

# On-axis spectroscopy of the $z = 0.144$ radio-loud quasar HE 1434–1600: an elliptical host with a highly ionized ISM<sup>★</sup>

G. Letawe<sup>1</sup>, F. Courbin<sup>1</sup>, P. Magain<sup>1</sup>, M. Hilker<sup>2</sup>, P. Jablonka<sup>3</sup>, K. Jahnke<sup>4</sup>, and L. Wisotzki<sup>4,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institut d’Astrophysique et de Géophysique, Université de Liège, Allée du 6 Août, 17, Bât. B5C, Liège 1, Belgium  
e-mail: gletawe@ulg.ac.be

<sup>2</sup> Sternwarte der Universität Bonn, Auf dem Hügel 71, 53121 Bonn, Germany

<sup>3</sup> GEPI, Observatoire de Paris, Place Jules Janssen, 92915 Meudon Cedex, France

<sup>4</sup> Astrophysikalisches Institut Potsdam, An der Sternwarte 16, 14482, Potsdam, Germany

<sup>5</sup> Institut für Physik, Universität Potsdam, Am Neuen Palais 10, 14469 Potsdam, Germany

Received 19 March 2004 / Accepted 11 May 2004

**Abstract.** VLT on-axis optical spectroscopy of the  $z = 0.144$  radio-loud quasar HE 1434–1600 is presented. The spatially resolved spectra of the host galaxy are deconvolved and separated from those of the central quasar in order to study the dynamics of the stars and gas as well as the physical conditions of the ISM. We find that the host of HE 1434–1600 is an elliptical galaxy that resides in a group of at least 5 member galaxies, and that most likely experienced a recent collision with its nearest companion. Compared with other quasar host galaxies, HE 1434–1600 has a highly ionized ISM. The ionization state corresponds to that of typical Seyferts, but the ionized regions are not distributed in a homogeneous way around the QSO, and are located preferentially several kiloparsecs away from it. While the stellar absorption lines do not show any significant velocity field, the gas emission lines do. The observed gas velocity field is hard to reconcile with dynamical models involving rotating disk, modified Hubble laws or power laws, that all require extreme central masses ( $M > 10^9 M_\odot$ ) to provide only poor fit to the data. Power law models, which best fit the data, provide a total mass of  $M(<10 \text{ kpc}) = 9.2 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$ . We conclude that the recent interaction between HE 1434–1600 and its closest companion has strongly affected the gas velocity and ionization state, from the center of the galaxy to its most external parts.

**Key words.** galaxies: kinematics and dynamics – galaxies: interactions – galaxies: quasars: individual: HE 1434-1600 – techniques: image processing – techniques: spectroscopic

## 1. Introduction


Spectroscopy of quasar host galaxies, either with a long slit or through the use of integral field spectrographs now available on large telescopes, is able to provide unique clues to the stellar content, the physics of the interstellar medium (ISM) and the dynamics of these complex objects. Since their otherwise luminous active galactic nucleus (AGN) is extinguished by the central molecular torus, the radio galaxies can be rather easily studied, even in spectroscopy (e.g., Vernet et al. 2001). This is also true for Seyfert galaxies where the brightness of the central AGN is much lower than in genuine quasars. On the contrary, obtaining high quality spectra of the host of bright quasars remains a much more challenging task because of the high luminosity contrast between the central AGN and the host.

We have carried out a systematic spectroscopic campaign of the host galaxies of a sample of bright quasars, using the European Very Large Telescope (VLT), at the Paranal

observatory, Chile. The quasar sample is selected from the Hamburg-ESO Survey (HES; Wisotzki et al. 2000). It includes 20 intrinsically bright quasars with  $M_B < -23$  and  $z < 0.33$ . No prior morphological selection criteria have been applied to the sample. The full sample, for which optical and near-IR imaging is also available (Jahnke 2002; Jahnke et al. 2003, 2004) will be fully described and analyzed in Letawe et al., in prep., while the deconvolution techniques used to decompose the data into two independent spectra of the unresolved central quasar and of the extended host galaxy are presented in Magain et al. (1998) and Courbin et al. (2000). A first application of these techniques to the quasar HE 1503+0228 is presented in Courbin et al. (2002). It shows that the host of this quasar is a normal spiral galaxy with  $M(r < 10 \text{ kpc}) = (1.9 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{11} M_\odot$  and with stellar populations typical of a normal spiral galaxy.

Some of the objects in our sample display peculiar features. HE 1434–1600, with  $z = 0.144$  and absolute  $B$  magnitude of  $-24.3$ , is a rather special case, which appeared to deserve further investigations. The peculiar velocity field, prominent emission lines and rich environment, led us to obtain more data for this radio-loud quasar than for the rest of the

<sup>★</sup> Based on observations made with the ESO Very Large Telescope ANTU/UT1 at ESO-Paranal observatory, Chile (program 65.P-0361(A)).



**Fig. 1.** Part of the FORS1 field of view around HE 1434–1600. Five galaxies with measured redshifts are indicated by horizontal arrows. They all have redshifts very similar to that of the quasar,  $z \sim 0.144$  (see Sect. 5). North is up and East is left, as all other figures in this paper.

sample. The present paper describes the observations of what appears to be an elliptical galaxy that is probably experiencing a collision, and that shows a particularly strongly ionized Inter Stellar Medium (ISM).


