The near-infrared detection of PSR B0540–69 and its nebula
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ABSTRACT

Context. The ~1700 year old PSR B0540–69 in the Large Magellanic Clouds (LMC) is considered the twin of the Crab pulsar because of its similar spin parameters, magnetic field, and energetics. PSR B0540–69 (V ~ 22.5) is also one of the very few pulsars for which both optical pulsations and polarised emission have been measured. Its optical spectrum is fit by a power-law, ascribed to synchrotron radiation, like for the young Crab and Vela pulsars. At variance with them, however, a double break is required to join the X-ray and optical power-law spectra, with the first one possibly occurring in the near ultraviolet (nUV).

Aims. Near-infrared (nIR) observations, never performed for PSR B0540–69, are crucial to determine whether the optical power-law spectrum extends to longer wavelengths or a new break occurs, like it happens for both the Crab and Vela pulsars in the mid-infrared (mIR), hinting at an even more complex particle energy and density distribution in the pulsar magnetosphere.

Methods. We observed PSR B0540–69 in the J, H, and Ks bands with the Very Large Telescope (VLT) to detect it, for the first time, in the nIR and characterise its optical-to-nIR spectrum. To disentangle the pulsar emission from that of its pulsar wind nebula (PWN), we obtained high-spatial resolution adaptive optics images with the NAOS-CONICA instrument (NACO).

Results. We could clearly identify PSR B0540–69 in our J, H, and Ks-band images and measure its flux (J = 20.14, H = 19.33, Ks = 18.55, with an overall error of ±0.1 mag in each band). The joint fit to the available optical and nIR photometry with a power-law spectrum \( F_\nu \propto \nu^{-\alpha} \) gives a spectral index \( \alpha = 0.70 \pm 0.04 \), slightly more precise than measured in the optical only. This clearly implies that there is no spectral break between the optical and the nIR. We also detected, for the first time, the PSR B0540–69 PWN in the nIR. The comparison between our NACO images and Hubble Space Telescope (HST) optical ones does not reveal any apparent difference in the PWN morphology as a function of wavelength. The PWN optical-to-nIR spectrum is also fit by a single power-law, with spectral index \( \alpha = 0.56 \pm 0.03 \), slightly flatter than the pulsar’s.

Conclusions. Using NACO at the VLT, we obtained the first detection of PSR B0540–69 and its PWN in the nIR. Due to the small angular scale of the PWN (14") only the spatial resolution of the James Webb Space Telescope (JWST) will make it possible to extend the study of the pulsar and PWN spectrum towards the mid-IR.
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1. Introduction

Historically discovered in radio, pulsars emit across the whole electromagnetic spectrum, from the radio, to the optical (Mignani 2011), to the X-rays (Becker 2009), and to high-energy \( \gamma \)-rays (Abdo et al. 2010a). The pulsar optical emission is due to the combination of two different processes: synchrotron radiation from relativistic electrons in the neutron star magnetosphere and thermal radiation from the cooling neutron star surface. Their signature is recognised by a power-law (PL: \( F_\nu \propto \nu^{-\alpha} \)) spectrum with \( \alpha \gtrsim 0 \) and by a Rayleigh-Jeans (R-J) continuum, respectively. The relative weight of these two spectral components depends on the pulsar age. Young (\( \lesssim 10 \) kyr old) pulsars feature dominating magnetospheric emission, extending to both the near infrared (nIR) and the near ultraviolet (nUV), while the middle-aged ones (\( \gtrsim 100 \) kyr old) feature both magnetospheric and thermal emission, with the former dominating in the nIR and the latter in the nUV.

