Molecular ions in the O-rich evolved star OH231.8+4.2: HCO$^+$, H$^{13}$CO$^+$ and first detection of SO$^+$, N$_2$H$^+$, and H$_3$O$^{++}$
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ABSTRACT

OH231.8+4.2, a bipolar outflow around a Mira-type variable star, displays a unique molecular richness amongst circumstellar envelopes (CSEs) around O-rich AGB and post-AGB stars. We report line observations of the HCO$^+$ and H$^{13}$CO$^+$ molecular ions and the first detection of SO$^+$, N$_2$H$^+$, and (tentatively) H$_3$O$^+$ in this source. SO$^+$ and H$_3$O$^+$ have not been detected before in CSEs around evolved stars. These data have been obtained as part of a full mm-wave and far-IR spectral line survey carried out with the IRAM 30 m radio telescope and with Herschel/HIFI. Except for H$_3$O$^+$, all the molecular ions detected in this work display emission lines with broad profiles (FWHM $\sim$ 50–90 km s$^{-1}$), which indicates that these ions are abundant in the fast bipolar outflow of OH231.8. The narrow profile (FWHM $\sim$ 14 km s$^{-1}$) and high critical densities ($\gtrsim 10^6$ cm$^{-3}$) of the H$_2$O$^+$ transitions observed are consistent with this ion arising from denser, inner (and presumably warmer) layers of the slow AGB CSE at the core of the nebula. From rotational diagram analysis, we deduce excitation temperatures of $T_{\text{ex}} \sim$ 10–20 K for all ions except for H$_3$O$^+$, which is most consistent with $T_{\text{ex}} \approx 100$ K. Although uncertain, the higher excitation temperature suspected for H$_3$O$^+$ is similar to that recently found for H$_2$O and a few other molecules, which selectively trace a previously unidentified, warm nebular component. The column densities of the molecular ions reported here are in the range $N_{\text{ex}} \sim [1–8] \times 10^{11}$ cm$^{-2}$, leading to beam-averaged fractional abundances relative to H$_2$ of $X(\text{HCO}^+) \approx 10^{-8}$, $X(\text{H}^{13}\text{CO}^+) \approx 2 \times 10^{-9}$, $X(\text{SO}^+) \approx 4 \times 10^{-9}$, $X(\text{N}_2\text{H}^+) \approx 2 \times 10^{-9}$, and $X(\text{H}_3\text{O}^+) \approx 7 \times 10^{-9}$ cm$^{-2}$. We have performed chemical kinetics models to investigate the formation of these ions in OH231.8 as the result of standard gas phase reactions initiated by cosmic-ray and UV-photon ionization. The model predicts that HCO$^+$, SO$^+$, and H$_3$O$^+$ can form with abundances comparable to the observed average values in the external layers of the slow central core (at $\approx 3 \times 10^{16}$ cm); H$_2$O$^+$ would also form quite abundantly in regions closer to the center ($X(\text{H}_2\text{O}^+) \sim 10^{-9}$ at $\approx 10^{10}$ cm). For N$_2$H$^+$, the model abundance is lower than the observed value by more than two orders of magnitude. The model fails to reproduce the abundance enrichment of HCO$^+$, SO$^+$, and N$_2$H$^+$ in the lobes, which is directly inferred from the broad emission profiles of these ions. Also, in disagreement with the narrow H$_2$O$^+$ spectra, the model predicts that this ion should form in relatively large, detectable amounts ($\gtrsim 10^8$) in the external layers of the slow central core and in the high-velocity lobes. Some of the model-data discrepancies are reduced, but not suppressed, by lowering the water content and enhancing the elemental nitrogen abundance in the envelope. The remarkable chemistry of OH231.8 probably reflects the molecular regeneration process within its envelope after the passage of fast shocks that accelerated and dissociated molecules in the AGB wind $\sim$ 800 yr ago.
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1. Introduction

OH231.8+4.2 (hereafter, OH231.8) is a well-studied bipolar nebula around an OH/IR source¹. Although its evolutionary stage is not clear because of its many unusual properties, it is believed to be a planetary nebula (PN) precursor probably caught in a short-lived transitional phase. The obscured central star, named QX Pup, is classified as M9-10 III and has a Mira-like variability consistent with an evolved asymptotic giant branch (AGB) star (Cohen 1981; Feast et al. 1983; Kastner et al. 1992; Sánchez Contreras et al. 2004). The evolution of this object may have been complex since it has a binary companion star (of type A0 V), which has been indirectly identified from the analysis of the stellar spectrum reflected by the nebular dust (Cohen et al. 1985; Sánchez Contreras et al. 2004). The system, located at $\sim$1500 pc (Choi et al. 2012), has a total luminosity of $\sim 10^4 L_\odot$ and its systemic velocity relative to the Local Standard of Rest (LSR) is $V_{LSR} \sim 34$ km s$^{-1}$. OH231.8 is likely a member of the open cluster M 46 with a progenitor mass of $\sim 3 M_\odot$ (Jura & Morris 1985).

OH231.8 is surrounded by a massive ($\sim 1 M_\odot$) and predominantly cold ($\sim 10–40$ K) molecular envelope well characterized
by mm-wavelength emission from CO and other molecules (Fig. 1). The molecular gas is located in a very elongated and clumpy structure with two major components: (i) a central core (referred to as *clump I*) with an angular diameter of \(\sim 6\,\text{arcmin}\), a total mass of \(\sim 0.64\,M_\odot\), and low expansion velocity of \(V_{\text{exp}} \sim 6-35\,\text{km\,s}^{-1}\); and (ii) a highly collimated \(66^\circ \times 57^\circ\) bipolar outflow, with a mass of \(\sim 0.3\,M_\odot\) and expansion velocities that increase linearly with the distance from the center, reaching values of up to \(\sim 200\) and \(430\,\text{km\,s}^{-1}\) at the tip of the north and south lobe, respectively. The temperature in the lobes is notably low, \(\sim 10-20\,\text{K}\) (Sánchez Contreras et al. 1997; Alcolea et al. 2001).

The molecular envelope of OH 231.8 is markedly different from the slow, roughly round expanding circumstellar envelopes (CSEs) of most AGB stars; its pronounced axial symmetry, large expansion velocities, and the presence of shocks are common in objects that have left the AGB phase and are evolving to the PN stage, the so-called pre-PNe (Neri et al. 1998; Bujarrabal et al. 2001; Castro-Carrizo et al. 2010; Sánchez Contreras & Sahai 2012). It is believed that the nebula of OH 231.8 was created as a result of a huge mass-loss event that occurred during the late-AGB evolution of the primary at a rate of \(M \approx 10^{-4}\,M_\odot\,\text{yr}^{-1}\). With a total linear momentum of \(\sim 27\,M_\odot\,\text{km\,s}^{-1}\), the bipolar flow is interpreted as the result of a sudden axial acceleration of the envelope. The linear distance-velocity relation observed in the CO-outflow (with a projected velocity gradient of \(v_\perp \sim 6.5\,\text{km\,s}^{-1}\) arcsec\(^{-1}\)) suggests that such an acceleration took place \(\sim 800\) years ago in less than \(150\,\text{yr}\). Low-velocity, low-latitude central core of the outflow is thought to be the fossil remnant of the AGB star’s CSE.

It is probable that the acceleration of the bipolar lobes resulted from the violent collision of underlying jets (emanating from the stellar companion) and the slowly expanding AGB envelope (Sánchez Contreras et al. 2000; Alcolea et al. 2001; Bujarrabal et al. 2002; Sánchez Contreras et al. 2004); this is one plausible scenario that has been proposed to explain the shaping and acceleration of bipolar pre-PNe and PNe (e.g., Sahai & Trauger 1998; Balick & Frank 2002). Recently, Sabin et al. (2014) have found indications of a well-organized magnetic field parallel to the major axis of the CO-outflow of OH 231.8 that could point to a magnetic outflow launching mechanism. Alternatively, as noticed by these authors, the magnetic field could have been dragged by the fast outflow which may have been driven by a different mechanism. For example, the underlying jets could have been launched by the main-sequence companion powered by mass accretion from the mass-losing AGB star through an FU Ori type outburst (Sánchez Contreras et al. 2004) or a much more violent (\(\lesssim 100\,\text{days}\)) intermediate-luminosity optical transient (ILOT) like event (Soker & Kashi 2012).

OH 231.8 has a remarkably rich and unusual chemistry amongst CSEs around O-rich low-to-intermediate-mass evolved stars. In addition to the typical oxygen-rich content, with molecules such as H\(_2\)O, OH or SiO (Bowers & Morris 1984; Morris et al. 1987; Zijlstra et al. 2001; Sánchez Contreras et al. 2002; Desmurs et al. 2007), OH 231.8 displays strong lines of many different molecular species, including many containing carbon. High-angular-resolution mapping of the HCO\(^+\) (\(J = 1-0\)) emission (Fig. 1) indicates that this ion is comparatively more abundant in the fast lobes that in the slow central core (Sánchez Contreras et al. 2000). Single-dish maps of the SiO (\(J = 5-4\)) emission show that the abundance of this molecule could also be enhanced in the lobes (Sánchez Contreras et al. 1997). The spectrum of OH 231.8 is unusually rich in lines from S- and N-bearing molecules. For example, it was the first O-rich CSE in which H\(_2\)S, NS, CS, and OCS were detected, and we have recently reported the first detection of HNCO, HNCS, HC\(_3\)N, and NO (Velilla Prieto et al. 2015). Some of these S- and N-compounds are present in the envelope at levels not expected in O-rich CSEs around low-to-intermediate mass stars. In the case of OH 231.8, it has been proposed that extra Si and S are released into the gas phase from the sputtering of dust grains by shocks. Shocks might also initiate (endothermic) reactions that trigger the N and S chemistry and could also be additional suppliers of free atoms and ions (Morris et al. 1987; Sánchez Contreras et al. 2000; Velilla Prieto et al. 2015).

We have recently completed a sensitive molecular line survey of this object in the mm-wavelength and far-IR range with the IRAM 30 m telescope and *Herschel* (Velilla Prieto et al. 2015; Sánchez Contreras et al. 2014; full survey data to be published by Velilla Prieto et al.; Sánchez Contreras et al., in prep.). We have detected hundreds of molecular transitions, discovered >30 new species (including isotopologues), and extended the rotational ladders for many others. This has led to very detailed information on the global physicochemical structure of this envelope. From a preliminary analysis of the survey data (Sánchez Contreras et al. 2014), we find two main temperature components: a) a predominantly cold (\(\sim 10-40\,\text{K}\)) component mainly traced by CO (but also by, e.g., HCN, HNC, HNCO, and H\(^3\)CO\(^+\)) and b) a warm (\(\sim 100\,\text{K}\)) molecular component that is selectively traced by certain molecules, such as H\(_2\)O, amongst a few others (e.g., CS, H\(_2\)S, and SiS).

In this paper, we report the first detection of the molecular ions SO\(^+\), N\(_2\)H\(^+\), and (tentatively) H\({}_2\)O\(^+\) in OH 231.8 as part of our surveys. We also present and analyse several lines of H\(^3\)CO\(^+\) and H\(^2\)CO\(^+\), which are known to be present in OH 231.8 from earlier works (Morris et al. 1987; Sánchez Contreras et al. 1997, 2000). Molecular ions are believed to be significant contributors to the molecule formation process in circumstellar environments, which are active sites of molecular synthesis. In the interstellar medium (including molecular clouds, star-forming regions, PDRs, etc.) more than 30 molecular ions have been detected, however, in the envelopes around low-to-intermediate mass evolved stars detections still remain scarce and limited to HCO\(^+\) in most cases (see, e.g., Sandford 2011). In only a few objects in the post-AGB or PN phase, other positive ions have been detected, namely, CO\(^+\), N\(_2\)H\(^+\), CH\(^+\), OH\(^+\), and (tentatively) HCS\(^+\). Except for OH\(^+\), so far these ions have been exclusively identified in C-rich objects, which show in general a richer chemistry than their O-rich analogues. In particular, toward the young PN NGC 7027, where HCO\(^+\), H\(^3\)CO\(^+\), CO\(^+\), N\(_2\)H\(^+\), CH\(^+\), and, tentatively, HCS\(^+\), are observed (Cernicharo et al. 1997; Hasegawa & Kwok 2001; Zhang et al. 2008, and references therein) and the pre-PN CRL618, where HCO\(^+\) and N\(_2\)H\(^+\) are detected (Bujarrabal et al. 1988; Pardo et al. 2007). Recently, the ion OH\(^+\) has been detected with *Herschel* in five PNe (Etzaluz et al. 2014; Aleman et al. 2014). To our knowledge, in CSEs negatively charged molecular anions have been found to date only in the C-rich AGB star IRC+10216 (e.g., McCarthy et al. 2006; Cernicharo et al. 2007; Agúndez et al. 2010a).