In this paper, the conversion of angular scales into linear distances is done using  $H_0 = 65 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ ,  $\Omega_m = 0.3$  and  $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$  leading to a scale of 2.73 kpc per arcsecond at  $z = 0.144$ .

## 2. Imaging

### 2.1. Optical – near-IR

Optical, near-IR and radio images of HE 1434–1600 were available, in addition to the spectroscopic data. Two VLT *R*-band images were taken prior to each spectroscopic observation in order to construct the slitlet mask. These images were obtained on the nights of April 11, 2000 (30 s exposure, seeing  $0''.7$ ) with FORS1 and on the night of May 13, 2002 (15 s, seeing  $1''.1$ ) with FORS2. Figure 1 shows part of the FORS1 field of view. Additional images were obtained in the *V*-band at the 1.54 m Danish telescope at La Silla observatory and in the infrared *J*-band with the ESO 3.5 m New Technology Telescope (NTT) equipped with the instrument SOFI. The *V*- and *J*-band data are the same as used by Jahnke (2004). The technical details of the observations are given in Table 1.

All images, except the low S/N *V*-band image, were deconvolved using the MCS algorithm (Magain et al. 1998), to separate the quasar from its host galaxy and to reveal possible substructures that may help to explain the spectroscopic observations. The deconvolved host images all show extended and rather uniform emission (Fig. 2), with a significant bulge contribution. There are, however, some deviations from the smooth profile, 2–5'' away from the nucleus. These small-scale substructures match well the ones seen in [O III] in the spectroscopic observations (see Sect. 3).




**Fig. 2.** Deconvolved images of the host galaxy alone, with the quasar removed. The position of the quasar is indicated by the cross. The intensity scale is different in each image, as well as the angular resolutions. While the *J*-band image appears smooth, both *R* images show structure about 2'' away from the center of the host galaxy.

**Table 1.** Details of the imaging observations. The first column gives the telescope and instrument used, Col. 4 gives the pixel size in arcseconds, while the last column gives the integration time in seconds.

Instr.	Band	Date	scale	<i>t</i>
VLT-FORS2	<i>R</i>	13-05-2002	0.252	15 s
VLT-FORS1	<i>R</i>	11-04-2000	0.200	30 s
NTT-SOFI	<i>J</i>	24-02-1999	0.290	300 s
1.54 m-DFOSC	<i>V</i>	14-04-1997	0.390	600 s

A close companion, labeled G1 in Fig. 1, can be seen next to HE 1434–1600, located 4'' from the center, or 11 kpc. Its redshift (see Sect. 5) is the same as that of HE 1434–1600. A  $r^{-1/4}$  law fits well its 1D intensity profile, while an exponential disk does not. Moreover, its shape is that of an elliptical galaxy.

Photometry of the quasar host has been performed by Jahnke et al. (2004) in a multicolour study of QSO hosts. After masking of the close companion they were able to model the host of HE 1434–1600 with a pure spheroid light distribution. The measured magnitudes of the host are  $V = 17.0$ ,  $R = 16.4$ ,  $J = 15.1$ , and  $K = 13.7$ . They corrected for galactic extinction using the maps by Schlegel et al. (1998) and applied a K-correction term that was computed from a measured broadband SED (spectral energy distribution). For that purpose, template SEDs were fitted to six photometric points in the optical and NIR and the template was used to derive a K-correction term (see Jahnke et al. 2004 for details). Including these corrections the colours of the host are  $V - R = 0.43$ ,  $V - J = 1.66$



**Fig. 3.** NVSS image centered on the position of HE 1434–1600. The field of view is  $30' \times 30'$ , and the beam size in this 1.4 GHz map is  $45''$ . The central “hot spot” corresponds to HE 1434–1600. Two lobes also extend over  $5\text{--}6'$  to the north and south of the quasar.


and  $V - K = 2.75$ , i.e. significantly bluer colours than expected for an inactive galaxy of this luminosity (Fukugita et al. 1995; Fioc & Rocca-Volmerange 1999). Modeling of the stellar populations points to either an overall young dominant stellar population of  $1\text{--}2$  Gyr, or may also be indicative of continuous star formation, plus a small fraction (1% in mass) of a recent (e.g., 100 Myr) star burst population.

## 2.2. Radio map

A radio map of HE 1434–1600 has also been retrieved from the NRAO VLA Sky Survey (Condon et al. 1998), through the online NASA Extragalactic Database (NED). It shows large lobes extending up to  $6'$  or 980 kpc, North and South of the quasar (Fig. 3). With an integrated radio flux of 7.14 mJy at 1.4 GHz and a magnitude  $B = 15.62$  (quasar plus host), we estimate a radio loudness  $R = F_{5\text{ GHz}}/F_{4400} \sim 10$ , a value which places HE 1434–1600 at the limit between radio-loud and radio-quiet quasars (Kellermann 1989). Such a radio structure has generally been found to be associated with extended optical emission lines for example in radio galaxies (e.g., Fosbury et al. 1984), Seyferts (Morganti et al. 1998) or in Radio Loud Quasars (RLQ) (Borson et al. 1984, 1985; Stockton & MacKenty 1987). We investigate in Sect. 4 the possibility that HE 1434–1600 is a radio loud quasar (although almost radio quiet) with jet induced ionization of the ISM.

