

Highly ionized Fe $K\alpha$ emission lines from the LINER galaxy M 81[★]

M. J. Page, R. Soria, S. Zane, K. Wu, and R. L. C. Starling

Mullard Space Science Laboratory, University College London, Holmbury St Mary, Surrey RH5 6NT, UK

Received 6 October 2003 / Accepted 8 April 2004

Abstract. We present spectral and timing results from a long (130 ks) *XMM-Newton* EPIC observation of the nucleus of the Seyfert/LINER galaxy M 81. During the observation the X-ray flux varied by 20%, but there was no significant change in spectral shape. The 2–10 keV spectrum is well described by a power law continuum and three narrow Fe $K\alpha$ emission lines at 6.4, 6.7 and 6.96 keV. The three emission lines have equivalent widths of 39^{+13}_{-12} , 47^{+13}_{-13} and 37^{+15}_{-15} eV respectively. The ratios of the three lines are thus more similar to those observed from the Galactic Centre region than to those typically observed from Seyfert galaxies. The high ionization lines most likely originate either from photoionized gas within 0.1 pc of the nucleus of M 81, or from a non-thermal distribution of cosmic-ray electrons interacting with the 0.2–0.6 keV thermal plasma which is found in the bulge of M 81.

Key words. galaxies: individual: M 81 (=NGC 3031) – galaxies: nuclei – galaxies: Seyfert – galaxies: active – X-rays: galaxies

1. Introduction

At a distance of 3.6 Mpc (Freedman et al. 1994), the Sab spiral M 81 is the closest galaxy showing the spectral signatures of a “low ionization nuclear emission-line region” (LINER). LINER characteristics are found in a significant fraction of all galaxies (between 1/5 and 1/3), and the nature of the relationship between LINERs and low-luminosity AGN (LLAGN) has been a long standing question (Ho et al. 1997; Heckman 1980). The presence of a LLAGN in M 81 is well established: the unresolved nuclear source emits a non-stellar UV continuum, broad optical/UV emission lines, and a significant flux of non-thermal X-rays (Ho et al. 1996). From dynamical studies, the mass of the central compact object is found to be $\approx 4 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$ (Ho 1999). With a bolometric luminosity of $\sim 10^{41}$ erg s^{-1} , M 81 is radiating at $< 10^{-3}$ of its Eddington luminosity (Ho et al. 1996).

The nuclear X-ray source has a power law spectral shape in the 0.3–10 keV energy range (Petre et al. 1993; Ishisaki et al. 1996; Swartz et al. 2003). The spectrum is absorbed by a small column density ($\sim 3 \times 10^{20}$ cm $^{-2}$) of material intrinsic to M 81, and below 2 keV a multitude of emission lines from circumnuclear, thermal gas can be seen in the *XMM-Newton* RGS spectrum of the nucleus (Page et al. 2003). Above 2 keV, the most remarkable feature seen in the spectrum is an emission line at 6.7 ± 0.1 keV, corresponding to Fe XXV $K\alpha$ (Ishisaki et al. 1996; Pellegrini et al. 2000). Using data from *BeppoSAX*, Pellegrini et al. (2000) claim that there is an absorption edge

at ~ 8.6 keV, which could also arise in Fe XXV, indicating that the nucleus is seen through a large column density ($\sim 2 \times 10^{23}$ cm $^{-2}$) of highly ionized gas. The 2–10 keV luminosity is known to vary in the range $(1.5\text{--}6) \times 10^{40}$ erg s^{-1} on a timescale of years, and variations of about 30% have been observed on a timescale of 10^5 s (Pellegrini et al. 2000; Ishisaki et al. 1996).

In this paper we examine the time variation and the 2–10 keV spectrum of the M 81 nucleus using the *XMM-Newton* European Photon Imaging Camera (EPIC) data from our long (130 ks) observation which was carried out as part of the RGS consortium guaranteed time programme.

2. Observations and data analysis

M 81 was observed with *XMM-Newton* on 2001 April 22. The EPIC PN camera (Strüder et al. 2001) was operated in small window mode for a single exposure of 130 ks duration. Each of the two EPIC MOS cameras (Turner et al. 2001) were exposed for 122 ks, split into two exposures. MOS1 was operated in timing mode, while MOS2 was operated in full-frame imaging mode. All three EPIC cameras were used in conjunction with medium filters. The nuclear X-ray source suffers from significant photon pile-up in the MOS2 data, and so these data are not included in our analysis. Pile-up is negligible for the nuclear source in the MOS1 and PN exposures.

The MOS1 data were processed with SAS v4.1. For technical reasons, it was not possible to reprocess the PN data using SAS v4.1, so they were processed using SAS v5.3.3. In order to construct lightcurves and spectra, source counts were extracted from a 44'' radius circular region in the PN camera, and from a 39'' wide strip in the MOS1 camera. Both regions were centred

Send offprint requests to: M. J. Page, e-mail: mjp@mssl.ucl.ac.uk

[★] Based on observations obtained with *XMM-Newton*, an ESA science mission with instruments and contributions directly funded by ESA Member States and the USA (NASA).

on the nucleus and correspond to an encircled energy fraction of 88% of the nuclear flux. In high resolution *Chandra* imaging of M 81, the total X-ray flux originating from diffuse emission and point sources between $10''$ and $44''$ from the nucleus is only $\sim 2\%$ of the nuclear flux (Swartz et al. 2003). Hence the EPIC spectra are completely dominated by emission arising from the nucleus and its immediate environment (within ~ 200 pc). In both cameras, background counts were taken from regions which were free from bright sources. In the PN camera these were on the same chip as the source region, and in the MOS1 camera they were in the inner parts of the outer chips.