2. Observations

These data are part of a spectral line survey in the mm/far-IR wavelength range carried out with the IRAM 30 m radio telescope (\(\sim 79-355\,\text{GHz}\); Sánchez Contreras et al. 2011; Velilla Prieto et al. 2013) and the *Herschel* space observatory.
Clump is I1) \[ \text{Fig. 2 of Sánchez Contreras et al. (2000). The smallest and largest in OH 231.8 from previous interferometric observations; adapted from HPBW of the IRAM 30 m (long dashed) and Herschel I7, as in Alcolea et al. (2001). Clump I2d corresponds to the region labeled the dioscopes in these observations are represented by the circumferences. We + where the HCO}_+ \text{ emission peaks. The } T_{\text{MB}} \text{ are presented in units of antenna-temperature (A), which can be converted to a main-beam temperature (T_{\text{MB}}) scale via } T_{\text{MB}} = T_\Lambda / \eta_{\text{MB}}, \text{ where } \eta_{\text{MB}} \text{ is the frequency dependent ratio between the main-beam efficiency (} \eta_{\text{MB}} \text{) and the forward efficiency (} \eta_0 \text{) of the telescope (values are provided in Sects. 2.1 and 2.2 for IRAM 30 m and Herschel, respectively).}

In both surveys, we have observed one single position toward the center of OH 231.8 (with J2000 coordinates RA^h^m^s^s = 14:42:52.10, Dec = −14°42′52″10′). Considering the half power beam width (HPBW) of the IRAM 30 m and Herschel telescopes at the observed frequencies (HPBW_{30 m} \sim 7′′−29′′ and HPBW_{Herschel} \sim 18′′−41′′), this pointing fully covers the slow central core at all frequencies and the fast bipolar lobes up to some extent depending on the frequency (Fig. 1).

2.1. Observations with IRAM 30 m/EMIR

Our mm/submm-wavelength survey was performed with the IRAM 30 m radio telescope (Pico Veleta, Granada, Spain) using the new generation heterodyne Eight MIxer Receiver (EMIR). Spectra were taken in several observational campaigns between years 2009 and 2013. We covered the whole accessible frequency range \sim 79−355 GHz, using the four EMIR bands E090 \sim 3 mm, E150 \sim 2 mm, E230 = 1 mm, and E330 = 0.8 mm (Carter et al. 2012). The EMIR was operated in single sideband (SSB) mode for band E150, and in dual sideband (2SB) mode for bands E090, E230 and E330. In all cases, the image sideband was rejected with an average sideband rejection of \sim 14 dB. Each receiver band was connected to different backends; here we report data observed with the WILMA autocorrelator, which provides a spectral resolution of 2 MHz, and the Fast Fourier Transform Spectrometer (FTS) in its 195 kHz spectral resolution mode. Observations were done in wobbler switching mode with a wobbler throw of 120°. Pointing and focus were checked regularly (every \sim 1.5 and \sim 4 h, respectively) on strong nearby sources. On-source integration times per tuning step were typically \sim 1 h. Calibration scans on the standard two load system were taken every \sim 18 min. Errors in the absolute flux calibration are expected to be \sim 25%. The parameters of the beam of the IRAM 30 m telescope used in this work are described to a good accuracy as a function of the frequency (v) by

\[
\text{HPBW(′′)} = \frac{2460 \nu[\text{GHz}]}{\exp\left(-\frac{\nu[\text{GHz}]}{399.7}\right)} \eta_{\text{eff}}
\]

according to measurement updates performed in August 2013. 2

We reduced the data using CLASS3 following the standard procedure, which includes killing bad channels, subtracting baseline, and averaging individual, good quality scans to produce final spectra.

2.2. Observations with Herschel/HIFI

Observations were carried out with the Herschel Space Observatory (Pilbratt et al. 2010) and its HIFI’s wideband spectrometer (WBS de Graauw et al. 2010) in several runs in November 2011 and April-May 2012. Our survey, executed in spectral scan mode, covers the frequency range \sim 749−1244 GHz (bands 1a−5a) with an average spectral resolution of \Delta \nu = 1 MHz. Observations were performed in the dual beam switching (DBS) mode with a 3′ chop throw. The two orthogonal receivers of HIFI (horizontal H, and vertical V) were used simultaneously.

We reprocessed raw Herschel/HIFI data from level 0 to level 2 running the hifipipeline task of HIPE4 (versions 9.0–11.0; Ott 2010). Afterward, level 2 spectra were saved to FITS format and then imported by CLASS where standard data reduction routines were applied: blanking bad-quality data, 

\footnote{2 http://www.iram.es/IRAMES/mainWiki/EmirforAstronomers} \footnote{3 CLASS is a worldwide software to process, reduce, and analyze heterodyne line observations maintained by the Institut de Radioastronomie Millimétrique (IRAM) and distributed with the GILDAS software, see http://www.iram.fr/IRAMFR/GILDAS} \footnote{4 HIPE is a joint development by the Herschel Science Ground Segment Consortium, consisting of ESA, the NASA Herschel Science Center, and the HIFI, PACS, and SPIRE consortia.}
removing spurs, fitting and subtracting baselines, stitching of the spectrometer subbands, and combining individual spectra. We averaged the spectra from both V and H polarisations (with equal weights), reducing the noise in the final product.

HIFI is a double-sideband (DSB) heterodyne instrument and, therefore, every spectrum contains the upper and lower sideband data folded together. We performed the deconvolution of the DSB data into single-sideband (SSB) format in CLASS using the task decon. We assumed a side-band gain ratio of one. We performed the DSB-deconvolution using all bands simultaneously, which takes advantage of redundant observations in the frequency overlap regions between bands and optimizes the rms of the final SSB spectra. The absolute flux calibration uncertainty of the full, deconvolved SSB spectrum is estimated to be \( \pm 10\% \) (HIFI Observers – Manual, version 2.4, Sect. 5.7). Here we adopt a more conservative value of \( \pm 20\% \).

We adopted the values of HPBW and \( \eta_{\text{eff}} \) most recently updated and reported by Mueller et al. (2014)\(^5\), which supersede previous estimates in Roelfsema et al. (1997; Sánchez Contreras et al. 1997), HCO\(^+\) A52, page 4 of 22

\[ \eta_{\text{eff}} = \eta_{\text{mb}} = \frac{\eta_{\text{mb,0}}}{\eta_\lambda} \exp \left( -\frac{4\pi\sigma_{\text{mb}}}{\lambda} \right)^2 \]  

(2)

with the forward efficiency, \( \eta_\lambda \), being 0.96 at all frequencies (Mueller et al. 2014; Roelfsema et al. 2012), and where \( \lambda \) and \( \sigma_{\text{mb}} \) are both expressed in \( \mu m \). As shown in Table A.1, \( \eta_{\text{mb,0}} \) and \( \sigma_{\text{mb}} \) are different for the different mixers of HIFI.

### 3. Results

We detected emission from the molecular ions HCO\(^+\), H\(^3\)CO\(^+\), SO\(^+\), N\(_2\)H\(^+\), and (tentatively) H\(_2\)O towards OH 231.8 (Table 1 and Figs. 2–5). Except for HCO\(^+\) \( J = 1-0 \) (Morris et al. 1987; Sánchez Contreras et al. 1997), HCO\(^+\) \( J = 3-2 \), and H\(^3\)CO\(^+\) \( J = 1-0 \) (Sánchez Contreras et al. 1997), all transitions reported here are first detections in this source. SO\(^+\) and H\(_2\)O have not been detected before in CSFIs around evolved stars (either oxygen- or carbon-rich). Quintana-Lacaci et al. (2013) recently reported the discovery of N\(_2\)H\(^+\) emission toward the massive yellow hypergiant IRC+10420, but no detection of this molecule in O-rich low-to-intermediate mass evolved stars (AGB or post-AGB) has been published to date. Detection of N\(_2\)H\(^+\) has been reported in two C-rich objects, the young PN NGC7027 and the pre-PN CRL618 (see Sect. 2).

In Table 1 we list the main parameters of the molecular transitions observed, which are all in the ground vibrational state. The flux is computed by integrating the area under the line profile. The corresponding formal errors of the flux are given in parenthesis in the last column of the table and have been calculated according to the error propagation theory as

\[ \sigma = \text{rms} \sqrt{\text{FWZI}} \times \Delta v, \]

where FWZI is the spectral window (in km s\(^{-1}\)) over which the line is integrated and rms is the root mean square statistical noise (in kelvins) of the spectrum near the line for a spectral resolution of \( \Delta v \) (in km s\(^{-1}\)). This estimate of the flux error does not include additional uncertainties that may result from baseline subtraction and absolute flux calibration. Typically, we binned our original spectra to match a common velocity resolution of \( \Delta v \sim 2 \) km s\(^{-1}\), except for the 2 MHz-resolution WILMA data at frequencies lower than \( \sim 300 \) GHz, for which \( \Delta v \) is already larger than 2 km s\(^{-1}\) (Sect. 2). We obtained the line frequencies and other spectroscopic parameters discussed here from the Cologne Database for Molecular Spectroscopy (CDMS; Müller et al. 2005), the Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) molecular spectroscopy database

### Table 1. Parameters of the transitions used in this research.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rest Freq. (MHz)</th>
<th>Transition QNs</th>
<th>( E_u ) (K)</th>
<th>( A_{ul} ) (s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>( \int T_{d}^2 du ) (K km s(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H(_2)CO(^+)</td>
<td>( \mu = 3.888 ) Debyes</td>
<td>89 188.5</td>
<td>1–0</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>267 557.6</td>
<td>3–2</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>1.44E-03</td>
<td>9.1 (0.30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>535 061.6</td>
<td>6–5</td>
<td>89.9</td>
<td>1.24E-02</td>
<td>0.15 (0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>624 208.4</td>
<td>7–6</td>
<td>119.8</td>
<td>1.997E-02</td>
<td>&lt;0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(^3)CO(^+)</td>
<td>( \mu = 3.888 ) Debyes</td>
<td>86 754.3</td>
<td>1–0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>260 255.3</td>
<td>3–2</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>1.32E-03</td>
<td>1.85 (0.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>346 998.3</td>
<td>4–3</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>3.26E-03</td>
<td>0.76 (0.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>520 459.9</td>
<td>6–5</td>
<td>87.4</td>
<td>1.14E-02</td>
<td>&lt;0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO(^+)</td>
<td>( \mu = 2.3 ) Debyes</td>
<td>162 198.6</td>
<td>7/2–5/2 (e)</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>208 965.4</td>
<td>9/2–7/2 (f)</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>2.45E-04</td>
<td>0.50 (0.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>254 977.9</td>
<td>11/2–9/2 (e)</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>4.56E-04</td>
<td>0.55 (0.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>301 361.5</td>
<td>13/2–11/2 (e)</td>
<td>53.4</td>
<td>7.65E-04</td>
<td>&lt;0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>301 736.8</td>
<td>13/2–11/2 (f)</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>7.68E-04</td>
<td>&lt;0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>347 740.0</td>
<td>15/2–13/2 (e)</td>
<td>70.1</td>
<td>1.18E-03</td>
<td>0.25 (0.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>348 115.2</td>
<td>15/2–13/2 (f)</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>1.19E-03</td>
<td>&lt;0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>486 837.2</td>
<td>20/2–18/2 (f)</td>
<td>133.5</td>
<td>3.33E-03</td>
<td>&lt;0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>487 212.1</td>
<td>20/2–18/2 (e)</td>
<td>133.7</td>
<td>3.33E-03</td>
<td>&lt;0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(_2)H(^+)</td>
<td>( \mu = 3.4 ) Debyes</td>
<td>93 173.1</td>
<td>1–0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>279 510.8</td>
<td>3–2</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>1.25E-03</td>
<td>1.17 (0.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>559 965.1</td>
<td>6–5</td>
<td>93.9</td>
<td>1.08E-02</td>
<td>&lt;0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>652 094.2</td>
<td>7–6</td>
<td>125.2</td>
<td>1.74E-02</td>
<td>&lt;0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(_2)O</td>
<td>( \mu = 1.44 ) Debyes</td>
<td>30 719.2</td>
<td>1–2 (e)</td>
<td>79.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>948 708.7</td>
<td>0–1 (f)</td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>2.30E-02</td>
<td>0.40 (0.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1031 299.5</td>
<td>2–3 (e)</td>
<td>232.2</td>
<td>5.14E-03</td>
<td>&lt;0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1069 827.6</td>
<td>3–4 (e)</td>
<td>268.8</td>
<td>9.83E-03</td>
<td>&lt;0.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^5\) The HIFI Beam: Release #1. Release Note for Astronomers at http://herschel.esac.esa.int/twiki/bin/view/Public/HIFI/dischernmentary-CalibrationWeb\#HIFI_performance_and_calibration

Notes. The table includes: 1) rest frequency (MHz); 2) rotational quantum numbers; 3) upper level energy (\( E_u \)); 4) spontaneous emission Einstein’s coefficient (\( A_{ul} \)); and 5) integrated intensity or line flux (\( \int T_{d}^2 \) du) and its error (within parenthesis); for nondetections, we provide 3\( \sigma \)-upper limits to the line flux adopting a spectral window of 30 km s\(^{-1}\), except for H\(_2\)O for which we use 12 km s\(^{-1}\) (see Sect. 3.4). The permanent dipole moment (\( \mu \)) of the ions are also indicated. (†) Tentative detection.
Fig. 2. Spectra of HCO$^+$ (left) and H$^{13}$CO$^+$ (right) emission lines in OH 231.8. The velocity resolution ($\Delta V$) and half power beam width (HPBW) are indicated inside the boxes at the top-right corner. A two Gaussian fit to the blend of the HCO$^+$ $J = 3-2$ and SO$_2$ lines is shown (dotted lines).

(Pickett et al. 1998), and the MADEX catalogue (Cernicharo 2012).

