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ABSTRACT

Context. Late-type stars in young open clusters show two kinds of dependence of their X-ray emission on rotation. They also tend to group into two main sub-populations that lie on narrow sequences in diagrams where their rotation periods are plotted against their \((B - V)\) colour indices. A correlation between these two regimes of X-ray emission and the rotation sequences has been recently observed in the M34 open cluster. Sun-like M34 stars also show a drop of their X-ray to bolometric luminosity ratio by about one order of magnitude at a Rossby number of about 0.3.

Aims. The present study looks for similar connections between X-ray activity and rotation in an other open cluster. The aim is to consolidate a model of X-ray activity evolution on the main sequence and to provide observational constraints on dynamo processes in the interiors of late-type stars.

Methods. The paper compares XMM-Newton measurements of X-ray stellar emission in M35 with X-ray luminosity distributions derived from rotation period measurements assuming either an X-ray regime transition at a critical Rossby number or a correlation between X-ray emission regimes and rotation sequences.

Results. This second hypothesis could account for the low number of M35 stars detected in X-rays. A model of X-ray activity evolution is proposed based on the correlation. One major output is that the transition from saturated to non-saturated X-ray emission occurs at Rossby numbers between about 0.13 and 0.4 for each star depending on its mass and initial period of rotation on the ZAMS. This prediction agrees with observations of stellar X-ray emission in M34. It explains the large range of X-ray luminosities observed among Sun-like stars in young open clusters.

Conclusions. I conclude that the correlation between X-ray emission regimes and rotation sequences could be a fundamental property of the early evolution of stellar magnetic activity on the main sequence. I argue that the angular momentum redistribution mechanism(s) responsible for the transition between rotation sequences could result in a changing mixture of dynamo processes occurring side by side, possibly including a turbulent dynamo at high rotation rate and an interface-type dynamo whose efficiency decreases progressively at later stage of stellar evolution when rotation dies away.
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1. Introduction

One major topic of stellar activity is to explain how phenomena seen on the Sun and stars, and especially magnetic phenomena, depend on stellar parameters such as rotation rate, mass, and age. One magnetic field diagnostic for cool stars is coronal X-ray emission. In particular, the relationship between the coronal radiative flux density and the average surface magnetic flux density is nearly linear for solar active regions and for entire stars (e.g. Fisher et al. 1998; Schrijver & Zwaan 2000) over 12 orders of magnitude in absolute magnetic flux (Pevtsov et al. 2003).

The X-ray emission from late-type stars in open clusters exhibits two kinds of dependences on stellar rotation (e.g. Patten & Simon 1996; Randich 2000; Feigelson et al. 2003; Pizzolato et al. 2003). Fast rotators show a relatively constant X-ray to bolometric luminosity ratio at the so-called \((L_X/L_{bol}) \approx 10^{-3}\) saturation level. Slower rotators show a decline of their X-ray emission with decreasing rotation rate. The physical significance of the transition between these two regimes is a matter of debate (e.g. Jardine & Unruh 1999).

The rotation periods of late-type stars can be accurately derived from the modulation of their brightness by starspots (e.g. Lanza et al. 2009; Fröhlich et al. 2009; Silva-Valio et al. 2010; Gondoin et al. 2012). Photometric monitoring programs have produced a large number of measurements of this kind in young open clusters (e.g. Prosser et al. 1995; Krishnamurthi et al. 1998; Irwin et al. 2007; Collier Cameron et al. 2009; Hartman et al. 2009, 2010). Based on these data, Barnes (2003a) found that young stars tend to group into two main sub-populations that lie on narrow sequences in diagrams where the measured rotation periods of the members of a stellar cluster are plotted against their \((B - V)\) colour indices. One sequence, called the I sequence, consists of stars that form a diagonal band of increasing period with increasing \(B - V\) colour. In young clusters, another sequence of ultra-fast rotators called the C sequence is also observed splitting away from the I sequence towards shorter rotation periods. Some stars lie in the intervening gap between the I and C sequences.

Possible connections between these rotation sequences and the X-ray emission regimes have been addressed by Barnes (2003b) and Wright et al. (2011). In a recent paper, Gondoin (2012; hereafter G12) reported a correlation between the saturated and non-saturated regime of X-ray emission and the C sequence.
and I rotation sequences that have been observed in M 34 from extensive rotation periods surveys (Irwin et al. 2006; James et al. 2010; Meibom et al. 2011). Messier 34 sample stars also show a steep transition in X-ray to bolometric luminosity ratio between the C-sequence and gap stars that emit close to the 10$^{-3}$ saturation level, and the I-sequence stars, whose $L_X/L_{bol}$ ratio is significantly lower for similar values of the Rossby number.

Based on M 34 X-ray observation, G12 proposed a time evolution model of X-ray activity on the main sequence. This model assumes that the transition from the saturated to the non-saturated regime of X-ray emission occurs as the rotation rates of young stars decrease and their Rossby numbers reach a critical value Ro$^{crit}$ ~ 0.3. Gondoin (2012) argues that the drop of $(L_X/L_{bol})$ by one order of magnitude observed in M 34 around this Rossby number is indicative of a change in dynamo regime, possibly between a turbulent dynamo and an interface-type dynamo.

The present study is looking for similar correlations between stellar activity and rotation in a different open cluster. Its aim is to consolidate the evolution model of stellar X-ray activity on the main sequence proposed by G12. The study compares X-ray stellar emissions measured in M 35 with X-ray luminosity distributions derived from rotation period measurements assuming either a transition between X-ray emission regimes at a critical Rossby number or a correlation between X-ray emission regimes and rotational sequences. The objective is to provide observational constraints on evolution scenarios of dynamo processes in the interiors of cool stars.

The M 35 open cluster has been the subject of an extensive photometric time-series survey by Meibom et al. (2009; hereafter M09) who reported rotation periods for 441 stars within a field centred on the cluster. Messier 35 was also observed in 2008 with the XMM-Newton space observatory. The present paper reports on the results of a study of X-ray coronal emission as a function of stellar rotation in this rich Galactic cluster. Messier 35 (NGC 2168; $\alpha = 06^h08^m54^s$, $\delta = 24^\circ20'$; $l = 186.59^\circ$, $b = +02.19^\circ$) contains at least 1000 stars brighter than $V = 22$ (Kalirai et al. 2003) with a well-populated main sequence down to stars with masses of 0.2 $M_\odot$. Its metallicity is lower than solar with [Fe/H] values between $-0.18$ and $-0.21$ (McNamara et al. 2011).

Estimates of M 35 distance range from 725 pc (Sun & Lee 1992) to 912 pc (Kalirai et al. 2003). McNamara et al. (2011) found a cluster distance of 762 ± 145 pc when combining the cluster’s internal proper motion dispersion with a radial velocity dispersion of 0.65 ± 0.1 km s$^{-1}$ found by Geller et al. (2010). Using isochrone fits to the cluster main sequence, this distance suggests that M 35 has an age of about 133 Myr. Although this age is consistent with that typically found for M 35, McNamara et al. (2011) noted that the formal error in the dynamical distance of ±19% can accommodate ages between 65 Myr and 201 Myr. Meibom et al. (2009) obtained gyro-ages from 134 Myr to 161 Myr using the gyrochronology technique (Barnes 2007).