Lightcurves were constructed from both PN and MOS 1 data in the energy bands 0.3–2.0 keV and 2–10 keV with 1000 s time bins. To maximise the signal to noise, the lightcurves from the separate instruments were added to produce a single lightcurve for each energy band.

When extracting spectra, we excluded periods of high background flux. This reduces the durations of the exposures to 119 ks for the PN camera and 93 ks for MOS1. Response matrices and effective area files specific to the source regions were constructed using the standard `RMFGEN` and `ARFGEN` SAS tasks. To optimise signal to noise the spectra from MOS1 and PN were combined using the method of Page et al. (2003) in 45 eV bins which sample the EPIC spectral resolution well. The resultant spectrum has ~ 400 counts per bin at 6.4 keV and >40 counts in every bin from 2 to 10 keV. Spectral analysis was carried out with `XSPEC` 11.1.0 (Arnaud 1996).

3. Results

3.1. Time variability

The background-subtracted EPIC PN+MOS1 lightcurves for the 0.3–2.0 keV and 2–10 keV bands are shown in the top and middle panels of Fig. 1 respectively. The lightcurves show flickering, similar in character to the stochastic variability commonly seen in Seyfert galaxies (e.g. Green et al. 1993), but of relatively low amplitude ($\sim 20\%$ peak to trough). The ratio of the 2–10 keV count rate to the 0.3–2 keV count rate is shown in the bottom panel of Fig. 1. A constant fit to these data points (shown as dashed line in Fig. 1) results in $\chi^2/\nu = 141/125$, corresponding to a null hypothesis probability of 15%. This means that the low level variability is not accompanied by any significant gross spectral changes.

3.2. Spectral study

The 2–10 keV EPIC spectrum of M 81 is shown in Fig. 2. We began by fitting a power law model, absorbed by neutral material in our own Galaxy and within M 81, with the total column density fixed at the value of $7.6 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, as determined from the simultaneous RGS observation (Page et al. 2003). This model, and the data/model ratio are shown in Fig. 2. The parameters for this fit and all subsequent fits are given in Table 1. While the overall continuum shape is well reproduced by the power law, the χ^2 is very poor ($\chi^2/\nu = 323/175$), and the data show a significant excess over the model between 6.25 and 7.15 keV. The energy range of the excess emission corresponds to the $K\alpha$ lines of Fe I–Fe XXVI, although a photoelectric




Fig. 1. EPIC lightcurves with 1000 s time bins in the 0.3–2.0 keV band (*top panel*) and 2–10 keV band (*middle panel*). The bottom panel shows the ratio of the two lightcurves; the dashed line shows a constant fit to the hardness ratio (see Sect. 3.1).




Fig. 2. *Top panel:* EPIC spectrum of M 81 (datapoints) and the best fit power law model (stepped line). *Bottom panel:* ratio of the datapoints to the power law model.

Table 1. Results of spectral fits to the EPIC data. All fits were performed over the 2–10 keV energy range. All the spectral models included absorption from neutral material with a column density of $7.6 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. Uncertainties are quoted at 95% for 1 interesting parameter (i.e. $\Delta\chi^2 = 4$). $A_{\text{P.L.}}$ is the power law normalisation in units of $10^{-3} \text{ photons cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ keV}^{-1}$. R is the solid angle of the sky covered by the reflector as seen by the X-ray source in units of 2π steradians. When a parameter was constrained by the limits of the fit-range rather than by $\Delta\chi^2$ the corresponding limit has been marked with an “*”.

Model	Γ	$A_{\text{P.L.}}$	6.40 keV	6.68 keV	6.96 keV	R	χ^2/ν	Prob.
			EW (eV)	EW (eV)	EW (eV)			
P.L.	$1.86^{+0.01}_{-0.02}$	$3.55^{+0.07}_{-0.07}$	–	–	–	–	323/175	7.4×10^{-11}
P.L.+Gau+refl	$1.95^{+0.05}_{-0.04}$	$3.87^{+0.18}_{-0.14}$	26^{+13}_{-13}	–	–	$1.9^{+0.9}_{-0.6}$	245/173	2.5×10^{-4}
P.L.+2×Gau+refl	$1.92^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$	$3.78^{+0.15}_{-0.13}$	30^{+13}_{-13}	38^{+15}_{-14}	–	$1.2^{+0.8}_{-0.6}$	218/172	1.0×10^{-2}
P.L.+3×Gau+refl	$1.88^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$	$3.68^{+0.17}_{-0.15}$	35^{+13}_{-13}	43^{+15}_{-15}	32^{+16}_{-16}	$0.6^{+0.8}_{-0.6*}$	202/171	5.3×10^{-2}
P.L.+3×Gau	$1.88^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$	$3.64^{+0.07}_{-0.07}$	39^{+13}_{-12}	47^{+13}_{-13}	37^{+15}_{-15}	–	202/172	5.8×10^{-2}
P.L.+broad Gau [†]	$1.88^{+0.02}_{-0.01}$	$3.65^{+0.07}_{-0.07}$	–	160^{+40}_{-40}	–	–	204/172	4.8×10^{-2}

