS 13036–7644, we have detected at 100 \(\mu\)m the source IRAS 13022–7650, which is the variable star DL Cha (Kukarkin et al. 1971). This source is listed as a field star by Hughes & Hartigan (1992). The IRAS point source catalog gives an upper limit of 2.4 Jy at 100 \(\mu\)m for this source, while we derive a flux of about 0.6 Jy.

3.2. Temperature distribution

In order to derive the dust temperature for the extended emission of the cloud, we first have to subtract the emission of the IRAS source. By comparing the point-source template of ISOPHOT (footprint matrix) with the brightness profile of the IRAS source we find the profile to be well characterized by a point source profile, both at 100 \(\mu\)m and 200 \(\mu\)m. In the 100 \(\mu\)m map the extended emission can be well separated from the emission of the IRAS source, thus the observed emission at the core of the cloud has been fitted with a combination of a Gaussian surface and a point source profile (see Fig. 3a, b). In the 200 \(\mu\)m map, with a lower resolution, it is more difficult to separate the contributions from the cloud and the point source. On the other hand, our near-IR extinction data (Lehtinen et al., in preparation) show that the maximum extinction is located at the position of the IRAS source. Furthermore, the C\(^{18}\)O column density map (Kainulainen 2004) shows that the IRAS source is located close to the column density maximum of molecular material. As can be seen in Figs. 3c and d, the extended emission is not symmetric with respect to the IRAS source. Thus we cannot fit the extended emission with a single Gaussian surface having its maximum at the position of the IRAS source.

The position of the IRAS source, derived from the 100 \(\mu\)m map, is close to the center of a group of four pixels in the 200 \(\mu\)m map. Based on the footprint matrix we then know that most of the emission from the point source is within these four pixels. Thus, we have fitted only two rows of the 200 \(\mu\)m image, those rows that underlie the IRAS source. Both rows are fitted with two Gaussians, one for the pixels on the leftward side of the IRAS source and another for the pixels on the rightward side. These two Gaussians are forced to have their maximum at the position of the IRAS source, and to have equal peak intensities. The fitted point source profiles were then

---

\(^{2}\) The COBE datasets were developed by NASA funding and resources through the NASA Goddard Space Flight Center under the guidance of the COBE Science Working Group and were provided by the NSSDC.
We then subtracted a constant value representing the background emission around the cloud (13.4 MJy sr$^{-1}$ at 100 µm, 19.6 MJy sr$^{-1}$ at 200 µm), and finally convolved the 100 µm image to the resolution of the 200 µm image. The surface brightness of the extended emission at the cloud center is about 14 MJy sr$^{-1}$ and 46 MJy sr$^{-1}$ over the background, at 100 µm and 200 µm, respectively. The 100 µm and 200 µm images have then been fitted with a modified blackbody of the form $I(\nu) \propto \nu^\alpha B(\nu, T_{\text{dust}})$, with $\alpha = 2$. An image of the derived dust temperature for the extended emission is shown in Fig. 5. The minimum dust temperature is about 14.6 K. The IRAS source is located close to the temperature minimum.

The presence of a weak molecular outflow (Lehtinen 1997) and possible Herbig-Haro objects (Lehtinen et al. 2003) in DC 303 raises the question of whether dust is heated through interaction with the outflow, either through collisional or ultraviolet heating. However, observations have shown that the impact of outflows on dust, if detected at all, is very local. Dent et al. (2003) have argued that a sub-mm continuum peak with a FWHM size of about 20$''$ is heated by local shocks from the outflow jet in the Herbig-Haro object HH2. Chini et al. (2001) have found enhanced mm/sub-mm dust emission from CO outflows or Herbig-Haro objects around HH 7–11, L 1157 and IRAS 23011+6126, with sizes less than one arcminute. On the other hand, Morris et al. (2004) did not find indication of warm dust in the strong outflow of NGC 7129 at wavelengths of 10–35 µm. We may then conclude that the effects of the weak outflow on dust in DC 303 are negligible with our resolution of $\sim 90''$.