The X-ray and rotation datasets and the compilation of the stellar samples are described in Sect. 2. The properties of the sample stars are reported in Sect. 3. Measurements of X-ray stellar emission in M 35 are compared in Sect. 4 with different distributions of X-ray luminosities inferred from rotation period measurements. An updated model of X-ray activity evolution on the main sequence is derived in Sect. 5 and compared with observational data. The study results are discussed in Sect. 6 and are summarised in Sect. 7.
was generated as a function of the cross-correlation radius (see Fig. 2). It was fitted by the function

$$\Phi(r) = A \left(1 - \exp \left(-\frac{r^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \right) + (N - A) \left(1 - \exp \left(-\pi B r^2\right) \right),$$

(1)

where \(N\), \(A\), \(\sigma\), and \(B\) stand for the total number of cross-correlated X-ray sources (\(N = 71\)), the number of true correlations, the uncertainty on the X-ray source positions, and the surface density of optical sources, respectively. The first term in the above expression describes the cumulative distribution of true correlations, whereas the second term yields the cumulative number of spurious correlations. The values \(A = 19\), \(\sigma = 1.3\) arcsec, and \(B = 1.7 \times 10^4\) arcsec\(^{-2}\) were obtained from the best fit to the cumulative distribution (see Fig. 2). The optimal correlation radius, i.e. the radius that includes the bulk of the true correlations while simultaneously limiting contamination by spurious correlations, is found to be 4 arcsec. For this radius, 19 correlations are found, and less than one is expected by chance. Table 1 lists their rotational periods that were located in the field of view of the EPIC camera during the XMM-Newton observation of September 2008. Filled circles identify the stars that were detected in X-rays. Stars identified as binaries have been excluded.

X-ray fluxes are normally derived from source count rates using energy conversion factors (ECF). In the XMM-Newton catalog, these factors are calculated from a broadband source spectrum characterised by a power law with a photon index \(\Gamma = 1.7\) and an absorption column density \(N_H = 3 \times 10^{20}\) cm\(^{-2}\) (Watson et al. 2009).

In the 0.5–4.5 keV band, this model provides ECF values very similar to those obtained from emission models of optically thin plasma with temperatures \(T \sim 4 \times 10^7\) K and absorbing hydrogen column density \(N_H \sim 10^{21}\) cm\(^{-2}\). These models are representative of plasma emission spectra expected from active coronae in M 35. Indeed, the absorbing hydrogen column density towards M 35 is estimated to \((0.8–1.1) \times 10^{21}\) cm\(^{-2}\) from the reddening correction \(E_{B-V} = 0.17–0.22\) of McNamara et al. (2011). In the 0.5–4.5 keV range, ECF calculations using the Portable, Interactive, Multi-Mission Simulator (PIMMS; Mukai 1993) lead to X-ray flux estimates at most a factor of 2 lower for optically thin plasmas with cooler temperatures \((T \sim 4 \times 10^7\) K) of less active stars and lower absorbing hydrogen column density \((0.8 \times 10^{21}\) cm\(^{-2}\)). The ECF calculation is barely affected by the less-than-solar metallicity of M 35. The X-ray fluxes provided in the 2XMMi-DR3 catalog are thus reasonable estimates.

### 2.2. Samples properties

Among the 19 correlations between the XMM-Newton X-ray source list and the M09 list of stars, one is classified by M09 as a photometric non-member. Five stars are classified as binaries and three stars are classified as radial velocity non-members by Geller et al. (2010; see Table 1). Thus, X-ray emission was detected from only 10 stars out of the 196 M 35 members with known rotational periods that were located in the field of view of the EPIC cameras during the September 2008 XMM-Newton observations and that have not been identified as binaries. This group of 10 stars is referred to hereafter as the X-ray sample. Their X-ray fluxes were converted into stellar X-ray luminosities assuming a distance of 762 pc (McNamara et al. 2011). Figure 3 shows their positions in a \(V_0\) vs. \((B - V)_0\) colour magnitude diagram. The positions of the 196 stars in the parent sample are provided for comparison.

I used the effective temperature and bolometric correction vs. \(B - V\) colour index of Flower (1996) to derive the effective temperatures and bolometric luminosities of the X-ray sample stars. Their X-ray luminosities, effective temperatures, rotation periods, and Rossby numbers (see Sect. 3) are given in Table 2. Bolometric luminosities and X-ray to bolometric luminosity
Table 1. X-ray fluxes, rotation periods, and photometric indices of X-ray emitting stars in M 35.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2XMM identifier</th>
<th>X-ray flux (10^{-15} erg s^{-1} cm^{-2})</th>
<th>P (days)</th>
<th>V0</th>
<th>(B − V0)</th>
<th>Phot. Mmb. (1)</th>
<th>Spect. Mmb. (2)</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J060902.4+241952</td>
<td>12.7 ± 2.1</td>
<td>1.101</td>
<td>15.68</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>PSM</td>
<td>BLM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J060844.9+241958</td>
<td>7.7 ± 1.9</td>
<td>3.720</td>
<td>14.34</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>PSM</td>
<td>SM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J060903.5+241724</td>
<td>7.1 ± 1.7</td>
<td>2.400</td>
<td>15.35</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>PSM BM</td>
<td>SB1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J060902.0+241633</td>
<td>8.0 ± 1.8</td>
<td>8.820</td>
<td>15.76</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>SN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J060913.7+241545</td>
<td>22.4 ± 2.9</td>
<td>0.623</td>
<td>17.40</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J060921.9+241850</td>
<td>15.9 ± 2.5</td>
<td>1.329</td>
<td>15.25</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>PSM</td>
<td>SM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J060900.5+241159</td>
<td>7.5 ± 2.2</td>
<td>0.560</td>
<td>13.94</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>PSM</td>
<td>SM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J060827.5+241238</td>
<td>10.8 ± 2.9</td>
<td>1.109</td>
<td>15.74</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>PSM</td>
<td>SM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J060812.9+241746</td>
<td>9.8 ± 2.8</td>
<td>0.353</td>
<td>15.97</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>SN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J060928.7+242534</td>
<td>11.1 ± 2.7</td>
<td>1.275</td>
<td>15.07</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>PSM</td>
<td>SM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J060842.8+242939</td>
<td>24.5 ± 4.1</td>
<td>0.984</td>
<td>15.19</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>PSM</td>
<td>SM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J060809.9+242059</td>
<td>17.1 ± 3.5</td>
<td>1.231</td>
<td>14.11</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>PNM U</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J060908.6+242947</td>
<td>12.2 ± 3.4</td>
<td>0.651</td>
<td>18.54</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J060915.5+241041</td>
<td>29.0 ± 4.1</td>
<td>3.710</td>
<td>14.87</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>PSM BN</td>
<td>SB1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J060817.7+241225</td>
<td>22.4 ± 4.3</td>
<td>1.111</td>
<td>14.70</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>PSM</td>
<td>SM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J060837.7+243146</td>
<td>9.2 ± 3.1</td>
<td>2.333</td>
<td>15.35</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>PSM BM</td>
<td>SB1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J060931.9+241038</td>
<td>10.5 ± 3.2</td>
<td>3.986</td>
<td>14.41</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>PSM BLM</td>
<td>SB2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J060923.5+243115</td>
<td>16.9 ± 4.3</td>
<td>1.288</td>
<td>15.32</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>PSM</td>
<td>SM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J060801.8+242645</td>
<td>13.1 ± 4.6</td>
<td>0.952</td>
<td>15.90</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>PSM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. The X-ray fluxes in the 0.5−4.5 keV band have been extracted from the XMM-Newton Serendipitous Source Catalog. The rotation periods, extinction-corrected V0 magnitudes, and reddening-corrected (B − V0) and (V − I0) colour indices are from M09. The last columns of the table provide indications (see notes at the bottom of the table) about the photometric and spectroscopic membership of each star to M 35 according to M09, and their singularity or binarity according to Geller et al. (2010). (1) PM: photometric member; PNM: photometric non-member; PSM: photometric and spectroscopic member (from M09). (2) BM: binary member; BN: binary non-member; BLM: binary likely member; SM: single member star; SN: single non-member; U: unknown membership status (from Geller et al. 2010).

