

## Erratum

# Extrasolar planets and brown dwarfs around A–F type stars

## V. A planetary system found with HARPS around the F6IV–V star HD 60532

M. Desort<sup>1</sup>, A.-M. Lagrange<sup>1</sup>, F. Galland<sup>1</sup>, H. Beust<sup>1</sup>, S. Udry<sup>2</sup>, M. Mayor<sup>2</sup>, and G. Lo Curto<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Laboratoire d’Astrophysique de Grenoble, UMR5571 CNRS, Université Joseph Fourier, BP 53, 38041 Grenoble Cedex 9, France  
e-mail: mdesort@obs.ujf-grenoble.fr

<sup>2</sup> Observatoire de Genève, Université de Genève, 51 chemin des Maillettes, 1290 Sauverny, Switzerland

<sup>3</sup> European Southern Observatory, Alonso de Cordova 3107, Vitacura, Santiago, Chile

A&A 491, 883–888 (2008), DOI: 10.1051/0004-6361:200810241

### ABSTRACT

The dynamical analysis in the original paper was erroneous due to a mismatch in the choice of angular parameters. The calculations had been made by assuming a pole-on ( $\sin i = 0$ ) instead of an edge-on  $\sin i = 1$  orbit. In this framework,  $\Omega_c - \Omega_b$  is just the mutual inclination between the orbital planes of the two planets. We also correct some stellar parameters given in the original paper ( $\log g = +3.83$ ,  $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]_{\text{updated}} = -0.26$ ).

**Key words.** techniques: radial velocities – stars: early-type – stars: planetary systems – stars: oscillations – individual: HD 60532 – errata, addenda

In the original paper the calculations had been made by assuming a pole-on ( $\sin i = 0$ ) instead of an edge-on ( $\sin i = 1$ ) orbit. The dynamical study has been made again, but this time by assuming coplanarity of the orbits, hence  $\Omega_c = \Omega_b$ . The figures are changed but the main conclusions remain. Over  $10^8$  yr, the planetary system is chaotic but does not indicate any instability. The semi-major axes of the two planets oscillate between 0.754 AU and 0.752 AU for planet *b*, and between 1.568 AU and 1.595 AU for planet *c*. The eccentricity of planet *b* oscillates between 0.118 and 0.3, and that of planet *c* between 0.015 and 0.141. As before, we show that, given the error bars, the secular evolution of the semi-major axis of planet *b* should be detectable within  $\sim 10$  years from now. This would constitute a strong indication of a resonant configuration. The sense of this variation is not constrained, because of the error bar on the argument of the planet *c* periastron. Figure 2 shows the secular evolution of the semi-major axis of planet *b* ( $a_b$ ) in the same conditions as above, but for an initial choice of  $\omega_c = -280^\circ$  instead of  $-209^\circ$ . The initial evolution sense is reversed compared to Fig. 1.

As in the initial calculations, the size of the error bars in Table 2 does even not ensure that the orbital configuration is actually resonant, but here again in non resonant configurations (Fig. 4), the variations of the semi-major axis of planet *b* achieve a much lower amplitude than in the resonant case.

Our basic conclusions are thus unchanged: i) the resonant configuration cannot be stated, but it is probable; ii) the system is significantly chaotic; iii) in a resonant configuration, we should be able to detect semi-major axis variations in planet *b*’s motion within  $\sim 10$  yrs.


Recently, a global analysis of this system by Laskar & Correia (2009) confirmed the resonant status, using numerical integration and frequency analysis. In fact, non resonant systems appear less stable than resonant ones of Gyr timescales. This further indicates a resonant configuration.

We must also correct the  $\log g$  of the star, which is +3.83. And we can update the estimated metallicity, which is now  $-0.26$  according to new calibrations of the data from Holmberg et al. (2007), thus slightly more metallic than before. An estimation from Gray et al. (2006) (from which we took the  $\log g$ ) gives  $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -0.05$ .


*Acknowledgements.* We thank Daniel Fabrycky, Jacques Laskar, and Alexandre Correia for pointing out the dynamical inconsistencies of the initial version of the paper, and the referee for the stellar parameter corrections.

### References

- Holmberg J., Nordström B., & Andersen J., 2007, A&A, 475, 519  
Laskar J., & Correia A.C.M. 2009, A&A, 496, L5




**Fig. 1.** Orbital evolution over 100 yr of the semi-major axes (*top*) and eccentricities (*bottom*) for planets *b* (*left*) and *c* (*right*), under their mutual perturbations, in a 3:1 resonance configuration.



**Fig. 2.** Evolution of semi-major axis if planet *b* in the same conditions as in Fig. 1, but assuming an initial  $\omega_c = -280^\circ$  instead of  $-209^\circ$ .

**Fig. 3.** Evolution of the 3:1 critical argument  $\sigma$  over 1000 yr, in the same condition as described in Fig. 1. We note the  $\sigma$ -libration characteristic for resonant motion.



**Fig. 4.** Evolution of the semi-major axes of planet *b* and of the critical angle  $\sigma$  for 3:1 resonance in the same conditions as described in Fig. 1, but with  $a_c = 1.56$  AU. This is a non-resonant configuration.