Hard X-ray irradiation of cosmic silicate analogs: structural evolution and astrophysical implications
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ABSTRACT

Context. Protoplanetary disks, interstellar clouds, and active galactic nuclei contain X-ray-dominated regions. X-rays interact with the dust and gas present in such environments. While a few laboratory X-ray irradiation experiments have been performed on ices, X-ray irradiation experiments on bare cosmic dust analogs have been scarce up to now.

Aims. Our goal is to study the effects of hard X-rays on cosmic dust analogs via in situ X-ray diffraction. By using a hard X-ray synchrotron nanobeam, we seek to simulate cumulative X-ray exposure on dust grains during their lifetime in these astrophysical environments and provide an upper limit on the effect of hard X-rays on dust grain structure.

Methods. We prepared enstatite (MgSiO3) nanograins, which are analogs to cosmic silicates, via the melting-quenching technique. These amorphous grains were then annealed to obtain polycrystalline grains. These were characterized via scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) before irradiation. Powder samples were prepared in X-ray transparent substrates and were irradiated with hard X-rays nanobeams (29.4 keV) provided by beamline ID16B of the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (Grenoble). X-ray diffraction images were recorded in transmission mode, and the ensuing diffractograms were analyzed as a function of the total X-ray exposure time.

Results. We detected the amorphization of polycrystalline silicates embedded in an organic matrix after an accumulated X-ray exposure of 6.4×1027 erg cm−2. Pure crystalline silicate grains (without resin) do not exhibit amorphization. None of the amorphous silicate samples (pure and embedded in resin) underwent crystallization. We analyze the evolution of the polycrystalline sample embedded in an organic matrix as a function of X-ray exposure.

Conclusions. Loss of diffraction peak intensity, peak broadening, and the disappearance of discrete spots and arcs reveal the amorphization of the resin embedded (originally polycrystalline) silicate sample. We explore the astrophysical implications of this laboratory result as an upper limit to the effect of X-rays on the structure of cosmic silicates.
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1. Introduction

X-rays are an important component of the radiation field of young stellar objects (YSOs). In the pre-main sequence phase, emission is dominated by intense flares, called catastrophic flares (Feigelson et al. 2003; Feigelson 2005), which can reach an X-ray luminosity (Lx) in the range of 1032 erg s−1 within hours. Smaller flares (Lx ~ 1021 erg s−1) produced in YSOs and in the modern Sun last a few hours and cycle every few days. When these young stars enter the main sequence, the X-ray luminosity is in the range of 1030 erg s−1 (Shu et al. 2001). In young stars, X-rays dominate extreme ultraviolet (EUV) fluxes up to 100 million years (Myr), and the X-ray/EUV ratio remains within a factor of two for stars as old as 1 Gyr. For today’s Sun, this ratio is about 0.25 (Ribas et al. 2005). Thus it is expected that such energetic X-ray radiation, dominating UV photons, contributes to the processing of circumstellar materials, mostly illuminated by young T Tauri type stars. Shu et al. (2001) studied the melting capabilities of observed hard X-ray flares in protostars and suggest that vapor-phase condensation of flare-evaporated material can lead to small refractory solids.

Amorphous silicates are abundant in primitive extraterrestrial materials and most astrophysical environments (Henning 2010). The Infrared Space Observatory (ISO) has revealed that >99% of silicates are amorphous in the interstellar medium (ISM) with a crystalline fraction of 0.2% ±0.2% by mass (Kemper et al. 2004). This is lower than the crystalline fraction observed in the circumstellar envelopes of evolved stars, the main contributors of dust to the ISM. Crystalline silicates are also found in comets and interplanetary dust particles (IDPs) (Molster & Kemper 2005; Nuth & Johnson 2006). Glass with embedded metals and sulfide (GEMS) in IDPs contain silicates in amorphous and crystalline form, and it was suggested that...
these crystalline silicates became amorphous by radiation damage (Bradley 1994). Indeed, depending on their astrophysical environment, dust grains can be altered by several energetic mechanisms, both thermal and non-thermal. Thermal annealing, in which silicates are heated up to 1000 K, can crystallize dust grains. Non-thermal sources include photons, electrons, and ions. Molster et al. (1999) report observations of highly crystalline silicate dust in the disks surrounding binary red-giant stars, which are produced in amorphous form in their outer atmospheres. Since the temperatures in these disks are too low for grains to undergo thermal annealing, they propose that a non-thermal mechanism is responsible for the crystallization. We propose X-ray irradiation as a possible non-thermal mechanism acting on the structure of cosmic silicates.

