

Absolute transition probabilities of Al I and Al II spectral lines and intensity ratios within multiplets^{*}

V. Vujnović¹, K. Blagoev², C. Fürböck³, T. Neger³, and H. Jäger³

¹ Institute of Physics of the University, PO Box 304, HR-10001 Zagreb, Croatia

² Institute of Solid State Physics, Bul. Tzarigradsko Chaussee 72, 1784 Sofia, Bulgaria

³ Institut für Experimentalphysik, Technische Universität Graz, Petersgasse 16, 8010 Graz, Austria

Received 29 September 1997 / Accepted 19 March 2002

Abstract. Relative intensity measurements of Al I and Al II spectral lines in the visible and ultraviolet spectral ranges are performed using a capacitively coupled high frequency double hollow electrode discharge. Branching ratios and intensity ratios within multiplets are determined. By using selected lifetimes absolute transition probabilities are calculated.

Key words. atomic data – lines: formation – methods: laboratory

1. Introduction

Spectral lines of neutral and singly ionized aluminum can be observed in numerous laboratory experiments and are also found in many stellar spectra. Although a number of studies were devoted to lifetimes of upper levels and oscillator strengths of aluminum lines, the availability of reliable data is far from being satisfactory.

In this work a stable source with non-thermal excitation was used for precise relative intensity measurements. We report on measurements of relative intensities of spectral lines of neutral and singly ionized aluminum. The obtained results were partly used to inspect relative intensities within multiplets, i.e. either to justify the ratios expected for *LS* coupling, or to reveal deviations from these theoretically expected ratios. In case of available lifetimes and branching ratios, measured as completely as possible, absolute transition probabilities were evaluated.

2. Experimental

2.1. The hollow cathode rf-discharge

As a light source a capacitively-coupled *rf*-plasma was produced in a cylindrical chamber, which contained two cylindrical aluminum electrodes. One of these electrodes was grounded, the other one was put at *rf*-high-voltage, which was produced by a high-frequency generator (Crespo Lopez-Urrutia et al. 1994). The discharge burned in direct

contact with the electrodes, therefore electrode material was sputtered off by ions and electrons. During the high-frequency period the electrodes act as hollow cathodes as well as hollow anodes, depending on their momentary polarity.

In the chosen frequency region the electrons are able to follow the changes of the electric field in phase. High sputtering rates are reached by their relatively high kinetic energy. On the other hand, the electron movement is a reason for a negative *dc*-voltage of the electrodes compared to the plasma. This negative self bias is a typical feature of *rf*-discharges. It is the reason for an almost steady bombardment of the electrode surfaces with gas ions produced in the plasma.

Since the ions reach energies of several hundreds of eV during their acceleration in the negative bias region, a sufficient sputtering rate of aluminum atoms out of the electrode surface can be achieved. These atoms are ionized by collisions with electrons or charge exchange processes. Compared with *dc*-discharges, significantly higher excitation stages are within reach in case of *rf*-conditions, because the high voltage peak values do not have to be maintained constantly. Moreover, the thermal stress of the electrodes is reduced by a very homogeneous current distribution over the electrode surface, a low operating pressure with an increased current density characterizes this discharge type.

The *rf*-generator was a home-made construction, which favours the choice of optimal electrical parameters (Crespo Lopez-Urrutia et al. 1994). It is tunable in the region from 11 to 28 MHz and operated in the C-mode with a BBC ITK 12-1 water-cooled power triode. Although the rated output *cw*-power was 20 kW, only an input power

Send offprint requests to: T. Neger,
e-mail: t.neger@iep.tu-graz.ac.at

* The tables are also available in electronic form at the CDS via anonymous ftp to [cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr](ftp://cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr) (135.79.128.5) or via <http://cdsweb.u-strasbg.fr/cgi-bin/qcat?J/A+A/388/704>

of 1.5 kW was applied in case of the capacitively coupled plasma, since a resonance transformer had to be used in order to produce a sufficiently high voltage. The generator was connected to a *rf*-matching box, a 50 Ω coaxial line led to the discharge chamber. This line consisted of two copper tubes (outer diameter 54 mm, inner diameter 18 mm) with teflon spacers fixing them at a distance.

The discharge vessel is mounted to the matching box, which contains the resonance transformer. To prevent undesirable sparks, this box was filled with SF₆ at a pressure of approx. 1.5 bar. With a variable vacuum capacitor the matching box was tuned to provide the desired power rate for the discharge. The length of the hollow electrodes was 20–40 mm, the holes in the electrodes had a diameter of 3.5 mm.

The discharge was operated at pressures between 2 and 20 mbar in a power range between 0.7 and 1.3 kW. It burned stably for several hours, which allowed lengthy detection scans. Helium was used as a buffer gas with a continuous flow rate of a few liters per hour. This flow favoured the reduction of dirt deposition on the quartz windows at the discharge chamber being used for end-on observation. The power deposition into the discharge was monitored calorimetrically.

2.2. The detection of the optical radiation

By use of two concave surface mirrors the plasma was imaged on the entrance slit of a McPherson monochromator (type 209, 1.33 m focal length). This monochromator was equipped with a Czerny-Turner mounting and a holographic grating (2400 lines/mm). So it was possible to resolve a *FWHM* down to 0.06 Å in first order, a spectral range from 1900 to 6500 Å could be covered. The widths of the entrance and exit slits were adjusted for optimal resolution. The height of the entrance slit was restricted to 2 mm to ensure an axial detection of the plasma.

