

## *Erratum*

# The metallicity dependence of the Cepheid *PL*-relation

M. A. T. Groenewegen<sup>1</sup>, M. Romaniello<sup>2</sup>, F. Primas<sup>2</sup>, and M. Mottini<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Instituut voor Sterrenkunde, PACS-ICC, Celestijnenlaan 200B, 3001 Leuven, Belgium  
e-mail: groen@ster.kuleuven.ac.be

<sup>2</sup> ESO, Karl Schwarzschild straÙe 2, 85748 Garching, Germany

A&A, 420, 655–663 (2004), DOI: 10.1051/0004-6361:20035926

**Key words.** stars: distances – Cepheids – errata, addenda

Equation (1) in the paper “The metallicity dependence of the Cepheid *PL*-relation” by Groenewegen et al. (2004, A&A, 420, 655) contains an unfortunate typographical error: all terms “log [Fe/H]” should read “[Fe/H]”.

The correct formula is thus:

$$\begin{aligned} M = & \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 [\text{Fe}/\text{H}] + \alpha_3 [\text{Fe}/\text{H}]^2 \\ & + \alpha_4 \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha_5}{\alpha_4} [\text{Fe}/\text{H}] + \frac{\alpha_6}{\alpha_4} [\text{Fe}/\text{H}]^2 \right) \log P_0 \\ & + \alpha_7 \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha_8}{\alpha_7} [\text{Fe}/\text{H}] + \frac{\alpha_9}{\alpha_7} [\text{Fe}/\text{H}]^2 \right) (\log P_0)^2 \\ & + \beta(m_1 - m_2) + \Delta_{\text{LMC}} x_{\text{LMC}} + \Delta_{\text{SMC}} x_{\text{SMC}}, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

All results remain unchanched as the fitting was performed using the correct formula.