Hard X-rays permeate several astrophysical environments where silicate and other types of dust are present, such as protoplanetary disks surrounding young stars, planetary nebulae, active galactic nuclei (AGN), and any X-ray-dominated region (XDR). In XDRs, photons can penetrate deeply within icy dust mantles, activating a bulk chemistry that competes with surface processes, such as UV photons and electron irradiation. We expect X-rays to have an effect on the structure of dust grains owing to thermal transfer and/or charge accumulation during their lifetime in XDRs.

Few laboratory experiments exist that study the interaction of X-rays with cosmic dust analogs. Dust irradiation experiments have mostly dealt with ices (e.g., Andrade et al. 2010; Ciaravella et al. 2012; Chen et al. 2013). Experiments of X-ray irradiation on dust mineral analogs at room temperature are scarce. Simionovici et al. (2011a,b) studied the effect of X-rays on returned dust grains from the Stardust mission embedded in aerogel, an extremely low density solid ($\rho < 0.5$ g cm$^{-3}$) used for gently stopping hypervelocity (6–10 km s$^{-1}$) grains in space. To estimate the total exposure to X-rays, they considered an upper limit dose that they called the astrophysical limit (AL). This is the estimated X-ray exposure on an interstellar dust grain during its lifetime in a diffuse cloud ($\sim 3 \times 10^7$ yr, McKee (1989)). In these clouds, dust grains are exposed to a quasi-isotropic diffuse cosmic X-ray background in the 3–300 keV energy range, most likely produced by AGN components, as measured by the HEAO 1 spacecraft (Gruber et al. 1999). Considering the X-ray flux integrated over time (fluence) and neglecting other irradiation sources, the estimated X-ray astrophysical limit is $5 \times 10^{25}$ eV cm$^{-2}$. Stardust mission grains embedded in aerogel were irradiated using a synchrotron X-ray nanobeam (17 keV, $10^{10}$ ph s$^{-1}$, 150 $\times$ 190 nm) and showed damage effects (radial smearing) that were mainly attributed to secondary charge accumulation (Simionovici et al. 2011a). We use the AL as a reference number to compare synchrotron exposure (large flux on a short timescale) to the total exposure on an astronomical time scale (low flux on a large timescale). This exposure time does not consider X-ray damage on dust particles because these processes depend on integrated time, X-ray energy, elemental composition of dust, and thickness. Since all experiments presented in this paper were done using the same monochromatic X-ray flux, we consider the total exposure as the main variable.

By using high-flux synchrotron X-rays, we seek to understand the role of hard X-rays in the structure and/or morphology of dust grains in astrophysical X-ray-dominated regions. We compare synchrotron fluences to the X-ray exposures on dust particles during their lifetime in interstellar clouds, circumstellar, and protoplanetary disks. Because of the nanofocused synchrotron fluxes, we can reach astronomical fluences in less than one second (for the ISM) up to 45 s (for the circumstellar medium, CSM). This paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we describe sample preparation; in Sect. 3, we present the irradiation experiments; in Sect. 4, we describe the results from the X-ray diffraction analysis; in Sect. 5, we discuss the results; in Sect. 6, we present the astrophysical implications of these experiments. Finally, in Sect. 7, we summarize our conclusions.

2. Preparation of powder enstatite samples

Samples were prepared by the Laboratory Astrophysics Group in Jena. Enstatite ($\text{MgSiO}_3$) grains were prepared using the melting-quenching technique (Dorschner et al. 1995). To produce crystalline MgSiO$_3$, magnesium carbonate and silicon dioxide were thoroughly mixed in stoichiometric quantities and poured into a platinum crucible. The powder was heated in a furnace up to a temperature of 1917 K, and the melt was kept for one hour at the same temperature. To produce a homogeneous MgSiO$_3$ glass, the melt was poured through rotating copper rolls accomplishing quenching rates of $\sim 1000$ K s$^{-1}$. The resulting amorphous glass splats were powdered and finally annealed at a temperature of 1400 K for one hour in air to ensure enstatite formation alone. Size distributions smaller than 500 nm were finally produced by grinding and sedimentation of the annealed crystalline grains in ethanol. The frequently agglomerated grains are characterized by a very broad size distribution ranging from a few tens up to about 400 nm (as seen by FESEM and HRTEM images in Figs. 1a, b). The individual particles are composed of randomly oriented, nanometer-sized enstatite crystals still embedded in a small fraction of the amorphous MgSiO$_3$. 
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3. Irradiation with hard X-rays