The radiation was recorded using a fast photomultiplier (EMI 9558 QB) being connected to a photon counting system (Stanford Research SR 400). This arrangement offered a high dynamic range together with a very high signal/noise ratio. The spectral sensitivity was calibrated using an Argon Mini Arc of the National Institute of Standards and Technology for wavelengths between 1900 and 4000 Å, at longer wavelengths a tungsten strip lamp was used. Since the maximum photon-counting rate was about 5 MHz, deviations from linear response caused by dead-time and pile-up effects in the multiplier could be reliably avoided.

By use of a personal computer the photon counter and the step motor for the wavelength scan could be controlled simultaneously via an RS-232 interface. This arrangement provided a full-automatic data acquisition.

The used ultraviolet and visible spectral ranges are well suited for the observation of the spectrum of neutral aluminum, since – owing to the low ionization energy – it shows no spectral lines with wavelengths shorter than

2000 Å. For both neutral and singly ionized aluminum, an extension of the observation region towards the infrared, however, would increase the number of completely measurable branching fractions.

3. Lifetimes

We made a review of the published lifetimes and oscillator strengths. In the case of neutral aluminum, the lifetimes were measured by the Hanle effect, hook, beam foil, phase shift and LIF techniques, and calculated by several authors. Many measurements were undertaken of 4s and 3d lifetimes, and s, p, d level series were experimentally covered in a wide range: *ns* for $n = 4–10$ (Jönsson & Lundberg 1983; Buurman et al. 1986), *np* for $n = 4–12$ (Buurman et al. 1986; Jönsson et al. 1984), *nd* for $n = 3–13$ (Jönsson & Lundberg 1983; Buurman et al. 1986; Davidson et al. 1990). For the *nf* levels only theoretical values exist (Theodosiou 1992).

In the framework of the Opacity Project (OP) (Mendoza et al. 1995) a large number of transition probabilities of allowed transitions and radiative lifetimes of Al I excited levels were calculated. These values agree well with experimental data. There is a difference for (3d, 4d) excited states with theoretical data of C. E. Theodosiou (Theodosiou 1992), since in the latter paper the electron configuration interaction effect (CI) had not been taken into account. This effect originates from the influence of all *nd* series by the 3s3p² ²D level, but mainly of the low lying 3d, 4d levels. Theoretical works must also be mentioned in which, employing different approaches, oscillator strengths of 3s²3p ²P–3s²3d ²D; 3s²4s ²S and 3s²4s ³S–3s²4p ²P were calculated (Lavin et al. 1997; Ozdemir & Karal 1997). In CI approximation, oscillator strengths of 11 transitions were obtained (Marcinek & Migdalek 1993), including 3s²3p ²P–(3s3d, 3s3p²) ²D transitions.

Although the Al I spectrum is rich in spectral lines originating from the complex part of the term diagram, only the lifetimes of the levels 3s3p² ²P_{1/2,3/2}, which are also liable to autoionization, were measured (Lombardi et al. 1981).

Experimental data for radiative lifetimes of singly ionized aluminum are scarce in literature. They were calculated for the *nf* F^o levels ($n = 4–7$) in the simple part of the energy diagram, and for the 3p3dF level in the complex part (Chang & Wang 1987). For the same F levels lifetimes were measured by the beam-foil technique (Andersen et al. 1971), unfortunately with low precision.

In CI approximation the radiative lifetimes of a large number of excited levels of Al II were calculated (Chang & Fang 1995), namely 3sns ^{1,3}S ($n = 4–6$); 3snp ^{1,3}P; 3snd ^{1,3}D; 3p²1S, 3p²1D levels. The main part of the papers, however, are dedicated to radiative lifetimes of singlet and triplet 3p ^{1,3}P levels. Radiative lifetime of the 3p ³P₁^o level was measured in a storage ring (Trabert et al. 1999) and in a ion trap experiment (Johnson et al. 1986). The obtained data are in excellent agreement (within a 1% limit).

Table 1. Lifetimes (τ) of neutral and ionized aluminum. If more than one source is cited, an average of the lifetimes was taken.

Al I			Al II		
Level des.	τ/ns	Source	Level des.	τ/ns	Source
$3s^2 4s \ ^4S_{1/2}$	6.85(6)	Buurman et al. (1986) Klose (1997)	$3p \ ^1P_1^o$	0.690(13)	Kernahan et al. (1979) Smith (1970)
$3s^2 5s \ ^4S_{1/2}$	19.8(5)	Buurman et al. (1986)			Berry et al. (1970)
$3s^2 4p \ ^2P_{1/2}^o$	60.5(9)	Buurmann & Dönszelmann (1990)			Head et al. (1976)
$3s^2 4p \ ^2P_{3/2}^o$	65(2)	Buurmann & Dönszelmann (1990)	$3p \ ^3P_1^o$	$302(2) \times 10^3$	Trabert et al. (1999)
$3s^2 5p \ ^2P_{1/2,3/2}^o$	275(8)	Buurman et al. (1986)			Johnson et al. (1986)
$3s^2 3d \ ^2D_{3/2,5/2}$	14.0(2)	Buurman et al. (1986)	$4f \ ^3F^o$,	6.4(5)	Andersen et al. (1971)
$3s^2 4d \ ^2D_{3/2,5/2}$	29.5(7)	Buurman et al. (1986)	$5f \ ^3F^o$	14(2)	Andersen et al. (1971)
$3s^2 5d \ ^2D_{3/2,5/2}$	13.2(3)	Davidson et al. (1990)	$6f \ ^3F^o$	15(1)	Andersen et al. (1971)
$3s^2 6d \ ^2D_{3/2,5/2}$	14.0(2)	Davidson et al. (1990)	$7f \ ^3F^o$	5.0(5)	Andersen et al. (1971)
			$3d \ ^3F_{2,3,4}^o$	3.5(3)	Andersen et al. (1971)