## 3. Spectroscopy: Observations and data reduction

Our first spectroscopic observations were obtained during the night of April 11, 2000, with the FOcal Reducer/low




**Fig. 4.** Slit orientations represented in overlay on the deconvolved  $R$ -band image of the host, after removal of the quasar. The companion galaxy G1 is also visible on this image. Slit 1 corresponds to the data taken in April 2000, and slit 2 corresponds to the May 2002 data. In each case the slit width is  $1''$ . A, B and C are the three regions we used to extract the spectra (see Sect. 3). The total size of this image is about the size of the central hot-spot in the radio map of Fig. 3.

dispersion Spectrograph 1 (FORs1) mounted on the 8.2 m VLT/UT1 ANTU, at ESO-Paranal. Since the aim of the project is to spatially deconvolve the spectra, the Multi-Object-Spectroscopy (MOS) mode was chosen in order to allow the simultaneous observation of the quasar and of neighbouring stars used to determine the Point Spread Function (PSF). The same approach was used in Courbin et al. (2002) to study the host of HE 1503+0228, at  $z = 0.135$ . Since 19 slitlets are available, we were also able to observe several galaxies in the vicinity of the quasar.

Three grisms were used (G600B, G600R, G600I), with a resolving power  $R \sim 700$ . The exposure time for each grism was 1200 s and the full spectrum covers the wavelength range between  $3250 \text{ \AA}$  and  $8000 \text{ \AA}$  in the rest frame. All basic reduction steps were made with the IRAF package, leading to flat-fielded, flux calibrated and rebinned spectra with  $0''.2$  per pixel in the spatial direction and  $1 \text{ \AA}$  per pixel in the spectral direction. Since there is a significant slit curvature in some of the slitlets, a 2D wavelength calibration was performed, as well as a 2D sky subtraction. The seeing varied between  $0''.5$  and  $0''.6$ . The slit orientation (PA) was  $35^\circ$  clockwise from north. We refer to these data as *slit 1* all along the text and in Fig. 4.

Additional data were obtained with FORs2 at VLT/UT4 YEPUN, on the night of May 13, 2002, with the slit oriented to include both the quasar host and the companion galaxy G1. The PA of this slit is  $-41^\circ$  (*slit 2* in Fig. 4). As for the FORs1 observations, the MOS configuration was chosen in order to observe several PSF stars. Only one grism was used, G600RI, covering the spectral range  $4560\text{--}7310 \text{ \AA}$  in the rest frame. A single exposure of 1500 s was taken. The reduction was carried out in the same way as for the FORs1 observations. However, in this case, the pixel scale is  $1.5 \text{ \AA}$  in the spectral direction and



**Fig. 5.** Extracted intensity profiles along *slit1* and *slit2* of the host galaxy, as defined in Fig. 4. Each profile is obtained by spatially integrating the deconvolved *R*-band image across the 2 slits, after removal of the quasar. Obvious structures with a typical size of 1 kpc do appear 2'' away from the quasar.

0.252'' in the spatial direction. The resolving power is the same as for FORS1,  $R \sim 700$ .

Figure 5 displays the intensity profiles of the (deconvolved) host galaxy of HE 1434–1600 in broad band, along the two slit positions, showing structures with a typical size of a few kpc. These structures are real and play an important role in the interpretation of the spectra, as they are prominent in the emission lines of the host.

The spectroscopic version of the MCS deconvolution algorithm was used to process the 2D spectra, in the same way as for HE 1503+0228 (Courbin et al. 2002), leading to the decompositions displayed in Figs. 6 and 7. While the quasar spectra are very similar in both slits, the host shows much stronger and more spatially extended emission lines in *slit1* than in *slit2*.

#### 4. The ISM of the host

The ISM of the host of HE 1434–1600 is particularly highly ionized. The spectra extracted in Fig. 7, e.g., along *slit1* not only show prominent [O III] emission lines, but also He II, [Ne III] and [Ne V] emissions. The ionization potentials of these species are respectively 54.6, 40.96 and 97.26 eV, i.e. 1.5 to 3 times higher than the already high ionization potential of [O III] (35 eV). Line ratios measured at different locations along the slit can be used to understand the physical processes at work in the host of HE 1434–1600. We have measured them for all significant emission lines, relative to H $\beta$ , as is summarized in Table 2.

Baldwin et al. (1981), and Veilleux & Osterbrock (1987), have introduced the so-called *diagnostic diagrams* in order to distinguish between several plausible ionization processes. Comparing the logarithms of several line ratios, in particular those involving highly ionized species versus less ionized ones, they have been able to separate H II regions from other objects powered by non-thermal processes.

The relevant intensity ratios for the host of HE 1434–1600 are summarized in Table 3 and compared to the published sample of AGNs and H II regions of Veilleux & Osterbrock (1987) in Fig. 8. No extinction correction has been applied, as the intensity ratios are for lines at similar wavelengths. Reasonable reddening corrections would only lead to negligible changes.

**Table 2.** Line intensities relative to H $\beta$ , in apertures A, B and C of *slit1*, and integrated in a 6'' aperture in *slit2*, that avoids the companion galaxy G1.