3.1. H$^{13}$CO$^+$ and H$^{13}$CO$^+$

The three HCO$^+$ lines we observed toward OH 231.8 are shown in Fig. 2. The single-dish profiles of the $J = 1-0$ and $J = 3-2$ transitions were already known from previous observations (Sánchez Contreras et al. 1997, 2000). As expected, we find similar shapes and absolute intensities (within the calibration uncertainties). The HCO$^+$ $J = 1-0$ transition, observed over a full velocity range of $\sim$200 km s$^{-1}$ ($V_{\text{LSR}} = [-80:+120]$ km s$^{-1}$), is characterized by a broad flattened profile centered around $V_{\text{LSR}} \sim 30$ km s$^{-1}$ with a full width at half maximum of $FWHM \sim 90$ km s$^{-1}$ and a weaker, blue-shifted component centered at $V_{\text{LSR}} \sim -53$ km s$^{-1}$ with $FWHM \sim 25$ km s$^{-1}$.

The HCO$^+$ $J = 3-2$ line is also broad, covering the velocity range $V_{\text{LSR}} = [-20:+105]$ km s$^{-1}$. There is a prominent feature centered at $V_{\text{LSR}} \sim 55$ km s$^{-1}$ with $FWHM \sim 24$ km s$^{-1}$, which represents roughly half of the total flux measured in the profile. This feature, observed but not discussed in previous works, is a blend of HCO$^+$ $J = 3-2$ with the SO$_2$ $J_{K_a} = 13_{3,11}-13_{2,12}$ line at 267.5 GHz ($E_u = 105$ K). SO$_2$ shows a wealth of intense transitions that dominate the mm/far-IR spectrum of OH 231.8. We have used these other SO$_2$ transitions to estimate the total column density and excitation temperature of this molecule.
with the classic population diagram method (to be published in Sánchez Contreras et al., in prep.). Using this technique, we confirm the identification of the SO$_2$ line as the main contributor to the $V_{\text{LSR}} \sim 55 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ feature and remove its emission from the total flux measured. We find that the expected intensity of this SO$_2$ transition accounts for 50% of the total flux measured in the blend at 267 GHz ($T_A^\text{d} \sim 18 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) and, thus, the remaining $\sim 50\%$ is due to HCO$^+$ $J = 3\rightarrow 2$ (Table 1). A two Gaussian fit to the blended profile is consistent with the HCO$^+$ line being centered at $V_{\text{LSR}} \sim 40 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and having $FWHM \sim 80 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (although these figures are rather uncertain).

Regarding HCO$^+$ $J = 6\rightarrow 5$, although the low S/N ratio prevents an accurate characterization of the profile, the shape of this weak line is also consistent with a broad profile ($FWZI \sim 60 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) with two peaks around $V_{\text{LSR}} \sim 20$ and 50 km s$^{-1}$, similar to the profile of the H$^{13}$CO$^+$ $J = 4\rightarrow 3$ (also in Fig. 2, right column).

As already noted and discussed by, e.g., Morris et al. (1987) and Sánchez Contreras et al. (1997), the broad HCO$^+$ profiles are notably different from those of most molecules, including $^{12}$CO and $^{13}$CO. The latter display an intense narrow emission core (in the range $V_{\text{LSR}} = [+10:+55] \text{ km s}^{-1}$) that arises at the low-velocity, low-latitude parts of the nebula (clump I3), which contain $\sim 2/3$ of the total nebular mass, plus much weaker broad wings produced by the less massive but faster bipolar lobes. (Profiles of $^{13}$CO transitions from our survey are included as additional material in Appendix B, Fig. B.2).

The spatio-kinematic distribution of HCO$^+$ $J = 1\rightarrow 0$ is known with $\sim 4\prime\times 2\prime$-resolution from interferometric maps by Sánchez Contreras et al. (2000) – see Fig. 1. These data show that, indeed, the different line profiles of HCO$^+$ and CO come from significant differences between the spatial distribution of these molecules. In contrast to CO, the HCO$^+$ $J = 1\rightarrow 0$ emission is enhanced in the bipolar lobes and it shows a deficit of emission from the massive slow central region; this explains the broad flattened HCO$^+$ profiles. The HCO$^+$ emission peaks at a compact region (referred to as clump I2d) at $\sim 3\prime\sim 4\prime$ along PA $\sim 50\,^\circ$ from the center. There is a large velocity dispersion, of $\sim 80 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, within this clump that, indeed, produces the bulk of the HCO$^+$ $J = 1\rightarrow 0$ emission in the velocity range $V_{\text{LSR}} = [-20:+60] \text{ km s}^{-1}$. The extent of the HCO$^+$ emission in the outflow is smaller than that of CO (in the southern lobe, the HCO$^+$ emission abruptly falls beyond clump I5) although the axial velocity gradient is similar in both cases.

The single-dish profile of the $J = 1 \rightarrow 0$ transition (Fig. 2) is consistent with the whole HCO$^+$ emission completely filling the telescope beam at 89 GHz, $HPBW = 27\prime$; this bluest HCO$^+$ $J = 1\rightarrow 0$ spectral feature at $V_{\text{LSR}} = -53 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ traces the tip of the approaching North lobe (clump I1), while the reddest wing emission (up to $V_{\text{LSR}} = +120 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) originates in the South lobe (mainly from clump I4 and partially from clump I5).

The smaller full width of the HCO$^+$ $J = 3\rightarrow 2$ transition ($\sim 120 \text{ km s}^{-1}$), compared with the $J = 1 \rightarrow 0$ line, is consistent with the smaller beam size of the IRAM 30 m telescope at 267 GHz ($HPWB = 97\prime$) and, thus, the smaller fraction of the axial outflow lying within the beam. Given the velocity range over which the HCO$^+$ $J = 3\rightarrow 2$ emission is observed in our single-dish data, most of the emission must arise at the nebular center, the bright clump I2d and, partially, at the base of the South lobe.

The full width of the HCO$^+$ $J = 6\rightarrow 5$ line is $\sim 60 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. At this frequency, the beam of Herschel is sufficiently large ($HPBW = 39\prime\times 8\prime$, i.e., larger than the IRAM 30 m beam at 89 GHz) as to include the bipolar HCO$^+$-flow in its full extent. Therefore, one would expect to observe HCO$^+$ $J = 6\rightarrow 5$ emission over a velocity range comparable to that of the $J = 1 \rightarrow 0$ line ($\sim 200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$). The narrower $J = 6\rightarrow 5$ profile could indicate that the emission from this higher excitation transition, which has $E_v = 89 \text{ K}$, is restricted to regions closer to the nebula center, with smaller expansion velocities. In particular, the emission could mainly be associated with the bright emission clump I2d. However, these conclusions are very tentative because of the low S/N of this transition.

The H$^{13}$CO$^+$ line profiles are shown in Fig. 2 (right column). As expected, these transitions are weaker than their main isotope analogues. The spectra are broad, with a full width that ranges from $\sim 190 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ in the $J = 1 \rightarrow 0$ transition to $\sim 70 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ in the $J = 4\rightarrow 3$. They lack a dominant narrow core component but, instead, show broad, multiple-peaked shapes. For the $J = 1 \rightarrow 0$ transition, we clearly detect emission at $V_{\text{LSR}} = -50 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ from the tip of the North lobe (clump I1). In all transitions, we detect emission from a velocity range that is consistent with emission produced at the bright clump I2d and at the base of the South lobe (clump I4). The emission contribution of the latter, clump I4, concentrates in the range $V_{\text{LSR}} \sim [60:80] \text{ km s}^{-1}$, this spectral feature being rather prominent and sharp in the $J = 3\rightarrow 2$ and $4\rightarrow 3$ lines.

### 3.2. SO$^+$

SO$^+$ is a reactive radical with a $^3\Pi$-electronic state. The energy levels of a $^3\Pi$-molecule exhibit the additional splittings due to the electron spin and orbital angular momentum interactions ($\Omega$- and $\Lambda$-doublets). The rotational levels are defined with three quantum numbers, $\Omega$, $J$, $\Lambda$, which represent the absolute value of the projection of the total electronic angular momentum on the molecular axis, the total angular momentum from rotation and electronic motion, and the parity, respectively (Amano et al. 1991).

A total of twenty SO$^+$ transitions (five $\Lambda$-doublets in each of the $\Omega = 1/2$ and $\Omega = 3/2$ states) lie within the frequency range covered in our mm-wave survey with IRAM 30 m. The SO$^+$ transitions detected toward OH 231.8 are listed in Table 1 and their profiles are shown in Fig. 3. The lines detected correspond to the lowest rotational levels of the $^3\Pi_{\Omega=2}$ ladder of SO$^+$, which require low- excitation temperatures, between $E_v = 17$ and $70 \text{ K}$. The nondetection of the $^3\Pi_{\Omega=3}$ transitions is in very good agreement with the expectations given that the lowest levels are at $E_v \gtrsim 500 \text{ K}$ above the ground, much higher than the average temperature ($T_{\text{kin}} \sim 10\rightarrow 40 \text{ K}$) of the molecular outflow of OH 231.8 (Sects. 1 and 4.1). In Table 1 we have not included additional SO$^+$ transitions that may be tentatively detected but that appear partially or fully blended with other stronger lines or in particularly noisy spectral regions (the spectra over the full range of frequencies covering the five SO$^+$ doublets observed are shown in Fig. B.1 of the Appendix).

The SO$^+$ line profiles are broad, with $FWHM \sim 60 \pm 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and centered around $V_{\text{LSR}} \sim 40 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (Fig. 3). The moderate S/N of the spectra does not justify an accurate analysis of the profiles, however, it is sufficient to establish that, as for HCO$^+$, the SO$^+$ lines lack a prominent, narrow central core, but instead are dominated by a broad spectral component. This suggests a similar distribution for SO$^+$ and HCO$^+$ and, therefore, it is likely that the emission from both molecules arises mainly at the fast outflow.

### 3.3. $N_2H^+$

$N_2H^+$ is a linear, triatomic molecule. Its spectral lines show hyperfine structure due to the electric quadrupole moment of the
nucleus. The line widths of the individual hyperfine components, which are separated by a few km s\(^{-1}\), are expected to blend because of the large expansion velocities of OH 231.8’s molecular outflow. In Table 1 we list the N\(_2\)H\(^+\) \(J = 1-0\) and \(3-2\) transitions detected in the mm-wavelength range and also provide flux upper limits for nondetections from our far-IR survey with \textit{Herschel} up to upper level energies of \(E_u \sim 125\) K.

The spectra of the two N\(_2\)H\(^+\) transitions detected are shown in Fig. 4. Both lines have rather broad, somewhat structured profiles: the \(J = 1-0\) line is centered at \(45 \pm 4\) km s\(^{-1}\) and has FWHM = \(85 \pm 10\) km s\(^{-1}\) and the \(J = 3-2\) line is centered at \(39 \pm 4\) km s\(^{-1}\) and has FWHM = \(70 \pm 10\) km s\(^{-1}\). The smaller line width of the N\(_2\)H\(^+\) \(J = 3-2\) transition is consistent with the smaller beam size at this frequency (HPBW \(\sim 8\).\textdegree 7), which implies that a smaller fraction of the outflow is probed.

The deconvolved width of the lines at half maximum, taking the hyperfine structure and the spectral resolution of the data into account, is \(\sim 70\) km s\(^{-1}\), indicating that most of the N\(_2\)H\(^+\) emission arises in the fast outflow. As for HCO\(^+\) and SO\(^+\), N\(_2\)H\(^+\) lines present broad profiles, lacking of a prominent, narrow core component.

3.4. H\(_3\)O\(^+\)

The molecular ion H\(_3\)O\(^+\), hydronium, is isoelectronic with ammonia (NH\(_3\)) and has a similar physical structure: H\(_3\)O\(^+\) is a trigonal pyramid with the oxygen atom at the apex and the hydrogen atoms at the base. Like ammonia, H\(_3\)O\(^+\) undergoes inversions as the oxygen atom tunnels through the plane of the hydrogen atoms leading to the characteristic inversion splitting of its rotational levels. An energy level diagram of this molecule for the lowest four rotational levels is shown by, e.g., Mamon et al. (1987). The rotation-inversions transitions are described by three quantum numbers \(J_p\), where the parity number \(p\) takes a + or – value (see, e.g., Kozlov & Levshakov 2011). H\(_3\)O\(^+\) has both ortho- and para- modifications: transitions with \(K = 3n\), where \(n\) is an integer \(\geq 0\), are from the ortho and the rest are para variety.

The spectrum of the p-H\(_3\)O\(^+\) \(J = 1-0\) line at 307 GHz is shown in Fig. 5. A weak emission line is observed centered at \(V_{\text{LSR}} = 34 \pm 3\) km s\(^{-1}\) and with a width of FWHM \(\sim 14 \pm 6\) km s\(^{-1}\). This profile is significantly narrower than those of the other molecular ions described here, but consistent with the low expansion velocities found in the central regions of OH 231.8 (Sect. 1). Although the H\(_3\)O\(^+\) emission is weak, it satisfies a simple objective detection criterion: more than three adjacent channels of width \(3.9\) km s\(^{-1}\), corresponding to an anticipated line-FWHM of \(\geq 12\) km s\(^{-1}\), are above \(1.5\sigma\) (this is adopting a gaussian profile with a \(\geq 3\sigma\) level at the peak). Moreover, the line centroid coincides with the systemic velocity of the source within errors, which reinforces the detection case.