The average experimental data are presented in Table 1. There are four experimental works in which, by use of the beam – foil method, the radiative lifetime of the singlet $3p \ ^1P_1^o$ level was measured (Kernahan et al. 1979; Smith 1970; Berry et al. 1970; Head et al. 1976). The agreement of experimental data is within a 2% limit and the average experimental value is also presented in Table 1. Radiative lifetimes of singlet and triplet $3p \ ^1,^3P$ levels are calculated in a number of theoretical papers (Jonsson & Froese-Fisher 1997; Zon & Froese-Fisher 2001; Stanek et al. 1996; Chang & Fang 1995; Chou et al. 1973; Das & Idrees 1990; Huang & Johanson 1985; Cowan et al. 1982; Chang & Wang 1987; Hibbert & Keenan 1987; Laughlin & Victor 1979) some of them published recently. The agreement of theoretical data for the singlet $3p \ ^1P_1^o$ level is very good (within a 3% limit) and there is also good agreement between experimental and theoretical data for this level. The theoretical results for the triplet $3p \ ^3P_1^o$ level agree within an 18% limit (except for data of Das & Idrees (1990), where the value is 3 times smaller and probably due to a misprint) and the agreement with average experimental value is within a 5% limit. The theoretical calculations in the framework of Opacity Project also concern radiative constants of the Al II spectrum (Butler et al. 1993). The agreement of these data with experimental values is within 15% except for the $3p^2 \ ^1D$ level. The radiative constants of excited Al I and Al II levels are included in the review of Fuhr & Wiese (1996), where a critical compilation was made.

Singly ionized aluminum has prominent transitions between displaced and normal levels – as in the case for the neutral atom – and there is a need for experimental data. Employing the beam-foil method in the work of Baudinet-Robinet et al. (1979) along with resonance $3p \ ^1P_1$ and $3d \ ^3D$ excited states, the $3p^2 \ ^3P_{1,2}$ states were measured using the $3p^2 \ ^3P_{1,2} - 3p \ ^3P_1^o$ transition. The obtained data, however, are larger than results of other authors (Berry et al. 1970; Smith 1970). With respect to our branching ratio measurements an experimentally determined lifetime of the $3p^2 \ ^1D_2$ level would be of special importance.

We have selected the lifetimes (Table 1) of the upper levels of transitions which we measured. As criteria

the reliability of the experimental method (laser induced fluorescence preferred), the consideration of the experimental conditions, the agreement between different authors' results, and the estimated uncertainties have been applied. For theoretical results a coincidence of the oscillator strengths and the corresponding lifetimes, obtained in the length and velocity formalism, may eventually serve as a sign of quality.

We obtained the lifetime of the Al I 4s level as an average of the values obtained by Klose (1997) and Buurman et al. (1986). In the same laboratory Buurmann & Dönszelmann (1990) found deviations from the LS expected equality of the lifetimes of the fine structure levels $^2P_{1/2}$, $^2P_{3/2}$. The lifetime of 3d equal to 14.0(2) ns was taken over from Buurman et al. (1986). It should be mentioned that the average of seven measurements (Jönsson & Lundberg 1983; Buurman et al. 1986; Andersen et al. 1969; Cunningham 1968; Smith & Liszt 1971; Marek & Richter 1973; Hannaford & Lowe 1981) is equal to 13.9 ns. This confirms the reliability of the 3d lifetime within the stated accuracy.

4. Discussion

Table 2 contains the findings of intensity ratios and of transition probabilities of the simple Al I spectrum. The resonance doublet at $\lambda = 3944$ and 3962 \AA is an example that demonstrates our procedure. The relative error of the transition probability is given as the sum of the relative errors of the ratio and of the lifetime. Taking the theoretical ratio, the transition probability would equal the error of the lifetime measurement (1%).

The level 5s has two decay channels, $5s - 3p$ and $5s - 4p$. Buurman et al. (1986) measured their branching ratios, without resolving the fine structure, with an error of 10%. For $5s - 4p$ transitions we evaluated the transition probabilities assuming theoretical intensity ratios of the component lines.

The branching ratios of $4p - 4s$, $4p - 3d$ were measured indirectly by (Buurmann & Dönszelmann 1990). They found 2–3% of de-excitation going into the 3d term. Accounting for this loss we obtained transition probabilities for the doublet $4p - 4s$ from respective lifetimes.

Table 2. Al I-intensity ratios within multiplets, and transition probabilities for the simple part of the Grotrian diagram. According to Kaufman and Martin (Kaufman & Martin 1991) the level $3s^2 4d \ ^2D$ is designated as yD , and all higher d levels acquire numbers for one less than previously (5d goes to 4d, and so on).