Line	$\lambda$ (Å)	A	B	C	Slit 2
Ne v	(3346)	0.26	–	0.15	–
Ne v	(3426)	0.64	–	0.57	–
O II	(3727)	1.46	3.42	2.06	–
Ne III	(3869)	0.94	1.47	0.86	–
H8	(3889)	0.12	–	0.21	–
Ne III	(3967)	0.44	–	0.34	–
H $\delta$	(4101)	0.27	–	0.17	–
H $\gamma$	(4341)	0.46	–	0.34	–
O III	(4363)	0.32	–	0.29	–
He II	(4686)	0.21	–	0.22	–
H $\beta$	(4861)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
O III	(4959)	4.08	2.18	3.43	2.44
O III	(5007)	12.14	6.72	10.12	4.40
H $\alpha$	(6563)	4.10	8.95	3.88	3.38
N II	(6583)	1.52	6.32	1.53	1.29
S II	(6717)	0.44	0.53	0.41	0.34
S II	(6731)	0.37	0.34	0.37	0.36

**Table 3.** Intensity ratios in apertures A, B and C of *slit1* and for *slit2* (s2).


Ratio	A	B	C	s2
$\log ([\text{O III}]\lambda 5007)/(\text{H}\beta \lambda 4861)$	1.1	0.8	1.0	0.4
$\log ([\text{O II}]\lambda 3727)/([\text{O III}]\lambda 5007)$	–0.9	–0.3	–0.7	–
$\log ([\text{N II}]\lambda 6583)/(\text{H}\alpha \lambda 6563)$	–0.4	–0.2	–0.4	–0.4

The most striking result is that, while the measurements for *slit2* show intermediate ionization levels, all three ratios for the extractions along *slit1* fall well into the AGN zone, even for regions situated as far as 6 kpc from the central quasar. This empirical comparison of the ionization level of the ISM in the host of HE 1434–1600 with the sample of Veilleux & Osterbrock (1987) suggests that at least a significant part of the ionization comes from non-thermal processes. In addition, the significant differences between *slit1* and *slit2*, as well as between the external apertures (A and C) and internal apertures (B) extractions of the spectra, along *slit1*, show that the ionization degree is not constant throughout the galaxy. The ionization, if produced by the central QSO, might not be isotropic because of shadowing effects on UV radiation from the nucleus. However, it is more likely that density variations in the gas clouds give rise to different ionization degrees, as suggested by Stockton et al. (2002).

The strongest narrow line emissions we detect in the host of HE 1434–1600 are located far away from the nucleus, at about 5–6 kpc along the direction of *slit1*. The spectrum obtained through *slit2* does not show such strong emission lines far away from the nucleus. Making the analogy with Seyfert galaxies that display radio jets may be relevant. In some of these galaxies, ionized gas is detected as far as several




**Fig. 6.** 1D spectra of the quasar and the host galaxy after deconvolution. The spectrum of the host is integrated over  $6''$ . All spectra are displayed in the rest frame, for the two slit orientations. The quasar spectra are shown in the two upper panels, while the spectra of the host galaxy alone, are shown in the two lower ones. Note the different flux scales.



**Fig. 7.** Deconvolved spectra of host galaxy, for the three regions A, B, C, defined in Fig. 4. The size of the central aperture (B) is  $2''$ , while apertures A and C, that correspond to the external parts of the galaxy, are  $4''$  wide.

kiloparsecs away from the center. Evans et al. (1999), Wilson et al. (1994), Fosbury et al. (1984), Tadhunter & Tsvetanov (1989) argue that the high level of ionization is due both to the

UV radiation from the nucleus and to shock induced ionization by the radio jet. A more recent example of shock induced ionization is presented in Morganti et al. (2003), who observed the




**Fig. 8.** Diagnostic diagram from Veilleux & Osterbrock 1987, where the measurements for different regions of the host of HE 1434–1600 have been overplotted. Four points are available, for apertures A, B, C of *slit 1* and for *slit 2*. They are represented as crosses with associated  $1\sigma$  error box. Open symbols, following Veilleux & Osterbrock (1987), represent typical H II regions. Black symbols are active galaxies. All measurements of Veilleux & Osterbrock include the nucleus and the host of the objects.

Seyfert galaxy IC 5063. Thanks to the high spatial resolution of their radio observation, the authors identify a radio jet, exactly overlapped on ionization “hot spot” seen in the optical spectrum of the galaxy, e.g., in [O III].

In our case, the low resolution radio map of Fig. 3 shows radio jets oriented N-S, i.e. nearly exactly in between *slit 1* and *slit 2*. If the emission is induced by a shock, and if the shock is along the direction of the radio jet, one would thus expect similar contributions in both slits, in sharp contrast with the observations. VLA or VLBI observations of HE 1434–1600 would help to clarify which influence, if any, the radio jet has on this high ionization.