We observed the o-H\(_3\)O\(^+\) \(J = 0-1\) line at 985 GHz and other transitions of this molecular ion at higher frequencies in our survey with \textit{Herschel} toward OH 231.8. The spectrum at 985 GHz (shown in Fig. 5) is consistent with weak emission centered at \(V_{\text{LSR}} = 37 \pm 3\) km s\(^{-1}\) and FWHM = \(8 \pm 5\) km s\(^{-1}\). The line flux measured over a \(14\) km s\(^{-1}\)-wide window
is $0.4(\pm 0.2)\, \text{K}\, \text{km}\, \text{s}^{-1}$, so we take a conservative position and consider this as a tentative detection. Nevertheless, it is worth noting that very weak emission from this transition is indeed expected if H$_3$O$^+$ arises in the warm ($\approx 100\, \text{K}$) envelope component that we recently discovered in our Herschel survey (see Sect. 1 and also Sect. 4.1). This warm component is found to be selectively traced by certain molecules, in particular, by H$_2$O (as well as by CS, H$_2$S, and SiS). Since the chemistry of H$_3$O$^+$ and H$_2$O are expected to be intimately related to each other, we consider the relatively high temperature suspected from the weakness of the H$_3$O$^+$ 985 GHz transition (compared to the H$_3$O$^+$ line at 307 GHz) consistent with both species being produced in similar regions.

4. Analysis

4.1. Column densities and excitation temperatures

We have obtained beam-averaged column densities ($N_{\text{tot}}$) and excitation temperatures ($T_{ex}$) for the different ions observed using the standard population diagram technique (e.g., Goldsmith & Langer 1999). In this method, the natural logarithm of the column density per statistical weight ($N_u/g_u$) is plotted against the energy of the upper level above the ground state ($E_u$) for a number of transitions of the same molecule. Assuming that the lines are optically thin and thermalized, i.e., all levels are under local thermodynamic equilibrium (LTE) conditions at a given unique temperature, $N_u/g_u$ and $E_u$ are related by the following formula:

$$\ln \frac{N_u}{g_u} = \ln \frac{3W_{\text{tot}}}{8\pi^2\nu_{\text{ul}} S_{\text{ul}} \mu^2} = \ln \frac{N_{\text{tot}} Z(T_{ex})}{E_u k T_{ex}},$$

where $k$ is the Boltzmann constant; $W_{\text{tot}}$ is the source brightness temperature integrated over velocity; $\nu_{\text{ul}}$ and $S_{\text{ul}}$ are the frequency and line strength of the transition, respectively; $\mu$ is the appropriate component of the permanent dipole moment of the molecule; $Z(T_{ex})$ its partition function; and $u$ and $l$ refer to the upper and lower levels involved in the transitions. According to Eq. (3), for a given molecule a straight-line fit to the points in the population diagram provides $N_{\text{tot}} Z(T_{ex})$ from the $y$-axis intercept and $T_{ex}$ from the slope of the fit. The line flux $W$ is given by

$$W = f_d^{-1} \eta_{\text{eff}} \int T_{ex}^* d\nu,$$

where $\eta_{\text{eff}}$ is the ratio between the main-beam efficiency and the forward efficiency of the telescope at a given frequency (values are given in Sect. 2) and $f_d$ is the dilution correction factor. The latter is estimated as

$$f_d = 1 - \exp \left\{ - \frac{\Omega_{\text{arc}}}{\Omega_{\text{MB}}} \right\}, \quad \Omega_{\text{arc}} = \frac{\pi}{4} \theta_\alpha \theta_\beta, \quad \Omega_{\text{MB}} = \frac{1}{4\pi} HPBW^2,$$

where $\Omega_{\text{arc}}$ and $\Omega_{\text{MB}}$ are the solid angular extent of the source and the telescope main beam, respectively. These solid angles
Fig. 6. Population diagrams. Line fluxes (circles) and upper limits (triangles) are from Table 1. The error bars include the rms of the spectra and absolute flux calibration uncertainties, up to ∼25%. The frequencies of the transitions used are indicated in GHz. The column density and excitation temperature obtained from the linear fit to the data (dashed line) are given in Table 2.

Fig. 7. Equivalent-width velocity ($V_{\text{EW}}$) versus upper-level energy ($E_u$) for HCO$^+$, H$^{13}$CO$^+$, SO$^+$, N$_2$H$^+$, and H$_3$O$^+$ transitions (filled symbols) and $^{13}$CO, for comparison (empty circles; $^{13}$CO profiles and line parameters are given in the Appendix). The dashed line is a fit to the $V_{\text{EW}}$ of the $^{13}$CO lines. For all ions, except for H$_3$O$^+$, the values of $V_{\text{EW}}$ are systematically larger than for $^{13}$CO, indicating a larger wing-to-core emission contribution to the observed profile; the H$_3$O$^+$ emission, in contrast, is dominated by the narrow, core emission component as indicated by the small $V_{\text{EW}}$.

are expressed above as a function of the telescope beam (HPBW) and the angular major ($\theta_a$) and minor ($\theta_b$) axes of a uniform elliptical source (see, e.g., Kramer 1997), which has been taken to roughly represent the elongated molecular outflow of OH 231.8. Based on the angular extent of the HCO$^+ J = 1-0$ emission in OH 231.8 deduced from interferometric mapping (Sánchez Contreras et al. 2000); see discussion in Sect. 3.1, we adopt a characteristic angular size of $\theta_a \times \theta_b \sim 4'' \times 12''$ for all the species discussed here. Although the spatial distribution of H$^{13}$CO$^+$, SO$^+$, and N$_2$H$^+$ is unknown, their relatively broad emission profiles and similar excitation requirements justifies our assumption. In the case of H$_3$O$^+$, the narrower line profile and possibly higher $T_{\text{ex}}$ inferred (see below) may suggest a more compact distribution. We have also considered a smaller size of the H$_3$O$^+$-emitting area of $\theta_a \times \theta_b \sim 4'' \times 4''$, which leads to a lower $T_{\text{ex}}$ but a comparable value for $N_{\text{tot}}$. We note that the adopted angular size affects both $N_u/g_u$ and $T_{\text{ex}}$: on the one hand, the smaller the size, the larger the value of $N_u/g_u$ obtained from the fit; on the other hand, $f_a$ changes by a different factor for different transitions, which translates into a modification of the slope of the straight-line fit to the points in the population diagram and, thus, into a different $T_{\text{ex}}$. In the particular case of H$_3$O$^+$, both effects compensate to yield a similar value of $N_{\text{tot}}$ when adopting a smaller size of $4'' \times 4''$.

In Fig. 6 we plot the population diagrams for the molecular ions detected in OH231.8. The partition function, $Z(T_{\text{ex}})$, has been computed for each molecule by explicit summation of a finite number of rotational energy levels from the ground vibrational state using MADEX (Cernicharo 2012). For H$_3$O$^+$ we have adopted an ortho-to-para ratio of 1, which is the expected value in statistical equilibrium conditions at $T \geq 50$ K (Phillips et al. 1992). The values of $N_{\text{tot}}$ and $T_{\text{ex}}$ obtained for the different species are given in Table 2. In this table, we also include results for $^{13}$CO obtained in Velilla Prieto et al. (2015) using the same technique and assumptions. As discussed by these and other authors, $^{13}$CO transitions are optically thin, or only
Fig. 8. Results from our chemical kinetics model adopting a fractional water abundance of $X(\text{H}_2\text{O}) = 3 \times 10^{-4}$ (Sect. 5.1). a) Spatial distribution of the model fractional abundances in the slow central core of the envelope (fossil remnant of the AGB CSE); b) evolution with time of the model fractional abundances in a representative gas slab or cell within the lobe walls (approximately in the midpoint between the outer and inner end of the wall) where the extinction is $A_V \sim 1$ mag; c) model fractional abundances as a function of the depth (expressed as $A_V$) into the lobe walls, at $\sim 800$ yr. Dotted horizontal lines in panel c) represent the observed beam-averaged values of our estimated fractional abundances.

moderately opaque at the line peak toward the densest central parts, and are expected to be thermalized over the bulk of the nebula, with high average densities of $\sim 10^{5}-10^{6} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, and even larger in low-latitude regions closer to the nebular nucleus.

For HCO$^+$, H$^{13}$CO$^+$, SO$^+$, and N$_2$H$^+$, the values of $T_{\text{ex}}$ obtained are low, $\sim 8-20$ K, in agreement with previous estimates of the kinetic temperature in the outflow, which is $\sim 10-20$ K in the fast lobes and, somewhat larger, $\sim 10-40$ K in the low-velocity, low-latitude component\(^6\) (see Sect. 1). The excitation temperature inferred for H$_2$O$^+$, $\sim 100$ K, is significantly larger than for the rest of the molecular ions (Fig. 6). This value of $T_{\text{ex}}$ is very uncertain, however, the upper limit to the flux ratio

\(^6\) These temperatures are derived from low-$J$ transitions and, thus, correspond to the external layers of the central component, deeper regions are expected to be progressively warmer closer to the central star.
between the 985 GHz and the 307 GHz transitions indicates temperatures certainly larger than 40 K for the emitting region; otherwise, the 985 GHz line should have been much stronger, and thus well detected, given the relatively low energy of the upper state level of this transition \((E_u \sim 55 \text{ K})\) compared to that of the 307 GHz line \((E_u \sim 80 \text{ K})\). Moreover, it is suggestive that the excitation temperature guessed for H$_3$O$^+$ matches very well that deduced for H$_2$O and its main isotopologues, H$_2^{18}$O and H$_2^{17}$O, from our recent survey with Herschel (Sánchez Contreras et al. 2014). Considering that the chemistry of H$_3$O$^+$ is expected to be closely linked to that of H$_2$O, the obtained result is probably more than an unlucky coincidence.

On top of that, the narrow profile of the H$_3$O$^+$ \(J^P = 1^-_1 - 2^+_1\) line at 307 GHz suggests that the emission arises in the dense central parts of the nebula where the expansion velocities are smaller and the temperatures are presumably higher. Support for this comes from the fact that the narrow line width and lowish LSR velocity we find for H$_3$O$^+$ is, remarkably, also observed for the \((J, K) = (2, 2)\) and \((3, 3)\) lines of NH$_3$ by Menten & Alcolea (1995). According to these authors, these lines’ profiles are “characterized by a narrow line width of \(\leq 15 \text{ km s}^{-1}\) (FWHM) and a centroid velocity around 30 km s$^{-1}$”, which is in excellent agreement with the values we find for H$_3$O$^+$ (see Sect. 3.4). This gives, first, credence to the reality of our H$_3$O$^+$ detection.

---

**Fig. 9.** Same as in Fig. 8 except for a lower abundance of water, \(X(\text{H}_2\text{O}) = 3 \times 10^{-5}\) – see Sect. 5.1.1.
Secondly, the NH$_3$ (2, 2) and (3, 3) lines arise from levels that are at energies 64 and 123 K above the ground state, respectively, and from their ratio Menten & Alcolea calculate a rotation temperature of $\approx$50 K from which they conclude that “the narrow component is emitted from a relatively warm region”. By association, this supports our conjecture that the H$_2$O$^+$ emission arises from a warm environment. In contrast, the lower excitation NH$_3$ (1, 1) line, which arises from the molecule’s inversion-split para-ground state, shows broader emission than the (2, 2) and (3, 3) lines over a 75 km s$^{-1}$ (FWZI) velocity range with a (higher) centroid of 41 km s$^{-1}$.

For the values of $T_{\text{ex}}$ and $N_{\text{tot}}$ implied by the population diagrams, all the transitions detected are optically thin ($\tau < 1$). The largest optical depth (at the line peak) is found for the HCO$^+$ $J = 3–2$ transition, for which $\tau \approx 0.20$. In this case, we applied a small opacity correction factor of $\tau/(1-e^{-\tau})$−1,1, resulting in an insignificant effect on the $T_{\text{ex}}$ and $N_{\text{tot}}$ deduced from the fit. The optical depth of the HCO$^+$ $J = 1–0$ and 3–2 lines is only expected to be larger than 1 for column densities $\gtrsim 4 \times 10^{14}$ cm$^{-2}$; for the rest of the molecular ions under discussion even larger column densities, $\gtrsim 10^{15}$ cm$^{-2}$, would be necessary to produce optically thick emission.

In the previous work by Sánchez Contreras et al. (2000), a large opacity for the HCO$^+$ $J = 1–0$ transition is also ruled out based on the HCO$^+$/$^{13}$CO$^+$ ($J = 1–0$) intensity ratio, which agrees well with the low $^{12}$C/$^{13}$C isotopic ratio estimated from CO and other molecules in the fast outflow, where the emission is known to be optically thin (see also Sect. 4.2).

4.1.1. Non-LTE excitation effects

When the local density of molecular hydrogen ($n_{\text{H}_2}$) is insufficient to thermalize the transitions of a given molecule, departures from a linear relation in the population diagram are expected. We have performed a non-LTE excitation analysis of the HCO$^+$ and N$_2$H$^+$ emission. We have considered radiative and collisional processes and solved the statistical equilibrium equations under the large velocity gradient (LVG) approximation using the code MADEX (Cernicharo 2012, and references therein). We have adopted collisional coefficients for HCO$^+$ and N$_2$H$^+$ from Flower (1999) and Daniel et al. (2005), respectively. For SO$^+$ and H$_2$O there are no collisional coefficients available in the literature, and thus non-LTE calculations cannot be done.