Very little is known about the pulsar IR emission. Only 5 out of the 12 pulsars identified in the optical, have been also detected in the nIR. These are the young pulsars Crab (e.g., Sandberg & Sollerman 2009; Tziatitzis et al. 2009), Vela (Shibanov et al. 2003), and PSR B1509–58 (Kaplan & Moon 2006), and the middle-aged pulsars PSR B0656+14 and Geminga (Koptsevich et al. 2001). Moreover, the Crab and Vela pulsars have been also clearly detected in the mIR by Spitzer (Temim et al. 2009; Danilenko et al. 2011), with a possible marginal indication of detection also for Geminga (Danilenko et al. 2011).
et al. 2010) have been measured in this band. High-spatial resolution images taken with the Hubble both pulsations (Gradari et al. 2011) and polarisation (Mignani A100, page 2 of 9

Observations and data reduction

PSR B0540–69 has never been observed so far in the nIR, a contamination was introduced in the computed sky frame. We corrected this contamination using the following procedure. We decomposed the computed sky frame $S_{tot}$ into several components: the constant median value $M$, a large-scale two-dimensional gradient $G$, a component containing noise $N$, and a residual frame $F$ containing the clean sky $S_{c}$ and the contamination $C$ produced by the bright SNR, with $F = S_{c} + C$.

$$S_{tot} = M + G + N + F.$$  

We subtracted the median $M$ and the gradient $G$ from the total sky frame $S_{tot}$ and we smoothed the resulting frame using cells of 10 pixel size (0′′.27) to separate the residual pixel-to-pixel component $N$ from the large-scale background structure

1 http://archive.eso.org/asm/ambient-server
2 http://www.eso.org/observing/dfo/quality/NACO/pipeline
to derive $F$. For the separation of the clean sky $S_c$ from the contamination $C$ we replaced flux values above a certain threshold by a common fraction of their flux:

$$S_c = \begin{cases} R F: & F \geq T \\ F: & F < T \end{cases}$$

where the threshold $T$ and the flux scaling ratio $R$ were free parameters. The best values for $T$ and $R$ have been found iteratively to minimise residuals of the contamination $C$ and avoid over-correction. Finally, we replaced $F$ by $S_c$ in Eq. (1), to reconstruct the corrected sky frame.

We finally subtracted the cleaned sky as part of the co-adding of aligned images and bad pixel correction procedure using the NACO pipeline science recipe. The final image quality, as measured from the median full-width half maximum (FWHM) of all star-like objects in the final product frame, is $\sim0.16$, $\sim0.12$, and $\sim0.11$ for the $J$, $H$, and $K_S$-band images, respectively.

As a reference for the photometric calibration we used the zero-points computed by the NACO pipeline, after correction for the effects of the atmospheric extinction using the most recent coefficients for the Paranal Observatory computed by Lombardi et al. (2011). Since no 2MASS stars (Skrutskie et al. 2006) are present in the narrow NACO/S27 field-of-view, the astrometric re-calibration of the NACO frames can only be computed using a grid of secondary stars selected from our HST images of the pulsar field, tied to the International Celestial Reference Frame (ICRF) with a $\sim0.12$ accuracy ($1\sigma$), as astrometric reference (Mignani et al. 2010). However, since we could unambiguously recognise the pulsar in the NACO images from relative astrometry with respect to the HST ones, we did not deem necessary to pass through this step.

Of course, the lack of 2MASS stars in the NACO field-of-view also means that we have no way to counter-check our photometric calibration against an external catalogue. To rule out possible mis-calibrations, we thus checked the values of the night zero points with those taken on a 30 day time window centred on the dates of our observations and we found perfect consistency, with an rms $\sim0.07$ mag in all bands. To rule out possible systematics in the flux calibration computed by the NACO pipeline, we recomputed the night zero points by matching the instrumental magnitudes of the standard stars with those in the Persson et al. (1998) catalogue. For each band, we found agreement within the rms of the NACO pipeline zero point distribution, after accounting for the atmospheric extinction correction. Thus, we assume $\sim0.07$ mag as the uncertainty on our absolute flux calibration, with the uncertainties associated with the atmospheric extinction correction being negligible.