The rotation periods (see Fig. 4, right) of the parent sample range from 0.237 to 15.373 days, but most of the stars rotate faster than 10.5 days. The histogram shows a bimodal distribution with 60 stars rotating faster than 1.5 days and 132 stars having periods of rotation between 1.5 and 10 days. In the short period domain, the distribution exhibits a sharp peak below 1.5 day. In the long period domain, the distribution has a broad maximum around a period of about 6 days. This bimodal distribution was noted by M09 (see their Fig. 6) in their initial sample of 310 stars with determined rotation periods. These stars represented about 12% of the photometric cluster population within the X-ray luminosity range of 4200 and 5700 K while those of the X-ray sample are included between 4200 and 5700 K. Only cool dwarfs with an outer convective zone exhibit magnetic activity and hot coronae. The number of such stars in clusters increases with decreasing mass and effective temperature. On the contrary, their detection probability decreases towards low masses and effective temperatures since their X-ray luminosities, which are proportional to their bolometric luminosities, decrease eventually reaching the sensitivity limit of the observation. The effective temperature histogram of the X-ray sample is thus expected to reach a maximum, which is indeed observed around 5000 K (see Fig. 4, middle). Stars with L_X > L_X,lim/R_X,sat, where R_X,sat is the X-ray to bolometric luminosity ratio at saturation (see Sect. 4) and L_X,lim is the X-ray luminosity detection threshold, should have effective temperatures greater than 4400 K corresponding to spectral types earlier than K5.
Fig. 4. Histograms showing bolometric luminosity (left), effective temperature (middle), and rotation period (right) of the parent sample and of the X-ray sample (bold line) of M 35 stars.

Table 2. X-ray luminosities in the 0.5–4.5 keV band, bolometric luminosities, X-ray to bolometric luminosity ratios, effective temperatures, rotation periods, and Rossby numbers of M 35 cluster members stars not identified as binaries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2XMM identifier</th>
<th>$L_X$</th>
<th>$L_{bol}$</th>
<th>$L_X/L_{bol}$</th>
<th>$T_{eff}$</th>
<th>$P$</th>
<th>Ro</th>
<th>Rotation sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J60844.9+241958</td>
<td>54 ± 13</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.04</td>
<td>5386</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J60913.7+241545</td>
<td>155 ± 20</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>5.21 ± 0.68</td>
<td>4694</td>
<td>0.623</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J60900.5+241159</td>
<td>52 ± 15</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.03</td>
<td>5684</td>
<td>0.560</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J60827.5+241238</td>
<td>75 ± 20</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.66 ± 0.17</td>
<td>5090</td>
<td>1.109</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J60928.7+242534</td>
<td>77 ± 19</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.10</td>
<td>5413</td>
<td>1.275</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J60842.8+242939</td>
<td>170 ± 29</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.93 ± 0.16</td>
<td>5183</td>
<td>0.984</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J60908.6+242947</td>
<td>84 ± 23</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>5.71 ± 1.57</td>
<td>4217</td>
<td>0.651</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J60817.7+241225</td>
<td>155 ± 30</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.11</td>
<td>5143</td>
<td>1.111</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J60923.5+243115</td>
<td>117 ± 30</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.17</td>
<td>4922</td>
<td>1.288</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J60801.8+242645</td>
<td>91 ± 32</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.33</td>
<td>5183</td>
<td>0.952</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. The last column gives the M09 classification of each star as a member of the convective sequence (C), the interface sequence (I), or the gap (g). X-ray luminosities were derived from X-ray fluxes (see Table 1) assuming a cluster distance of 762 pc. Uncertainties on stellar X-ray luminosities do not include the ±38% systematic error associated with the uncertainty on the cluster distance.

Out of the ten X-ray sample stars listed in Table 2, eight have effective temperatures between 4900 and 5700 K which correspond to stellar masses in the range 0.8 to 1.1 $M_\odot$. Their X-ray luminosities are between $5.4 \times 10^{29}$ erg s$^{-1}$ and $17 \times 10^{29}$ erg s$^{-1}$. Stars with similar masses and a similar age usually show larger spread in X-ray luminosities. Spreads of more than one order of magnitude have been observed in many open clusters including the Pleiades, the Hyades (e.g. Stern et al. 1995; Micela et al. 1996), and NGC 752 (Giardino et al. 2008). This suggests that the narrow range of X-ray luminosities observed among M 35 stars is not an intrinsic property of the cluster but results from the low sensitivity of the XMM-Newton observation in September 2008. The M 35 parent sample includes 116 stars with 4800 K < $T_{eff}$ < 5800 K (see Fig. 4) of which only a small fraction was detected in X-rays.

Figure 5 compares the X-ray luminosities of Sun-like stars in M 35 with those of similar stars in α Per (Randich et al. 1996), in the Pleiades (Micela et al. 1999), in M 34 (G12), in the Hyades (Stern et al. 1995), and among nearby field stars (Schmitt 1997), including the Sun (Peres 2001). The data points are median values of the distributions reported for most of the clusters by Micela (2002). They represent stars within the 0.8–1.2 $M_\odot$ mass range. The size of the vertical bars are determined by the 25% and 75% quartiles of the X-ray luminosity distribution in each cluster. These quartiles correspond to X-ray luminosities of $1.6 \times 10^{29}$ erg s$^{-1}$ and $6.4 \times 10^{29}$ erg s$^{-1}$, respectively, in the M 34 open cluster (G12) whose age (∼240 Myr) is comparable to that of M 35 (∼150 Myr). Figure 5 shows that the measured X-ray luminosities of Sun-like stars in M 35 are higher than in the Pleiades (∼125 Myr) and in M 34. This is not expected since M 35 evolves at an age between these two clusters. The effect results from a bias of the X-ray luminosity measurements in M 35.
Fig. 5. X-ray luminosity range of M35 sample stars (crosses) with masses between 0.8 $M_\odot$ and 1.2 $M_\odot$ compared with median luminosities of stars with similar masses in the α Per, Pleiades, M34, and the Hyades. The vertical error bars indicate the 25% and 75% quartiles of the distributions (Micela 2002). The various lines represent X-ray luminosity evolution models (see Sect. 5) of solar mass stars with initial rotation periods on the ZAMS ranging from 0.2 to 3.4 days.

Fig. 6. Rotation periods vs. $(B - V)$ colour indices of the M35 sample stars. The solid line represents the I sequence defined by Barnes (2007). The dashed line represents the C sequence determined by M09. M35 stars of the parent sample represented as blue circles belong to the I sequence. M35 parent stars represented as red squares belong to the C sequence. Grey triangles represent M35 gap stars. Filled symbols represent stars detected as X-ray emitters.

towards high values due to the large distance of the cluster and the limited sensitivity of the observation.

3. Rossby numbers and rotation sequences in M35

Durney & Latour (1978) argued that the activity level of main-sequence stars should be a function of the rotation period $P_{\text{rot}}$ divided by a convective turnover time scale $\tau_c$. The Rossby number $\mathrm{Ro} = P_{\text{rot}}/\tau_c$ is an important indicator in hydromagnetic dynamo theory that measures the extent to which rotation can induce both helicity and differential rotation, which are considered essential for a solar-type dynamo. The dependence of the X-ray luminosity relative to the bolometric luminosity on the Rossby number was confirmed for F5 through M5 main-sequence stars using both clusters and field stars (e.g. Patten & Simon 1996; Randich et al. 2000).

While the rotation period can be directly measured, $\tau_c$ is sometimes derived from the mixing-length theory (e.g. Kim & Demarque 1996) and is usually determined empirically (Noyes et al. 1984; Stepien 1994; Gilliland 1985; Pizzolato et al. 2001). The determination provided by Noyes et al. (1984) is the most used in the literature, but is based on only a few points redder than $B - V = 1.0$. Pizzolato et al. (2003) provided improved values using a sample with a greater coverage of low-mass stars. Based on these consolidated values, Wright et al. (2011) suggested that the stellar mass is the relevant physical parameter that determines the convective turnover time. They provided empirical values of the convective turnover time as a function of $(B - V)$ colour bins. Their conversion table is valid over a range $0.09 < M/M_\odot < 1.36$ (see Wright et al. 2011; their Table 2 with $\beta = -2.7$). It can be parameterised as

$$\log(\tau_c) = \sum_{i=0}^{3} a_i \times (B - V)_0,$$

where $a_0 = 1.191$, $a_1 = -0.804$, $a_2 = 1.020$, and $a_3 = -0.145$. I calculated the Rossby numbers of the X-ray and parent sample stars using this parameterisation combined with the rotation period measurements of M09.