The critical densities of the mm-wave transitions of HCO$^+$, $^{13}$CO$^+$, and N$_2$H$^+$ observed by us are in the range $n_{\text{crit}} \approx 10^5$–$10^6$ cm$^{-3}$. These values are comparable to the mean densities in the central core component $(\gtrsim 10^6$ cm$^{-3}$) and moderately larger that the typical densities of the high-velocity clumps where the bulk of the wing emission arises ($n_{\text{H}_2} \sim 10^5$ cm$^{-3}$). Note that the lowest $H_2$ densities in the molecular outflow of OH 231.8, $n_{\text{H}_2} \sim 10^2$–$10^3$ cm$^{-3}$ are only found at very large distances from the star in the south lobe (clumps I5 and beyond; see Alcolea et al. 2001), but these distant, most tenuous and rapidly expanding regions (at $V_{\text{LSR}} \gtrsim 120$ km s$^{-1}$) do not contribute to the emission profiles of the molecular ions under discussion, as shown in Figs. 2–5.

For our non-LTE excitation calculations, we have adopted a representative density of $n_{\text{H}_2} = 10^5$ cm$^{-3}$. We find that for both HCO$^+$ and N$_2$H$^+$, only mild LTE deviations are expected at this density, which result in values of $T_{\text{ex}}$ and $N_{\text{tot}}$ (as deduced from the population diagram) slightly lower than the real $T_{\text{kin}}$ and $N_{\text{tot}}$. This means that the temperatures and abundances quoted in Table 2 could be lower limits. We have explored the range of input $T_{\text{kin}}$ and $N_{\text{tot}}$ that would still be consistent with the observations if the characteristic density of the emitting regions is $n_{\text{H}_2} \sim 10^3$ cm$^{-3}$. For both HCO$^+$ and N$_2$H$^+$, we find that the population diagram could also be reproduced with a temperature of $T_{\text{kin}} \approx 30$–40 K; for these temperatures, the total column densities would be $N_{\text{tot}}(\text{HCO}^+) \sim 9 \times 10^{12}$ cm$^{-2}$ and $N_{\text{tot}}(\text{N}_2\text{H}^+) \sim 1.5 \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$. Therefore, the column densities deduced under non-LTE and LTE conditions do not differ significantly (less than about 15%).

4.2. Fractional abundances

We computed the fractional abundances of the ions relative to H$_2$, $X = N_{\text{tot}}/N_{\text{H}_2}$, as $X = X(13$CO$) \times N_{\text{rot}}/N_{\text{tot}}(13$CO) and adopted $X(13$CO$) = 5 \times 10^{-3}$, as estimated by Morris et al. (1987). This value of the $^{13}$CO abundance is in the high end of the typical range for O-rich stars; in the case of OH 231.8, this is consistent with the particularly low $^{12}$C/$^{13}$C isotopic ratio, $\sim 5$–10, measured in this object (and other O-rich CSEs; Sánchez Contreras et al. 2000; Milam et al. 2009; Ramstedt & Olofsson 2014, and references therein). We cannot exclude a lower value of $X(13$CO$)$, by a factor $\sim 2$–3, in which case the abundances derived for the rest of the species should be scaled down in the same proportion. The fractional abundances obtained from the rotational-diagram method are listed in Table 2. As shown in Sect. 4.1.1, the true values of $X(\text{HCO}^+)$ and $X(\text{N}_2\text{H}^+)$ could be slightly larger than those given in the table if mild non-LTE excitation effects are present (discrepancies $\gtrsim 15\%$ are unlikely).

The average fractional abundance of HCO$^+$ in OH 231.8 we derived, $X(\text{HCO}^+) \approx 10^{-8}$, is in good agreement with previous estimates (Morris et al. 1987; Sánchez Contreras et al. 1997, 2000). Small differences are expected due to data calibration uncertainties and the slightly different $X(13$CO$)$ abundance and excitation temperature adopted in different works. The average abundance of HCO$^+$ in OH 231.8 is also within the range of values observationally determined on a small sample of O-rich envelopes, $0.15–1.3 \times 10^{-7}$ (Pulliam et al. 2011). The abundance of $^{13}$CO$^+$, and its comparison with that of HCO$^+$, is consistent with a low $^{12}$C/$^{13}$C isotope ratio of $\sim 7$, in agreement with previous estimates for this object (see above).

The abundances of the other ions we presented have not been previously reported in O-rich CSEs. The fractional abundance of SO$^+$ in OH 231.8 implies an abundance relative to SO of $X(\text{SO}^+)/(X(\text{SO}) \approx 2 \times 10^{-3}$; this is taking into account the fractional abundance of SO in OH 231.8 computed in other works, $X(\text{SO}) \sim [1–3] \times 10^{-8}$ (Guelteau et al. 1986, Morris et al. 1987, Sánchez Contreras et al. 2000, and this survey, in prep.) For N$_2$H$^+$, our abundance estimate is consistent with the upper limit provided by Morris et al. (1987) based on the nondetection of the N$_2$H$^+$ $J = 1–0$ line by these authors ($X(\text{N}_2\text{H}^+) < 1.6 \times 10^{-8}$). We deduce an average HCO$^+$ abundance relative to N$_2$H$^+$ of $X(\text{HCO}^+)/(X(\text{N}_2\text{H}^+) \sim 6$; as we will see in Sect. 5.1, the previous ratio may represent an upper limit to the [CO]/[N$_2$] proportion in OH 231.8. For H$_2$O, we obtain a fractional abundance of $\approx 7 \times 10^{-9}$, although we caution that this value is particularly uncertain given the low S/N of the H$_2$O spectra. Our estimate is consistent with the range of values measured in interstellar clouds, $X(\text{H}_2\text{O}) \approx 10^{-10}$–$10^{-9}$ (Wootten et al. 1991; Phillips et al. 1992; Goicoechea & Cernicharo 2001). In these interstellar regions, the fractional abundance of H$_2$O is expected to relate to that of water by a factor $\approx 1/1000–1/6000$, based on gas-phase model predictions and observations. If this proportion also holds in OH 231.8, then one would infer an order-of-magnitude water content of $X(\text{H}_2\text{O}) \approx 10^{-5}$–$10^{-6}$. This value is at the low edge of the water abundance range deduced for a sample of six evolved
O-rich stars, $X(\text{H}_2\text{O}) \sim [10^{-3} - 2 \times 10^{-6}]$, with the majority of the stars having $X(\text{H}_2\text{O}) \sim 6 \times 10^{-4}$ (Meierczer et al. 2008).

We recall that the abundances given in Table 2 represent average values within the emitting regions covered by the telescope beam, that is, the central core and (partially) the fast lobes. The large wing-to-core intensity ratio of the HCO+ profiles is known to reflect an abundance contrast of a factor $\sim 3 - 4$ between the fast lobes and the slow central clump I3, as determined by Sánchez Contreras et al. (1997) from their analysis by individual spectral and spatial components of the HCO+ $J = 1 \rightarrow 0$ emission maps; see their Table 2. This result is also corroborated by the interferometric observations of this transition (Fig. 1), which show that, in contrast to 13CO, 12CO, and most molecules, HCO+ is found in abundance in the lobes of OH 231.8, but it is scarce at the nebula center (Sánchez Contreras et al. 2000).

The broad profiles of H13CO+, SO+, and N2H+ suggest analogous lobe-to-core abundance enhancements for these ions. Unfortunately, except for the HCO+ $J = 1 \rightarrow 0$ transition, obtaining column densities separately for the different velocity ranges corresponding to the slow core and the fast lobes is hampered by the weakness of the molecular lines. However, a straightforward argument in support of a lobe-to-core abundance ratio $> 1$ for H13CO+, SO+, and N2H+ (as well as, of course, for HCO+) is provided by the large equivalent-width velocity ($V_{\text{EW}}$) of these lines; the equivalent-width velocity is given by the ratio of the line flux, $\int T_A^*\, dc$, to the peak intensity, $T_A^*$ (see, e.g., Sánchez Contreras & Sahai 2012). As can be seen in Fig. 7, the $V_{\text{EW}}$ of the emission lines by these species are systematically larger than those of 13CO. The 13CO profiles are dominated by the most massive component, that is, the slow central core (clump I3). The larger $V_{\text{EW}}$ of the H13CO+, SO+, and N2H+ profiles indicates that the contribution to the emitting profile from the less massive fast lobes is larger for these ions than for 13CO, implying that their fractional abundances in the fast lobes are higher than in the slow massive clump I3. This also indicates that the true abundances of HCO+, H13CO+, SO+, and N2H+ in the lobes and in the slow central clump are higher and lower, respectively, than the beam-averaged values given in Table 2.

In the case of H2O+, the narrow profile of the weak $P' - P''_1 = 1_1^+ - 2_1^+$ transition at 307 GHz suggests that the bulk of the emission is produced in the slow central clump I3. The $V_{\text{EW}}$ of this transition is very uncertain but if it is confirmed to be lower than that of 13CO (by higher S/N observations), as suggested by our data (Fig. 7), then one would infer a larger abundance of this ion in the slow core than in the fast lobes (i.e., a lobe-to-core abundance ratio $< 1$). Moreover, since the higher $T_A$ suspected for H2O+ compared to that deduced for 13CO favors the origin of the H2O+ emission in envelope layers closer to the center, the average abundance in Table 2 could represent a lower limit to the true value in the central, compact emitting regions.

### 4.2.1. Upper limits to nondetections

We provide upper limits to the column densities and fractional abundances of other ions that are not detected in OH 231.8 and that may be of interest (Table 3). We have adopted common values for the source emitting size, $\theta_e \times \theta_e \sim 4'' \times 12''$, $T_A = 15$ K, and $FWHM = 40\text{ km s}^{-1}$ and LTE conditions. For the ions considered, adopting a smaller size, larger excitation temperatures, and narrower profiles (as may be the case of H2O+) normally results in slightly smaller values of $N$ and $X$ than those given in the Table. For the different ions, the upper limits to $N_\text{tot}$ are derived from the rms noise around the transition that is expected to have the largest S/N in our data (adopting $T_A = 15$ K); we have always checked that the upper limits obtained are also consistent with the nondetections of the rest of the transitions in the frequency range of our observations.

### 5. Formation of HCO+, SO+, N2H+, and H2O+

#### 5.1. Chemical model

We performed chemical kinetics models to investigate the formation of the observed ions in OH 231.8. Our model is based on that by Agúndez & Cernicharo (2006) and we have used it recently to study the new N-bearing species detected in OH 231.8 by Velilla Prieto et al. (2015). The code has also been employed to model the chemistry in different astrophysical environments, including the prototypical C-rich star IRC+10216 (see, e.g., Agúndez et al. 2007, 2008, 2010b, 2012; Cernicharo et al. 2010, 2013), and the O-rich yellow hypergiant IRC+10420 (Quintana-Lacaci et al. 2013). The chemical network in our code includes gas-phase reactions, cosmic rays, and photoreactions with interstellar UV photons; it does not incorporate reactions involving dust grains, X-rays or shocks. Our code does not explicitly consider the chemistry of isotopologues, that is, does not include isotopic fractionation, such as selective photodissociation or isotope-exchange reactions; as a consequence, predictions for the H13CO+ abundance distribution are not made.
As input we adopt the same physical model for the envelope used in Velilla Prieto et al. (2015), which consists of two main components: a) a dense, spherically symmetric wind expanding at low velocity, which represents the slow, central nebular component of OH 231.8 (clump I); and b) a rectangular slab of gas (plane-parallel geometry) with characteristics similar to those of the walls of the hollow bipolar lobes. We modeled separately these two components, which are externally illuminated by the interstellar UV field.

The main parameters of the simple physical model for OH 231.8 used as input in our chemical code are summarized in Table 4; additional details justifying the temperature, density, and velocity laws adopted are given in Sect. 6.1 of Velilla Prieto et al. (2015). The region of the envelope modeled in case a goes from \( R_{\text{in}} \sim 10^{15} \text{ cm} \) to its end, at \( R_{\text{out}} \sim 7 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm} \) (we refer to this region as the intermediate/outer envelope). The outer radius is observationally determined (Sanchez Contreras et al. 1997; Alcolea et al. 2001) and the inner radius has been chosen to be well beyond the dust condensation radius so that the full expansion velocity of the gas has been reached. In these intermediate/outer regions of the envelope, the chemistry is driven by chemical kinetics. Another major input of our chemistry model is the set of initial abundances of the “parent” species. These are formed in deeper layers and are injected to the intermediate/outer envelope. The parent species, typical of O-rich environments, and the initial abundances adopted in our model are as in Velilla Prieto et al. (2015) and are reproduced again in Table 5.

As in Velilla Prieto et al. (2015), the sources of ionization and dissociation adopted in our model are cosmic-rays and the interstellar ultraviolet radiation field. The cosmic ray ionization rate adopted is \( 1.2 \times 10^{-17} \text{ s}^{-1} \) (Dalgarno 2000). The intensity of the UV field assumed is the Draine field or \( G_0 = 1.7 \) in units of the Habling field \( (G_0 = 1.6 \times 10^{-3} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}; \text{Habing 1968; Draine \\& Salpeter 1978}) \). We computed the dust optical extinction, \( A_V \), across the envelope layers adopting the standard conversion from \( H_2 \) column density \( N_{H_2} = 9.3 \times 10^{20} \times A_V \text{ cm}^{-2} \), derived by Bohlin et al. (1978). The gas-to-dust mass ratio implicit in this conversion, \( \sim 100 \), is comparable within errors to the average value measured in nearby O-rich AGB stars and, in particular, in OH 231.8 (e.g., Knapp 1985; Kastner \\& Weintraub 1995; Sanchez Contreras et al. 1998).

