Apparent radii of neutron stars and equation of state of dense matter

P. Haensel

N. Copernicus Astronomical Center, Polish Academy of Sciences, Bartycka 18, 00-716 Warszawa, Poland

Received 20 July 2001 / Accepted 20 September 2001

Abstract. Apparent (radiation) radius of neutron star, \( R_\infty \), depends on the star gravitational mass in quite a different way than the standard coordinate radius in the Schwarzschild metric, \( \mathcal{R} \). We show that, for a broad set of equations of state of dense matter, \( R_\infty(M_{\text{max}}) \) for the configurations with maximum allowable masses is very close to the absolute lower bound on \( R_\infty \) at fixed \( M \), resulting from the very definition of \( R_\infty \). Also, the value of \( R_\infty \) at given \( M \), corresponding to the maximum compactness (minimum \( R \)) of neutron star consistent with general relativity and condition \( v_{\text{sound}} < c \), is only 0.6% higher than this absolute lower bound. Theoretical predictions for \( R_\infty \) are compared with existing observational estimates of the apparent radii of neutron stars.
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1. Introduction

Measuring the spectrum of radiation from neutron star surface (or, more precisely, atmospheres), combined with knowledge of distance, enables one, assuming spherical symmetry, to determine total luminosity, effective surface temperature, and neutron star radius. Recently, such studies have been carried out for Geminga (Golden & Shearer 1999) and RX J185635-3754 (Walter 2001); distance from these isolated neutron stars was obtained from measuring of the annual parallax. Very recently, Chandra observations of X-ray sources in the globular clusters (whose distance is known with relatively good precision) were proposed (and applied) to calculate the radius of a neutron star in quiescence (Rutledge et al. 2001).

Neutron star are relativistic objects, and for masses above solar mass their radii may be only 1.5–2 times larger than the gravitational (Schwarzschild) radius \( r_g \equiv 2GM/c^2 = 2.95 (M/M_\odot) \text{ km} \). Therefore, because of a sizable spacetime curvature close to neutron star, one has to distinguish between the “true” or “coordinate” radius, \( R \), which is the radial coordinate of the stellar surface in the Schwarzschild metric, and the “apparent radius” (sometimes called “radiation radius”), \( R_\infty \), as determined by a distant observer studying radiation from neutron star surface.

In the present letter we calculate dependence of \( R_\infty \) on neutron star mass for a broad set of equations of state of dense matter. We discuss properties of the theoretical \( R_\infty(M) \) curves, and finally we confront theoretical calculations with recent observational estimates of apparent radii of neutron stars.

2. \( R(M) \), \( R_\infty(M) \), and their theoretical lower bounds

The effective surface temperature, \( T_\gamma \), at the neutron star surface, is related to total photon luminosity, \( L_\gamma \), by

\[
L_\gamma = 4\pi R^2 s_{\text{SB}} T_\gamma^4 ,
\]

where all quantities are measured by a local observer on neutron star surface. Spherical symmetry is assumed. A distant observer (“at infinity”) will measure “apparent luminosity” \( L_\gamma^\infty \), “apparent effective temperature” \( T_\gamma^\infty \), and “apparent radius” \( R_\infty \), related to quantities appearing in Eq. (1) by (Thorne 1977)

\[
L_\gamma^\infty = L_\gamma \left( 1 - \frac{r_g}{R} \right) = 4\pi R^2 s_{\text{SB}} T_\gamma^4 \left( T_\gamma^\infty \right)^4 ,
\]

\[
T_\gamma^\infty = T_\gamma \sqrt{1 - \frac{r_g}{R}} , \quad R_\infty = \frac{R}{\sqrt{1 - r_g/R}} .
\]

As we will show, dependence of \( R_\infty \) on neutron star mass differs considerably from \( R(M) \); the difference, which reflects spacetime curvature near neutron star, increases with increasing \( M \), and becomes quite large at the maximum allowable mass, \( M_{\text{max}} \). The curves \( R(M) \) and \( R_\infty(M) \), calculated for a broad set of equations of state (EOS) of dense matter, are presented in Figs. 1 and 2, respectively. One notices, that for moderately stiff and stiff equations of state (\( M_{\text{max}} > 1.8 M_\odot \)) without a strong...
A strict lower bound on $R_\infty(M)$ results from the very
definition of $R_\infty$ (Lattimer & Prakash 2001). Namely, the
definition of $R_\infty$ implies