In agreement with the paradigm advanced by Barnes (2003a), M09 found that M35 stars group into two main sub-populations that lie on narrow sequences in a colour-period diagram (see Fig. 6). One sequence, called the I sequence, consists of stars with increasing period with increasing $B - V$ colour. Another sequence, called the C sequence, includes ultra-fast rotators with periods lower than about 1.5 days. Some stars, called gap stars, lie in the gap between these two sequences. The M35 colour-period diagram (see Fig. 6) displays functional forms of the M35 rotation isochrones of the I and C sequences. These were first introduced by Barnes (2003a). For the I sequence, I used the form subsequently modified by Barnes (2007) in line with the gyrochronology analysis of M35 performed by M09. The equations of these isochrones are

$$P_C = 0.2 \exp\left(\frac{t}{100((B - V)_0 + 0.1 - t/3000)^2}\right)$$
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and

\[ P_I = [a \times ((B - V)_0 - b)] \times r^c, \quad (4) \]

where \( a = 0.77, b = 0.40, \) and \( c = 0.60 \) are the coefficients from a least-squares fit of \( P_I \) to the M35 I sequence using \( n = 0.52 \) and \( t = 134 \) Myr (M09). The value \( n = 0.52 \) had been previously determined by demanding solar rotation at solar age using the I sequence from multiple young open clusters (Barnes 2007). The Cgl classification of the X-ray sample stars is given in the last column of Table 2.

The X-ray luminosities and X-ray to bolometric luminosity ratios of the X-ray sample stars are displayed in Fig. 7 vs. their rotation periods and Rossby numbers. Figure 7 distinguishes members of the I sequence, of the C sequence, and of the gap. Although most of the M35 stars detected in X-rays belong to the C sequence, their distribution in these diagrams looks consistent with the existence of a correlation between X-ray activity regimes and rotation sequences. Indeed, M35 members of the C sequence detected in X-ray have short rotation periods (\( P_\text{rot} < 1.3 \) days), small Rossby numbers (\( \text{Ro} \leq 0.10 \)), and X-ray to bolometric luminosity ratios close to the \( 10^{-3} \) saturation level. In contrast, the unique M35 member of the I sequence detected in X-rays has a larger rotation period (3.72 d), a larger Rossby number (0.29), and an X-ray to bolometric luminosity ratio significantly smaller than the saturation limit. No gap star has been detected.

The connection between X-ray emission regimes and Rossby numbers on one side and between X-ray emission regimes and rotation sequences on the other side suggests that the classification in rotation sequences is equivalent to a classification in Rossby numbers. The rotation period, effective temperature, and Rossby number histograms of the M35 parent sample are shown in Fig. 8 differentiating stars on the C or I rotation sequences and in the gap. Stars belonging to C and I sequences and to the gap spread over a large range of effective temperature (see Fig. 8, middle). The rotation period histogram (Fig. 8, right) shows that the C sequence includes the fastest rotators, which is in line with its definition. The C and I rotation sequences correspond to the two components of the bimodal distribution in rotation periods observed in the parent sample (Fig. 4, right).

The Rossby number histogram (Fig. 8, left) shows a clear separation between the C sequence at \( \text{Ro} < 0.12 \) and the I sequence at \( \text{Ro} > 0.16 \). The gap stars occupy an intermediate position. Their distribution in Rossby numbers overlaps the C sequence at low Ro and the I sequence at large Ro. The rotation sequence classification thus differs from a classification in terms of Ro domains. The Rossby number alone does not permit the C- and I-sequence stars to be distinguished from the gap stars. In the M34 open cluster, G12 reported a correlation between the saturated and non-saturated regimes of X-ray emission and the rotation sequence classification, but proposed a time evolution model of stellar activity where the X-ray luminosity of a star is essentially determined by its Rossby number. The comparison between rotation sequences and Rossby numbers in M35 suggests that this model may not be appropriate for all stars.

### 4. X-ray luminosity distributions in M35

In order to verify this statement, I calculated X-ray luminosity distributions of the parent sample of M35 stars assuming \( \log(L_X/L_{\odot}) = R_{X,\text{sat}} \) in the saturated regime of X-ray emission and \( \log(L_X/L_{\odot}) = C^{\text{sat}} \times (P/\tau_{c,\odot})^\beta \) in the non-saturated regime (Pizzolato et al. 2003). In these activity-rotation relationships, \( \text{Ro}^{\text{sat}} \) is the Rossby number below which X-ray emission is saturated, and \( R_{X,\text{sat}} \) is the X-ray to bolometric luminosity ratio at saturation. The dependence of X-ray luminosity on mass is provided by the bolometric luminosity and convective turnover time. I used \( R_{X,\text{sat}} = 0.74 \times 10^{-3} \) and \( \beta = -2.7 \) in agreement with the Wright et al. (2011) study of a large stellar sample that includes members of IC 2602 (~30 Myr), IC 2391 (~30 Myr), NGC 2547 (~40 Myr), α Persei (~50 Myr), the Pleiades (~125 Myr), NGC 2516 (~150 Myr), Praesepe (~580 Myr), the Hyades (~625 Myr), and field stars. The proportionality coefficient \( C^{\text{sat}} \) was estimated using solar data as

\[ C^{\text{sat}} = (L_{X,\odot}/L_{\odot}) \times (P_{\odot}/\tau_{c,\odot})^\beta, \quad (5) \]

with \( \log(L_{X,\odot}/L_{\odot}) = -6.24 \) (Judge et al. 2003), \( P_{\odot} = 26.09 \) days (Donahue et al. 1996), and \( \tau_{c,\odot} = 14.45 \) days (Wright et al. 2011).

I considered two models of X-ray luminosity distributions in M35 using two different hypotheses. In the first model, X-ray
luminosities if they belong to the I sequence or to the gap. This
minosity ratio by about one order of magnitude at Rosat
contrasts with the sharp decrease of the X-ray to bolometric lu-
regime of X-ray emission (see Fig. 2 in Wright et al. 2011). This
to a continuous transition from the saturated to the non-saturated
instead of 0.3. A critical Rossby number value Rosat
occurs at a critical Rossby number Ro sat
from the saturated to the non-saturated regime of X-ray emission
Distributions of X-ray luminosities could also be simulated us-
ing a critical Rossby number value Ro sat = 0.13 (see Wright et
al. 2011). The mathematical formulation of this model would
be identical to that of the first model but would use Ro sat = 0.13
instead of 0.3. A critical Rossby number value Ro sat = 0.13 leads
to a continuous transition from the saturated to the non-saturated
regime of X-ray emission (see Fig. 2 in Wright et al. 2011). This
contrasts with the sharp decrease of the X-ray to bolometric lu-
minosity ratio by about one order of magnitude at Ro sat = 0.3
in the steep transition model (see Fig. 11, right, in G12). I did
not consider such a smooth transition model in the present study
since it does not explain the observation of saturated X-ray emis-
sion from M 34 gap stars with 0.13 < Ro < 0.4 (see G12). The
steep transition model only affects the activity-rotation relation-
ship derived by Wright et al. (2011) in a narrow range of Rossby
numbers between 0.13 and 0.4. It remains consistent with the
$L_X/L_{bol}$ vs. Ro plot of statistical data derived from measurements
of rotation periods and X-ray luminosities on main sequence
stars in many open clusters (see Fig. 2 in Wright et al. 2011).