[†] In this model the central energy and width σ of the emission line are free parameters. The best fit values are $6.69^{+0.06}_{-0.07}$ keV and $0.27^{+0.07}_{-0.06}$ keV respectively.

edge from Fe I at 7.1 keV could also contribute to the excess if there is significant reflection from cold material. Therefore we added a narrow 6.40 keV Gaussian emission line corresponding to Fe I $K\alpha$ and a neutral Compton reflection component (Magdziarz & Zdziarski 1995; PEXRAV in XSPEC) to the absorbed power law model. Both these components could arise in a cold reflecting medium, e.g. an accretion disc or a distant molecular torus (George & Fabian 1991; Matt et al. 1991); the inclination of the reflector was fixed at 60 deg to the observer’s line of sight in the fit. The fit is much improved ($\Delta\chi^2$ of 69 for 2 extra free parameters), compared to the absorbed power law model. However, this model is still rejected with >99.9% confidence, and the data showed a significant excess over the model at ~ 6.7 keV. Therefore we added a second narrow Gaussian emission line, at 6.68 keV, corresponding to Fe XXV. The fit is improved significantly with the addition of the second emission line ($\Delta\chi^2 = 30$ for 1 extra free parameter). Although the model is now not rejected at the 99% confidence level, it is still rejected at the 95% level, and the data still show an excess over the model between 6.8 and 7.15 keV. Therefore we included a third narrow Gaussian emission line at 6.96 keV, corresponding to Fe XXVI, in the model. This results in another significant improvement in the fit ($\Delta\chi^2 = 17$ for 1 extra free parameter) and the fit is now acceptable at the 95% confidence level. However, as shown in Table 1, when the three Fe $K\alpha$ emission lines are included in the fit, the amplitude of the reflection component is consistent with zero. We therefore removed the reflection component from the model and repeated the fit. The χ^2 is the same for this model fit as it is when the reflection component is included, but with one fewer free parameter. Hence our best fit model is a power law with three Gaussian emission lines corresponding to Fe I, Fe XXV and Fe XXVI; this model is shown along with the data in Fig. 3. The 2–10 keV flux inferred from the fit is $1.1 \times 10^{-11} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. To further check the consistency of the line associations with Fe I, Fe XXV and Fe XXVI we repeated the fit allowing the energies of the emission lines to vary. This results in a negligible

improvement in goodness of fit ($\Delta\chi^2 = 1$ for 3 extra parameters) and the emission line parameters are all consistent with those obtained when the line energies were fixed (see Fig. 4).

An alternative interpretation for the 6.25–7.15 keV emission is that it is produced by a single broad emission line rather than by a combination of narrow lines. When a single broad Gaussian is tried, we obtain formally a good fit, with $\chi^2/\nu = 204/172$. However, this model does not reproduce the sharp 6.25 keV shoulder of the emission feature, and is therefore less satisfactory than the multi-line fit (see Fig. 3). The best fit central energy of the line is $6.69^{+0.06}_{-0.07}$ keV consistent with Fe XXV $K\alpha$, and the full width half maximum ($FWHM$) of the line is $28000 \pm 7000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$.

Pellegrini et al. (2000) claimed to have detected a photoelectric edge at $8.6^{+0.4}_{-0.8}$ keV with optical depth $\tau = 0.15^{+0.09}_{-0.08}$ in the spectrum of M 81, which they hypothesized could be due to Fe XXV. We have searched for Fe K photoelectric edges in our spectrum by adding an edge to our best fit model. The threshold energy of the edge was allowed to vary between 7 and 9.3 keV, corresponding to K edges from Fe I–Fe XXVI. However, we find that the χ^2 is unchanged by this extra model component, and the best fit optical depth for the edge is zero, regardless of its energy. To determine upper limits we stepped the edge energy and optical depth over a grid of values, to produce the confidence contour shown in Fig. 5.

4. Discussion

In common with previous investigations, we find that the 2–10 keV spectrum is dominated by a power law continuum. The spectral slope we obtain ($\Gamma = 1.88 \pm 0.02$) is consistent with that seen below 2 keV in the simultaneous RGS observation ($\Gamma = 1.94 \pm 0.06$; Page et al. 2003). Low level variability, of around 20% peak to trough is observed during the observation, but there are no detectable spectral changes. Similar fractional variations in intensity, over 1–2 day timescales, have also been observed in ASCA (Ishisaki et al. 1996) and *BeppoSAX*




Fig. 3. *Top panel:* EPIC spectrum of M81 (datapoints), the best fit power law + three Fe emission lines model (black stepped line), and the power law + broad Gaussian model (grey stepped line). *Middle panel:* contribution of the datapoints to the χ^2 multiplied by the sign (model-data) for the power law + three Fe emission lines model. *Bottom panel:* contribution of the datapoints to the χ^2 multiplied by the sign (model-data) for the power law + broad Gaussian model.