Note that the temperature, as well as the optical depth, derived in the next section are average values over the beam area at 200 µm.
3.3. Optical depth and mass of dust

For optically thin emission the optical depth \( \tau \) is related to the observed intensity \( I \) and blackbody emission \( B_\nu(T) \) via

\[
\tau \approx I/B_\nu(T) \tag{1}
\]

The derived optical depth map at 200 \( \mu m \), with a maximum of \( \tau \approx 1.2 \times 10^{-3} \), is shown in Fig. 6.

The total mass (gas plus dust) of the cloud has been calculated from

\[
M = \frac{F_{200\mu m} D^2 m_d \mu}{B_{200\mu m}(\nu) \sigma_d(200\mu m)} \tag{2}
\]

where \( F_{200\mu m} \) is the observed flux density, \( D \) the distance, \( m_d \) the mass of one hydrogen atom, \( \mu \) the mean molecular weight, \( B_{200\mu m}(\nu) \) the blackbody emission at temperature \( T \) and \( \sigma_d \) the absorption cross section per H-nucleus for which we have used the value \( 2.5 \times 10^{-22} \text{ cm}^2 \) (Lehtinen et al. 1998). The mass was calculated pixel by pixel. The mass inside the \( \tau_{200\mu m} = 3 \times 10^{-4} \) contour in Fig. 6 is \( \sim 2.7 M_\odot \), with an uncertainty by a factor of two.

3.4. Bright rim at 7.7 \( \mu m \)

The 7.7 \( \mu m \) surface brightness along the raster scan is shown in Fig. 7. Also plotted are cuts through the cloud in the optical blue and red bands, at far-IR wavelengths, and through the temperature map. IRAS 13036–7644 is detected at 7.7 \( \mu m \) at raster position number 9. The optical surface brightness peaks at the bright rim of the cloud, at raster positions \( \sim 6–8 \) and \( \sim 13–16 \), as can also be seen in Fig. 1. The underlying 7.7 \( \mu m \) surface brightness distribution follows this trend, i.e. it has a bright rim-dark core structure (excluding the IRAS source). It is notable that the 7.7 \( \mu m \) maximum brightness is located at the outer edge of the maximum optical surface brightness. Outside the cloud, 7.7 \( \mu m \) emission is dominated by zodiacal light; the observed intensity of about 8 MJy sr\(^{-1} \) is in good agreement with the model-based zodiacal light intensity of about 6 MJy sr\(^{-1} \) (see Chap. 2).

Bernard et al. (1992) have shown that the limb brightening observed in several clouds at 12 \( \mu m \) and 25 \( \mu m \) IRAS bands cannot be explained solely by radiative transfer effects, but enhancement in the abundance of the smallest dust particles is required. The suspected PAH particles form a halo around the cloud. According to Bernard et al. (1993) the halo is located at the cloud edge where visual extinction is between \( 0^m < A_V < 0.5^m \). This is in a good agreement with the 7.7 \( \mu m \) surface brightness profile of DC 303 (see Fig. 7); the maximum brightness at raster position #6 occurs outside the optical surface brightness maximum where the visual extinction through the cloud is \( \sim 1.5^m-2^m \). This also indicates that the very small dust particles or PAHs responsible for the 7.7 \( \mu m \) emission would be mainly excited by UV-photons, not by optical photons.

An alternative explanation for the 7.7 \( \mu m \) surface brightness halo is by means of radiative transfer effects, i.e. that UV-optical radiation exciting the particles emitting at 7.7 \( \mu m \) undergoes rapid extinction at the edge of the cloud.
In the case of TPN we have made a similar raster scan measurement through the cloud center (TDT NO. 71901524) extending beyond the optical extent of the globule, but the surface brightness of TPN is not detected at 7.7 μm.