Upper level	Lower level	$\lambda/\text{\AA}$	Intensity ratio			Branching ratio		this work		$A_{ki}/10^8 \text{ s}^{-1}$	
			measured		LS				other authors		
$3s^2 4s \ ^2S_{1/2}$	$3p \ ^2P_{1/2}^o$	3944.006	0.48	9%	0.5	0.325	9%	0.47	10%	0.493	Wiese & Martin (1980)
	$3p \ ^2P_{3/2}^o$	3961.520	1	5%	1.0	0.675	5%	0.99	6%	0.98	Wiese & Martin (1980)
$3s^2 5s \ ^2S_{1/2}$	$3p \ ^2P_{1/2}^o$	2652.484	0.50	2%	0.5			0.142	12%	0.133	Wiese & Martin (1980)
	$3p \ ^2P_{3/2}^o$	2660.393	1	1%	1.0			0.284	11%	0.264	Wiese & Martin (1980)
	$4p \ ^2P_{1/2}^o$	21093.04			0.5			0.030	>30%		
	$4p \ ^2P_{3/2}^o$	21163.75			1.0			0.060	>30%		
$3s^2 4p \ ^2P_{1/2}^o$	$4s \ ^2S_{1/2}$	13150.76			0.5			0.160	3%	0.169	Buurman et al. (1986)
$3s^2 4p \ ^2P_{3/2}^o$	$4s \ ^2S_{1/2}$	13123.41			1.0			0.150	5%	0.169	Buurman et al. (1986)
$3s^2 3d \ ^2D_{3/2}$	$3p \ ^2P_{1/2}^o$	3082.153	0.53	10%	0.56	0.83	10%	0.59	12%	0.63	Wiese & Martin (1980)
	$3p \ ^2P_{3/2}^o$	3092.839	≈ 0		0.11	0.17		(0.12)			
$3s^2 3d \ ^2D_{5/2}$	$3p \ ^2P_{3/2}^o$	3092.710	1	5%	1	$\equiv 1.00$		0.71	2%	0.74	Wiese & Martin (1980)
	$y \ ^2D_{3/2}$	$3p \ ^2P_{1/2}^o$	2567.984	0.51	9%	0.56		<0.28		0.23	Wiese & Martin (1980)
										0.192	Davidson et al. (1990)
	$3p \ ^2P_{3/2}^o$	2575.393	0.12	25%	0.11			<0.05		0.044	Wiese & Martin (1980)
										0.038	Davidson et al. (1990)
$y \ ^2D_{5/2}$	$3p \ ^2P_{3/2}^o$	2575.094	1	6%	1			<0.34		0.28	Wiese & Martin (1980)
										0.230	Davidson et al. (1990)
$3s^2 5d \ ^2D_{3/2}$	$3p \ ^2P_{1/2}^o$	2367.025	0.75	8%	0.56			<0.63		0.72	Wiese & Martin (1980)
										0.526	Davidson et al. (1990)
(4d)	$3p \ ^2P_{3/2}^o$	2373.349	0.13	37%	0.11			<0.13		0.14	Wiese & Martin (1980)
										0.105	Davidson et al. (1990)
$3s^2 5d \ ^2D_{5/2}$	$3p \ ^2P_{3/2}^o$	2373.124	1	7%	1.00			<0.76		0.86	Wiese & Martin (1980)
										0.631	Davidson et al. (1990)
$3s^2 6d \ ^2D_{3/2}$	$3p \ ^2P_{1/2}^o$	2263.462	0.50	14%	0.56			<0.59		0.66	Wiese & Martin (1980)
										0.576	Davidson et al. (1990)
	$3p \ ^2P_{3/2}^o$	2269.220	≈ 0		0.11			<0.12		0.13	Wiese & Martin (1980)
										0.115	Davidson et al. (1990)
$3s^2 6d \ ^2D_{5/2}$	$3p \ ^2P_{3/2}^o$	2269.096	1	7%	1.00			<0.71		0.79	Wiese & Martin (1980)
										0.691	Davidson et al. (1990)

Among the triplet $3d-3p$ we could observe only the principal lines 3082.153 and 3092.710 Å; the transition probability of the line 3092.839 Å was then obtained following the LS intensity ratio. Since the transition 3092.710 Å is a single decay channel from the level $3d \ ^2D_{5/2}$, its transition probability is by definition equal to the reciprocal value of the lifetime and has got the same error of 2%.

The intensity ratios within multiplets of the simple part of the Grotrian diagram closely follow LS coupling ratios.

For some other $np-ms$, $np-md$, $nd-mp$ ($n > m$) transitions, theoretical multiplet oscillator strengths were published in Buurman et al. (1986); Weiss (1974); Taylor et al. (1988); Trefftz (1988), and experimental ones in Davidson et al. (1990).

The observed multiplets (septets) in the complex part of the Al I spectrum (Table 3) have, in principle, components of very different intensities, therefore the accuracy is strongly dependent on the intensity.

Two of the multiplets show a severe decrease of the relative intensity for transitions having upper levels $4p \ ^2P_{1/2,3/2}^o$. The decrease is caused by autoionization, since these upper levels have the same orbital and inner quantum numbers and parity as the continuum $\epsilon p \ ^2P_{1/2,3/2}^o$. A weakening of the transitions $4s4p \ ^4P_{1/2,3/2}^o-3p^2 \ ^4P$ was observed by Eriksson & Isberg (1962). The decrease in intensity of autoionizing components is not so obvious in the case of the multiplet $3d \ ^4P^o-3p^2 \ ^4P$ due to generally lower intensities of the transition from this higher term. In case of this multiplet only components not affected by autoionization obey the LS coupling ratio. A property of all three multiplets is the absence of other transitions from their upper terms. By accounting for autoionization, branching ratios would immediately follow from the intensity ratios.