The slow, central component. We used our chemical kinetics model first to investigate the formation of HCO+, SO+, \( N_2H^+ \), and \( H_2O^+ \) in an O-rich AGB CSE similar to the slow, core component of OH 231.8 (model a, Table 4). The fractional abundances predicted by the model as a function of the distance to the center are shown in Fig. 8. For HCO+, \( N_2H^+ \), and \( H_2O^+ \), we checked that there is good agreement between our predictions and those obtained from analogous photochemistry simulations by Mannon et al. (1987); these authors modeled the chemistry of these three ions for O-rich CSEs with standard properties and a set of different mass-loss rates, including \( M = 10^{-4} M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1} \). We found no previous modeling attempts for SO+ in CSEs in the literature.

As the gas in the envelope expands, parent molecules start to be exposed to the interstellar UV radiation and photochemistry drives the formation of new species in the outer layers. Penetration of photons through the envelope is gradually blocked by dust and also by self-shielding of abundant gas species (mainly \( H_2 \), H2O and CO). At the deepest layers of the intermediate/outer envelope inaccessible to the UV radiation, cosmic rays are major drivers of the chemistry. Cosmic rays ionize molecular hydrogen (\( H_3^+ \)), which combines with neutral \( H_2 \) to form \( H_5^+ \). The latter is a fundamental ion for breaking down parent molecules leading to the formation of new ions in the inner layers of the envelope.

It is well known that the radical OH is an important product of the photodissociation of H2O in the external regions of O-rich CSEs. Our model predicts that OH reaches maximum abundance at \( \sim 5 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm} \), in good agreement with the characteristic radius of the OH maser toroidal shell observed at the center of OH 231.8 (Morris et al. 1982; Zijlstra et al. 2001). Our model indicates that, as the radical OH, molecular ions should be most efficiently formed in the outermost layers of the envelope, showing a shell-like distribution with maximum abundances at typical distances from the star of \( \sim [5-7] \times 10^{16} \text{ cm} \). Further out, there is an abrupt fall of the ions’ abundances due to their destruction by dissociative recombination with electrons (e−) and to photodissociation of their main progenitors.

According to our model, HCO+ forms in the outer layers of O-rich envelopes mainly as a photodissociation product of CO

### Table 4. Parameters of the central Mira star and molecular envelope of OH 231.8 used for the chemical kinetics models (Sect. 5.1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distance ((d))</td>
<td>1500 pc</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stellar radius ((R_*))</td>
<td>4.4 × 10^{13} cm</td>
<td>g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stellar effective temperature ((T_e))</td>
<td>2300 K</td>
<td>g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stellar luminosity ((L_*))</td>
<td>10^{6} L_\odot</td>
<td>g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stellar mass ((M_*))</td>
<td>1 M_\odot</td>
<td>g</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Model a: slow, core

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AGB CSE expansion velocity ((v_{exp}))</td>
<td>20 km s^{-1}</td>
<td>a, e, f, g, i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGB mass loss rate ((M))</td>
<td>10^{-4} M_\odot yr^{-1}</td>
<td>e, a, f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kinetic temperature ((T_{kin}))</td>
<td>T_\odot/(r/R_*)^{-0.7} K</td>
<td>i</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Model b: lobe walls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wall thickness</td>
<td>1″</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂ number density ((n(H_2)))</td>
<td>5 × 10^{7} cm^{-3}</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kinetic temperature ((T_{kin}))</td>
<td>20 K</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5. Initial abundances relative to H₂ for representative elements and parent molecules used as input for the chemical kinetic models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Abundance</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>He</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>3.0 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>b, TE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>3.0 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td>c, TE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>3.0 × 10^{-7}</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₃</td>
<td>4.0 × 10^{-6}</td>
<td>e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N₂</td>
<td>4.0 × 10^{-3}</td>
<td>TE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCN</td>
<td>2.0 × 10^{-7}</td>
<td>f, g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂S</td>
<td>7.0 × 10^{-8}</td>
<td>h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO</td>
<td>9.3 × 10^{-7}</td>
<td>f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO</td>
<td>1.0 × 10^{-6}</td>
<td>i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiS</td>
<td>2.7 × 10^{-7}</td>
<td>j</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References. a) Alcolea et al. (2001); b) Choi et al. (2012); c) Cohen (1981); d) Kastner et al. (1992); e) Morris et al. (1987); f) Sanchez Contreras et al. (1997); g) Sanchez Contreras et al. (2002); h) Sanchez Contreras et al. (2004); i) Velilla Prieto et al. (2015).
and H₂O (in order of importance):

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{HOC}^+ + \text{H}_2 & \rightarrow \text{HCO}^+ + \text{H}_2 \\
\text{CO}^+ + \text{H}_2 & \rightarrow \text{HCO}^+ + \text{H} \\
\text{C}^+ + \text{H}_2\text{O} & \rightarrow \text{HCO}^+ + \text{H},
\end{align*}
\]

(5)

where the C⁺ ion is predominantly produced in the CO photionization chain, and the molecular ions HOC⁺ and CO⁺ are formed through

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{C}^+ + \text{OH} & \rightarrow \text{CO}^+ + \text{H} \\
\text{CO}^+ + \text{H}_2 & \rightarrow \text{HCO}^+ + \text{H}.
\end{align*}
\]

(6)

An additional, but nondominant pathway to HCO⁺ production is by proton transfer from N₂H⁺ to CO (N₂H⁺ + CO → HCO⁺ + N₂). The model predicts that HCO⁺ reaches highest abundances in a very thin outer shell with a characteristic radius of ∼6 × 10⁻¹⁶ cm. HCO⁺ has, together with N₂H⁺, the most sharply peaked distribution amongst the ions modeled. The model peak abundance in the shell is X(HCO⁺) ≈ 10⁻⁷, that is larger than the average value derived in OH 231.8 from these observations. Deeper into the envelope, i.e., in the ∼[10⁻¹⁵–3 × 10⁻¹⁶] cm region before the inner rim of the peak abundance shell, HCO⁺ is formed in much lower amounts (X(HCO⁺) < 10⁻¹¹) directly from CO and H₂⁺, which is a product of cosmic-ray ionization (H⁺ + CO → HCO⁺ + H₂).

The SO⁺ shell-like abundance distribution predicted by the model is broader than that of HCO⁺; for SO⁺ the inner rim of the shell is located deeper in the envelope than for HCO⁺. Within the SO⁺ peak abundance shell, the fractional abundance varies between X(SO⁺) ~ 2 × 10⁻¹² and X[SO⁺] ~ 10⁻⁸, the maximum value being reached at a distance of ∼5 × 10⁻¹⁶ cm. The SO⁺ abundance distribution shows a "hump" or secondary peak at ∼5 × 10⁻¹⁶ cm where the abundance is X(SO⁺) ~ 7 × 10⁻⁹. The values of the SO⁺ model abundance inside the shell seem, in principle, compatible with the beam-averaged value deduced in OH 231.8 from our observations. The main formation paths of SO⁺ in the outer shell are

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{S}^+ + \text{OH} & \rightarrow \text{SO}^+ + \text{H} \\
\text{SO} + \text{hv} & \rightarrow \text{SO}^+ + \text{e}^- \\
\text{C}^+ + \text{SO}_2 & \rightarrow \text{SO}^+ + \text{CO}.
\end{align*}
\]

(7)

The reaction of S⁺ with OH is the dominant formation route near the peak abundance radius; the hump or secondary peak of the SO⁺ abundance distribution results from direct photionization of SO by UV photons and the reaction of C⁺ with SO₂ (Eq. (7)). In regions deeper into the envelope, cosmic rays are the fundamental source of direct ionization of SO leading to the production of SO⁺ (although in much lower amounts, <10⁻¹³, than within the shell) and free electrons.

The spatial distribution of N₂H⁺ predicted by the model is sharply peaked, similar to that of HCO⁺, but with a very low peak abundance of X(N₂H⁺) ~ [0.9–1.0] × 10⁻¹¹ that is reached at ∼5–6 × 10⁻¹⁶ cm. This maximum abundance is more than two orders of magnitude lower than the mean value measured in OH 231.8. Based on our model, N₂H⁺ is formed mainly via

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{H}_2^+ + \text{N}_2 & \rightarrow \text{N}_2\text{H}^+ + \text{H}_2 \\
\text{N}_2^+ + \text{H}_2 & \rightarrow \text{N}_2\text{H}^+ + \text{H} \\
\text{HCO}^+ + \text{N}_2 & \rightarrow \text{N}_2\text{H}^+ + \text{CO},
\end{align*}
\]

(8)

where the first reaction above plays a major role all the way throughout the different layers of the intermediate/outer envelope, whereas the second and third reactions become an important factor in N₂H⁺ production only in the outermost parts near the peak abundance radius. In these outer envelope layers, the ion N₂ is mainly produced by the proton transfer reaction of He⁺, which is produced by direct ionization of He by UV photons, with N₂.

Regarding H₂O⁺, our model predicts efficient formation of this ion in the outer envelope mainly as a product of the photodissociation chain of H₂O and CO. The model peak abundance, X(H₂O⁺) ~ 5 × 10⁻⁸, which is larger than the observed value, is reached at ∼5 × 10⁻¹⁶ cm. Near the peak abundance radius, the distribution of H₂O⁺ is relatively broad and similar in shape and values to that of SO⁺. In these outer regions, the main reactions involved in the production of H₂O⁺ are

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{H}_2\text{O}^+ + \text{H}_2 & \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}^+ + \text{H} \\
\text{HCO}^+ + \text{H}_2 & \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}^+ + \text{H} \\
\text{HCO}^+ + \text{H}_2 & \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}^+ + \text{H}.
\end{align*}
\]

(9)

In contrast to the other ions, H₂O⁺ is rather abundant not only within the peak abundance shell but also deeper into the envelope, with a fractional abundance that varies from X(H₂O⁺) ~ 2 × 10⁻¹¹ to X(H₂O⁺) ~ 4 × 10⁻⁹ at the inner rim of the shell (at ∼2 × 10⁻¹⁶ cm). In these inner envelope regions, H₂O⁺ is by far the most abundant of the ions modeled and is produced by protonation of water (H₂O + H₂ → H₂O⁺ + H₂) after cosmic-ray ionization of H₂ leading to H₂⁺.

In the outer layers of the envelope, dissociative recombination with electrons is the major mode of destruction of all the ions, except for N₂H⁺, which instead is most rapidly destroyed by reactions with H₂O and CO. Dissociative recombination with electrons ceases to be the main destruction mechanism for all ions deeper into the envelope, where the electron abundance is low (<few × 10⁻⁹). In these inner regions, molecular ions are quickly disassembled primarily by reactions with H₂O and NH₃ (and, in the case of N₂H⁺, also with CO), i.e.,

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{HCO}^+ + \text{H}_2\text{O} & \rightarrow \text{CO} + \text{H}_2 \text{O}^+ \\
\text{N}_2\text{H}^+ + \text{H}_2\text{O} & \rightarrow \text{N}_2 + \text{H}_2 \text{O}^+ \\
\text{SO}^+ + \text{NH}_3 & \rightarrow \text{SO} + \text{NH}_3^+ \\
\text{H}_2\text{O}^+ + \text{NH}_3 & \rightarrow \text{NH}_4^+ + \text{H}_2\text{O}.
\end{align*}
\]

(10)

The lobes. We have also modeled the chemistry in the walls of the lobes (model b), which are on average more tenuous than the central regions and, thus, enable deep penetration of the ionizing interstellar UV radiation. The main input parameters of the simple physical model adopted for the lobe walls (a rectangular gas slab externally illuminated by one side by the interstellar UV field) are given in Table 4. Our chemical kinetics code computes the evolution with time of the molecular fractional abundances inside a series of individual gas cells at different depths into the lobe walls. An example is given in Fig. 8b for a representative cell midway between the inner and outer edge of the walls (i.e., at ∼0.5 through the lobe wall). The depth of the cell into the wall is expressed as a function of the dust optical extinction, A_V. For the cell whose abundances are represented in Fig. 8b, the optical extinction is A_V ~ 1 mag.

The spatial distribution of the model fractional abundances across the lobe walls attained at ~800 yr, which is the dynamical age of the outflow (Sect. 1), is shown in Fig. 8c. As a result of photodissociation, the abundances of all molecular ions rapidly increase inward across the lobe walls, reaching maximum values near A_V ~ 1 mag; at larger depths, the ions’ abundances gently decrease as destruction processes by reactions with H₂O, NH₃, and CO start prevailing over formation routes. The peak abundances predicted by the model are comparable to the observed beam-averaged values in the case of SO⁺, and possibly in the case of H₂O⁺. In the latter case, the model value is lower.
than the observational value but the model-data discrepancy, of a factor \(\sim 2\), is probably within the total uncertainties in the abundance calculation. According to the model, these two ions are the only ones that would form in detectable amounts\(^7\) in the fast lobes of OH 231.8. In fact, in clear disagreement with the observations, our model predicts \(\text{H}_2\text{O}^+\) to be the second most abundant ion (after \(\text{SO}^+\)) in the fast lobes of OH 231.8. For \(\text{HCO}^+\) and \(\text{N}_2\text{H}^+\), the model predicts fractional abundances two orders of magnitude lower than observed. We have checked that the model abundances for these ions never reach values comparable to the observed values for a reasonable range of outflow ages, \(\sim 500–1000\) yr.