4. The embedded source IRAS 13036–7644

The emission from the IRAS source has been fitted with a point source template of the ISOPHOT, as described in Sect. 3.2. The derived point-source fluxes are 4.1 Jy, 8.1 Jy and 29.0 Jy at 60 μm, 100 μm and 200 μm, respectively. The 60 μm and 100 μm fluxes are about half of the IRAS fluxes, which can be explained by the better background separation achieved by ISO.

As shown in Fig. 4, the intensity is expected to decrease at wavelengths shorter than 12 μm, but the observed 7.7 μm flux shows the opposite behaviour. We interpret the observed 7.7 μm emission at the position of the IRAS source as emission from the 7.7 μm UIB band, not as continuum emission. However, we cannot rule out the presence of a spatially separate component of hot dust near the IRAS source, leading to increased continuum emission. For the flux at 7.7 μm we take the flux at the IRAS source position in excess of the two adjacent raster positions, giving 0.16 Jy. At 2.2 μm we derive, from VLT/ISAC imaging, a limit of 22.7 mag, corresponding to ≤ 5.7 × 10^{-7} Jy (Lehtinen et al., in preparation).

There are few observations of the 7.7 μm UIB feature in young stellar objects. Examples of Herbig Ae/Be type stars are HD 97048 with an intensity of 1.2 × 10^{-13} W m^{-2} in a 5′′ aperture (Schutte et al. 1990), and VLA 4 in the star forming region GGD 14 with an intensity of 6.5 × 10^{-13} W m^{-2} in a 24′′ aperture (Persi & Tapia 2003). To our knowledge, the integrated 7.7 μm flux of IRAS 13036–7644, about 7 × 10^{-15} W m^{-2} in a 52′′ aperture, is the weakest flux observed towards any YSO.

4.1. The spectral energy distribution

The spectral energy distribution of IRAS 13036–7644 is shown in Fig. 4. The emission at 100 μm, 200 μm and 1300 μm wave-lengths is expected to originate from “classical”, large cold dust grains which are at an equilibrium temperature determined by the surrounding radiation field. Thus, we have fitted these flux values with a modified blackbody of the form

$$F_ν = B_ν(T_dust)(1 - \exp(-τ_ν))\ Ω_s$$

where $B_ν(T_dust)$ is the Planck function at the dust temperature $T_dust$, $τ_ν$ is the optical depth which is assumed to vary with frequency as $τ_ν = (ν/ν_0)^α$, and $Ω_s$ is the solid angle of the emitting region. We have tried different values of the opacity power-law index $α$ between 1–2, and found that the value $α = 2$ gives the best fit. The fitted values are $T_dust = 20.0 ± 3.3$ K, $λ_0 = 240±134$ μm (i.e. the wavelength where the optical depth is unity, corresponding to $ν_0$), and $Ω_s = 12 ± 940′′$. The errors have been estimated with a Monte Carlo method, and show that the value of $T_dust$ is well determined, and the current data cannot confine the size of the emitting region nor the wavelength $λ_0$.

Integrating the fitted blackbody curve we obtain the luminosity of the cold dust $L_{cd} ≈ 0.4 L_⊙$, while the bolometric luminosity of the source is estimated to be $L_{bol} ≈ 1.0 L_⊙$. The sub-millimetre luminosity, $L_{sub-mm}$, which is the integrated luminosity onward of 350 μm, is 0.02 $L_⊙$. This gives a ratio $L_{bol}/L_{sub-mm} ≈ 43$.

Clearly it is a simplification to use a single-temperature blackbody fit; fluxes at wavelengths shorter than about 100 μm are higher than accounted for by the fit. This indicates that there is an envelope of dust around the IRAS source with dust particles having a range of temperatures. Some of the emission at $λ ≤ 100$ μm may originate from transiently heated small grains.