The X-ray luminosities of active stars may vary on timescales of
hours, days, or years because of flares, rotational modulation
by active regions, or activity cycles, for example. To take into
account these stochastic effects, I ran Monte Carlo
simulations of X-ray luminosities for the 196 reference sam-
ple stars assuming Gaussian distributions around the average
values defined by the X-ray activity vs. rotation relationships.
Figure 9 shows the average values and error bars of the cu-
mulative histograms of 10000 simulated distributions of the
CgI model and of the steep transition model. They assume a
Gaussian distribution of X-ray luminosities. A relative standard
deviation of 0.5 corresponding to a moderate X-ray variabil-
ity is assumed in the left panel of Fig. 9. This relative stan-
dard deviation corresponds to the X-ray luminosity dispersion
of M 35 C-sequence stars relative to the average X-ray saturation
level excluding the two objects, 2XMMJ60913.7+241545
and 2XMMJ60908.6+242947, possibly in a flaring state. The
right panel of Fig. 9 shows the result of 10000 simulations
with Gaussian distribution of X-ray luminosities assuming a
relative standard deviation of 1.3 for the C-sequence and the
gap stars and of 4.0 for the I sequence. These values corre-
semble to a much higher variability of the stellar X-ray emission.

Figure 9 compares the simulated X-ray luminosity distribu-
tions of the parent sample with the measured distribution in M 35
(see Table 2). The detection threshold of the September 2008
XMM-Newton observations appears in the cumulative histogram

![Fig. 8. Histograms of the Rossby number (left), effective temperature (middle) and rotation period (right) of the M 35 parent sample. The red continuous line represents stars belonging to the C sequence. The black dotted line represents gap stars. The blue dashed line represents stars belonging to the I sequence.](image-url)
of the measured distribution as a plateau below $L_X = 5 \times 10^{29}$ erg s$^{-1}$. According to the CgI and steep transition models, the X-ray luminosity distribution of the M 35 parent sample should extend down to about $10^{28}$ erg s$^{-1}$. The large difference between this value and the detection threshold accounts for the small number of M 35 stars that have been detected in X-rays compared with the size of the parent sample.

In the moderate X-ray variability case (Fig. 9, left), the simulated distributions of X-ray luminosities derived from the steep transition model at $\text{Ro}_{\text{sat}} = 0.30$ show a larger number of stars than observed at high X-ray luminosities ($L_X > 10^{30}$ erg s$^{-1}$). The distributions derived from the CgI model are closer to the measured distribution. An explanation for the difference in numbers of X-ray luminous stars between the CgI and the steep transition model is given by the Rossby number histogram (see left panel of Fig. 8). This histogram indicates that about 20 stars of the I sequence have a Rossby number lower than 0.3. According to the steep transition model, these stars emit X-rays at the saturation level. The CgI model assumes that they emit in the non-saturated regime of X-ray emission which leads to a lower number of X-ray luminous stars and is in closer agreement with the observation.

In the high X-ray variability case (Fig. 9, right), the X-ray luminosity distributions associated with the CgI and steep transition models become indistinguishable. The effect of the high X-ray variability is not only to increase the error bars on the simulated distributions but also to reduce the average number of X-ray bright stars in the steep transition model and to increase it in the CgI model. The associated distributions both differ significantly from the measured distribution.

The error bars in Fig. 9 correspond to the $\pm 2\sigma$ deviation in the 10000 Montecarlo simulations of X-ray distributions performed according to the CgI or to the steep transition model. They indicate that the difference between these two models would be significant in a moderate X-ray variability case. According to the steep transition model, the number of stars with luminosity higher than $10^{30}$ erg s$^{-1}$ is greater than 15 while the CgI model indicates that this number should be greater than 6 at 95% confidence level. Four stars with $L_X > 10^{30}$ erg s$^{-1}$ have actually been detected in the field of view of the EPIC cameras because of the decreasing detection probability of X-ray sources as their X-ray luminosities approach the $5 \times 10^{29}$ erg s$^{-1}$ detection threshold of the September 2008 XMM-Newton observations. On the other hand, the high X-ray variability case accounts for the large dispersion in X-ray luminosity of M 35 C-sequence stars and especially of M 34 I-sequence stars. However, in that case the CgI and the steep transition models indicate that the number of stars with luminosity higher than $10^{30}$ erg s$^{-1}$ should be greater than 12 and 13, respectively, at 95% confidence level, while only four such stars have been detected.

5. X-ray activity evolution on the main sequence

5.1. Transition between X-ray emission regimes

The steep transition model at $\text{Ro}_{\text{sat}} = 0.3$ (see Eq. (6)) provides a good description of the X-ray luminosities of main-sequence stars with low ($\text{Ro} < 0.13$) and high ($\text{Ro} > 0.4$) Rossby numbers. This model may not be adequate to describe the evolution of stellar activity in the range $0.13 < \text{Ro} < 0.4$, i.e. at the
relationship between the convective turnover time and the rotation period $P_{\text{sat}}$ at which a transition occurs between the saturated and non-saturated regimes of X-ray emission. The convective turnover time is expressed in the scale of Wright et al. (2011). Relationships are shown for stars with initial periods of rotation on the ZAMS ranging from 0.12 to 3.4 days. These relations have been derived assuming a correlation between X-ray emission regimes and rotation sequences using the I and C sequence parameterisation of Barnes (2010). They are compared with the linear relation (continuous thick line) that assumes a transition between X-ray emission regime at a critical Rossby number $R_{\text{sat}} = 0.30$ (G12). The linear relation corresponding to a continuous transition from saturated to non-saturated regime X-ray emission at $R_{\text{sat}} = 0.13$ is shown as a thick dashed line.

transition between the saturated and non-saturated regime of X-ray emission. Section 4 suggests that the CgI model based on a correlation between X-ray emission regimes and rotation sequences could be used instead, although neither this model nor the steep transition model are able to account for a stochastic time variability of the stellar X-ray emission with an amplitude large enough to explain the dispersion of X-ray luminosity measurements.

In line with Eq. (7), the CgI model can be formulated as

$$
\frac{L_X}{L_{\text{bol}}} = \begin{cases} 
R_{X_{\text{sat}}} & \text{if } P_{C}(t, \tau_c) \leq P(t) < P_I(t, \tau_c), \\
C^\text{st}(P(t)/\tau_c)^\beta & \text{if } P(t) = P_I(t, \tau_c),
\end{cases}
$$

(8)

where $P_C$ and $P_I$ are the isochrone equations whose empirical derivations as a function of the $B-V$ colour index are given in Eq. (3) and (4). Using arguments based on angular momentum loss from fast and slow rotators, Barnes & Kim (2010) suggested that the C and I isochrones can be expressed as a function of the convective turnover time and a constant of integration $P_0$,

$$
P_C(t, \tau_c) = P_0 e^{k_\text{C}/\tau_c},
$$

(9)

and

$$
P_I(t, \tau_c) = \sqrt{P_0^2 + 2\tau_c t/k_1},
$$

(10)

where the constants $k_C = 0.646$ days Myr$^{-1}$, $k_1 = 452$ Myr day$^{-1}$, and $\tau_{C,B} = 34.884$ days have been calibrated on the Sun, with input from open cluster rotation observations demanding that the rotation of the star start off with an initial period of 1.1 days and be 26.09 days at an age of 4570 Myr (Barnes 2010); $P_0$ represents the initial period of rotation on the ZAMS and Eqs. (9) and (10) are only valid on the ZAMS, i.e. for $t \geq 0$.