(Pellegrini et al. 2000) observations. The mean EPIC count-rate during the *XMM-Newton* observation corresponds to a 2–10 keV flux of 1.1×10^{-11} erg s^{-1} cm^{-2} , around 30% of the flux at the time of the *BeppoSAX* observation. Thus the X-ray variability of M81 observed on a 1–2 day timescale is consistent with the linear relationship between flux and variability amplitude that is observed in Seyfert galaxies, whereby the variability amplitude is proportional to the mean flux, and so the fractional variations change little from observation to observation even though the mean flux may change considerably (Uttley & McHardy 2001). On the other hand, the variability amplitude of M81 (and LINERs in general) is much lower than would be expected from extrapolating Seyfert variability amplitudes to lower luminosity (Ptak et al. 1998). The spectral index obtained from the *XMM-Newton* observation is consistent with those obtained from observations with *ASCA* ($\Gamma = 1.85 \pm 0.04$, Ishisaki et al. 1996) and with *BeppoSAX* ($\Gamma = 1.86 \pm 0.03$, Pellegrini et al. 2000). Thus the continuum shape appears to be unaffected by intensity variations either over timescales of days or over timescales of years.




Fig. 4. Confidence intervals for the energies and normalisations of the three narrow emission lines used to fit the bump between 6.25 and 7.15 keV. The solid, dashed and dotted contours correspond to 1, 2 and 3σ confidence for two interesting parameters respectively. The solid vertical lines indicate the expected energy for Fe I, Fe XXV and Fe XXVI.




Fig. 5. Confidence contour (essentially upper limits) for a photoelectric edge due to ionized Fe. The solid, dashed, and dotted lines correspond to confidence intervals of 1, 2 and 3σ respectively for two interesting parameters.

4.1. Emission and absorption from ionized Fe

The high quality of our EPIC spectrum allows us to resolve the Fe $K\alpha$ line emission, which can be modelled either by a single broad Fe XXV emission line or as the sum of three narrow components: Fe XXVI, Fe XXV, and a component representing emission from lower ionisation Fe species. Fe $K\alpha$ emission is commonly seen in Seyfert galaxies, and is thought to be due to reprocessing of the primary X-rays by surrounding material. If the material lies along the line of sight, we will see both absorption and emission features in the X-ray spectrum. This is the hypothesis favoured by Pellegrini et al. (2000), because their *BeppoSAX* spectrum appeared to show both an emission line and an absorption edge from Fe XXV. However, we can rule

out a Fe XXV K edge with significant optical-depth ($\tau > 0.1$) at the $>99.7\%$ confidence level in our EPIC spectrum. One possible explanation for this discrepancy might be that the X-ray flux at the time of the EPIC observation was only about 30% of the flux at the time of the *BeppoSAX* observation: assuming the absorber is photoionized, the corresponding reduction in the ionization level of the absorber might explain the lack of an Fe XXV edge in the EPIC spectrum. If this were the case, we would expect the dominant ionic species of Fe in the absorber to change from Fe XXV during the *BeppoSAX* observation to Fe XX–Fe XXIV in the *XMM-Newton* observation (Kallman & McCray 1982). Instead of the $\tau \sim 0.2$ Fe XXV K edge at 8.8 keV, there should be 1–4 Fe K edges between 8.0 and 8.6 keV. The relative ionic abundances of Fe at this ionization level (Kallman & McCray 1982), and the corresponding K-shell photoionization cross sections (Verner & Yakovlev 1995), imply that at least one of these should have $\tau > 0.06$ at threshold, but this is ruled out with 95% confidence by our upper limits given in Fig. 5.

An absorber in which the dominant species of Fe are Fe XX–Fe XXIV should also produce a host of Fe L absorption lines in the soft X-ray. The deepest of these lines lie at 12.82 Å, 12.29 Å, 11.71 Å, 11.00 Å, and 10.64 Å for Fe XX–Fe XXIV respectively (e.g. Verner et al. 1996). If the column density implied by the Fe XXV K edge found by Pellegrini et al. (2000) were shared evenly between these ions, and assuming a velocity dispersion of $\sigma > 50 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ in the absorber, we would expect these lines to have equivalent widths of at least 29 mÅ, 27 mÅ, 19 mÅ, 15 mÅ and 13 mÅ respectively. We have looked for these absorption lines in the simultaneous RGS spectrum of M 81 studied by Page et al. (2003) by repeating their spectral fit, adding these minimum absorption lines one at a time to their best fit model, and measuring the $\Delta\chi^2$. For every ion except Fe XXII the absorption line makes the χ^2 poorer, by $\Delta\chi^2$ values in the range 1.4 to 9. For Fe XXII, adding an absorption line of 19 mÅ equivalent width improves the χ^2 by 0.9. Increasing the equivalent widths of any of these lines (including Fe XXII) from their expected minima results in an increased χ^2 . Furthermore, in this range of ionization, 3–20% of O should be in the form of O VIII. Assuming the absorber has solar abundances (Anders & Grevesse 1989), and a velocity distribution with $\sigma > 50 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, the absorber should give rise to O VIII Ly α and Ly β absorption lines with equivalent widths of $>28 \text{ mÅ}$ and $>16 \text{ mÅ}$ respectively. Such absorption lines are not seen in the simultaneous RGS spectrum (Page et al. 2003). Instead, O VIII Ly α is seen in emission, and while this might mask the absorption line, the corresponding Ly β emission is much weaker. To see whether such a Ly β absorption line might be present in the RGS spectrum, we have again repeated the spectral fit of Page et al. (2003) to the RGS spectrum, adding an absorption line at the position of O VIII Ly β to their best fit model. The best fit equivalent width is zero, and an absorption line of 16 mÅ equivalent width results in a $\Delta\chi^2$ of 4, and so is excluded at 95% confidence. In fact we do not see any evidence for ionized absorption in the RGS spectrum. Therefore we conclude that the lack of an Fe XXV K absorption edge in our EPIC spectrum is not explained by the reduction of the ionization. Either the ionized absorber has moved out of the line of