For these experiments we used the recently commissioned ID16B-Nano Analysis beamline at the ESRF synchrotron (Martinez-Criado et al. 2015). The 165 m long beamline provides nano-focused beams for analytical imaging. During measurements, the spot size at the focal point is 60 × 80 μm². The setup is based on Kirkpatrick-Baez (K-B) multilayer, coated Si mirrors, a high-precision piezostage to raster the sample in the beam, and a light microscope to visualize the region of interest on the sample. The setup is schematized in Fig. 2. The penetration depth of the 29.4 keV nanobeam ensures that the full sample thickness is irradiated. Based on a double Si (111) crystal monochromator, we used a 2.94 keV beam with a photon flux of 2−6×10⁹ photons s⁻¹. While this high-energy monochromatic beam does not simulate a spectrally broad stellar X-ray spectrum, it provides an upper-limit order-of-magnitude X-ray exposure on dust particles over their lifetime in astrophysical environments.

We used the integration time during the acquisition of the X-ray diffraction image as the exposure time on dust grains, and this allows simultaneous irradiation and recording of the diffraction pattern. If there is continuous irradiation, we could move the diffraction camera (FRelON CCD) off-sample and, once irradiated, return the camera into the beam path and record the resulting diffraction image. To estimate the optimal sample thickness, we used the X-ray database tool¹ based on photoabsorption, scattering, and transmission data by Henke et al. (1993). For MgSiO₃ grains, we used a density of 3.189 g/cm³. At 29.4 keV, a 200 μm thick sample has a transmission of 0.95, while a 780 μm thick sample has a transmission of 0.82. The absorbed energy per photon is 1.47 keV and 5.29 keV, respectively. For powder diffraction, 1 μg to 1 mg of sample powder was required. Our initial objective was to irradiate samples until reaching the fluence of 5×10²⁴ eV cm⁻², expected for a dust grain during its lifetime in an interstellar cloud (the AL limit), as done for Stardust grains embedded in aerogel. With the available synchrotron flux, this was reached after 0.4−1.2 s. We began the irradiation experiments by systematically taking second-second diffraction images to monitor the effect, and subsequently increased to ten-second images in order to better distinguish any further evolution in the diffraction pattern. We continued irradiating samples when small modifications in the diffraction pattern were detected (attenuation of rings, appearance of arcs, disappearance of spots, etc.), which were used as indicators of structural modifications at the nanoscale.

We irradiated the samples beyond the 5×10²³ eV cm⁻² dose (AL limit) in order to verify that an accumulated exposure effect could be detected. In a circumstellar disk, a dust grain exposed to a one-hour catastrophic flare within the reconnection ring receives an exposure of ∼10²⁵ eV cm⁻² and ∼10²⁶ eV cm⁻² for a typical flare (Shu et al. 2001). With the synchrotron nanobeam, these fluences can be achieved after 15 to 45 s, respectively. If we consider the X-ray luminosity for the TW Hydrae (the closest T Tauri star to us) integrated from 0.2 to 2 keV, L_X = 2×10⁶⁶ erg s⁻¹ (Kastner et al. 2002). At ten astronomical units (AU), this amounts to a total exposure of ∼10²⁶ eV cm⁻² in 1 Myr if assuming the X-ray optical depth is τ_X = 1 (Gorti & Hollenbach 2004; Andrae et al. 2010). An equivalent fluence was achieved under 11 s with the synchrotron nanobeam. While nanoparticles are quasi-transparent to hard X-rays, soft X-rays will be more easily absorbed. Thus the exposure dose is only an indicator of the integrated incident energy on the grains, not on the adsorbed energy.

We irradiated four types of powder samples of enstatite (MgSiO₃) stoichiometry. Sample 1 consisted of crystalline MgSiO₃ grains deposited into a resin-embedded Si₃N₄ membrane. Sample 2 consisted of amorphous MgSiO₃ grains deposited into a resin embedded Si₃N₄ membrane. Sample 3 consisted of amorphous MgSiO₃ grains deposited into a capillary. Sample 4 consisted of crystalline MgSiO₃ grains deposited into a capillary.