Since stellar rotation decays with age, stars evolve from the C to the I rotation sequence. For any given star, there is a rotation period $P_{\text{sat}}$ above which the star evolves on the I rotation sequence in a regime of non-saturated X-ray emission. If $P_{\text{sat}} > P_0$, the transition on the I rotation sequence occurs at a time $t_{\text{sat}} > 0$ on the main sequence such that $P_{\text{sat}}(t_{\text{sat}}, \tau_c) = P_I(t_{\text{sat}}, \tau_c)$. If $P_{\text{sat}} < P_0$, the transition occurs during the pre-main-sequence phase of the star’s evolution.

A numerical solution to the equation $P_{\text{sat}}(t_{\text{sat}}, \tau_c) = P_I(t_{\text{sat}}, \tau_c)$ is given in Fig. 10 as a function of $\tau_c$ for 0.12 $d < P_0 < 3.4$ d. It is compared with the linear relation $P_{\text{sat}}(\tau_c) \approx 0.30$ that corresponds to the steep transition model. Figure 10 shows that this model is a good approximation of the CgI model at low convective turnover time, i.e. for solar mass stars. At lower masses, the two models can differ significantly depending on the star convective turnover time and initial period of rotation on the ZAMS.

Remarkably, the smooth transition model at $R_{\text{sat}} = 0.13$ forms a lower envelope to the family of curves $P_{\text{sat}}(\tau_c)$ shown on Fig. 10. It corresponds to the limit $P_{\text{sat}}(\tau_c) = P_0$. Any star with $P_0 > 0.13 \tau_c$ reaches the ZAMS on the I sequence in a non-saturated regime of X-ray emission. Only the fastest rotators with $P_0 < 0.13 \tau_c$ are on the C rotation sequence or in the gap and emit X-rays in the saturation regime during the early phase of evolution on the main sequence.

The equation of the lower envelope for which $P_{\text{sat}}$ tends towards $P_0$ is

$$
P_{\text{sat}} \approx \frac{2.4}{\sqrt{k_C \times \tau_c}} = 0.14,
$$

(11)

where the turnover time $\tau_{C,W}$ used by Wright et al. (2011) is rescaled by a factor of $(\tau_{C,B}/\tau_{C,W}) \approx 2.4$ to correct for the different value of the Sun convective turnover time used by Barnes (2010).

5.2. Updated model of X-ray activity evolution

Barnes (2010) noted that an infinite family of functions have the two asymptotic behaviours described by Eq. (9) and (10). He proposed one particularly simple solution recognising that another more complicated case may be found to be more physically meaningful in time. This solution leads to the formulation of the rotation period evolution on the main-sequence star as a function of $\tau_c$ and $P_0$,

$$
t = \frac{\tau_{C,B}}{k_C} \ln \left( \frac{P(t)}{P_0} \right) + \frac{k_1}{2\tau_{C,B}} \times \left( P(t)^2 - P_0^2 \right).
$$

(12)

Combining this expression with a new formulation of the CgI model (see Eq. (8)) using $P_{\text{sat}}(\tau_c)$ provides a time evolution model of the stellar X-ray emission on the main sequence that can be expressed as

$$
\frac{L_X}{L_{\text{bol}}} = \begin{cases} 
R_{X_{\text{sat}}} & \text{if } P_0 \leq P(t) < P_{\text{sat}}(\tau_c), \\
C^\text{st}(P(t)/\tau_c)^\beta & \text{if } P_0 \leq P_{\text{sat}}(\tau_c) \leq P(t),
\end{cases}
$$

(13)

The dependence of the X-ray luminosity on stellar mass in Eq. (13) is implicitly contained in the bolometric luminosity and in the convective turnover time. The bolometric luminosity is related to stellar mass on the main sequence by the expression (e.g., Duric 2003)

$$
(M/M_\odot) = (L_{\text{bol}}/L_\odot)^{1/4}.
$$

(14)
A parameterisation of the convective turnover time as a function of stellar mass is proposed by Wright et al. (2011) as

$$\log (\tau_{cw}) = 1.16 - 1.49 \times \log \left( \frac{M}{M_\odot} \right) - 0.54 \times \log^2 \left( \frac{M}{M_\odot} \right). \quad (15)$$

One graphical representation of the CgI model is shown in Fig. 7 (right). It displays the X-ray to bolometric luminosity ratio as a function of Rossby number for 0.8 $M_\odot$ stars with initial periods of rotation on the ZAMS ranging from 0.12 to 3.4 days. Another representation is given in Fig. 11 (right) that plots $L_X/L_{bol}$ vs. Ro for stars with $0.4 \leq M/M_\odot \leq 1.2$ and $P_0 = 1.1$ days on the ZAMS. A major change of the CgI model compared with the steep transition model proposed by G12 is that the transition from saturated to non-saturated X-ray emission does not occur for all stars at the same critical Rossby number $R_{boot} = 0.3$. For each single star, it occurs at a Ro value that depends on the mass of the star and on its initial period of rotation on the ZAMS. For instance, the transition from saturated to non-saturated X-ray emission occurs at $0.14 < Ro < 0.41$ for 0.8 $M_\odot$ stars with $0.12 \ d < P_0 < 2.8 \ d$ (see Fig. 7). A 0.8 $M_\odot$ star with $P_0 > 2.8$ days reaches the main sequence on the I sequence emitting X-ray in the non-saturated regime. Main-sequence stars with $0.4 \leq M/M_\odot \leq 1.2$ and $P_0 = 1.1$ days evolve from the saturated to the non-saturated regime of X-ray emission at Rossby numbers between 0.32 and 0.19 (see Fig. 11, right). The CgI model thus suggests that stars with $0.14 < Ro < 0.41$ exhibit a large range of X-ray luminosities. This could explain the large dispersion of X-ray to bolometric luminosity ratios among the I-sequence stars of the M 34 open cluster (see Fig. 11, right).

The CgI model of X-ray luminosity evolution reproduces features observed by Pizzolato et al. (2003) in their study on the relationship between coronal X-ray emission and stellar rotation in late-type main-sequence stars. In particular, Fig. 11 (left) shows that (i) the expression of the X-ray activity-rotation relationship in terms of $L_X$ vs. $P_{crt}$, instead of $L_X/L_{bol}$ vs. Ro, considerably increases the scatter of data points because of the introduction of an additional mass dependence (ii) the X-ray luminosity saturation level increases with stellar mass because of the strong mass dependence of the bolometric luminosity and (iii) the rotation period at which the transition between X-ray emission regimes occurs increases with decreasing stellar mass. In addition, for a given initial rotation period on the ZAMS, lower mass stars evolve from the saturated to the non-saturated regime of X-ray emission at later ages. According to the model, the transition occurs at an age after arrival on the ZAMS of about 100 Myr for Sun-like stars with $P_0 = 1.1$ days and after about 900 Myr for 0.4 $M_\odot$ stars with the same initial period of rotation on the ZAMS (see Fig. 12).

5.3. Comparison with observational data

The effective temperature histogram of M 35 stars (see Fig. 4, middle) peaks at temperatures of about 5000 K that correspond to early K stars with masses of about 0.8 $M_\odot$. Figure 7 compares the simulated evolution of the X-ray emission from such stars with the positions of M 35 stars in $L_X$ vs. $P$ and $L_X/L_{bol}$ vs. Ro diagrams. All X-ray sample stars located on the C sequence emits at the saturation level in agreement with the model except two stars, 2XMMJ60913.7+241545 and 2XMMJ60908.6+242947, whose abnormal emission has been discussed previously (see Sect. 2.2). Another star, 2XMMJ60900.5+241159, exhibits an exceptionally low X-ray to bolometric luminosity ratio ($L_X/L_{bol} \approx 10^{-5}$) in view of its Rossby number (Ro = 0.05). Its location above the main-sequence in the colour-magnitude diagram (see Fig. 3) suggests that this object is a binary system.