sight, or the 2.8σ edge feature in the *BeppoSAX* spectrum was a statistical fluctuation rather than a real feature. Therefore, if the Fe $K\alpha$ line(s) are reprocessed emission from the X-ray radiation, they come from outside the line of sight.

If a broad Fe XXV line is responsible for all the emission, the large velocity width ($FWHM = 28\,000 \pm 7\,000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) implies that the emission originates within a few hundred Schwarzschild radii of the compact object. Furthermore, because the emission feature has a relatively high equivalent width ($160 \pm 40 \text{ eV}$), it must have a significant Thomson optical depth (i.e. >0.1) and cover a significant fraction of the sky as seen by the X-ray source (see Bianchi & Matt 2002). The inner part of an accretion disc is the only viable location for gas with this combination of properties, and reflection from an accretion disc surface is widely hypothesized for broad Fe $K\alpha$ emission in AGN. However, the inner part of an accretion disc is unlikely to be responsible for broad 6.7 keV line emission in M 81, on both theoretical and empirical grounds. Firstly, because of its low bolometric luminosity ($L < 10^{-3}L_{\text{Edd}}$), M 81 probably contains an advective flow in the central regions rather than an accretion disc (Narayan & Yi 1995). This hypothesis is further supported by the lack of any soft, blackbody-like component in the soft X-ray spectrum of M 81 (Page et al. 2003); such a component is commonly observed in Seyfert galaxies and is thought to be the high energy tail of the emission from the inner accretion disc (Arnaud et al. 1985). The second reason that a broad Fe XXV line is unlikely to originate in an accretion disc in M 81 is that the X-ray spectrum lacks any of the other features expected from such a disc. In particular, the soft X-ray spectrum does not contain the strong, broad emission features predicted to occur in discs which are sufficiently ionized to produce significant Fe XXV emission (e.g. O VIII and O VII emission lines and radiative recombination continua; see Nayakshin & Kallman 2001 and Ballantyne et al. 2001). Instead, the only emission features observed in the soft X-ray spectrum are the narrow emission lines from a spatially extended thermal component (Page et al. 2003). Thus a single broad Fe XXV emission line, as well as failing to reproduce the sharp 6.25 keV shoulder of the emission feature, is physically inconsistent with the other X-ray and multiwavelength properties of M 81. However, for a novel explanation for a broad 6.7 keV emission line, arising at the interface between the thin, outer disc and the inner, radiatively-inefficient, hot flow, see Dewangan et al. (2004).

Because the broad line model for the Fe $K\alpha$ emission appears unlikely on physical grounds, we consider the combination of narrow 6.4, 6.7 and 6.9 keV emission lines. The three components each contribute about a third of the line emission, and the combined equivalent width is $120 \pm 20 \text{ eV}$. For the 6.4 keV emission line, which arises in cool material, the observed equivalent width of $\sim 40 \text{ eV}$ is rather low compared to the line width observed in most Seyfert galaxies (typically $\sim 100 \text{ eV}$, e.g. Nandra et al. 1997). If the line arises in a Compton thick, plane parallel reflector, then the small equivalent width implies either that the reflector is highly inclined to our line of sight (i.e. $i > 80^\circ$) or that the reflector covers less than 50% of the sky as seen by the X-ray source (George & Fabian 1991). If the reflector has a funnel shape (e.g. the

molecular torus of AGN unification schemes) then the low equivalent width implies an even lower sky-coverage of the reflector (Matt et al. 1991). Alternatively the low equivalent width of the 6.4 keV line could be explained if the reprocessing region is Compton-thin (Leahy & Creighton 1993). In any case, the low equivalent width of the 6.4 keV line implies that in M81 there is not as much cold, X-ray illuminated material as there is in a typical Seyfert galaxy. In contrast, the 6.7 and 6.96 keV lines have a larger equivalent width in M81 than is normally observed in Seyfert 1 galaxies, which typically have 6.4 keV lines that are at least four times stronger than either the 6.7 or 6.96 keV line; indeed the 6.7 or 6.96 keV lines are often not detectable in EPIC X-ray spectra of Seyfert 1s (e.g. Pounds et al. 2003; Blustin et al. 2003). The calculations by Bianchi & Matt (2002) allow us to place some interesting constraints on photoionized material that might give rise to these lines. According to these calculations the column density of illuminated, reflecting material must be $\geq 10^{23}$ cm $^{-2}$ in order to produce the observed equivalent widths. To maintain a sufficiently high level of ionization in the illuminated material to produce the 6.7 and 6.96 keV lines requires that the material lies quite close to the illuminating source. From equation 6 of Bianchi & Matt (2002), and for the observed 2–10 keV luminosity of M81 (1.7×10^{40} erg s $^{-1}$), we find that the illuminated material responsible for the 6.7 keV line must lie within 0.1 pc of the nucleus, while the material responsible for the 6.96 keV line must lie within 0.01 pc.