A very interesting deviation from the LS coupling ratios is found in the multiplet $3d \ ^4D^o-3p^2 \ ^4P$. The component $3d \ ^4D_{7/2}^o-3p^2 \ ^4P_{5/2}$, which should be the strongest, has half of the regular intensity, while all other components closely follow the LS coupling model. The exceptional component is blended by a component of another

Table 3. Al I-intensity ratios within multiplets for the complex part of the Grotrian diagram.

Upper level	Lower level	$\lambda/\text{\AA}$	Intensity ratio		
			measured	LS	
3s3p4s $^4P_{1/2}^{\circ}$	$3p^2\ ^4P_{1/2}$	3059.924	0.01	67%	0.08
	$3p^2\ ^4P_{3/2}$	3064.290	0.14	43%	0.40
3s3p4s $^4P_{3/2}^{\circ}$	$3p^2\ ^4P_{1/2}$	3054.679	0.02	53%	0.04
	$3p^2\ ^4P_{3/2}$	3059.029	0.01	40%	0.13
	$3p^2\ ^4P_{5/2}$	3066.145	0.14	24%	0.43
3s3p4s $^4P_{5/2}^{\circ}$	$3p^2\ ^4P_{3/2}$	3050.073	0.41	11%	0.43
	$3p^2\ ^4P_{5/2}$	3057.144	1	25%	1.00
3s3p3d $^4P_{1/2}^{\circ}$	$3p^2\ ^4P_{1/2}$	2311.035	0.11	21%	0.08
	$3p^2\ ^4P_{3/2}$	2313.526	0.34	19%	0.40
3s3p3d $^4P_{3/2}^{\circ}$	$3p^2\ ^4P_{1/2}$	2312.491	0.22	23%	0.40
	$3p^2\ ^4P_{3/2}$	2314.983	0.09	36%	0.13
	$3p^2\ ^4P_{5/2}$	2319.057	0.25	21%	0.43
3s3p3d $^4P_{5/2}^{\circ}$	$3p^2\ ^4P_{3/2}$	2317.482	0.35	21%	0.43
	$3p^2\ ^4P_{5/2}$	2321.562	1	16%	1.00
3s3p3d $^4D_{1/2}^{\circ}$	$3p^2\ ^4P_{1/2}$	2368.112	0.20	24%	0.21
	$3p^2\ ^4P_{3/2}$	2370.726	0.05	39%	0.04
3s3p3d $^4D_{3/2}^{\circ}$	$3p^2\ ^4P_{1/2}$	2367.611	0.23	35%	0.21
	$3p^2\ ^4P_{3/2}$	2370.225	0.27	30%	0.27
	$3p^2\ ^4P_{5/2}$	2374.496	0.03	44%	0.03
3s3p3d $^4D_{5/2}^{\circ}$	$3p^2\ ^4P_{3/2}$	2369.304	0.57	15%	0.52
	$3p^2\ ^4P_{5/2}$	2373.571	0.22	30%	0.23
3s3p3d $^4D_{7/2}^{\circ}$	$3p^2\ ^4P_{5/2}$	2372.070	0.50	17%	1.00

multiplet with much lower intensities, and the quoted intensity needed only a slight correction.

Considering all multiplets of the observed ionized aluminum (Table 4), the LS coupling ratios are confirmed within the error brackets. Only principal multiplet lines ($\Delta J = 1$) have been observed.

In Table 5 we present Al II-branching ratios and transition probabilities obtained in this work. A special precaution was paid to the decay of the level $3p^2\ ^1D_2$. Tayal & Hibbert (1984) calculated, because of severe cancelations, a very small oscillator strength for the line 3900.68 Å. The length form gives 7×10^{-5} , the velocity form 2×10^{-5} . We tentatively took the length form with the corresponding transition probability equal to $1.84 \times 10^4\ \text{s}^{-1}$. Then, from our branching ratios, the lifetime could be estimated to be $43\ \mu\text{s}$ (we cannot assign an error bracket due to an inherent uncertainty of the theoretical value; OP gives $7.47\ \mu\text{s}$, Butler et al. 1993). Four other theoretical treatments (Weiss 1967; Zare 1967; Victor et al. 1976; Froese-Fischer & Godefroid 1982) gave very disparate values of the same oscillator strength.

The OP data for this level are uncertain (Butler et al. 1993). The strong mixing of $3p^2$ and $3s3d$ levels is the reason that the designation was not possible. This confirms the conclusion of Tayal & Hibbert (1984).

For settling the query and to shed more light on the theoretical treatment, the measurement of the lifetime of the $3p^2\ ^1D_2$ level would be very important.

Table 4. Al II-intensity ratios within multiplets.