Only one M 35 star has been detected in X-ray that belongs to the I sequence. No M 35 star has been detected in X-rays that belongs to the gap between the C and I rotation sequences (see Fig. 7). The M 34 open cluster provides additional stars detected in X-rays that have been classified as members of the C, g and I rotation sequences (see G12). Figure 11 (right) compares their locations in an $L_X/L_{bol}$ vs. Ro diagram.
with X-ray evolutionary tracks of stars with \(0.4 \leq M/M_\odot \leq 1.2\) and \(P_0 = 1.1\) day. One interesting output of the model is that the hypothesis of a correlation between rotation sequences and X-ray emission regimes leads to a transition from the saturated to the non-saturated regime of X-ray emission in a range of Rossby number between 0.32 and 0.19 for stars with \(0.4 \leq M/M_\odot \leq 1.2\) and \(P_0 = 1.1\) day. The \(L_X/L_{bol}\) vs. \(P_0\) diagram in Fig. 11 (right) shows that gap stars in M 34 are indeed located in this domain.

Using the CgI model, I calculated the time evolution of the X-ray luminosities of Sun-like stars with a range of initial rotation periods observed in young stellar clusters. These stars are assumed to reach the ZAMS at an age of 35 Myrs, approximately equal to the Kelvin-Helmholtz timescale of a solar mass star. Figure 5 compares the evolutionary track of their X-ray emissions with the measured X-ray luminosities of Sun-like stars in various open clusters (see Sect. 2.3). The model shows, in agreement with the measurements, a large dispersion of X-ray luminosities among young Sun-like stars. This large dispersion in the early phase of evolution on the main sequence occurs because fast rotating Sun-like stars emit X-ray in the saturated regime while slower rotators with similar masses already operate in the non-saturated regime of X-ray emission.

Regarding the slow rotators, the model indicates that Sun-like stars with initial periods of rotation on the ZAMS greater than about two days have an X-ray luminosity lower than about \(5 \times 10^{25}\) erg s\(^{-1}\) at the age of M 35. This explains why they were not detected in X-rays during the XMM-Newton observation of September 2008. Figure 5 also shows that Sun-like stars with \(P_0\) of \(~1\) day are transiting from the saturated to the non-saturated regime of X-ray emission approximately at the age of M 35. Sun-like stars rotating more slowly have already reached the I sequence and emit X-ray in the non-saturated regime. Solar mass stars rotating more quickly experience a transition to the non-saturated regime of X-ray emission at a later age. The transition does not occur later than about 400 Myr for stars that reach the ZAMS with a rotation close to break-up.

### 6. Discussion

In the present study, I calculated the Rossby numbers of a reference sample of M 35 stars located in the field of view of the EPIC cameras during the XMM-Newton observation of September 2008. The calculation uses a conversion table of the convective turnover time vs. \(B - V\) index established by Wright et al. (2011) and M09 measurements of stellar rotation periods derived from an extensive photometric survey of the M 35 open cluster. I simulated X-ray luminosity distributions of this reference sample using well known activity-rotation relationships in the saturated and non-saturated regime of X-ray emission (see e.g. Wright et al. 2011).

A first set of X-ray luminosity distributions was obtained assuming that the transition from saturated to non-saturated X-ray emission occurs at a critical Rossby number \(Ro^{sat} = 0.3\) in line with an evolution model of X-ray activity on the main sequence proposed by G12 based on a study of the M 34 open cluster. This study also revealed a strong correlation between the saturated and non-saturated regimes of X-ray emission and the C and I rotation sequences observed in M 34 (Irwin et al. 2006; James et al. 2010; Meibom et al. 2011). Using the CgI sequence classification of M 35 stars by M09, a second set of X-ray luminosity distributions was calculated assuming that C-sequence and gap stars emit X-rays at the \(R_X^{sat}\) saturation level and that I-sequence stars emit X-rays in the non-saturated regime.

A comparison with XMM-Newton observations suggests that only this second group of distributions could account for the low number of M 35 stars detected in X-rays. I conclude that the correlation between X-ray emission regimes and rotation sequences could be a fundamental property of the magnetic activity evolution on the main sequence. The evolution model of X-ray activity proposed by G12 was updated taking this correlation into account. Its formulation was derived from mathematical expressions of the I and C isochrones as a function of convective turnover time and initial period of rotation on the ZAMS proposed by Barnes & Kim (2010). One major prediction of the model is that the transition from the saturated to the non-saturated regime of X-ray emission occurs in a range of Rossby numbers depending on stellar mass and initial period of rotation on the ZAMS. This Rossby number domain is between 0.14 and 0.4 for 0.8 \(M_\odot\) stars with 0.12 \(d < P_0 < 2.8\) and between 0.32 and 0.19 for stars with \(0.4 \leq M/M_\odot \leq 1.2\) and \(P_0 = 1.1\) day. Remarkably, this model prediction is in agreement with observations of stellar X-ray emission in the M 34 open cluster. It could explain the large dispersion of X-ray to bolometric luminosity ratios among its I sequence stars. The model also accounts for the large range of X-ray luminosities observed among Sun-like stars in young open clusters.

The X-ray to bolometric luminosity ratio is an indicator of dynamo efficiency in late-type stars. Indeed, the bolometric luminosity is a close upper limit of the total outer convective flux since almost all the energy is transported by convection in the top layers of their convection zone (e.g. Böhm-Vitense 1992). The coronal X-ray radiative flux density is proportional to the average surface magnetic flux density (Fisher et al. 1998). Hence, the \(L_X/L_{bol}\) ratio is a lower limit of the ratio between the surface magnetic flux and the outer convective flux. A steep decrease of this ratio around Rossby numbers \(Ro \approx 0.14 - 0.4\) is thus indicative of a significant drop in dynamo efficiency. The present study suggests that the transition between X-ray emission regimes in M 35 is also correlated with the rapid change in the rate of stellar angular momentum loss that is indicated by the transition from the C to the I rotation sequence. The good agreement between
the proposed X-ray emission evolution model and X-ray luminosity distributions in young open clusters suggests that this correlation between X-ray emission regimes and rotation sequences is a fundamental property of the early magnetic activity evolution of young stars.

Rotational braking by stellar winds is a commonly accepted explanation for the decay of stellar rotation with age. Parker (1958), who proposed the existence of the solar wind, already noted that this wind would cause a retardation of solar rotation on a long time scale. It was soon recognised that this phenomenon also applied to other stars and the associated angular momentum loss was linked to the existence of a surface convection zone (Schatzman 1962). Models were developed to understand angular momentum loss from magnetised stellar winds (e.g. Weber & Davis 1967; Mestel 1968; Kawaler 1988).

Observations of young open clusters showed that late-type stars are formed with a large spread in angular momentum. Stauffer & Hartmann (1987) noted that these stars spin down very rapidly once on the main sequence. This suggested that angular momentum loss mechanisms were highly efficient (Kawaler 1988). In addition, observational data indicated that G-type stars spin down more quickly than K-type stars which rotate faster than G-type stars in the Pleiades and which have experienced spin-down by the age of the Hyades. This led Stauffer & Hartmann (1987) to conclude that only the convective envelope initially undergoes spin-down by magnetic braking. Indeed, if the magnetic field lines that sling charged particles from the wind into space are rooted in the photosphere, the envelope rotation should be decelerated by the wind torque more efficiently in higher mass stars since their thinner convective envelopes contain a smaller fraction of the overall stellar moment of inertia and angular momentum. This statement assumes that the convective envelope experiences spin-down separately from the radiative core. While the envelope rotation is decelerated by the wind torque, the conservation of angular momentum keeps the radiative core in rapid rotation. The star will thus develop a strong gradient in angular velocity at the base of the convection zone that may trigger various instabilities (e.g. Endal & Sofia 1981).

This expectation is not supported by measurements of internal solar rotation (Brown et al. 1989). According to the above interpretation, the difference in the angular velocity between the Sun’s radiative core and its convective envelope must thus have been erased by some mechanism of angular momentum redistribution. Several processes have been proposed including angular momentum transport by viscously-driven large scale flows (e.g. Spiegel & Zahn 1992), by internal gravity waves generated by turbulent eddies in the convective envelope (Zahn et al. 1997), or by magnetic torquing (e.g. Mestel & Weiss 1987; Charbonneau & MacGregor 1993).