Extended Emission Line Regions (EELR) are also found in RLQ (Boroson et al. 1985), that have strong [O III](5007 Å) and other highly ionized emissions, no Fe II in their central part (i.e., the quasar spectrum), extended radio lobes, and steep radio spectra. HE 1434–1600 displays these characteristics, except for the last feature for which we lack information. EELR are often spatially unrelated with interstellar emissions, but scales involved for the EELR are systematically larger (around 70–80 kpc) than ours (<10 kpc). In the studies of Stockton et al. (2002) and Wilman et al. (2000), assumption is made that direct radiation from the central AGN is the source of ionization, while the source of gas is thought to be recent interaction or merger. This scenario explains why EELR are not found in all RLQ. The link between radio jets and EELR however remains unclear. EELR where at least two different ionization levels coexist can be explained by density variations (Stockton et al. 2002). This seems to be the case for HE 1434–1600. Whether or not a shock induced ionization exists, direct ionization by the high energy radiation from



**Fig. 9.** Integrated spectrum of companion galaxy G1 (see Fig. 4). One strong absorption feature is detected: the sodium Na I (5896 Å) line. All other absorptions are tellurics (labeled “A” on the figure). The emission lines visible here are extended emissions of the host of HE 1434–1600, that are contaminating the spectrum of G1.

the AGN, typical in EELR, even in regions as far as 6 kpc from the nucleus, is sufficient to explain the spectral features of the present object.

## 5. Redshift and environment


### 5.1. HE 1434–1600

Measuring the redshift of HE 1434–1600 on all available emission lines leads to slightly different estimates when using the central quasar or its host galaxy. We measure  $z = 0.1448 \pm 0.0001$  for the host only, and  $z = 0.1443 \pm 0.0001$  for the quasar only ( $\Delta v = 150 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ), or a mean redshift of  $z = 0.1445 \pm 0.0003$ . The measurement done on the host’s spectrum is for the center of the galaxy, hence not affected by rotation, if any. However, if the velocity field observed for the gas (see next section) is due to gas inflow/outflow due to merger activity, this value might be biased.

### 5.2. Environment

Redshifts were also determined for 5 other objects in the vicinity of HE 1434–1600, indicated in Fig. 1. One of them is galaxy G1, located 4'' away from HE 1434–1600 and present only in *slit 2*. The redshift measurement is based on the identification of the sodium absorption line Na I (5896 Å). The apparent emission lines visible on this spectrum are only due to contamination by the extended host galaxy of HE 1434–1600. The spectrum of G1 is presented in Fig. 9. Measuring the redshift directly from Na I and other weaker Fe lines or by cross-correlating the spectrum with that of a template spectrum of an elliptical galaxy yields  $z = 0.1428 \pm 0.0003$ .

The redshifts of four other galaxies, picked-up randomly because they were in the unvignetted area of the CCD chip (Fig. 10), were also measured, mainly based on the H $\alpha$  emission line (Table 4). Galaxy G4 even shows rotation, with a prospected rotation velocity of  $v_{\text{rot}} \sim 80 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , measured 1''/4 from the center of the galaxy, in the flat part of the rotation curve. G1, the closest companion to HE 1434–1600, is the only



**Fig. 10.** Flux calibrated spectra of 4 neighbours of HE 1434–1600. All show clear  $H\alpha$  and  $[N II]$  emissions. G2 and G3 show the  $[O III]$  and  $H\beta$  emission lines as well.

**Table 4.** Redshifts of the brightest galaxies in the field of view of HE 1434–1600. Each galaxy is indicated in Fig. 1. The coordinates and velocities are relative to the HE 1434–1600.


Galaxy	$x''$	$y''$	Redshift	Velocity ( $\text{km s}^{-1}$ )
HE 1434–1600	+0.0	+0.0	0.1443	0
G1(companion)	+2.6	−3.0	0.1428	−450
G2	−25.0	−38.4	0.1426	−526
G3	−54.0	−63.4	0.1436	−210
G4	−9.2	−63.0	0.1437	−180
G5	−110.0	+52.0	0.1429	−420

galaxy out of the 5 known companions that does not show emission lines.

With at least 6 objects at almost the same redshift, HE 1434–1600 is part of a small group of galaxies whose velocity dispersion is  $\sigma_v = 197 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , with a 95 percent confidence interval of  $123 \text{ km s}^{-1} \leq \sigma_v \leq 480 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . Considering the group as an isothermal sphere with a mean radius of  $r = 100''$  (Binney & Tremaine 1987), its mass is,  $M_{\text{group}} \sim 4 \times 10^{12} M_{\odot}$ . This places it among the loosest groups of galaxies, e.g., when compared with the groups found in the Las Campanas Redshift Survey (Heinämäki et al. 2003), who find group masses in the range  $M = 10^{12} - 10^{15} M_{\odot}$ .

## 6. Dynamics of the host galaxy

Because our observational strategy is to take the spectra “on-axis” rather than a few arcseconds away from the AGN center, the dynamical information about the host galaxy is not lost. The signal-to-noise ratio of the data is sufficient to perform quantitative measurement of the stellar and gas velocity fields.



**Fig. 11.** Correlation function of the two extracted regions of the host’s spectrum (see text) along *slit 1*. Only the spectral regions with no emission lines are considered, i.e., from 5246 Å to 5706 Å, in the rest frame. The centroid of the correlation peak is located at  $0.03 \pm 0.1$  pixels, which translates into  $v = 2 \pm 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , hence confirming that the stars in the host are not affected by any circular motion.