Upper level	Lower level	$\lambda/\text{\AA}$	Intensity ratio		
			measured	LS	
$3p^2\ ^1D_2$	$3p\ ^1P_1^{\circ}$	3900.675	8.04		
	$3p\ ^3P_1^{\circ}$	2081.481	0.68	9%	0.6
	$3p\ ^3P_2^{\circ}$	2086.864	1	5%	1.0
$3d'\ ^3F_2^{\circ}$	$3d\ ^3D_1$	2195.502	0.42	9%	0.47
$3d'\ ^3F_3^{\circ}$	$3d\ ^3D_2$	2194.245	0.65	11%	0.69
$3d'\ ^3F_4^{\circ}$	$3d\ ^3D_3$	2192.604	1	8%	1.00
$3d'\ ^3F_2^{\circ}$	$4d\ ^3D_1$	5100.34	0.44	19%	0.47
$3d'\ ^3F_3^{\circ}$	$4d\ ^3D_2$	5093.65	0.63	13%	0.69
$3d'\ ^3F_4^{\circ}$	$4d\ ^3D_3$	5085.02	1	8%	1.00
$4f\ ^3F_2^{\circ}$	$3d\ ^3D_1$	3587.450	0.46	13%	0.47
$4f\ ^3F_3^{\circ}$	$3d\ ^3D_2$	3587.068	0.71	10%	0.69
$4f\ ^3F_4^{\circ}$	$3d\ ^3D_3$	3586.557	1	4%	1.00
$5f\ ^3F_2^{\circ}$	$3d\ ^3D_1$	2638.690	0.51	12%	0.47
$5f\ ^3F_3^{\circ}$	$3d\ ^3D_2$	2638.155	0.60	18%	0.69
$5f\ ^3F_4^{\circ}$	$3d\ ^3D_3$	2637.689	1	8%	1.00
$6f\ ^3F_2^{\circ}$	$3d\ ^3D_1$	2326.406	0.43	24%	0.47
$6f\ ^3F_3^{\circ}$	$3d\ ^3D_2$	2325.494	0.68	16%	0.69
$6f\ ^3F_4^{\circ}$	$3d\ ^3D_3$	2324.199	1	13%	1.00
$6f\ ^3F_2^{\circ}$	$4d\ ^3D_1$	5867.81	0.48	39%	0.47
$6f\ ^3F_3^{\circ}$	$4d\ ^3D_2$	5861.53	0.62	39%	0.69
$6f\ ^3F_4^{\circ}$	$4d\ ^3D_3$	5853.62	1	26%	1.00
$7f\ ^3F_2^{\circ}$	$3d\ ^3D_1$	2095.140	0.48	19%	0.47
$7f\ ^3F_3^{\circ}$	$3d\ ^3D_2$	2094.790	0.67	13%	0.69
$7f\ ^3F_4^{\circ}$	$3d\ ^3D_3$	2094.264	1	10%	1.00

The decay of the $3p3d\ ^3F^{\circ}$ ($3d'\ ^3F^{\circ}$) term has several channels into four lower terms $nd\ ^3D$ ($n = 3-6$). Therefore only a tentative upper limit to transition probabilities could be set for the measured spectral lines. We compared the maximum possible experimental value with the theory of Chang & Wang (1987). The lifetimes obtained by the beam foil method suffer from statistical errors larger than 10%.

Wiese & Martin (1980) have quoted transition probabilities of ionized aluminum of only two spectral lines in the range from 2000–6000 Å, and no line of our Table 5 is on their list.

$4f\ ^3F$ sublevels can depopulate to $3d$ and $4d\ ^3D$ sublevels, but transitions to $n = 4$ are further in the infrared region and likely very weak. It is interesting that there was also a very weak transition from $4f\ ^3F_3^{\circ}$ to $3p^2\ ^1D_2$, but on the verge of perception. The line 3586.557 Å is the only transition from the $4f\ ^3F_4^{\circ}$ sublevel and has no error assigned.

Terms $5f\ ^3F^{\circ}$, $6f\ ^3F^{\circ}$, $7f\ ^3F^{\circ}$ can depopulate to several lower terms and we can give only an estimate of the upper limit of transition probabilities of some lines. A comparison of these upper estimates with theoretically derived

Table 5. Al II-branching ratios and transition probabilities (A_{ki}). The value for the transition probability of the Al II 3900.675 Å line was taken over from the work of Tayal & Hibbert (1984) (for procedure see discussion in text).

Upper level	Lower level	$\lambda/\text{Å}$	Branching ratio		this work		$A_{ki}/10^8 \text{ s}^{-1}$	
							other authors	
3p ² ¹ D ₂	3p ¹ P ₁ ^o	3900.675	0.79	13%	1.84 × 10 ⁻⁴			
	3p ³ P ₁ ^o	2081.481	0.08	48%	0.19 × 10 ⁻⁴			
	3p ³ P ₂ ^o	2086.864	0.13	42%	0.30 × 10 ⁻⁴			
3d ³ F ₂ ^o	3d ³ D ₁	2195.502	0.70	13%	<2		2.14	Chang & Wang (1987)
	4d ³ D ₁	5100.34	0.30	31%	<0.85		0.012	Chang & Wang (1987)
3d ³ F ₃ ^o	3d ³ D ₂	2194.245	0.76	13%	<2.2		2.25	Chang & Wang (1987)
	4d ³ D ₂	5093.65	0.24	40%	<0.7		0.013	Chang & Wang (1987)
3d ³ F ₄ ^o	3d ³ D ₃	2192.604	0.72	10%	<2.1		2.54	Chang & Wang (1987)
	4d ³ D ₃	5085.02	0.28	26%	<0.8		0.015	Chang & Wang (1987)
4f ³ F ₂ ^o	3d ³ D ₁	3587.450	0.98	3%	<1.55	>11%	1.98	Chang & Wang (1987)
	3d ³ D ₂	3587.3	0.02	100%				
	3d ³ D ₃	3587.1	≈0					
4f ³ F ₃ ^o	3d ³ D ₂	3587.068	0.96	5%	<1.55	>13%	2.07	Chang & Wang (1987)
	3d ³ D ₃	3587.9	0.04	100%				
	3p ² ¹ D ₂	2635.020	≈0					
4f ³ F ₄ ^o	3d ³ D ₃	3586.557			<1.55		2.33	Chang & Wang (1987)
5f ³ F ₂ ^o	3d ³ D ₁	2638.690	≈1.00		<0.7		0.25	Chang & Wang (1987)
5f ³ F ₃ ^o	3d ³ D ₂	2638.255	≈1.00		<0.7			
5f ³ F ₄ ^o	3d ³ D ₃	2637.689	≈1.00		<0.7		0.29	Chang & Wang (1987)
6f ³ F ₂ ^o	3d ³ D ₁	2326.496	0.18	26%	<0.12		0.31	Chang & Wang (1987)
	4d ³ D ₁	5867.81	0.82	6%	<0.54		0.10	Chang & Wang (1987)
6f ³ F ₃ ^o	3d ³ D ₂	2325.494	0.32	15%	<0.21		0.33	Chang & Wang (1987)
	4d ³ D ₂	5861.53	0.68	7%	<0.45		0.11	Chang & Wang (1987)
6f ³ F ₄ ^o	3d ³ D ₃	2324.199	0.41	20%	<0.27		0.37	Chang & Wang (1987)
	4d ³ D ₃	5853.62	0.59	20%	<0.39		0.12	Chang & Wang (1987)
7f ³ F ₂ ^o	3d ³ D ₁	2095.140	0.97	7%	<2		1.47	Chang & Wang (1987)
	4d ³ D ₁	4589.742	0.03	100%				
7f ³ F ₃ ^o	3d ³ D ₂	2094.790	0.98	4%	<2		1.57	Chang & Wang (1987)
	4d ³ D ₂	4588.191	0.02	100%				
7f ³ F ₄ ^o	3d ³ D ₃	2094.264	0.98	3%	<2		1.74	Chang & Wang (1987)
	4d ³ D ₃	4585.817	0.02	100%				