Rotation-induced turbulent diffusion (Pinsonneault et al. 1989) and wind-driven meridional circulation (Zahn 1992) fail to extract sufficient angular momentum from the radiative interior (Chaboyer et al. 1995). Estimates of the timescale for core-envelope coupling in solar-type stars range from about 1 Myr for the fastest rotators up to 10–100 Myr (e.g. Keppens et al. 1995; Alain 1998) and 0.5 Gyr (Irwin et al. 2007) for slowly rotating stars. Models of rotational evolution of solar-type stars with angular momentum transport described as a purely diffusive process show that these coupling time intervals correspond to large diffusion coefficients that would lead to rates of surface Li destruction strongly exceeding the trend observed in the Sun and its twins (Denissenkov et al. 2010). Also, the natural action of internal gravity waves produces large-scale oscillations in the solar rotation as a function of depth (Denissenkov et al. 2008), which is in marked contrast to the nearly uniform rotation in the outer region of the Sun’s radiative core.

Mechanisms for angular momentum transport employing magnetic fields (Charbonneau & MacGregor 1992, 1993; Spruit 1999, 2002) seem to be better candidates. A strong gradient in angular velocity between the radiative core and the convective envelope is an essential ingredient for the generation of magnetic field at the base of the convection zone and magnetic fields are quite effective at transporting angular momentum (Spruit 2002).

In line with the above discussion, Barnes (2003a) proposed that the C sequence is due to the coupling of the stellar wind to just the convective zone that is decoupled from the radiative zone, while the I sequence is due to the coupling of the wind with the entire star. The transition across the gap between these two rotation sequences would therefore be associated with a coupling between the radiative and the convective zone. Barnes (2003b) suggested that stars on the convective sequence generate a convective or turbulent dynamo similar to that described by Durney et al. (1993). He proposed that the shear between the fast spinning radiative interior and the convective envelope would eventually generate an interface dynamo that results in the transition onto the I sequence.

According to the current picture of such an interface dynamo, large scale toroidal magnetic fields responsible for the formation of active regions are stored and amplified at the base of the convection zone (e.g. Gilman 2000; Charbonneau 2004). These toroidal fields ultimately become unstable to magnetic buoyancy and rise through the convection zone. Some eventually break through the surface to form active regions. Others are shedded by the convection and used to create poloidal fields, thereby completing the dynamo cycle. Solar cycle dynamo models suggest that the toroidal magnetic field at the base of the solar convection zone is of the order of 40–50 kG with typical rise time of the order of a couple of years (Weber et al. 2011). The emergence of new active regions will increase the X-ray stellar emission at the epoch of transition onto the I sequence if this transition is the result of an angular momentum redistribution associated with the generation of an interface dynamo.

In contrast, the transition from the C to the I rotation sequence appears to be associated with a sharp decrease of the X-ray to bolometric luminosity ratio. This suggests a quenching of the dynamo regime responsible for the high X-ray activity level of rapidly rotating young stars with low Rossby numbers. Although not directly applicable to stars, numerical simulations (Käpylä et al. 2009) indicate that large-scale dynamos are excited in rapidly rotating convection, i.e. in the absence of shear, provided that rotation is rapid enough. Such turbulent dynamos probably operate in stars less massive than about 0.35 solar masses that are fully convective, and so cannot possess a transition region like the solar tachocline (Durney et al. 1993; Küffer & Rüdiger 1999; Chabrier & Küffer 2006). A recent study of the magnetic activity-rotation for a large sample of field M dwarfs with known rotational periods (West et al. 2012) show a trend of decreasing activity with increased rotation period for all M dwarf spectral types.

The evolution model of X-ray activity developed in the present study (see Sect. 5.2) provides an estimate of the age $t_{\text{sat}}$ at which a star changes from the saturated to the non-saturated regime of X-ray emission. This age is plotted in Fig. 13 (left) as a function of mass for a range of initial rotation periods on the ZAMS typical of those observed in young open clusters. It can be compared with the age $t_{\text{dip}}$ (see Eq. (26)) in Barnes (2010) taken by a star to evolve through its C rotation phase, and to reach the nominal rotational gap $t_{\text{m}}$ marking the onset of the I-rotation.
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phase. The comparison shows that \( t_{\text{sat}} \ll t_{\text{gap}} \). The transition from saturated to non-saturated X-ray emission thus occurs well after the stellar evolution through the rotational gap between the C and I sequences, which corresponds to a maximum of the rotation deceleration. If the associated redistribution of angular momentum is the result of a nascent interface dynamo, the model suggests that during the time interval between the rotation sequence transition and the X-ray regime transition two different dynamo regimes operate simultaneously within the interior of Sun-like stars.

According to the above scenario, the angular momentum redistribution mechanism responsible for the transition from the C to the I rotation sequence results in a changing mixture of two dynamo processes occurring side by side, i.e. a boundary-layer interface dynamo and a convective envelope turbulent dynamo. This last process dominates in rapidly rotating young stars. As the shear between the fast spinning radiative interior and the convective envelope increases, another process strengthens in which dynamo action occurs in the boundary region between the radiative core and the convective envelope. This dynamo process relies on differential rotation, but also induces important redistributions of angular momentum. As the rotation of the convective envelope decays, the turbulent dynamo is quenched and the interface dynamo becomes dominant, decreasing progressively at later stages of evolution when rotation dies away.

7. Summary

Late-type stars in open clusters exhibit two kinds of dependences of their X-ray emission on stellar rotation. While fast rotators have a relatively constant X-ray to bolometric luminosity ratio, slower rotators show a decline of their X-ray emission with decreasing rotation rate. In a previous paper, I reported a correlation between these regimes of X-ray emission and the rotation sequences that have been observed in the M 34 open cluster from extensive rotation period surveys. I also noted that M 34 Sun-like stars show a steep transition of their X-ray to bolometric luminosity ratio by about one order of magnitude at a critical Rossby number of about 0.3. The purpose of the present study was to verify whether such connections between X-ray activity and rotation also exist in other open clusters.

The M 35 cluster has an age comparable to that of M 34 and was also the subject of an intensive rotation period survey. Its large distance limits the detection of its stellar X-ray emission with XMM-Newton to the brightest sources. I thus compared the distribution of stellar X-ray luminosities in M 35 with models derived from rotation period measurements assuming either an X-ray regime transition at a critical Rossby number or a correlation between X-ray emission regimes and rotation sequences. The study suggests that this second hypothesis could account for the low number of M 35 stars detected in X-rays.

A time evolution model of X-ray activity evolution initially based on M 34 observations (see G12) was thus updated based on this correlation. An important prediction of the model is that the transition from saturated to non-saturated X-ray emission occurs at Rossby numbers between 0.13 and 0.4 depending on each individual star’s mass and initial period of rotation on the ZAMS. This result is in agreement with observations of stellar X-ray emission in M 34. The model also explains the large range of X-ray luminosities observed among Sun-like stars in young open clusters. It indicates that, in the early phase of evolution on the main sequence, fast rotating Sun-like stars emit X-ray in the saturated regime while slower rotators with similar masses already operate in a non-saturated regime of X-ray emission.

The good agreement between the model and the observations suggests that the correlation between X-ray emission regimes and rotation sequences is a fundamental property of the early evolution of stellar magnetic activity on the main sequence. I argue that the angular momentum redistribution mechanism(s) responsible for the transition between rotation sequences could result in a changing mixture of dynamo processes occurring side by side, possibly including a turbulent dynamo dominant at high...
rotation and an interface-type dynamo whose efficiency decreases progressively at a later stage of stellar evolution when rotation dies away.
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