### 6.1. Stellar dynamics


The calcium  $\text{Ca II}$  absorption doublet is detected in the spectrum obtained in April 2000. It is outside the accessible wavelength range in the observations taken in May 2002. Because of the low signal-to-noise in these lines, we can not perform a full extraction of the stellar velocity field. We can however get significant hints about the stellar rotation/motion, by dividing the galaxy into two parts along the spatial direction, and by cross-correlating them. Two spatial extractions were done along *slit 1*. The light of the quasar host was integrated in two “boxes” lying between  $0''.8$  and  $2''.8$  away from the center of the galaxy. Their cross-correlation, displayed in Fig. 11 shows a sharp peak centered at  $v = 2 \pm 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . Similar results are found on the *slit 2* spectrum. We can therefore conclude that stars do not display a global rotation in the host of HE 1434–1600.

### 6.2. Gas dynamics

Contrary to the absorption lines, an obvious velocity field is seen in the emission lines of the host galaxy. An example of emission lines is shown in Fig. 13, where the continuum of the host galaxy has been removed. The gas seen through the two slit orientations behaves differently. While *slit 1* reveals the gas motion, the emission lines seen along *slit 2* remain perfectly straight.

The spatial profiles in the lines in Fig. 13 show, as said previously, that the gas is not distributed uniformly in the galaxy. In particular, the  $[O III]$  emission line is composed of two bright spots located  $2''.2$  and  $2''.4$  away from the nucleus, and that correspond perfectly to the two bright spots already seen in the spatial profiles of Fig. 5. They are not deconvolution artifacts. In fact, they are detectable in the original data without subtracting the quasar (Fig. 12).

The velocity curves have been determined from several emission lines, extracted from reduced spectra to avoid artifacts deconvolution could bring, specially near the nucleus. The extraction method is described in Courbin et al. (2002). These



**Fig. 12.** Spatial profile of the [O III] emission line for *slit 1* and *slit 2* prior to any host/nucleus decomposition. The dip in intensity profile through *slit 1*, 3'' away from the quasar, and the two “hot spots” of Fig. 13 are already visible in this raw undeconvolved intensity profile.

curves are displayed in Fig. 14. While there is no velocity field along *slit 2*, the curve for *slit 1* is very sharp in the central kiloparsec, and almost flat in the outer parts of the galaxy. This is hard to reconcile with models involving pure rotation, but we nevertheless attempted to follow the same approach as in Courbin et al. (2002), for the spiral host of HE 1503+0228.

A mass model is assumed for the galaxy, including a rotating disk, a central point mass and a dark matter halo. This mass model is then used to predict the velocity of the galaxy at a given point of the slit, taking into account the inclination of the disk and convolution by the seeing profile, using the known spectrum of the PSF. Many different initial conditions were used when fitting the model, with no successful solution. In fact, we found no way to model simultaneously the sharp central velocity trough, that requires a very large central mass, and the flat external parts, that require large amounts of dark matter, while keeping the various parameters at reasonable values.

We have thus considered other mass distributions, such as a modified Hubble profile, power-law density profile or isochrone potential (Binney & Tremaine 1987), all encountering the same difficulty. In the best fits, central masses of the order of  $M \sim 10^{10} M_{\odot}$  are always found. For comparison, the central mass found for HE 1503+0228 was  $M \sim 5 \times 10^7 M_{\odot}$ , three orders of magnitude smaller. Other groups have estimated the central masses in galaxies, most of the time for isolated ellipticals like in the SAURON survey (e.g., NGC 3377, Copin et al. 2004), more massive ellipticals (M 87, Macchetto et al. 1997, Cen A, Marconi et al. 2001), or Seyfert galaxies (NGC 4041, Marconi et al. 2003; Mrk 110, Kollatschny 2003). The masses they find, either using the black hole central velocity dispersion relation, or direct dynamical modeling, is always in the range  $M = 10^7$ – $10^8 M_{\odot}$ , with some supermassive black holes reaching up to  $10^9 M_{\odot}$ , e.g., in the Seyfert NGC 5252 (Macchetto 2003). The central mass in HE 1434–1600, assuming gas rotation, is still an order of magnitude above the most massive black holes found in other galaxies.

The central mass can be estimated independently using the known correlation between  $R_{\text{BLR}}$ , the radius of the Broad Line Region (BLR), and the monochromatic AGN continuum luminosity at 5100 Å (Kaspi et al. 2000). This luminosity-based  $R_{\text{BLR}}$  is combined with a measurement of the BLR velocity extracted from the Full Width at Half Maximum of the

H $\beta$  emission line. Assuming that the motion in the BLR in AGN is virialized, one can use the simple Keplerian relation

$$M_{\text{BH}} = R_{\text{BLR}} v_{\text{BLR}}^2 G^{-1}, \quad (1)$$

to estimate the mass of the central black hole. In the case of HE 1434–1600 we find  $M_{\text{BH}} \sim 3.6 \times 10^8 M_{\odot}$ . Again, this is two orders of magnitude lower than obtained with our galaxy mass models.


We have tried to fit the velocity curves with models including a central mass fixed at the above value, i.e.  $M_{\text{BH}} = 3.6 \times 10^8 M_{\odot}$ . We can obtain rather reasonable fits (see Fig. 14), but at the expense of completely unrealistic parameters (e.g., a disk with a huge mass density confined to a few parsecs). Indeed, the lower  $M_{\text{BH}}$  is always compensated by other mass components which become concentrated near the nucleus. Nevertheless, all models lead to a total mass of  $\sim 9.2 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$ , within a radius of 10 kpc.