At 1.3 mm wavelength the emission is optically thin, so we can estimate the circumstellar dust mass by using the flux at 1.3 mm and the derived dust temperature. Using the formula for the circumstellar mass (e.g. Chini et al. 1987),

$$M_{cs} = \frac{S_{ν} D^2}{R_ν B_ν(T_{dust})}$$

where $D$ is the distance, $R$ is the dust-to-gas mass ratio (assumed to be 1:100) and $κ_ν$ is the mass absorption coefficient of the dust (we use $κ_ν = 1$ cm² g⁻¹ which is applicable in dense clouds and protostellar envelopes, Ossenkopf & Henning 1994), we obtain $M_{cs} ≈ 0.1 M_⊙$. This mass is similar to the observed circumstellar masses of Class I sources, which are typically substellar, $< 0.1−0.3 M_⊙$ (Whitney & Hartmann 1993; Kenyon et al. 1993; André & Montmerle 1994; Lucas & Roche 1989). The derived parameters are listed in Table 2, together with parameters for Class 0 sources as given by Visser et al. (2002), based on IRAS data and sub-mm observations of protostars in Lynds dark clouds.

4.2. The evolutionary status

The three-category classification scheme of YSOs (see e.g. Lada & Wilking 1984; Adams et al. 1987; Lada 1987; Wilking et al. 1989) is commonly used to specify their evolutionary status. Class I objects are the youngest in this scheme, and they are considered to be deeply embedded YSOs with disks and massive circumstellar gas and dust envelopes. In the extended classification scheme the Class 0 sources represent even younger objects, which are in the main accretion phase and which have an envelope mass greater than central stellar mass (André et al. 1993).

A Class 0 object has to satisfy the following criteria (André et al. 1993): (1) non-detectability at wavelengths shorter than about 10 μm; (2) indirect evidence for the existence of a central protostellar object (e.g. a molecular outflow or a continuum source at cm wavelengths); (3) the shape of the SED well characterized by a single modified blackbody with a temperature in the range of about 15–30 K; (4) the ratio $L_{bol}/L_{sub-mm} ≪ 200$, where $L_{sub-mm}$ is the luminosity for wavelengths greater than 350 μm. The condition $#4$ implies that the circumstellar mass is greater than the mass of the central protostellar object. Because a Class 0 object is in the main accretion phase, there should also be evidence of infall motion towards the protostar.

IRAS 13036–7644 satisfies criterion #1; the shortest wavelength where the source has been detected in continuum...
Table 2. Derived properties of IRAS 13036–7644, compared to the average properties of Class 0 and Class I protostars from Visser et al. (2002). The position of IRAS 13036–7644 has been determined from the 100 µm map. The errors of the fluxes include statistical errors only. The error of T_dust is based on the estimated 25% absolute accuracy of fluxes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>F(7 µm) [Jy]</th>
<th>F(100 µm) [Jy]</th>
<th>F(200 µm) [Jy]</th>
<th>T_bol [K]</th>
<th>M_dust [M⊙]</th>
<th>L_dust [L⊙]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IRAS 13036–7644</td>
<td>α2000=13°07′41″, δ2000=−77°00′14″</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.03</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>8.1 ± 1.1</td>
<td>29.0 ± 3.4</td>
<td>20.1 ± 3.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 0 (Visser et al. 2002)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20.5 ± 19.27</td>
<td>4.3 ± 11.90</td>
<td>0.42 ± 0.162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class I (Visser et al. 2002)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>32.0 ± 23.8</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.3</td>
<td>79.0 ± 59.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The values given for Class 0 and Class I are the mean value and the range of values in square brackets.

Table 2 shows that IRAS 13036–7644 is redder and thus the dust temperature is lower than for any of the sources in the two-colour diagram of Pezzuto et al. (2002) for YSOs based on 60 µm, 100 µm, and 170 µm fluxes. Their sample includes 17 Class 0 sources, with median colors [60–100] = log(F(100 µm)/F(60 µm)) = 0.53 ± 0.23 and [100–170] = 0.19 ± 0.18. For IRAS 13036–7644 we derive the colors [60–100] = 0.34 and [100–170] = 0.54. The color [60–100] is typical of Class I sources, but the color [100–170] is redder and thus the dust temperature is lower than for any of the sources in the two-colour diagram of Pezzuto et al.