For all the ions discussed in this research, the maximum abundances reached within the lobes (model b) are smaller than the maximum abundances reached in the slow, central component (model a). The largest core-to-lobe peak abundance ratio (\(\sim 500\)) is found for \(\text{HCO}^+\). The ion \(\text{N}_2\text{H}^+\) is predicted to be almost equally deficient in the lobes and in the central, peak abundance shell. \(\text{SO}^+\) and \(\text{H}_2\text{O}^+\) are intermediate cases, with core-to-lobe peak abundance ratios of \(\sim 4\) and 13, respectively.

It may appear a priori somewhat surprising that \(\text{HCO}^+\), which is predicted by the model to be efficiently produced in the outer regions of the slow, central component (with a peak abundance of \(X(\text{HCO}^+) \approx 10^{-5}\)), is so scarce across the lobe walls, where the maximum abundance reached is only \(X(\text{HCO}^+) \lesssim 10^{-10}\). This is because the \(\text{HCO}^+\) formation and destruction processes in the lobes are similar to those prevailing in the deepest regions of the central component, where \(\text{HCO}^+\) is indeed not very abundant because of the rapid destruction of this ion by reactions with the abundant \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) molecule. In the peak abundance shell of the central component, however, the balance between photochemical formation processes and dissociative recombinations with \(e^-\) results in an efficient net production of \(\text{HCO}^+\).

### 5.1.1. Initial abundance of \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\)

We have investigated how the abundances of the molecular ions under discussion depend on the initial abundance of \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\), which is a prime parent molecule tied up to the chemistry of these and other species. We ran our code using the same input parameters as in the previous section but with a relative abundance of water of \(X(\text{H}_2\text{O}) = 3 \times 10^{-6}\) that is ten times lower (Fig. 9). For a given ion, the final role of \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) either as a net builder or a net destroyer, after the balance of formation and destruction reactions with water, varies throughout the envelope and, of course, is different for the different ions considered.

We find that, within the peak abundance shell in model a, a decrease of the initial abundance of \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) results in a decrease of the peak abundances for all ions except for \(\text{N}_2\text{H}^+\). The peak abundances of \(\text{HCO}^+\) and \(\text{SO}^+\) are lowered by a factor \(\sim 1/3–1/4\) and the abundance of \(\text{H}_2\text{O}^+\) (and also that of the radical \(\text{OH}\)) by a factor \(\sim 1/10\). In contrast, the peak abundance of \(\text{N}_2\text{H}^+\) increases by a factor \(\sim 2–3\). Moreover, in the low \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) abundance case, \(\text{N}_2\text{H}^+\) no longer presents a sharply peaked, shell-like distribution, but rather its abundance progressively increases from the inner layers up to a radius of \(\sim [4–5] \times 10^{16}\) cm; beyond this point, the abundance falls abruptly as for the rest of the species. The markedly different reaction of \(\text{N}_2\text{H}^+\) to a decline in the \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) abundance with respect to the other ions is because, in the case of \(\text{N}_2\text{H}^+\), \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) provides the main mode of destruction of this ion but is not a required formation ingredient in any of the regions of the envelope (see Sect. 5.1). In contrast, in the outer shell, for \(\text{HCO}^+, \text{H}_2\text{O}^+, \text{and SO}^+,\) water acts only as a main production agent (directly or indirectly via \(\text{H}_3\text{O}^+\) and \(\text{OH}\)).

Deeper into the envelope, \(\text{HCO}^+\) and \(\text{N}_2\text{H}^+\) are the two ions whose abundances are most sensitive to \(X(\text{H}_2\text{O})\): our model predicts \(\text{HCO}^+\) and \(\text{N}_2\text{H}^+\) enhancements of one order of magnitude for a similar decrease of the water abundance. In contrast to \(\text{N}_2\text{H}^+\), the response of \(X(\text{HCO}^+)\) to a change in the water abundance is different in the outer peak abundance shell and in regions deeper into the envelope. This is because, as explained earlier, in the inner envelope regions the formation of \(\text{HCO}^+\) is principally the result of protonation of \(\text{CO}\) from \(\text{H}_3\text{O}^+\), with \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) acting almost exclusively as a main destroyer. We also find that the model abundances of \(\text{SO}^+\) and \(\text{H}_2\text{O}^+\) vary only marginally in the inner regions when the \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) abundance is lowered. For \(\text{SO}^+\) this result is readily expected since neither the main formation nor destruction processes in the inner envelope involve water in this case (as discussed in Sect. 5.1). The marginal response of \(\text{H}_2\text{O}^+\) to a decrease of \(X(\text{H}_2\text{O})\), which a priori seems less predictable than for \(\text{SO}^+\), is a direct consequence of the enhanced production of \(\text{HCO}^+\) in the inner envelope when \(X(\text{H}_2\text{O})\) is lowered. In the low-water case, because of the \(X(\text{HCO}^+)\) increase, the dominant formation route of \(\text{H}_2\text{O}^+\) in the inner envelope is by \(\text{HCO}^+ + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{CO} + \text{H}_3\text{O}^+\) (instead of water protonation by \(\text{H}_3\text{O}^+\)). Therefore, the lower \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) abundance is compensated by the higher abundance of \(\text{H}_2\text{O}^+\), which translates to an unaffected \(\text{H}_2\text{O}^+\) abundance.

In the lobes (model b), lowering the initial \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) abundance to \(X(\text{H}_2\text{O}) = 3 \times 10^{-6}\) produces a different effect at different depths into the lobe walls for \(\text{HCO}^+, \text{SO}^+,\) and \(\text{N}_2\text{H}^+\). In the outer edge of the walls, fully exposed to the ISM UV radiation field (\(A_V = 0\) mag), the fractional abundances of these ions remain almost equal or slightly smaller than in the high water abundance case (\(X(\text{H}_2\text{O}) = 3 \times 10^{-4}\)), whereas in the shadowed (\(A_V \sim 2.3\) mag) inner rim of the lobe walls the predicted abundances are higher, with the largest enhancements (of a factor \(\sim 20–40\)) being for \(\text{HCO}^+\) and \(\text{N}_2\text{H}^+\). In the case of \(\text{H}_2\text{O}^+\), a lower water abundance results in a lower abundance of this ion in all regions throughout the lobe walls; at the shadowed inner edge of the lobe walls only, both the high and low water abundance models predict a similar value of the \(\text{H}_2\text{O}^+\) abundance of \(X(\text{H}_2\text{O}^+) \sim 2 \times 10^{-6}\).

For all ions modeled, it appears that lowering the water abundance results in the maximum abundance zone moving deeper into the lobe walls. As seen above, another effect is an increase of \(X(\text{HCO}^+)\) and \(X(\text{N}_2\text{H}^+)\) in the lobes, however, the model predictions are still significantly lower (\(\sim 2\) orders of magnitude) than the abundances observationally deduced. Moreover, the core-to-lobe abundance contrast for \(\text{HCO}^+\) and \(\text{SO}^+\) in the low water abundance case (\(\sim 60\) and 20, respectively) is still irreconcilable with the broad profiles observed for these species. As in the high water abundance case, \(\text{N}_2\text{H}^+\) is equally deficient in the core and in the lobes. In the case of \(\text{H}_2\text{O}^+\), the core-to-lobe abundance ratio diminishes to \(\sim 2–3\) for a low \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) abundance.

Finally, by pushing the water abundance to the low end of the range of observed values in O-rich stars, \(X(\text{H}_2\text{O}) \approx 10^{-6}\), the abundances predicted by our chemistry model in all cases, except maybe for \(\text{SO}^+\), become much smaller than the observed values both in the slow core component and in the fast lobes.

### 5.1.2. Enhanced elemental abundance of nitrogen

In this section we examine the behavior of the ions discussed here against an overabundance of the elemental nitrogen (up to

---

\(^7\) For the sensitivity limit reached by our observations.
a factor ×40)\(^8\) and whether such an N-enhancement can explain the large fractional abundance of N\(_2\)H\(^+\) observed in OH 231.8. As in Velilla Prieto et al. (2015), we ran our chemistry code again modifying the initial abundances of those parent species that are most sensitive to the elemental abundance of nitrogen, namely, N\(_2\), NH\(_3\), and HCN: N\(_2\) is increased by a factor 40 and NH\(_3\) and HCN by a factor 7 relative to the values in Table 5. We find that the N\(_2\)H\(^+\) peak abundance increases to ∼2 × 10\(^{-10}\) in the slowing expanding shell and to ∼10\(^{-10}\) in the fast lobes. Therefore, despite the substantial N-enhancement, the model still predicts N\(_2\)H\(^+\) to be underabundant (by one order of magnitude). Moreover, as in the case of a standard N abundance, the model fails to reproduce the enrichment of N\(_2\)H\(^+\) in the fast lobes relative to the slow core deduced from the observations. This was, in fact, expected since changing the elemental abundance of nitrogen comparably affects both the fast and slow outflow components.

The elemental nitrogen enhancement has only a minor effect on the other ions discussed in this research, except for H\(_3\)O\(^+\) and SO\(^+\) but only in the deepest layers of the slow central component. In these inner regions, the abundances of H\(_3\)O\(^+\) and SO\(^+\) decrease (by a factor ∼6 and ∼10, respectively) as these ions are destroyed at larger rates through their interaction with more NH\(_3\) molecules (Eq. (10)).

Given the set of chemical reactions involved in the production and destruction of HCO\(^+\) and N\(_2\)H\(^+\), the abundance ratio between these two ions provides an upper limit to the CO to N\(_2\) abundance ratio. In OH 231.8, we deduce X(HCO\(^+\))/X(N\(_2\)H\(^+\)) ∼ 6 from the observations and, therefore, one could conclude that [CO]/[N\(_2\)] ≤ 6. This value, which is slightly smaller than, but comparable to, the [CO]/[N\(_2\)] = 7.5 ratio in O-rich sources (adopted in our model, Table 5), does not rule out some N enrichment but it also does not clearly point to a significant nitrogen excess. Based on observations and modeling of the [N II]λλ1257, 1260 Å emission (and other optical lines) from the shock-excited regions at the tips of the lobes of OH 231.8, Cohen et al. (1985) suggest that N may be overabundant in these regions by a factor ∼5 relative to the solar value. This factor is much smaller than that used here to test this effect (×40), and that has been proven to be unable to bring the model N\(_2\)H\(^+\) abundance close enough to the observed value.

We also recall that an enhancement of the elemental nitrogen is not able to reproduce satisfactorily the observed abundances of HCO\(^+\), HCN, H\(_2\)N\(^+\), and NO recently discovered in OH 231.8 (Velilla Prieto et al. 2015); on the one hand, HNCO, HNCS, and H\(_2\)CN would be underestimated and, on the other hand, NO (and maybe others, such as NH\(_3\)) would be significantly overestimated. Moreover, there are other N-bearing molecules that, in contrast to N\(_2\)H\(^+\), are not particularly abundant in OH 231.8. One example is HCN: while the average abundance of this molecule in O-rich CSEs is relatively large X(HCN) ∼ 10\(^{-7}\) (Bujarrabal et al. 1994; Schöier et al. 2013), and actually larger than predicted by standard chemical models (e.g., Nercessian et al. 1989), the value found in OH 231.8 is X(HCN) ∼ few × 10\(^{-8}\) (Sánchez Contreras et al. 1997, 2014).

In any case, an enhancement of the elemental N abundance would at most be able to reduce the model-data discrepancies for N-bearing species, but it is unlikely to help improve the model predictions for all the other molecules that are not chemical daughter products of N\(_2\), such as the ions HCO\(^+\), SO\(^+\), and H\(_3\)O\(^+\) reported in this work.

\(^8\) Elemental N-enhancement can result from hot bottom burning (HBB) processes in the nucleus of ≥3 M\(_\odot\) stars.

6. Discussion and conclusions

Based on single-dish observations with the IRAM 30 m and Herschel telescopes, we have detected HCO\(^+\), H\(^13\)CO\(^+\), SO\(^+\), N\(_2\)H\(^+\), and (tentatively) H\(_2\)O\(^+\) in OH 231.8. The broad line profiles (with FWHM of up to ~90 km s\(^{-1}\)) and low-excitation temperature (∼10–20 K) deduced for HCO\(^+\), H\(^13\)CO\(^+\), SO\(^+\), and N\(_2\)H\(^+\), support the location of these ions preferentially in the base of the cold high-velocity lobes of OH 231.8. The fact that the narrow emission component from the massive central parts of the nebula (clump 13) is minimal in the profiles of these ions, clearly points to an abundance enhancement in the fast lobes relative to the slow core. Although uncertain, the much narrower profile (FWHM ~ 14 km s\(^{-1}\)) and higher excitation conditions (∼100 K) suspected for H\(_2\)O\(^+\) suggest a different spatial distribution for this ion, which may be more concentrated toward the central parts of the slow, central core.

Our chemical kinetics model predicts that HCO\(^+\), SO\(^+\), and H\(_2\)O\(^+\) can form profusely (with peak abundances of ∼10\(^{-6}\)) in the external layers of the slow central regions of OH 231.8 and, thus, most generally, in similar O-rich CSEs. A moderate production of H\(_2\)O\(^+\) (with fractional abundances of up to ∼10\(^{-5}\)) is also expected in regions deeper into the envelope. The production of N\(_2\)H\(^+\) is, however, rather inefficient, leading to very low fractional abundances of X(N\(_2\)H\(^+\)) ∼ 10\(^{-11}\).

In the fast lobes of OH 231.8, our standard chemistry model predicts relatively high abundances for SO\(^+\) and H\(_2\)O\(^+\) (of up to ∼10\(^{-3}\)); however, we predict that HCO\(^+\) and N\(_2\)H\(^+\) are very scarce, with abundances of ∼10\(^{-10}\) and ∼10\(^{-12}\), respectively.