From these four samples, only Sample 1 showed structural changes during irradiation, as seen in Fig. 3. The interpretation of this result will be explored in the next section. The evolution of the initially polycrystalline sample can be seen in the diffraction patterns. The attenuation of diffraction rings reveals an increasing amorphization. The appearance of some spots shows the preferred orientation due to crystal growth that disappeared under consecutive scans. At an exposure of 3×10²⁷ eV cm⁻² (2600 s), the diffraction structure (rings, arcs) is largely attenuated. After this exposure time, the sample becomes highly amorphized. A detailed analysis of the structural evolution of this sample will be provided in the next section. Some of the non-evolving diffraction patterns are shown in Fig. 4 as a function of X-ray exposure.

4. X-ray diffraction analysis

All samples were kept at a fixed position and diffraction images were taken in transmission mode. Flat-fielded and

¹ [http://henke.lbl.gov/optical_constants](http://henke.lbl.gov/optical_constants)
Fig. 3. Diffraction patterns for MgSiO$_3$ crystalline powder samples embedded in the Si$_3$N$_4$ substrate at increasing X-ray exposures. a) Crystalline MgSiO$_3$; b)–e) diffraction rings and visible arcs; f), g) less rings, few arcs, and spots; h)–j) no rings, a few spots.

Fig. 4. Samples showing no evolution in their diffraction pattern with increasing X-ray exposure. I) MgSiO$_3$ amorphous grains in a resin-embedded Si$_3$N$_4$ support. II) MgSiO$_3$ amorphous grains in a capillary. III) MgSiO$_3$ crystalline grains in a capillary.

Fig. 5. Evolution of diffraction peaks of MgSiO$_3$ (clinoenstatite) crystalline grains on a resin-embedded Si$_3$N$_4$ membrane under X-ray exposures from $2.4 \times 10^{25}$ eV cm$^{-2}$ to $6.4 \times 10^{27}$ eV cm$^{-2}$.

dark-subtracted diffraction images were processed with Fit2D (Hammersley et al. 1996). The beam-center parameters were refined by using the Bragg diffraction rings. The refined wavelength for irradiation at 29.4 keV was found to be $\lambda = 0.42105$ Å.

In the rest of this section we discuss the diffraction analysis as a function of X-ray exposure for Sample 1, the MgSiO$_3$ grains embedded in the Si$_3$N$_4$ membrane, because this is the only sample showing structural changes (Fig. 3). The evolution of the diffractograms with increasing X-ray exposure is shown in Fig. 5. There is a decrease in the intensity of the different peaks as the dose increases in the $2\theta = 9$–12° and 15–18° ranges, which is seen most clearly for the peak at $2\theta = 8.4°$. There is also a clear broadening of all peaks starting from $3 \times 10^{22}$ eV cm$^{-2}$. This reveals an amorphization of the polycrystalline grains falling into the X-ray nanobeam spot.

The Jana2006 crystallography software (Petříček et al. 2014) for powder analysis was used for the structural refinement from the 2θ diffractograms. The peak shapes were adjusted by Lorentzian functions and a ten-Legendre polynomial was used for background subtraction. At room temperature and ambient pressure, the measured lattice parameters are in good agreement with the literature values for enstatite reported in the Inorganic Crystal Structure Database (ICSD; Ohashi 1984). The powder X-ray diffraction pattern is unambiguously indexed with the monoclinic space group P2$_1$/c and unit cell parameters $a = 9.6445(9)$ Å, $b = 8.8264(8)$ Å, $c = 5.1720(4)$ Å, and $\beta = 108.790(7)^\circ$. The reliability factors after fitting the diffraction peaks (Le Bail refinement, Fig. 6) are $R_p = 3.01$ and $wR_p = 5.35$ for the crystalline MgSiO$_3$ sample in the capillary (thickness = 780 µm, see diffraction patterns II. a–d in Fig. 4). For the crystalline MgSiO$_3$ powder held in a Si$_3$N$_4$ window, the optimized reliability factors are $R_p \sim 10$. This is due to the thickness of the capillary sample that is four times larger than the sample in the Si box, increasing the diffraction contrast.