5. Comparison with the Thumbprint nebula and other small clouds

TPN is morphologically similar to DC 303.8–14.2 at optical wavelengths. However, TPN is without an embedded infrared source. TPN has been studied at 100 µm and 200 µm by Lehtinen et al. (1998). We have reanalyzed the TPN data...
Table 3. Small molecular clouds whose extended FIR emission has been mapped by ISO. The distances are as given by the different authors. The parameters are given only if they are available based on far-IR maps between 100 μm and 200 μm, except the temperatures of WTAK sources which are based on far-IR and mm/sub-mm data. $T_{\text{dust}}$ is the dust temperature for extended emission and has been determined with the dust emissivity index $\alpha = 2$. The values of $\tau_{200\mu m}^\text{central}$ and $N_H^\text{central}$ for the sources CB 236 and CB 220 have been derived from the data given in Richards et al. (1999), assuming an average absorption cross section per H-atom $\sigma_H = 2.5 \times 10^{-23}$ cm$^2$. The value of $\tau_{200\mu m}^\text{central}$ for ISOSS J20246+6540 has been derived from $N(H)$ given by Tóth et al. (2002), assuming $\sigma_H$ as above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cloud</th>
<th>Distance</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Mass</th>
<th>$T_{\text{dust}}$</th>
<th>$\tau_{200\mu m}^\text{central}$</th>
<th>$N_H^\text{central}$</th>
<th>YSO ?</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DC 303.8–14.2</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>14 × 10</td>
<td>0.6 × 0.4</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>14.6 ± 1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>4, 5, 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thumb Nebula</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>13.5 ± 1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Lehtinen et al. (1998)</td>
<td>4, 5, 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FS 2–137</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>13.5$^{+18}_{-17}$</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Laureijs et al. (1996); FS</td>
<td>4, 5, 8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L 183</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12.5$^{+0.8}_{-0.10}$</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Richards et al. (1999); CB 1, 4, 5, 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB 236</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>0.3 × 1.5</td>
<td>0.5 × 0.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Richards et al. (1999); CB 1, 4, 5, 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB 220</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>0.3 × 4.5</td>
<td>0.5 × 0.8</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>13.6$^{+0.5}_{-0.2}$</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Richards et al. (1999); CB 1, 4, 5, 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISOSS J20246+6540</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>2.5 × 0.3</td>
<td>0.2 × 0.2</td>
<td>15 + 3</td>
<td>&lt;14.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Tóth et al. (2002)</td>
<td>3, 6, 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L 1498</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>7 × 6</td>
<td>0.3 × 0.2</td>
<td>10 + 3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>WTA K</td>
<td>2, 3, 5, 10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L 1517B</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>4 × 3</td>
<td>0.2 × 0.1</td>
<td>10 + 3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>WTA K</td>
<td>2, 3, 5, 10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L 1544</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>8 × 4</td>
<td>0.3 × 0.2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>WTA K; WTA M</td>
<td>2, 3, 5, 10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L 1582A</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>7.4 × 0.4</td>
<td>0.9 × 0.5</td>
<td>15 + 3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>WTA K</td>
<td>2, 3, 5, 10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L 183</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>7 × 5</td>
<td>0.3 × 0.2</td>
<td>10 + 3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>WTA K; WTA M</td>
<td>2, 3, 5, 10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L 1696A</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>5 × 5</td>
<td>0.2 × 0.2</td>
<td>10 + 3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>WTA K; WTA M</td>
<td>2, 3, 5, 10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L 1689A</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>4 × 4</td>
<td>0.2 × 0.2</td>
<td>19 + 3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>WTA K; WTA M</td>
<td>2, 3, 5, 10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L 1689B</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>7 × 4</td>
<td>0.3 × 0.2</td>
<td>11 + 3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>WTA K; WTA M</td>
<td>2, 3, 5, 10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L 65</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6 × 6</td>
<td>0.3 × 0.3</td>
<td>11 + 3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>WTA K; WTA M</td>
<td>2, 3, 5, 10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B 68</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>4 × 4</td>
<td>0.2 × 0.2</td>
<td>10 + 3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>WTA K; WTA M</td>
<td>2, 3, 5, 10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B 133</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>8 × 3</td>
<td>0.9 × 0.4</td>
<td>13 + 3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>WTA K; WTA M</td>
<td>2, 3, 5, 10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes – (1) Distance unknown, assumed to be 600 pc; (2) pre-protoplastar core; (3) FWHM size; (4) total extent of the far-IR emission; (5) size from 200 μm map; (6) size from 170 μm map; (7) $T_{\text{dust}}$ based on 100 μm and 200 μm data; (8) $T_{\text{dust}}$ based on 135 μm and 200 μm data; (9) $T_{\text{dust}}$ based on 170 μm and 200 μm data; (10) $T_{\text{dust}}$ based on 170 μm and 200 μm and mm/sub-mm data.