$$\frac{R_\infty}{r_g} = \frac{R}{r_g \sqrt{1 - r_g/R}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The right-hand-side of the above equation is a function of $x \equiv r_g/R$ only. It diverges to $+\infty$ at $x = 0$ and at $x = 1$. At fixed $M$, it has a single minimum at $x = 2/3$. Therefore, minimum value of $R_\infty(M)$ is $R_{\infty,\text{min}}(M) = 7.66 (M/M_\odot)$ km (Lattimer & Prakash 2001). Let us notice, that this limiting $R_\infty$ for an “apparently most compact” neutron star is very close to (but a little smaller than) that for a maximum compactness $x = 0.7081$ consistent with $v_{\text{sound}} < c$, given by 7.71 $(M/M_\odot)$ km. However, at any $M$ the difference is only 0.6%, and therefore in practice smallest $R$ at a fixed $M$, consistent with $v_{\text{sound}} < c$, can be considered as corresponding to smallest $R_\infty(M)$, and vice versa.
This result can be easily understood, because $x = 0.7081$ is only by 0.05 higher than $2/3$ corresponding to the minimum of $R_\infty$. Therefore, relative difference between $R_{\infty, \min}$ and the value corresponding to minimum value of $R$ at given $M$ (assuming $v_{\text{sound}} < c$), can be estimated as $\approx 2.25 \times (0.05)^2 = 0.6\%$, which is consistent with our exact result.

While the subluminal ($v_{\text{sound}} < c$) upper bound on $x$ at given $M$ is slightly larger than $2/3$, the actual maximum values of $x$ for various EOS, which are reached at $M_{\text{max}}$ for these EOS, are lower than $2/3$. However, if we restrict to medium stiff and stiff EOS, with $M_{\text{max}} \gtrsim 1.8 M_\odot$, then $x_{M_{\text{max}}} \approx 0.6$, which is only 0.06 lower than $2/3$. We may therefore expect, that for these EOS, which actually constitute majority of models in Figs. 1, 2, ($R_\infty$)$_{M_{\text{max}}}$ will be only $\sim 2.25 \times (0.06)^2 \approx 0.8\%$ larger than $R_{\infty, \min}$. This explains, why for these EOS the points at $M_{\text{max}}$ are so close to the $R_{\infty, \min}(M)$ line in Fig. 2.

As shown by Lattimer & Prakash (2001), one expects that for any baryonic baryonic EOS, $R_\infty > 11$ km, independently of neutron star mass. Our Fig. 2 confirms this “practical lower bound” on $R_\infty$. On the contrary, there is no lower limit on $R_\infty$ for bare strange stars, whose size can be as small as hundred fems. For strange stars covered with a layer of normal matter, minimum radius is reached at $M \approx 0.01 M_\odot$, and for a maximally thick crust it is about 5–6 km (see, e.g., Glendenning 1997).

### 3. Observational bounds on $R_\infty$

In what follows we will briefly review observational determination of apparent radius of neutron star. We will restrict to cases, which seem to us most promising. In all cases, what one determines is actually $R_\infty/d$, where $d$ is neutron star distance. Therefore, independent knowledge of $d$ is mandatory to calculate $R_\infty$ from observational data. Generally, after fitting the spectrum of photons emitted from neutron star, one tries to get the interval $R_{\infty,1} < R_\infty < R_{\infty,u}$, to which the value $R_\infty$ belongs at not less than 90% confidence level. An EOS is considered to be ruled out, if no point on its $R_{\infty}(M)$ curve can satisfy this condition. In practice, the condition reduces to $R_\infty < R_{\infty,u}$. Generally, conclusions from the application of this criterion should be taken with a grain of salt, because of the difficulty in estimating of the error in the photon spectrum fitting.

#### 3.1. Close by isolated neutron stars

At the 95% confidence level, results of Golden & Shearer (1999) imply $R_\infty < R_{\infty,u} = 17.6$ km assuming the H atmosphere, and $R_\infty < R_{\infty,u} = 16.5$ km for the black body thermal spectrum (which turns out to be practically indistinguishable from the Fe/Si model atmosphere spectrum). Therefore, the value of the upper bound on the apparent radius of Geminga is, fortunately, not very sensitive to the assumed atmospheric model.