Although the 6.4–7 keV complex could not be separated into its components in the *ASCA* and *BeppoSAX* observations, the total equivalent width measured with these data was consistent with our EPIC value, at 170 ± 60 eV (Ishisaki et al. 1996) and 95^{+28}_{-46} eV (Pellegrini et al. 2000) respectively. Unfortunately, the uncertainties on these measurements are large enough that they are also consistent with constant flux from the lines (i.e. a reduction in the equivalent width by a factor of three between the *BeppoSAX* and *XMM-Newton* observations).

4.2. Comparison to the Galactic Centre

It is interesting to note that the Fe $K\alpha$ line ratios are relatively similar to those observed in the 1 deg \times 1 deg (150 pc \times 150 pc) region around our own Galactic Centre (Tanaka et al. 2000). In particular, emission lines at 6.4 keV, 6.7 keV and 6.9 keV are seen in both the Galactic Centre and in M81, and in both cases the 6.7 keV line is the strongest (Table 1 and Tanaka 2002). In the case of the Galactic Centre, the origin of the Fe K lines is controversial (e.g. Ebisawa et al. 2003; Predehl et al. 2003). The 6.4 keV emission is thought to arise through fluorescence in cold Fe, which is either illuminated by X-rays emitted during a past, comparatively more active period of Sgr A* (e.g. Murakami et al. 2001), or bombarded by low energy cosmic ray electrons (Valinia et al. 2000).

Possible models for the 6.7 keV and 6.96 keV lines include a high temperature ($kT \sim 10$ keV) thermal plasma (Koyama et al. 1989), charge exchange between cosmic-ray Fe ions and interstellar H (Tanaka et al. 1999), interaction of non-thermal

electrons with a low temperature (~ 0.3 keV) thermal plasma (Masai et al. 2002; Dogiel et al. 2002; Valinia et al. 2000), or a large population of faint accreting binaries (Wang et al. 2002). However, there now appear to be significant problems with the first two of these models for the 6.7 and 6.96 keV lines: a 10 keV thermal plasma is too hot to be gravitationally bound to the Galaxy, and so strong magnetic fields (~ 30 μ G) would be required to confine the plasma (Tanuma et al. 1999), while charge exchange between cosmic ray Fe ions and interstellar H is expected to produce 8.5 and 8.9 keV lines rather than the 6.7 and 6.96 lines which are observed (Masai et al. 2002).

Although the integrated contribution of accreting binary stars may plausibly explain the 6.7 and 6.96 keV lines from the Galactic Centre region (Wang et al. 2002), this would seem unlikely for M81. The population of discrete X-ray sources near the Galactic Centre has a radial surface density which declines as R^{-1} where R is distance from the centre (Muno et al. 2003). This is similar to the spatial distribution of stars selected in the infrared (Serabyn & Morris 1996), suggesting that the X-ray source population is intimately linked to the underlying population of bulge stars. Furthermore, the luminosity function of the discrete sources is steep, with $dN/dL \propto L^{-1.7}$, at the faintest fluxes probed by Muno et al. (2003) so that the emission from discrete sources is dominated by low luminosity objects (2–8 keV luminosity $< 10^{32}$ erg s $^{-1}$), likely to be low mass accreting binaries such as cataclysmic variables. Such objects show strong Fe XXV and Fe XXVI $K\alpha$ lines (see e.g., Mukai et al. 2003; Wu et al. 2001) and will predominantly be drawn from the old stellar bulge population because they are long lived (Warner 1995). The bulges of the Milky Way and M81 have similar overall luminosities: $5 \times 10^9 L_{\odot}$ for M81 (determined from V -band photometry: Tenjes et al. 1998), and $6\text{--}11 \times 10^9 L_{\odot}$ for the Milky Way (determined from near-IR photometry: Kent et al. 1991; Freudenreich 1998). We would therefore expect similar integrated Fe K line luminosities from the low luminosity binary populations in the central regions of M81 and the Milky Way. The luminosity of the Fe $K\alpha$ lines emitted near the Galactic Centre is $1.1 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{36}$ erg s $^{-1}$ (Yamauchi et al. 1990), and assuming a distance of 3.6 Mpc to M81, the Fe $K\alpha$ line emission from M81 is $1.6 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{38}$ erg s $^{-1}$, about 150 times more luminous than that from the Galactic Centre. Therefore, whatever fraction of the Fe K line emission in the Galactic Centre comes from accreting binaries, such sources are unlikely to make a substantial contribution to the Fe K lines in the central part of M81.