## 7. Discussion – conclusions


In the framework of a comprehensive spectroscopic study of quasar host galaxies, we have obtained VLT optical observations of the  $z = 0.144$  quasar HE 1434–1600, that retained our attention and triggered follow-up observations. Two slit orientations were used (see Fig. 4) and the data were spatially deconvolved, resulting in spectra of the host galaxy decontaminated from the light of the central quasar.

We found that the host galaxy of HE 1434–1600 is a rather peculiar object. We observed that:

1. Optical and near-IR imaging of HE 1434–1600 reveals an elliptical morphology, with colors bluer than inactive elliptical galaxies, indicating a contribution of a young stellar population.
2. The galaxy harbours a bright quasar, whose radio loudness index places it at the limit between radio quiet and radio loud. Extended lobes are seen in the radio up to 5' away from the quasar.
3. The ISM of the host is heterogeneous and highly ionized by the central AGN. Ionization triggered by the radio jet cannot be excluded.
4. While the gas emission lines observed through *slit 1* all show a clear velocity field, the stellar absorption lines do not display any sign of rotation, in accordance with the observed elliptical morphology.
5. Neither the stars nor the gas are affected by a velocity field in the *slit 2* observations, almost perpendicular to *slit 1*.
6. No mass model involving rotation of a gas disk fit the gas velocity of *slit 1* properly unless invoking extremely high central masses for the galaxy, an order of magnitude above that of the most massive black holes known ( $> 10^9 M_{\odot}$ ).
7. HE 1434–1600 is part of a small group with  $\sigma_v \sim 197 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  or  $M_{\text{group}} \sim 4 \times 10^{12} M_{\odot}$ .
8. The closest companion G1, of HE 1434–1600 is located 4'' away from it, with a velocity difference of  $450 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . No trace of gas emission is detected.



**Fig. 13.** *Top:* zoom on the [O III] (5007 Å) emission line in *slit 1* (left), in *slit 2* (middle). The H $\alpha$  emission lines is displayed on the right panel. The spatial direction is horizontal and the wavelength direction vertical. Both the quasar spectrum and the continuum of the host galaxy have been subtracted. The sharp structures in the very center (central kpc) of the galaxy corresponds to oversubtraction of the quasar. The gas motion is striking in *slit 1*, while the stars are not showing any motion in this same slit position. No gas velocity field is detected for *slit 2*. *Bottom:* Corresponding intensity profiles.



**Fig. 14.** *Left:* velocity curves obtained for the [O III] doublet and for the H $\alpha$  emission line. *Right:* mean velocity curve. The data points are the mean of the two [O III] measurement and of the H $\alpha$  measurement. The error bars correspond to the dispersion between the three curves of the left panel. Our best fit model is overplotted. With a central mass fixed to  $3.6 \times 10^8 M_{\odot}$ , the  $\chi^2$  of the fit is acceptable only for model with mass components unrealistically concentrated near the nucleus (see text).

To explain the main characteristics of the host galaxy of HE 1434–1600 and environment, we propose the following interpretation.

The motion of the gas, as deduced from the emission lines, cannot be interpreted as Keplerian motion in any reasonable

mass model. It must be affected by some interaction with an intervening object. At least two possibilities arise: (1) the dynamics of the gas is modified by interaction with matter and/or radiation from the AGN or (2) it has been affected by a recent collision with the neighbouring galaxy G1. As the central AGN of HE 1434–1600 does not appear very different from other quasars in the sample, which display relatively mundane host galaxies in which the ISM does not show obvious signs of disturbance by the central AGN (e.g., HE 1503+0228, Courbin et al. 2002), we consider the second explanation as most likely.

We propose that the ISM of both galaxies (host and G1) has been swept out during a recent close interaction and has had insufficient time to relax. Using the velocity dispersion in the group as an estimate of the relative velocity of the two interacting galaxies, we estimate that it would have taken  $\sim 3 \times 10^7$  years for the two galaxies to reach their present projected separation. This is much smaller than the typical relaxation time of a merger ( $\sim 10^9$  years, Wright 1990; Barnes 1989).

Now, we need to explain why, although quasars of similar luminosities (e.g., HE 1503+0228) seem unable to ionize the interstellar gas outside the central AGN region, the central engine of HE 1434–1600 is able to strongly excite and ionize the ISM as far as 5 to 6 kiloparsec. If the collision with galaxy G1 has been strong enough to remove the gas out of the host galaxy, even in the most central regions, nothing is left to absorb the ionizing radiation of the quasar which is then able to propagate freely to large distances, until it hits the gas clouds moving far away from the center and strongly ionizes them.

In our opinion, a recent collision with galaxy G1 is thus the key factor allowing one to explain both the peculiar motion of the gas and its high degree of ionization by the central quasar, even very far away from the source of radiation.

*Acknowledgements.* The authors would like to thank Françoise Combes and Raffaella Morganti for useful discussions and suggestions. The NASA Extragalactic Database (NED) has been used for this research. Géraldine Letawe is a teaching assistant supported by the University of Liège, (Belgium). Frédéric Courbin acknowledges financial support from the European Commission through Marie Curie grant MCFI-2001-0242. The Pôle d'Attraction Interuniversitaire, P5/36 (PPS Science Policy, Belgium) is also thanked.