transition probabilities (Chang & Wang 1987) shows, in some cases, the inadequacy of the calculated values. It should be noted that we found the depopulation branches 6f ³F₂^o–3d ³D less intense than branches to the higher term 6f ³F₄^o–4d ³D, in contrast to the calculations of Chang & Wang (1987).

For convenience we added, in Table 6, transition probabilities for some prominent lines which we derived from selected literature data. The lifetime of the triplet metastable level 3p ³P₁^o has an accuracy within 1% as is shown by agreeing experimental results (Table 1). As it is mentioned above, the lifetime of the singlet resonant level 3p ¹P₁^o presented in Table 1 is the average value of the experimental data with an accuracy within 2%.

In a similar way we noted the agreement between different theoretical approaches resulting in the transition probabilities of the Al II spectral lines 2816.185 Å (4s ¹S₀–3p ¹P₁^o), 1990.531 Å (3d ¹D₂–3p ¹P₁^o) and 4663.056 Å (4p ¹P₁^o–3p² ¹D₂).

5. Conclusion

In most cases we approved *LS* intensity ratios. Known lifetimes gave us the possibility to evaluate absolute transition probabilities, either by using the branching ratios we measured, or using those found in the literature. We mostly justified *LS* ratios with some error and are tempted to consider intensity ratios within multiplets equal to the theoretical ones. Accounting for the inevitable

Table 6. Al II-transition probabilities (A_{ki}) calculated using results of other authors. If more than one source is cited, an average of the transition probabilities was taken.

Upper level	Lower level	$\lambda/\text{\AA}$	$A_{ki}/10^8 \text{ s}^{-1}$		
3p $^3P_1^o$	3s ² 1S_o	2669.157	3.3×10^{-5}	1%	Trabert et al. (1999)
3p $^1P_1^o$	3s ² 1S_o	1670.787	14.5		Johnson et al. (1986) Kernahan et al. (1979) Smith (1970) Berry et al. (1970) Head et al. (1976)
4s 1S_o	3p $^1P_1^o$	2816.185	3.80	5%	Tayal & Hibbert (1984) Weiss (1967)
3d 1D_2	3p $^1P_1^o$	1990.531	13.8	10%	Tayal & Hibbert (1984) Weiss (1967) Zare (1967) Froese-Fischer & Godefroid (1982)
4p $^1P_1^o$	3p ² 1D_2	4663.056	0.575	5%	Tayal & Hibbert (1984) Zare (1967) Victor et al. (1976) Chang & Wang (1987)

experimental error of intensity ratios, and adding it to the error of lifetimes, we likely overestimate the error of the transition probabilities. The question is, can we deliberately consider the intensity ratios equal to the theoretical ones? We found some deviations from LS ratios, sometimes caused by autoionization. Puzzling deviation is found in neutral aluminum for the component $3s3p \ ^4D_{7/2}^o - 3p^2 \ ^4P_{5/2}$, which instead of being the most intense, acquires only half of its regular relative intensity.

Our study showed the importance of further lifetime measurements. Transitions arising from the complex part of the neutral aluminum term diagram are prominent and well resolved, and could serve for diagnostic purposes, but lifetimes of $3s3p4s \ ^4P^o$, $3s3p3d \ ^4P^o$ and $3s3p3d \ ^4D^o$ are missing. Only theoretical OP data exist (Mendoza et al. 1995), but not for all of these states (for example $3s3p3d \ ^4D^o$). For ionized aluminum, especially because of the problems associated with the cancelation of integrals during the calculations, the experimental lifetime of $3p^2 \ ^1D_2$ is urgently needed.