On the other hand, if the Galactic Centre Fe lines originate from a non-thermal distribution of cosmic-ray electrons, interacting with both cold and hot (0.2–0.6 keV) gas, the Fe lines in M81 could quite plausibly arise through the same mechanism. In the radio band it has already been noted that the Galactic Centre and M81 appear to be remarkably similar sources, with M81 resembling a scaled-up version of the Galactic Centre, from the core-jet structures (M81* and Sgr A*) seen on sub-pc scales (Bietenholz et al. 2000; Lo et al. 1998) to the large arc-like structures seen on tens to hundreds of pc scales (Kaufman et al. 1996; Yusef-Zadeh et al. 1984). The radio luminosity of M81* is about 10^4 times higher than that of Sgr A*, which suggests that the non-thermal electron population in the

central regions of M 81 could be supplied with sufficient energy to power the Fe $K\alpha$ emission. Furthermore, myriad soft X-ray emission lines from 0.2–0.6 keV thermal plasma have already been detected from the central kpc of M 81 where the most important radio structures are found.

The spatial extent of the 6.4, 6.7 and 6.9 keV line emission in M 81 will be a key diagnostic to determine whether they are analogous to those observed from the Galactic Centre or are due to photoionization by the nuclear X-ray source. The lines in the Galactic Centre come from a region more than 100 pc across; if the M 81 lines are a scaled-up version of the same phenomenon, then they can be expected to arise from region of similar size or larger (i.e. extending out to at least 6 arcsec from the nucleus of M 81). On the other hand, if the lines are photoionized by the nucleus they must originate within 0.1 pc of the nucleus (6 milli-arcsec at the distance of M 81). Unfortunately, at the spectral resolution of the EPIC CCDs the peak intensity of the lines is at most $\sim 20\%$ of the underlying AGN continuum, and *XMM-Newton*'s spatial resolution is not sufficiently good that we can distinguish such a weak component of extended emission. *Chandra* has much better spatial resolution, but it has a much lower sensitivity than EPIC around Fe K, and the existing observations suffer badly from photon pile-up close to the nuclear source. Currently, Fe K emission has been detected neither from the nuclear source, nor from the circumnuclear 10–30 arcsec region (Swartz et al. 2003). In principle, a very long observation using the high-energy transmission gratings on *Chandra* might allow the measurement of the spatial extent of the Fe K line emitting region in M 81 because it could achieve the required spatial *and* spectral resolution without significant photon pile-up.

5. Conclusions

The 2–10 keV X-ray spectrum of the nucleus of M 81 consists of a power law continuum and three Fe $K\alpha$ emission lines at 6.4, 6.7 and 6.96 keV. The power law component has a photon index $\Gamma \sim 1.9$, consistent with the continuum observed below 2 keV with the RGS. The X-ray flux varied by 20% during the 130 ks observation but no gross spectral variations were detected. The spectrum does not require a reflection component, and shows no evidence for absorption edges due to highly ionized Fe. The equivalent widths of the 6.4, 6.7 and 6.96 keV emission lines are 39^{+13}_{-12} , 47^{+13}_{-13} and 37^{+15}_{-15} eV respectively. These equivalent widths are significantly different to those normally observed in Seyfert galaxies, which typically have stronger 6.4 keV lines and weaker 6.7 and 6.96 keV lines. However, the ratios of the three lines in M 81 are relatively similar to the those observed from the Galactic Centre region. The nucleus of M 81 does not appear to contain as much cold, X-ray illuminated material as most Seyfert galaxies. The 6.7 and 6.96 keV emission lines might originate in photoionized gas within 0.1 pc of the nuclear continuum source. Alternatively, these emission lines might have a similar origin to the Galactic Centre Fe line emission, possibly through the interaction of non-thermal cosmic ray electrons with the 0.2–0.6 keV thermal plasma component of the M 81 bulge.

Acknowledgements. We thank Michael Muno, Martin Weiskopf, Douglas Swartz and Alice Breeveld for helpful discussions and suggestions.