There are various observational results that our chemistry model fails to reproduce satisfactorily. In particular, the high HCO\(^+\) and N\(_2\)H\(^+\) abundances in the fast lobes deduced from the observed profiles. If these ions were present in the lobes in the low amounts predicted by the model, their emission would have remained undetected in our survey. Another model prediction that is inconsistent with the observations is that all ions should be more abundant in the slow core than in the fast lobes, however, the broad profiles of HCO\(^+\), N\(_2\)H\(^+\), and SO\(^+\), reflect exactly the opposite. One of the most extreme cases is HCO\(^+\), for which the model predicts a core-to-lobe abundance ratio of ∼500 versus the measured value of ∼1/3–1/4; the latter value is deduced from the broad, flattened profiles we report, and from accurate characterization of the HCO\(^+\) spatio-kinematic distribution obtained from previous single-dish and interferometric mapping (Sect. 1).

Regarding H\(_3\)O\(^+\), the model predicts a spatial distribution analogous to those of the other ions, i.e., peaking at the external layers of the slow central core due to photodissociation processes. This distribution is not easily reconcilable with the expected origin for the H\(_2\)O\(^+\) emission in the deep (slow and warm) regions of the central core. The model predicts a detectable amount of H\(_2\)O\(^+\), of ≤10\(^{-3}\), in the fast lobes that is not consistent with our observations. The tentative H\(_2\)O\(^+\) abundance distribution in OH 231.8 deduced from our observations needs, however, to be confirmed by higher quality data.

The large core-to-lobe abundance ratio of HCO\(^+\), SO\(^+\), and N\(_2\)H\(^+\) predicted by the model can be reduced (but not suppressed) by adopting a lower initial H\(_2\)O abundance of 3 × 10\(^{-5}\) (instead of 3 × 10\(^{-4}\) used in our standard model). Also, lowering the water content injected to the intermediate/outer envelope would produce somewhat lower values of the peak abundances of H\(_2\)O\(^+\), which is probably overabundant in the external envelope layers in our standard model.

We also find that an elemental nitrogen overabundance in OH 231.8 (by a factor of up to ∼40) is not able to produce
sufficient N$_2$H$^+$, which remains one order of magnitude less abundant than observed. We believe that the model’s inability to explain the large abundance of N$_2$H$^+$ is not mainly a problem caused by an assumed nitrogen abundance that is too low. A similar problem also resides in NH$_3$ in the majority of O-rich CSEs, which contain ammonia in amounts that exceed predictions from conventional chemical models by many orders of magnitude (e.g., Menten et al. 2010; Menten & Alcolea 1995).

6.1. Molecule reformation after the passage of fast dissociative shocks?

Taking into consideration the various important model-data discrepancies deduced from our analysis, we must conclude that the standard molecular formation scenario adopted (triggered by ionization by UV photons and cosmic rays of gas whose initial state is predominantly molecular) is too simple and/or does not correctly depict the recent molecular formation history of OH 231.8. The adopted scenario, however, may represent the chemistry of most molecules in the intermediate/outer layers of normal AGB CSEs reasonably well (see, e.g., Nejad & Millar 1988; Glassgold 1996; Millar et al. 2000; Agúndez et al. 2008).

The notable chemical differences between OH 231.8 and most O-rich AGB CSEs are widely recognized and are not restricted to the ions discussed here but affect most of the species identified in this object (Sect. 1). Since the earliest studies on the molecular composition of OH 231.8, the remarkable molecular nature of this source has been related to shocks. Indeed, the main difference between OH 231.8 and “normal” O-rich AGB CSEs is the presence of a fast (∼400 km s$^{-1}$) outflow in OH 231.8. If the acceleration of the bipolar lobes resulted from the violent collision between underlying fast jets on the pre-existing slow AGB wind, as proposed by many authors, then shocks must have necessarily played a major role in defining the current chemical composition of the outflow.

In particular, given the high velocities observed in the fast outflow of OH 231.8, it is unlikely that molecules survived this kind of acceleration process but rather they were probably dissociated. Molecules are indeed expected to be destroyed by shocks with speeds larger than ≥50 km s$^{-1}$ (e.g., Hollenbach & McKee 1980). After the shocked gas has cooled below ∼10$^4$ K, atoms begin to associate and form molecules again; if the post-shock gas is moderately dense (e.g., ∼10$^2$–10$^3$ cm$^{-3}$), molecule reformation can happen indeed rather quickly, within a few years from the shock (e.g., Glassgold et al. 1989, Neufeld & Dalgarno 1980). After the shocked gas has cooled below ∼10$^4$ K, atoms begin to associate and form molecules again; if the post-shock gas is moderately dense (e.g., ∼10$^2$–10$^3$ cm$^{-3}$), molecule reformation can happen indeed rather quickly, within a few years from the shock (e.g., Glassgold et al. 1989, Neufeld & Dalgarno 1980).

We believe that most molecules observed in the fast outflow of OH 231.8, if shock accelerated, must have dissociated and reformed in the post-shock gas. A similar scenario has been proposed to explain the chemistry in the high-velocity molecular outflow of the C-rich PPN CRL 618 (Neri et al. 1992). At present, the shocks that accelerated the outflow ∼800 yr ago are not active, therefore, the bulk of the molecular outflow in OH 231.8 is probably swept-up AGB wind material that has cooled down sufficiently to allow molecule reformation. Note that the lobe axial acceleration took place in less than ∼150 yr, which may have been the duration of, e.g., a pulsated fast wind.

The physical properties (density and temperature) of the fast post-shock gas, which could have been fully dissociated and fully ionized ∼800 yr ago, must have evolved as a function of time. In particular, the temperature in the lobes has certainly decreased to its current value of ∼10–20 K. The assembly of new molecules would have happened progressively while the shock-heated material was cooling down. The ionized, atomic, and molecular fractions in the post-shock gas must have also evolved with time in a way that is not easy to predict, over the course of the cooling and molecular regeneration. (In particular, a transition from an H$^+$-dominated regime to an H$_2$-dominated regime, passing through an intermediate H$^+$-dominated phase, has probably happened.) Since these are the basic ingredients for putting together new molecules, their evolution with time must have dictated the set of chemical reactions that were dominant at each precise moment over the course of molecular regeneration leading to the final molecular content observed at present.

We increase the number of observational studies and chemistry modeling of molecular ions, which is needed to make progress in determining the role of ion-molecule reactions in the chemistry scheme of O-rich CSEs. Taking the presence of fast outflows and the probable shock-acceleration history of the envelope of OH 231.8 into account, the observations reported here may contribute to the emergence of an observational picture of the effects of shocks on the molecular chemistry of circumstellar envelopes. In the future, it would be desirable to attempt new chemical kinetics models adopting the molecule reformation scenario proposed here for OH 231.8. This is a difficult task since determining the time dependence of the relevant physical parameters of the cooling gas, where second-generation species have formed, is a challenging theoretical problem. Undoubtedly, it would also be advantageous to enlarge the reaction network used in most standard chemistry models by including additional chemical reactions that may affect the predicted abundances of certain species (e.g., grain-surface reactions, X-rays, etc.).
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The HIFI beam pattern (size, efficiency, etc.) is described by Roelfsema et al. (2012). In October 2014, a release note was issued by the HIFI calibration team (Mueller et al. 2014) reporting improved measures of the half-power beam width (HPBW) and main-beam efficiency ($\eta_{mb}$) that supersede previous estimates in Roelfsema et al. (2012). The new efficiency estimates are systematically lower than previous values by typically 15–20% for $\eta_{mb}$.

For convenience, we reproduce again in this appendix the updated values of the relevant beam-model parameters provided by Mueller et al. (2014) in Tables 2 and 3 of their release note. These values, given in Table A.1, are used to obtain the formulae (Eq. (2) in Sect. 2.2) that describe the frequency/wavelength dependence of HPBW and $\eta_{eff}$ for the HIFI bands (1 through 5) used in this work. Since we average the H and V polarization data to obtain final spectra, we use the average of $\eta_{mb}$ for H and V at a given frequency in order to convert antenna temperatures ($T_A^*\nu$) to a main-beam scale ($T_{MB}\nu$) at that frequency. We recall that, as stated in Sect. 2:

$$T_{MB} = T_A^* / \eta_{eff} = T_A^* \eta_{mb} / \eta_{hmb}.$$

Appendix B: Additional material

In this Appendix, we include additional material for the ion SO$^+$ and the molecule $^{13}$CO in OH 231.8.

In Fig. B.1, we show all the SO$^+$ transitions (detected and nondetected) observed within the frequency range covered in our survey with the IRAM 30 m telescope. Some of the SO$^+$ lines are blended with transitions of other molecules and/or are in particularly noisy regions and have not been considered for the rotational diagram analysis presented in Sect. 4.1.

A total of nine $^{13}$CO transitions have been detected in OH 231.8 from our surveys with IRAM 30 m and Herschel (Fig. B.2 and Table B.1). The $^{13}$CO lines, which are good tracers of the density and kinematics of the nebula, show structured profiles with two main components: (1) a prominent, relatively narrow core (centered around the systemic velocity of the source, $v_LSR \sim 33–34$ km s$^{-1}$) plus weaker, broad wings. The line core arises at the slow, dense central (low-latitude) parts of the nebula, which expand at relatively low velocities of $\sim 10–35$ km s$^{-1}$ (clump 13), whereas the wing emission mainly arises at the fast, bipolar lobes (see Sect. 1 and Fig. 1). As explained in the Introduction, the spatio-kinematic structure of the molecular outflow of OH 231.8 is well known from previous single-dish and interferemetric maps of $^{12}$CO. The large core-to-wing intensity ratio of the $^{13}$CO profiles is consistent with most ($\sim 70\%$) of the mass in the molecular outflow being in the slow central regions (Sánchez Contreras et al. 1997; Alcolea et al. 2001).

The $^{13}$CO wing emission progressively weakens, relative to the core, at higher frequencies. For transitions observed with the same telescope (i.e., for the three $J_a \leq 3$ lines observed with IRAM 30 m or for the six $J_a \geq 5$ lines observed with Herschel), this trend is partially explained by the smaller beam and, thus, the smaller fraction of the fast outflow sampled by the observations at higher frequencies. However, this is not the only reason, since at all frequencies the Herschel beam is comparable to, or larger than, the IRAM 30 m beam, with a maximum size of HPBW $= 22\ ''$ at 110 GHz for the observations reported here.

Both the full width of the wings and the full width at half maximum of the $^{13}$CO profiles decrease as the upper energy level increases. The full width of the wings is largest for the $^{13}$CO ($J = 1–0$) transition, which is observed over a velocity range of $v_LSR = [\pm 80:140]$ km s$^{-1}$ with a beam HPBW $= 22.1''$, and decreases for higher-$J$ transitions down to $v_LSR = [\pm 15:55]$ km s$^{-1}$ for the $J = 7–6$ line, observed with a comparable beam of HPBW $= 27.6''$, for transitions with $J > 7$, we do not detect wing emission. The FWHM of the $^{13}$CO lines ranges between $36–14$ km s$^{-1}$ for the $J = 1–0$ and the 10–9 transitions, respectively. An analogous behavior is observed in most molecules observed in our surveys with IRAM 30 m and Herschel, including $^{12}$CO (Sánchez Contreras et al. 2014; Sánchez Contreras et al., in prep.). The observed trend suggests that the envelope layers with higher excitation conditions (i.e., warmer and, thus, presumably closer to the central star) are characterized by lower expansion velocities.

Notes. Adapted from Tables 2 and 3 of Mueller et al. (2014).

Appendix A: The HIFI beam pattern

The HIFI beam pattern (size, efficiency, etc.) is described by Roelfsema et al. (2012). In October 2014, a release note was issued by the HIFI calibration team (Mueller et al. 2014) reporting improved measures of the half-power beam width (HPBW) and main-beam efficiency ($\eta_{mb}$) that supersede previous estimates in Roelfsema et al. (2012). The new efficiency estimates are systematically lower than previous values by typically 15–20% for $\eta_{mb}$.

For convenience, we reproduce again in this appendix the updated values of the relevant beam-model parameters provided by Mueller et al. (2014) in Tables 2 and 3 of their release note. These values, given in Table A.1, are used to obtain the formulae (Eq. (2) in Sect. 2.2) that describe the frequency/wavelength dependence of HPBW and $\eta_{eff}$ for the HIFI bands (1 through 5) used in this work. Since we average the H and V polarization data to obtain final spectra, we use the average of $\eta_{mb}$ for H and V at a given frequency in order to convert antenna temperatures ($T_A^*\nu$) to a main-beam scale ($T_{MB}\nu$) at that frequency. We recall that, as stated in Sect. 2:

$$T_{MB} = T_A^* / \eta_{eff} = T_A^* \eta_{mb} / \eta_{hmb}.$$
Fig. B.1. IRAM 30 m spectra toward OH 231.8 near the five mm-wave doublets of SO$^+$ (see Table 1). An LTE model compatible with values in Table 2 and adopting an average FWHM = 55 km s$^{-1}$ for the lines is overplot (red line).
Fig. B.2. $^{13}$CO transitions detected in OH 231.8+4.2 with IRAM 30 m and Herschel. The red broad shoulder observed in the $^{13}$CO ($J = 8–7$) line is probably an artificial feature due to residual baseline distortion.