As for this writing, the case of RX J185635-3754 (Walter 2001, and references therein), is much less clear. Using atmosphere model of the photon spectrum, one deduces from numbers quoted in Walter (2001) the upper bound $R_{\infty,u}(\text{atm}) = 18$ km at the 2σ confidence level. However, if one uses the black body spectrum model, assuming spherical symmetry, one gets an abnormally small upper bound, $R_{\infty,u}(\text{BB}) = 8.4$ km (Walter 2001; notice that we use results at the 2σ confidence level). Clearly, these results are very preliminary, and we have still to wait for more reliable and less model dependent determinations of $R_{\infty}$ for this isolated neutron star.

#### 3.2. X-ray transients in globular clusters

Very recently, Rutledge et al. (2001) proposed a method of measuring $R_\infty$ of neutron stars, observed as X-ray transients in globular clusters. As an example, they studied transient X-ray source CXOU 132619.7-472910.8 in NGC 5139, fitting photon spectrum with the H-atmosphere model. They obtained, at 90% confidence level, $R_\infty = 14.3 \pm 2.5$ km (assuming 10% uncertainty in the distance to NGC 5139), which results in $R_{\infty,u} = 16.8$ km. The advantage of the proposed method stems from the fact, that for neutron stars located in globular clusters both the distance and interstellar hydrogen column density are rather well known.

#### 3.3. Other estimates of neutron star radii

They are mostly related to accreting neutron stars, observed as X-ray bursters (see, e.g., Titarchuk 1994; Haberl & Titarchuk 1995; Burderi & King 1998; Psaltis & Chakrabarty 1999; Li et al. 1999a; Li et al. 1999b, and references therein). One has to mention a strong model dependence of theoretical analyses, and frequent neglect (Burderi & King 1998; Psaltis & Chakrabarty 1999; Li et al. 1999a; Li et al. 1999b) of the space-time curvature effects, which are actually crucial for the difference between $R$ and $R_\infty$.

#### 3.4. Theory versus observations

As one can see in Fig. 2, the upper bounds $R_{\infty,u}$ on the apparent radius of Geminga are consistent with theoretical predictions for $R_{\infty}(M)$, based on considered baryonic EOS of dense matter, provided the neutron star mass is above $0.2 M_\odot$.

The value of the upper bound $R_{\infty,u} = 16.8$ km, obtained by Rutledge et al. (2001) for the transient X-ray source CXOU 132619.7-472910.8 in NGC 5139, is consistent with neutron star $R_{\infty}(M)$ curves in Fig. 2, provided $M > 0.4 M_\odot$. In the case of stiffest EOS (with $M_{\text{max}} > 2.2 M_\odot$), the value of $R_{\infty,u}$ rules out high-mass neutron stars with $M > 1.6 \pm 2.0 M_\odot$.

As for this writing, attempts to estimate the apparent radius of RX J185635-3754 (Walter 2001) are very model
dependent. Nevertheless, we are tempted to make a following comment. Had we accepted the estimate \( R_{\infty}(BB) \) for RX J185635-3754, this object could be but a low-mass strange star. In order to produce thermal photon spectrum, this low-mass strange star should have been covered with a layer of normal matter, because a bare quark surface would be a too weak photon emitter (Chmaj et al. 1991; Usov 2001). One can only hope that more precise measurement of the photon spectrum for this isolated neutron star will liberate us from such basic ambiguities.

4. Summary

Detection of photons emitted from the surface of isolated neutron stars of known distance can result in determination of the apparent neutron star radius, \( R_{\infty} \). Due to significant space-time curvature, dependence of \( R_{\infty} \) on on stellar mass is quite different from that of the standard “coordinate” radius \( R \). The very definition of \( R_{\infty} \) implies a lower bound, obtained by Lattimer & Prakash (2001), of 7.66 \((M/M_\odot)\) km. At any \( M \), this lower limit is very close to the value of \( R_{\infty} \) corresponding to the minimum \( R \), calculated under the condition of subluminal sound. Simultaneously, the actual values of \( R_{\infty} \) calculated at the maximum allowable mass are also close to this limit. For moderately stiff and stiff EOS with \( M_{\text{max}} \gtrsim 1.8 M_\odot \), the actual value of \( R_{\infty}(M_{\text{max}}) \) is less than one percent higher than the absolute lower bound on \( R_{\infty} \) at this value of stellar mass.

Most reliable observational estimates of \( R_{\infty} \), obtained for Geminga and transient X-ray source CXOU 132619.7-472910.8 in NGC 5139, lead to upper bounds on \( R_{\infty} \), which are consistent with all considered baryonic EOS, provided the mass of neutron star is above \( 0.2 \div 0.4 M_\odot \).
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