## References

- Baldwin, J., Phillips, M., & Terlevich, R. 1981, *PASP*, 93, 5  
 Barnes, J. 1989, *Nature*, 338, 123  
 Barnes, J., & Hernquist, L. 1992, *ARA&A*, 30, 705  
 Binney, J., & Tremaine, S. 1987, *Galactic dynamics* (Princeton: Princeton Series in Astrophysics)  
 Blair, W., & Kirshner, R. 1985, *ApJ*, 289, 582  
 Blundell, K., Beasley, A., & Bicknell, G. 2003, *ApJ*, 591, L103  
 Blundell, K., & Rawlings, S. 2001, *ApJ*, 562, L5  
 Boroson, T., & Oke, J. 1984, *ApJ*, 281, 535  
 Boroson, T., Persson, S., & Oke, J. 1985, *ApJ*, 293, 120  
 Brocklehurst, M. 1971, *MNRAS*, 153, 471  
 Condon, J., Cotton, W., Greisen, E., et al. 1998, *AJ*, 115, 1693  
 Copin, Y., Cretton, N., & Emsellem, E. 2004, *A&A*, 415, 889  
 Courbin, F., Magain, P., Kirkove, M., & Sohy, S. 2000, *ApJ*, 529, 1136  
 Courbin, F., Letawe, G., Magain, P., et al. 2002, *A&A*, 394, 863  
 Dunlop, J. 2003, in *Carnegie Observatories Astrophysics Series, Vol. 1-Coevolution of Black Holes and Galaxies*, ed. L. C. Ho (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press), in press [arXiv:astro-ph/0304168]  
 Evans, I., Koratkar, A., Allen, M., Dopita, M., & Tsvetanov, Z. 1999, *ApJ*, 521, 531  
 Fioc, M., & Rocca-Volmerange, B. 1999, *A&A*, 351, 869  
 Fosbury, R., Tadhunter, C., Danziger, I., & Bland, J. 1984, *MNRAS*, 208, 955  
 Fukugita, M., Shimasaku, K., & Ichikawa, T. 1995, *PASP*, 107, 945  
 Heinämäki, P., Einasto, J., Einasto, M., et al. 2003, *A&A*, 397, 63  
 Jahnke, K. 2002, *Doctoral Dissertation*, Universität Hamburg  
 Jahnke, K., & Wisotzki, K. 2003, *MNRAS*, 346, 304  
 Jahnke, K., Kuhlbrodt, B., & Wisotzki, K. 2004, *MNRAS*, in press [arXiv:astro-ph/0311123]  
 Kaspi, S., Smith, P. S., Netzer, H., et al. 2000, *ApJ*, 533, 631  
 Kellermann, K., Sramek, R., Schmidt, D., Shaffer, D., & Green, R. 1989, *AJ*, 98, 1195  
 Kennicutt, R. 1992, *ApJ*, 388, 310  
 Knapp, G., Turner, E., & Cunniffe, P. 1979, *AJ*, 90, 3  
 Kollatschny, W. 2003, *A&A*, 412, L61  
 Krolik, J. H. 1999, *Active Galactic Nuclei* (Princeton: Princeton Series in Astrophysics)  
 Macchetto, F. D., Marconi, A., Axon, D. J., et al. 1997, *ApJ*, 489, 579  
 Macchetto, F. D. 2003, in *Active galactic nuclei: from central engine to host galaxy*, ed. S. Collin, F. Combes, & I. Shlosman, *ASP Conf. Ser.*, 290, 567  
 Magain, P., Courbin, F., & Sohy, S. 1998, *ApJ*, 494, 452  
 Marconi, A., Capetti, A., Axon, D. J., et al. 2001, *ApJ*, 549, 915  
 Marconi, A., Axon, D. J., Capetti, A., et al. 2003, *ApJ*, 586, 868  
 Martin, B. 1971, *Statistics for Physicists* (London: Academic Press Inc.)  
 Morganti, R., Oosterloo, T., & Tsvetanov, Z. 1998, *AJ*, 115, 915  
 Morganti, R., Oosterloo, T., Holt, J., et al. 2003, *ESO-Messenger*, 113, 67  
 Phillips, M., Jenkins, C., Dopita, M., Sadler, E., & Binette, L. 1986, *AJ*, 91, 5  
 Schlegel, D. J., Finkbeiner, D. P., & Davis, M. 1998, *ApJ*, 500, 525  
 Shields, G. 1990, *ARA&A*, 28, 525  
 Stockton, A., & MacKenty, J. 1987, *ApJ*, 316, 584  
 Stockton, A., MacKenty, J., Hu, E., & Klim, T. 2002, *ApJ*, 572, 735  
 Tadhunter, C., & Tsvetanov, Z. 1989, *Nature*, 341, 422  
 Veilleux, S., & Osterbrock, D. 1987, *ApJS*, 63, 295  
 Vernet, J., Fosbury, R., Villar-Martín, M., et al. 2001, *A&A*, 366, 7  
 Wilman, R., Johnstone, R., & Crawford, C. 2000, *MNRAS*, 317, 9  
 Wilson, A., & Tsvetanov, Z. 1994, *AJ*, 107, 1127  
 Wisotzki, L., Christlieb, N., Bade, N., et al. 2000, *A&A*, 358, 77  
 Wright, G., James, P., Joseph, R., & McLean, I. 1990, *Nature*, 344, 417  
 Young, L. 2002, *AJ*, 124, 788