The derived crystallite sizes depend on the instrumental broadening, the monochromator crystals (2 × Si 111), the beam divergence, the focusing (K-B mirrors), the shape of the grains, etc. Since we are more interested in the relative evolution of the crystallite structural properties, we assumed that the beam parameters, monochromator, and CCD camera are identical.
Throughout the scans, we estimated the derived error from the fit of all diffraction peaks, which increases naturally thanks to the decrease in diffraction signal. As a lower limit to the crystallite sizes, we used the Debye-Scherrer relation, for which the size is inversely proportional to the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the diffraction peaks, i.e.,

\[ D_v = \frac{k\lambda}{\beta \cdot \cos(\theta)} \]  

where \( D_v \) is the crystallite size, \( \beta \) the FWHM in radians, \( \theta \) the diffraction angle, and \( k = 0.94 \) the Scherrer constant.

We also used the Williamson-Hall equation integrated in the Jana2006 package for verification. This is given by

\[ \beta \cdot \cos(\theta) = \frac{4}{D_v} + \eta \cdot \sin(\theta) \]  

where \( D_v \) is the crystallite size and \( \eta \) corresponds to the strain, which is negligible. A few examples of the sizes found through this method are found in Table 1. We find that grain sizes decrease from ~8 nm to 4 nm after an exposure of \( 6.4 \times 10^{27} \text{ eV cm}^{-2} \) or 40 min of continuous irradiation. However, considering the larger error of the fitted parameters due to the lower S/N of the diffraction peaks (noted in the last column of Table 1) due to loss of crystalline structure, we cannot use the derived crystallite sizes as indicators of the amorphization evolution of the sample. We instead used other diffractogram parameters, such as loss of diffraction peak intensity, peak broadening, and disappearance of discrete spots and arcs, as evidence for the gradual amorphization of this sample. Compared to the beam size of 60 nm, the nanograins no longer behave like crystals (the surface effects become dominant), setting some limits in the classical diffraction laws. A note of caution should be given with the interpretation of the crystallite sizes using the Scherrer equation, a hot topic in the powder diffraction community, e.g. Scardi (2008). The Scherrer and the Williamson-Hall equations constrain the average crystallite size during irradiation, hardly evolving within the error bars.

The lattice parameters from the diffractograms are refined versus irradiation exposures and summarized in Table 1. With increasing exposure, the increasing amorphization also meant that the S/N of the diffraction peaks decreased so that the peak positions and crystallite sizes are dominated by systematic uncertainties. Some of the diffractograms could not be adjusted because the S/N was too low and the refinement of the lattice parameters was degenerate. Analysis of the same powder sample in the 780 \( \mu \text{m} \) capillary showed the same diffraction peaks and lattice parameters prior to the irradiation series. Diffraction patterns have a higher contrast in the capillary because of the greater thickness (\( \phi = 780 \mu \text{m} \)) compared to the \( \text{Si}_3\text{N}_4 \) substrate.

### Table 1. Fitted crystal parameters for MgSiO₃ grains under X-ray irradiation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure time [s]</th>
<th>Fluence [eV cm⁻²]</th>
<th>Lattice parameters</th>
<th>Crystal size [Å]</th>
<th>Fit error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ref.³</td>
<td>9.6270</td>
<td>8.8340</td>
<td>5.1800</td>
<td>108.3400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20⁶</td>
<td>2.42 \times 10^{27}</td>
<td>9.6445</td>
<td>8.8264</td>
<td>5.1720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.42 \times 10^{27}</td>
<td>9.6445</td>
<td>8.8264</td>
<td>5.1720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>2.42 \times 10^{36}</td>
<td>9.6300</td>
<td>8.8049</td>
<td>5.1544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>580</td>
<td>7.01 \times 10^{36}</td>
<td>9.6276</td>
<td>8.7907</td>
<td>5.1641</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2380</td>
<td>2.88 \times 10^{37}</td>
<td>9.6097</td>
<td>8.8269</td>
<td>5.1636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2670</td>
<td>3.23 \times 10^{37}</td>
<td>9.5725</td>
<td>8.8844</td>
<td>5.1577</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. (³) From the Inorganic Crystal Structure Database (ICSD), e.g. Ohashi (1984) for enstatite. (⁶) Single sample of MgSiO₃ grains in the capillary substrate. All other samples in \( \text{Si}_3\text{N}_4 \) windows. (⁶) Debye-Scherrer method. (⁶) Williamson-Hall method.

![Fig. 6.](image1.jpg) Calculated scattering intensity profile (black) compared to experimental data (red) collected with synchrotron X-ray diffraction of the crystalline MgSiO₃ sample (clinoenstatite) embedded in a capillary.