References – FS = Feitzinger & Stuve (1984); CB = Clemens & Barvains (1988); WTAK = Ward-Thompson et al. (2002); WTA K = Ward-Thompson et al. (1999).

with the same version of PIA in order to have a consistent calibration.

5.1. Dust temperature and column density

The values of the maximum surface brightness at the center of DC 303.8–14.2 are about 2.3 and 2.0 times higher than in the center of the TPN at 100 and 200 μm, respectively. The temperature of dust in DC 303.8–14.2 decreases towards the cloud center, as it does in TPN (Lehtinen et al. 1998). In DC 303.8–14.2 the temperature at the cloud center is 14.6 ± 1 K, whereas in TPN it is 13.5 ± 1 K (both for $\alpha = 2$). Thus the embedded IRAS source inside DC 303.8–14.2 is not able to raise the dust temperature to a significantly higher value than that in TPN. The 200 μm optical depth of DC 303.8–14.2 is $-1.2 \times 10^{-3}$ at maximum, about 1.7 times the maximum value of TPN. However, these values of optical depth are mean values over about a 90′′ diameter region, the size of the C200 camera pixel. When measuring dust column density at a higher resolution the differences are greater: for TPN the value of central visual extinction is about 8 mag (Lehtinen & Mattila 1996), while for DC 303.8–14.2 the extinction is >30 mag based on deep near-IR HJK imaging with ESO/VLT (Lehtinen et al., in preparation).

5.2. Radial dust density distributions

The extended emission at far-IR is optically thin and thus it traces all the dust along the line of sight, as long as the dust temperature is sufficiently high. The observed optical depth as a function of impact parameter from the cloud center can be inverted to give the radial space density, assuming that the volume density follows a single power law function and that the dust is isothermal (see e.g. Yun & Clemens 1991). TPN has no internal heating source and its far-infrared emission is fully consistent with its dust being heated by the general interstellar radiation field (ISRF) only. For such a cloud the temperature is expected to decrease towards the center, as observed (Lehtinen et al. 1998). On the contrary, the IRAS source within DC 303.8–14.2 could act as a heating source for dust in the cloud. For a centrally heated cloud the temperature decreases from the cloud center to the edge. However, our ISO data show that in DC 303.8–14.2 the dust temperature decreases towards the cloud center, and that there is no temperature enhancement towards the IRAS source (see Fig. 5). Thus we assume that the main heating source for DC 303.8–14.2 also is the ISRF. Because the temperature decreases towards the center in both DC 303.8–14.2 and TPN, we can make a comparative study of their radial optical depth distributions on a qualitative level.