References

- Anders, E., & Grevesse, N. 1989, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 53, 197
- Arnaud, K. A., Branduardi-Raymont, G., Culhane, J. L., et al. 1985, *MNRAS*, 217, 105
- Arnaud, K. A., in *Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems V*, ed. G. Jacoby, & J. Barnes, ASP Conf. Ser., 101, 17
- Ballantyne, D. R., Ross, R. R., & Fabian, A. C. 2001, *MNRAS*, 327, 10
- Bianchi, S., & Matt, G. 2002, *A&A*, 387, 76
- Bietenholz, M. F., Bartel, N., & Rupen, M. P. 2000, *ApJ*, 532, 895
- Blustin, A. J., Branduardi-Raymont, G., Behar, E., et al. 2003, *A&A*, 403, 481
- Dewangan, G. C., Griffiths, R. E., Di Matteo, T., & Schurch, N. J., *ApJ*, in press [arXiv:astro-ph/0402327]
- Dogiel, V. A., Inoue, H., Masai, K., Schönfelder, V., & Strong, A. 2002, *ApJ*, 581, 1061
- Ebisawa, K., Yamauchi, S., Bamba, A., Ueno, M., & Senda, A. 2003, *AN*, 324, 52
- Fabbiano, G. 1988, *ApJ*, 325, 544
- Fabian, A. C., Rees, M. J., Stella, L., & White, N. E. 1989, *MNRAS*, 238, 729
- Freedman, W. L., Hughes, S. M., Madore, B. F., et al. 1994, *ApJ*, 427, 628
- Freudenreich, H. T. 1998, *ApJ*, 492, 495
- George, I. M., & Fabian, A. C. 1991, *MNRAS*, 249, 352
- Green, A. R., McHardy, I. M., & Lehto, H. J. 1993, *MNRAS*, 265, 664
- Heckman, T. M. 1980, *A&A*, 87, 152
- Ho, L. C., Filippenko, A. V., & Sargent, W. L. W. 1996, *ApJ*, 462, 183
- Ho, L. C., Filippenko, A. V., & Sargent, W. L. W. 1997, *ApJ*, 487, 568
- Ho, L. C. 1999, *ApJ*, 516, 672
- Ishisaki, Y., Makishima, K., Iyomoto, N., et al. 1996, *PASJ*, 48, 237
- Iyomoto, N., & Makishima, K. 2001, *MNRAS*, 321, 767
- Kallman, T. R., & McCray, R. 1982, *ApJS*, 50, 263
- Kaufman, M., Bash, F. N., Crane, P. C., & Jacoby, G. H. 1996, *AJ*, 112, 1021
- Kent, S. M., Dame, T. M., & Fazio, G. 1991, *ApJ*, 378, 131
- Koyama, K., Awaki, H., Kunieda, H., Takano, S., & Tawara, Y. 1989, *Nature*, 339, 603
- Leahy, D. A., & Creighton, J. 1993, *MNRAS*, 263, 314
- Lo, K. Y., Shen, Z.-Q., Zhao, J.-H., & Ho, P. T. P. 1998, *ApJ*, 508, L61
- Magdziarz, P., & Zdziarski, A. A. 1995, *MNRAS*, 273, 837
- Masai, K., Dogiel, V. A., Inoue, H., Schönfelder, V., & Strong, A. 2002, *ApJ*, 581, 1071
- Matt, G., Perola, G. C., & Piro, L. 1991, *A&A*, 247, 25
- Mukai, K., Kinkhabwala, A., Peterson, J. R., Kahn, S. M., & Paerels, F. 2003, *ApJ*, 586, L77
- Muno, M. P., Baganoff, F. K., Bautz, M. W., et al. 2003, 589, 225
- Murakami, H., Koyama, K., & Maeda, Y. 2001, *ApJ*, 558, 687
- Nandra, K., George, I. M., Mushotzky, R. F., Turner, T. J., & Yaqoob, T. 1997, *ApJ*, 477, 602
- Narayan, R., & Yi, I. 1995, *ApJ*, 452, 710
- Nayakshin, S., & Kallman, T. R. 2001, *ApJ*, 546, 406
- Page, M. J., Breeveld, A. A., Soria, R., et al. 2003, *A&A*, 400, 145
- Page, M. J., Davis, S. W., & Salvi, N. J. 2003, *MNRAS*, 343, 1241
- Pellegrini, S., Cappi, M., Bassani, L., et al. 2000, *A&A*, 353, 447
- Petre, R., Mushotzky, R. F., Serlemitsos, P. J., Jahoda, K., & Marshall, F. E. 1993, *ApJ*, 418, 644

- Pounds, K. A., Reeves, J. N., Page, K. L., et al. 2003, MNRAS, 341, 953
- Predehl, P., Costantini, E., Hasinger, G., & Tanaka, Y. 2003, AN, 324, 73
- Ptak, A., Yaqoob, T., Mushotzky, R., Serlemitsos, P., & Griffiths, R. 1998, ApJ, 501, L37
- Serabyn, E., & Morris, M. 1996, Nature, 382, 602
- Strüder, L., Briel, U., Dennerl, K., et al. 2001, A&A, 365, L18
- Swartz, D. A., Ghosh, K. K., McCollough, M. L., et al. 2003, ApJS, 144, 213
- Tanaka, Y., Miyaji, T., & Hasinger, G. 1999, AN, 320, 181
- Tanaka, Y., Koyama, K., Maeda, Y., & Sonobe, T. 2000, PASJ, 52, L25
- Tanaka, Y. 2002, A&A, 382, 1052
- Tanuma, S., Yokoyama, T., Kudoh, T., et al. 1999, PASJ, 51, 161
- Tenjes, P., Haud, U., & Einasto, J. 1998, A&A, 335, 449
- Terashima, Y., Iyomoto, N., Ho, L. C., & Ptak, A. F. 2002, ApJS, 139, 1
- Turner, M. J. L., Abbey, A., Arnaud, M., et al. 2001, A&A, 365, L27
- Uttley, P., & McHardy, I. M. 2001, MNRAS, 323, L26
- Valinia, A., Tatischeff, V., Arnaud, K., Ebisawa, K., & Ramaty, R. 2000, ApJ, 543, 733
- Verner, D. A., & Yakovlev, D. G. 1995, A&AS, 109, 125
- Verner, D. A., Verner, E. M., & Ferland, G. J. 1996, Atomic Data Nucl. Data Tables, 64, 1
- Wang, Q. D., Gotthelf, E. V., & Lang, C. C. 2002, Nature, 415, 148
- Warner, B. 1995, Cataclysmic Variable Stars (Cambridge University Press)
- Wu, K., Cropper, M., & Ramsay, G. 2001, MNRAS, 327, 208
- Yamauchi, S., Kawada, M., Koyama, K., et al. 1990, ApJ, 365, 532
- Yusef-Zadeh, F., Morris, M., & Chance, D. 1984, Nature, 310, 557