![Fig. 7.](image2.jpg) Crystallite size before and during irradiation of the polycrystalline MgSiO₃ sample (clinoenstatite) embedded in a capillary (dark blue triangles) and in the \( \text{Si}_3\text{N}_4 \) membrane.
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\( (\phi = 200 \, \mu m) \). The average crystallite size obtained from the Williamson-Hall equation for the sample in the capillary is 75 Å, which is in good agreement with the size found in the Si_{3}N_{4} substrate.

5. Discussion

X-rays on matter promote ionization, excitation, and a population of secondary photoelectrons. These electrons can cause further ionization and elastic interactions with the target atoms, producing point defects and lattice disorder. The deposited energy of a 29.4 keV photon in a single \( \phi \sim 8 \, nm \) enstatite grain (see Sect. 4 for the crystallite size determination before irradiation) is negligible; however, for a 200 \( \mu m \) or 780 \( \mu m \) column of compacted nanometer sized grains, the transmission at 29.4 keV is \( \sim 0.953 \) or 0.829, respectively. Most energy incident on the sample is transmitted by Compton scattered X-rays, with an attenuation length of \( \sim 3 \, nm \). Only 5 to 18% of the incident energy is absorbed depending on the sample thickness, as fluorescence of O, Mg, and Si with attenuation lengths of \( \sim 0.73, 2.06, \) and 2.41 \( \mu m \), respectively, and by photoelectrons of energy \( \sim 27-29 \, keV \) with attenuation lengths in enstatite between 4 and 4.4 \( \mu m \) (Katz & Penfold 1952; Ziaja et al. 2006). When considering a column of compacted grains of macroscopic thicknesses (200 or 780 \( \mu m \)), encased in either Si_{3}N_{4} or in silica (capillaries), the absorbed X-ray energy is fully deposited within the sample.

The geometry and thermal properties of the sample and sample holder should be considered to fully understand the thermal transfer in the sample. The silica capillary and Si_{3}N_{4} membrane are themselves producing secondary electrons. The role of the acrylic resin in the Si_{3}N_{4} membrane samples should also be taken into account. The charge insulation by the resin may enhance charge accumulation further during irradiation. However, previous work has shown that classical thermal transfer models may not be enough to understand the heat transfer of nanoparticles because their thermodynamic stability greatly differs from that of the bulk (Lance Kelly et al. 2003). Ponomarenko et al. (2011) studied radiation-induced melting in X-ray diffractive imaging at the nanoscale by irradiating polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) samples on a Si_{3}N_{4} window. They argue that the sizes of their nanoparticles were comparable with the characteristic lengths of the heat generation and conduction processes, such as electron or phonon mean free paths. Their calculated rates of temperature rise alone could not explain the melting. Nanometer-sized particles may enhance radiation damage as the photoelectron yield is increased (Lewinski et al. 2009). Such electrons have enough energy to escape from one nanoparticle and penetrate another if the collection is closely packed.

Previous irradiation experiments with silicate analogs (in either amorphous or crystalline form) have mostly involved ion and electron irradiation. Studies with silicate analogs have shown that crystallization of forsterite (MgSiO_{3}) grains is possible via thermal annealing at temperatures between 723–873 K (Fabian et al. 2000). Carrez et al. (2002) show that enstatite grains could be crystallized under 300 keV electron irradiation.

Lemelle et al. (2003) studied the effect of 30 keV electron irradiation on olivine crystals. In their work, they note that with increasing exposures, their nanometer-sized spheres become larger and more irregular in shape. The highest calculated temperature variation due to heat transfer was 40°C, which is much below the melting point of olivine (1720°C at 1 bar). A simple dissipation of the incident energy into heat could not account for the observed damage. The destabilization of olivine was attributed to electrostatic discharges, leading to the breakdown of the dielectric lattice. This occurred when the voltage stress induced by accumulated or trapped charges exceeds the bulk breakdown strength. We interpret the X-ray induced amorphization of our polycrystalline nano-sized enstatite grains embedded in resin, as a result of similar charge build-up processes.