In order to derive the radial optical depth profiles we have used the ellipse-fitting method of Yun & Clemens (1991).
One draws contours of equal intensity on the data. We have drawn the contours at 30%, 50% and 70% of the maximum value. In Fig. 8 we show the radial optical depth profiles of DC 303.8–14.2 and TPN at 100 μm (the optical depth at 200 μm is a scaled version of that at 100 μm and would give exactly the same results). Within errors, the radial optical depth profiles can be fitted with straight lines. If an infinite, isothermal cloud has a volume density of dust of the form \( \rho(r) \propto r^{-\gamma} \), then the optical depth of dust emission \( \tau \) as a function of impact parameter \( p \) has the form \( \tau(p) \propto p^{-\gamma+1} \equiv p^{\alpha} \). We have derived the values \( \alpha \approx 1.2 \) and 1.3 for DC 303 and TPN, by using the data points in Fig.8, tracing most of the volume of the clouds. The values of \( \gamma \) are then \( \gamma = 1 + 1.2 = 2.2 \) and \( 1 + 1.3 = 2.3 \) for most of the volume of DC 303 and TPN, respectively. Within errors, the radial density distributions are similar.

5.3. Comparison with other small clouds

Clemens et al. (1991) derived far-IR fluxes for the small molecular clouds listed in Clemens & Barvainis (1988) by performing aperture photometry at 12 μm, 25 μm, 60 μm and 100 μm on IRAS maps. From the 60 μm and 100 μm flux ratios they derived a mean color temperature of dust of 26 ± 5 K. However, it is now known that part of the 60 μm emission comes from a dust population with smaller grains than the 100 μm emission and thus the temperature derived from the 60 μm to 100 μm intensity ratio does not give accurate results for the so-called “classical large grains”.

The properties of “classical” grains, such as temperature and optical depth, can be derived correctly only if we have observations at \( \lambda \geq 100 \) μm. Table 3 gives the properties of small dark clouds whose extended dust emission has been mapped with ISO at \( \lambda \geq 100 \) μm. The first three clouds are globule-type clouds located in the Chamaeleon region. L 183 is a dark cloud which has two pre-protostellar cores (Ward-Thompson et al. 1994, 1999; Crutcher et al. 2004). The clouds CB 236 and CB 220 are protostellar candidates (Richards et al. 1999).

The rest of the clouds were originally selected by Ward-Thompson et al. (1994) to be representative of pre-protostellar starless cores. Only the cloud DC 303.8–14.2 shows signs of star formation in the form of an embedded IRAS source. The dust temperatures are concentrated in a narrow range around \( \sim 13.5 \) K. On the other hand, the dust column densities vary by a factor of about 20. It has been found that the ISRF is intense enough to be the only heating source of dust for TPN (Lehtinen et al. 1998) and the WTAK clouds (Ward-Thompson et al. 2002). The only cloud containing a young stellar object, DC 303.8–14.2, seems to be no different to the other clouds if we exclude the emission from the IRAS source within DC 303. Based on the parameters given in Table 3, we cannot distinguish the star forming cloud from non-star forming ones based on diffuse far-IR emission of dust.

6. Conclusions

We have observed with the ISOPHOT instrument at far-IR wavelengths the globule DC 303.8–14.2 and the embedded young stellar object IRAS 13036–7644. The dust temperature and circumstellar mass of the IRAS source are about 20 K and 0.1 \( M_\odot \), respectively. The bolometric luminosity is \( \sim 1.0 \ L_\odot \). We classify the source as a transition object between Class 0 and 1 in a late accretion phase. The IRAS source was detected at 7.7 μm with a flux of about 0.16 Jy. This emission is suggested to be mostly \( \text{UIR} \) band emission.

The properties of dust in DC 303.8–14.2 have been compared with those in the Thumbprint Nebula, a morphologically similar cloud but without signs of star formation. We find no difference in the density distributions between these two clouds. The dust temperature is about 1 K higher and the dust column density is about 1.7 times higher in DC 303.8–14.2 than in the TPN.

Extended 7.7 μm emission has been detected from the outer parts of DC 303.8–14.2 and there is tentative evidence that the maximum of the 7.7 μm emission is located at the edge of the optical extent of the globule. This is most probably due to a halo-like distribution of very small dust particles or PAHs emitting at 7.7 μm.

Comparison of DC 303.8–14.2 with several clouds without YSOs shows that a cloud with low-mass star formation cannot be distinguished from non-star forming clouds on the basis of the properties of far-IR diffuse emission of “classical large” dust particles.
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