References

- Andersen, T., Jensen, K. A., & Sørensen, G. 1969, *J. Opt. Soc. Am.*, 59, 1197
- Andersen, T., Roberts, J. R., & Sørensen, G. 1971, *Phys. Scri.*, 4, 52
- Baudinet-Robinet, Y., Dumont, R. D., Garnir, H. P., Biemont, E., & Grevesse, N. 1979, *J. Phys.*, C1, S2, 40, 127
- Berry, H. G., Bromander, J., & Buchta, R. 1970, *Phys. Scrip.*, 1, 181
- Butler, K., Mendoza, C., & Zeippen, C. 1993, *J. Phys. B*, 26, 4409
- Buurman, E., Dönszelmann, A., Hansen, J. E., & Snoek, C. 1986, *A&A*, 164, 224
- Buurmann, E., & Dönszelmann, A. 1990, *A&A*, 227, 289
- Chang, T. N., & Fang, T. K. 1995, *Phys. Rev. A*, 52, 2638
- Chang, T. N., & Wang, R. 1987, *Phys. Rev. A*, 36, 3535
- Chou, H. S., Chi, H. C., & Huang, K. N. 1973, *J. Phys. B*, 26, 4079
- Cowan, R. D., Hobbs, L. M., & York, D. G. 1982, *ApJ*, 257, 373
- Crespo Lopez-Urrutia, J. R., Neger, T., & Jäger, H. 1994, *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.*, 27, 994
- Cunningham, P. T. 1968, *J. Opt. Soc. Am.*, 58, 1507
- Das, B. P., & Idrees, M. I. 1990, *Phys. Rev. A*, 42, 6900
- Davidson, M. D., Volten, H., & Dönszelmann, A. 1990, *A&A*, 238, 452
- Eriksson, K. B., & Isberg, B. S. 1962, *Arkiv för Fysik*, 23, 527
- Froese-Fischer, C., & Godefroid, M. 1982, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth.*, 202, 307
- Fuhr, J. R., & Wiese, W. L. 1996, in *CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics 77th ed.*, Ch. 10, ed. D. Lide, & H. Frederikse (Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press Inc.), 128
- Hannaford, P., & Lowe, R. H. 1981, *J. Phys. B*, 14, L5
- Head, M. E. M., Head, C. E., & Lawrence, J. N. 1976, in *Atomic Structure and Lifetimes*, ed. F. A. Sellin, & D. J. Pegg (NY: Plenum), 147
- Hibbert, A., & Keenan, F. P. 1987, *J. Phys. B*, 20, 4693
- Huang, K., & Johanson, W. R. 1985, *NIM B*, 9, 509
- Johnson, B. C., Smith, P. L., & Parkinson, W. H. 1986, *ApJ*, 308, 1013
- Jönsson, G., Kröll, S., Lundberg, H., & Svanberg, S. 1984, *Z. Phys. A*, 316, 259
- Jönsson, G., & Lundberg, H. 1983, *Z. Phys. A*, 313, 151
- Jonsson, P., & Froese-Fisher, C. 1997, *J. Phys. B*, 30, 5861
- Kaufman, V., & Martin, W. C. 1991, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data*, 20, 775
- Kernahan, J. A., Pinnington, E. H., O'Neill, J. A., Brooks, R. L., & Donnelly, K. E. 1979, *Phys. Scri.*, 19, 267
- Klose, J. Z. 1997, *Phys. Rev. A*, 19, 678
- Laughlin, C., & Victor, G. A. 1979, *ApJ*, 234, 407
- Lavin, C., Alvarez, A., & Martin, I. 1997, *J. Quantum Spectroscopy Radiat. Transfer*, 57, 831
- Lombardi, G., Cardon, B. L., & Kurucz, R. L. 1981, *ApJ*, 248, 1202
- Marcinek, R., & Migdalek, J. 1993, *J. Phys. B*, 26, 1391
- Marek, J., & Richter, J. 1973, *A&A*, 26, 155

- Mendoza, C., Eissner, W., Le Dourneuf, M., & Zeippen, C. J. 1995, *J. Phys. B*, 28, 3485
- Ozdemir, L., & Karal, H. 1997, *J. Quantum Spectroscopy Radiat. Transfer*, 62, 655
- Smith, W. H. 1970, *NIM*, 90, 115
- Smith, W. H., & Liszt, H. S. 1971, *J. Opt. Soc. Am.*, 61, 938
- Stanek, M., Glowacki, L., & Migdalek, J. 1996, *J. Phys. B*, 29, 2985
- Tayal, S. S., & Hibbert, A. 1984, *J. Phys. B*, 17, 3835
- Taylor, P. R. C. W., Bauschlicher, J., & Langhoff, S. 1988, *J. Phys. B*, 21, L333
- Theodosiou, C. E. 1992, *Phys. Rev. A*, 45, 7756
- Trabert, E., Wolf, A., & Linkemann, J. 1999, *J. Phys. B*, 32, 637
- Trefftz, E. 1988, *J. Phys. B.*, 21, 1761
- Victor, G. A., Stewart, R. F., & Laughlin, C. 1976, *ApJS*, 31, 237
- Weiss, A. W. 1967, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 47, 3573
- Weiss, A. W. 1974, *Phys. Rev. A*, 9, 1525
- Wiese, W. L., & Martin, G. A. 1980, *Transition Probabilities, Part II, Vol. Natl. Stand. Ref. Data System., Natl. Bur. Std. 68 (Washington DC)*
- Zare, R. N. 1967, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 47, 3561
- Zon, Y., & Froese-Fisher, C. 2001, *J. Phys. B*, 34, 915