The first ion irradiation experiments of astrophysical interest were performed by Day (1977) and Kraetschmer & Huffman (1979). Day (1977) exposed olivine to 2 MeV protons, resulting in no alteration. Kraetschmer & Huffman (1979) showed that olivine was amorphized following exposure to 1.5 MeV Ne\(^{+}\) ions. Later on, Bradley (1994) showed that irradiation with light H\(^{+}\) and He\(^{+}\) ions at 4–20 keV could amorphize the rim around lunar regolith grains and interplanetary dust particles (IDPs). Demyk et al. (2001) showed that the irradiation with 4–10 keV He\(^{+}\) ions destroys the long-range structure in crystalline olivine and later confirmed this for forsterite, enstatite, and diopside grains (Demyk et al. 2004). Jäger et al. (2003) irradiated enstatite grains with 400 keV Ar\(^{+}\) and 50 keV He\(^{+}\) ions. Experiments with 30–60 keV H\(^{+}\) ions on forsterite also confirmed amorphization (Brucato et al. 2004).

More recently, Bringa et al. (2007) have irradiated forsterite grains with 10 MeV Xe\(^{3+}\) ions. At this energy, 86% of the total stopping power (energy loss per unit path length) is electronic (4.5 keV nm\(^{-1}\)), decreasing with penetration depth as the ion slows down. Electronic energy loss \( S_{E} \) (or electronic stopping power \( S_{E} \)) causes the breaking of bonds, ionization, and annealing effects. Nuclear energy loss \( S_{N} \) causes knock-on processes and therefore displacements of atoms in the target, which consequently produces amorphization. For heavier ions at similar energy, the fraction of nuclear energy deposition increases (Ziegler et al. 2010). Very energetic ions (\( E \geq 10 \, MeV \)) also have low \( S_{N}/S_{E} \) ratios, even though they are heavy. With X-rays, the main interactions remain electronic (inducing core electron excitation/ionization), and their effect on matter is more comparable to that of swift heavy ions than lighter ionic species.

6. Astrophysical implications

X-rays are generated by many astrophysical sources, including massive stars, accreting neutron stars, shocks, supernova, and AGN, among others. These X-rays will then interact with the surrounding material mainly composed of gas and dust grains. The launch of the Chandra X-ray Observatory and the XMM-Newton Observatory in 1999 opened a new era in X-ray astronomy by revealing an abundance of X-ray-dominated regions in the cold and hot universe (Paerels & Kahn 2003).

The properties of dust grains will depend on their astrophysical environment. Dust grains in the interstellar medium are on average isolated 0.1 \( \mu m \) sized and quasi-transparent to hard X-rays. Dust grains in protoplanetary disks can grow to cm-sizes via coagulation by the time the central star becomes optically visible (Natta et al. 2007). In these regions, larger dust grains are able to absorb hard X-rays and undergo alteration. Protoplanetary disks are known to have strong X-ray fields arising from the central young stars (Feigelson et al. 2003). Gorti & Hollenbach (2009) show that X-rays play a role on the disk mass-loss rates via the indirect effect of raising the degree of ionization in the disk and increasing the efficiency of FUV-induced grain photoelectric heating, and in cases with high X-ray luminosities, promoting the formation of gaps in the inner \( r \sim 10 \, AU \) disk.

Watson et al. (2009) studied a large sample of protoplanetary disks in the Taurus-Auriga young cluster and found that,
while crystalline silicates are confined to small radii, $r \lesssim 10$ AU, there is no correlation of the crystalline mass fraction with stellar mass, luminosity, or accretion rate, or even with disk factors (mass, disks/star mass ratio). They suggest that X-ray heating may be dominating the heating and annealing of the grains or that another process must be at work within the disks to erase the correlations they produce (such as giant planet formation and migration). Glauser et al. (2009) examined the first hypothesis by studying the link between the X-ray luminosity and the X-ray hardness of the central object of 42 T Tauri stars (class II) with the crystalline mass fraction of the circumstellar dust. They found a significant anti-correlation for 20 objects within an age range of $\sim 1$ to 4.5 Myr. They determined that fluxes around 1 AU and ion energies of the present solar wind are sufficient to amorphize the upper layer of dust grains very efficiently, leading to an observable reduction of the crystalline mass fraction of the circumstellar sub-micron-sized dust. This effect could also erase other relations between crystallinity and disk/star parameters such as age or spectral type. More recently, Cleeves et al. (2014) have studied the primary ionizing agents in the TW Hya protoplanetary disks: cosmic rays and X-rays. They found that the emission spectra due to HCO$^+$ and N$_2$H$^+$ is best fitted by moderately hard X-rays, dominating incident cosmic rays.

