

# Distorted, non-spherical transiting planets: impact on the transit depth and on the radius determination (Corrigendum)

J. Leconte<sup>1,4</sup>, D. Lai<sup>2,4</sup>, and G. Chabrier<sup>1,3,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> École normale supérieure de Lyon, CRAL (CNRS), 46 allée d'Italie, 69007 Lyon, Université de Lyon, France  
 e-mail: [jeremy.leconte;chabrier]@ens-lyon.fr

<sup>2</sup> Center for Space Research, Department of Astronomy, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA  
 e-mail: dong@astro.cornell.edu

<sup>3</sup> School of Physics, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK

<sup>4</sup> KITP, University of Santa Barbara, USA

A&A 528, A41 (2011), DOI: 10.1051/0004-6361/201015811

**Key words.** planets and satellites: interiors – planets and satellites: fundamental parameters – planets and satellites: general – errata, addenda – equation of state

This erratum corrects three equations of the Appendix B of the original article, related to the geometrical calculation of the projected area of a triaxial ellipsoid. We also provide an analytical formula for this projected area allowing an easy modeling of the distortion of the shape of the lightcurve due to the non sphericity of an observed transiting planet. As a consequence, the shape of the phase curve presented in Fig. 5 of the original study is slightly changed, as shown here in Fig. B.1.

All the conclusions of the original article, however, remain *unaffected*, as they are based on the maximal transit depth which is *not* altered by these corrections.

## Appendix B: Projected area of a triaxial ellipsoid


### B.1 General case

We take the notation used in the Appendix B of Leconte et al. (2011). To compute the projected area of this ellipsoid as it will be seen by the observer, it is easier to put ourselves in the coordinate system defined by the line connecting the center of mass of the system and the observer (toward the observer;  $\hat{x}$ ), the projection of the orbital angular momentum on the sky plane ( $\hat{z}$ ), and a third axis in the sky plane chosen so that  $(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z})$  follows the righthand vector direction. The current position vector expressed in this frame writes as

$$\mathbf{r} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{B.1})$$

The equation of the ellipsoid in the coordinate system of the observer reads as

$$g(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \mathbf{r}^{\text{tr}} \mathcal{R}^{\text{tr}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{a_1^2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{a_2^2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{a_3^2} \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{R} \mathbf{r} \equiv \mathbf{r}^{\text{tr}} \mathcal{A} \mathbf{r} = 1, \quad (\text{B.2})$$



**Fig. B.1.** Normalized projected area of the planet as a function of its anomaly ( $\phi$ ) for inclinations of the orbit going from  $i = 90^\circ$  (lowest curve) to  $i = 0^\circ$  (highest curve) in steps of  $10^\circ$  ( $5^\circ$  for bottom panel) for a WASP-12 b analog on a circular orbit. *Top*: for the full orbit. *Bottom*: zoom on the (primary or secondary) transit. The ordinates of the dotted, solid, and dashed horizontal lines are  $a_1 a_2 / R_0^2$  (face-on orbit),  $a_1 a_3 / R_0^2$ , and  $a_2 a_3 / R_0^2$ , respectively.

where  $\mathcal{R}$  is the rotation matrix between the aforescribed system and the coordinate system attached to the ellipsoid, and the  $a_i$  are the principal axes of the ellipsoid. In the general case,  $\mathcal{A}$  takes the form

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} a & d & f \\ d & b & e \\ f & e & c \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{B.3})$$

The equation of the contour of the projected shadow is given by the fact that the normal to the ellipsoid is normal to the line of sight at this location. This reads as

$$0 = \mathbf{grad}[g(\mathbf{r})]^{\text{tr}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{x}} = 2 \mathbf{r}^{\text{tr}} \mathcal{A} \hat{\mathbf{x}}. \quad (\text{B.4})$$

This shows that these points are located on a plane whose equation is (since  $a \neq 0$ )

$$x = -\frac{1}{a}(dy + fz). \quad (\text{B.5})$$

This equation differs from Eq. (B.6) in [Leconte et al. \(2011\)](#) by the minus sign that was formerly omitted, which slightly changes Eqs. (B.6) and (B.7). Substituting  $x$  in Eq. (B.2) by Eq. (B.5) we see that the cross section is an ellipse following the equation

$$(y, z) \begin{pmatrix} b - \frac{d^2}{a} & e - \frac{df}{a} \\ e - \frac{df}{a} & c - \frac{f^2}{a} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y \\ z \end{pmatrix} \equiv (y, z) \mathcal{B} \begin{pmatrix} y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = 1. \quad (\text{B.6})$$

It is thus possible to find the rotation in the sky plane needed to reduce the ellipse and find its principal axes ( $p_1, p_2$ ). If only the cross section ( $\pi p_1 p_2$ ) is needed, we can use that the determinant of a matrix is independent of the coordinate system so that  $\pi p_1 p_2 = \pi / \sqrt{\text{Det}(\mathcal{B})}$ , with

$$\text{Det}(\mathcal{B}) = bc - e^2 - \frac{bf^2}{a} - \frac{cd^2}{a} + 2 \frac{def}{a}. \quad (\text{B.7})$$

## B.2 Coplanar case

We consider the case where the planet equator and the orbital plane are coplanar. If  $i$  is the inclination of the orbit with respect to the sky plane and  $\phi$  the true anomaly defined to be 0 at the instant of inferior conjunction, the rotation matrix reads as

$$\mathcal{R} = \begin{pmatrix} \sin(i) \cos(\phi) & \sin(\phi) & \cos(i) \cos(\phi) \\ -\sin(i) \sin(\phi) & \cos(\phi) & -\cos(i) \sin(\phi) \\ -\cos(i) & 0 & \sin(i) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{B.8})$$

The  $\mathcal{A}$  matrix can be computed thanks to Eq. (B.2) giving the  $a, b, \dots, f$  coefficients and thus  $\text{Det}(\mathcal{B})$ . This gives the projected area of the planet or the star at any given point of the orbit

$$\pi p_1 p_2 = \pi \sqrt{a_3^2 \sin^2 i (a_1^2 \sin^2 \phi + a_2^2 \cos^2 \phi) + a_1^2 a_2^2 \cos^2 i}, \quad (\text{B.9})$$

as shown in Fig. B.1. However, this formula is more general. It is also the cross section that would be seen by an observer located in the direction

$$\mathbf{r}_{\text{obs}} = \begin{pmatrix} \sin i \cos \phi \\ \sin i \sin \phi \\ \cos i \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{B.10})$$

in the reference frame defined by the three main axes of the ellipsoid (see Appendix B of [Leconte et al. 2011](#) for details).

*Acknowledgements.* The authors are very grateful to Nick Cowan and Louis Shekhtman, who first noticed these errors. This work has been supported in part by NASA Grant NNX07AG81G and NSF grants AST 0707628. We also acknowledge funding from the European Community via the P7/2007-2013 Grant Agreement no. 247060.

## References

Leconte, J., Lai, D., & Chabrier, G. 2011, A&A, 528, A41