In light of these findings, two competing mechanisms might be at the origin of the alteration of circumstellar dust. Depending on the age of the central star, X-rays may amorphize grains efficiently, while thermal annealing near the star will crystallize dust grains. Although grain growth could play a role in the disappearance of crystalline features in the mid-infrared, the coagulation of crystalline grains into aggregates is possible. Crystalline silicates observed in disks are significantly smaller than amorphous grains (Juhász et al. 2010) and could assemble into larger amorphous aggregates (Watson et al. 2009; Merin et al. 2007). In this way, crystalline silicate bands will appear as if the crystals were isolated (Min et al. 2008). X-rays can transform the crystals in the aggregates into amorphous grains so that crystalline spectral features are lost. Further observations from the mid-infrared to the millimeter, models including grain size distributions, and laboratory experiments are all needed to distinguish between grain growth or coagulation and amorphization or annealing in protoplanetary disks.

From all our X-ray irradiated samples, only the crystalline enstatite grains embedded in cyanoacrylate, an organic resin, underwent amorphization. The free crystalline grains in the capillary (without resin) did not undergo amorphization. In addition, none of the amorphous silicate samples evolved: neither in the resin-embedded Si box nor in the capillary holder. We interpret this by the fact that free sub-micron-sized grains are quasi-transparent to hard X-rays. Dust grains in the interstellar medium, where grains are sub-micron-sized and isolated, will probably not absorb hard X-rays as long as they are free. On the other hand, the sample that did undergo amorphization was embedded in an organic matrix, acting as a coagulation source, increasing the volume of the sample and allowing charge build-up by secondary photoelectrons. More experiments with different organic materials will be required to explore the role of organics in coagulation and charge build-up under irradiation. Organic and mineral admixtures are present in space, as shown by a study of returned IDPs by Bradley et al. (2005). They show that GEMS contain amorphous silicates surrounded by carbon matter (a mixture of inorganic and organic carbon), with isotopic ratios signaling its interstellar origin. Indeed, during the passage from cold dark clouds to protoplanetary disks, molecules freeze out from the gas phase onto dust grain surfaces, producing icy mantles (Bergin & Tafalla 2007). Under irradiation (from photons, ions, or electrons), these icy mantles can produce organic residues on mineral grain surfaces. These organic residues can form in molecular clouds, but also in protoplanetary disks and protostellar cores. Protoplanetary disks contain silicates but also carbon dust (Lisse et al. 2006; Tielens 2008) and ices (Carr & Najita 2008). Organic solids can play a role in the amorphization of silicate-organic aggregates in the X-ray-dominated regions of protoplanetary disks via charging of the particles upon X-ray irradiation.

7. Conclusions

Hard X-rays are present in several astrophysical environments. The goal of this study is to simulate the exposure of cosmic dust to hard X-rays and understand the potential effects on the dust grain structure. To do this, we prepared cosmic dust grain analogs: crystalline and amorphous MgSiO$\_3$ grains with an average size of $\sim 8$ nm. We irradiated these grains with hard X-ray nanobeams produced at the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (Grenoble) at beamline ID16B-NA and followed their evolution in situ via X-ray diffraction. While irradiation with the monochromatic beam at 29.4 keV gives an upper limit to the cumulative effect of hard X-rays, it does not simulate realistic stellar fluxes in these astrophysical environments. Future experiments are planned with broad spectrum and soft X-ray sources that will complement this work.

An evolution of the structure of crystalline grains embedded in an organic resin toward amorphization was detected after analysis of their diffractograms. The net amorphization of the grains was attributed to charging effects enhanced by the organic matrix, increasing the effective volume of the sample. The reverse process, crystallization of amorphous silicates, was not detected for equivalent X-ray exposures. None of the free samples (without cyanoacrylate) showed any alteration, a result applicable to the interaction of hard X-rays with isolated submicron dust grains in the interstellar medium. Our results manifest the capacity of hard X-rays to modify the structure of agglomerated nanoparticles in higher density regions irradiated by young stars such as protoplanetary disks, where grains can coagulate into cm sizes and beyond. The results of these high-exposure X-ray irradiation experiments have important consequences for analysis of microscopic mineral samples with synchrotron radiation. In particular, the use of highly insulating materials such as aerogel could affect the analysis of curated dust return samples. High fluences would then have to be carefully limited.
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