3. Results

The $J$-band image of the NACO field centred on the PSR B0540–69 position is shown in Fig. 1 (top panel). As seen, the pulsar is clearly resolved against its bright PWN and it is also resolved in the longer-wavelength $H$ and $K_S$-band images. Thus, we detected PSR B0540–69 in the nIR for the first time and is now the sixth pulsar detected at these wavelengths.

3.1. Pulsar photometry

We computed the pulsar magnitudes in the $J$, $H$, and $K_S$ bands through customised aperture photometry with the IRAF task qphot. We used an aperture of 7 pixel radius ($\sim0.19$), which is comparable with the median image FWHM and small enough to minimise the flux contamination from the surrounding, bright PWN. Since the surface brightness distribution of the PWN is not homogeneous, and it peaks close to the pulsar, the choice of the areas used to compute the sky background is crucial for its correct characterisation and for not misestimating the pulsar flux. We optimised the sky background computation using an annulus located at a distance of 25 pixels ($0.68$) from the photometry aperture, to minimise the contamination from the wings of the pulsar’s PSF, and with a variable width of 20–30 pixels.
as a function of the filter, to account for wavelength-dependent variations in the surface brightness of the PWN. We customised the maximum size of the background annulus not to include back/foreground stars spatially coincident with the PWN.

To the pulsar fluxes measured in each filter, we then applied the aperture correction. For each filter, we computed it from the growth curve of a few bright field stars, starting from the same 7 pixel radius photometry aperture used for the pulsar. We selected those with no adjacent star within a 1.5” radius and detected not further than ~5” from the pulsar position to minimise aperture correction uncertainties due to the variation of the NACO PSF at large off-axis angles, produced by the degradation of the AO correction. Since the value of the image quality varies with the filter (see Sect. 2.1), as the result of the more efficient AO correction at longer wavelengths, it was crucial to compute the aperture correction per each filter separately. To the measured fluxes, we then applied the measured zero points and the atmospheric extinction correction. The pulsar magnitudes are $J = 20.14$, $H = 19.33$, $K_S = 18.55$, with an error of 0.1 mag which accounts for the statistical errors (~0.05), as well as for the uncertainties on our absolute flux calibration (~0.07) and the aperture correction estimate (~0.06).

### 3.2. The pulsar spectrum

We used our $J$, $H$, $K_S$-band magnitudes to characterise, for the first time, the pulsar flux in the nIR and compare its nIR spectrum with the extrapolation of the PL which best-fit the optical fluxes measured with the HST (Mignani et al. 2010). From the measured pulsar magnitudes we computed the spectral flux densities in each filter using as a reference the unit conversion factors from the CIT photometric system (Elias et al. 1982). We then corrected the flux densities for the interstellar extinction using an $E(B - V) = 0.2$ (see Mignani et al. 2010, and references therein) and the interstellar extinction coefficients of Fitzpatrick (1999). The results are shown in Fig. 2. As seen, the IR fluxes nicely follow the extrapolation of the optical PL spectrum ($\alpha_{OIR} = 0.7 \pm 0.07$). A joint fit from the HST 336W to the NACO $K_S$-band fluxes give a spectral index $\alpha_{OIR} = 0.70 \pm 0.04$. For comparison, a fit to the nIR data points alone would yield a spectral index $\alpha_{nIR} = 0.66 \pm 0.2$, i.e. fully compatible with that measured across the whole spectral range. Thus, we found no evidence of spectral breaks between the optical and nIR, at variance with what is expected between the optical and the X-rays. Our derived spectral index is virtually identical to that measured by Mignani et al. (2010) using only the HST data. However, thanks to the larger wavelength coverage, our spectral fit is slightly more accurate, although the larger error bars on the NACO photometry do not allow to have a more significant improvement.

Our data obviously do not rule out the presence of a spectral break towards longer wavelengths like that found, for instance, in the spectrum of the Crab and Vela pulsars (Temim et al. 2009; Danilenko et al. 2011). Observations in the mIR would be crucial to search for such break but, unfortunately, the coarse angular resolution of Spitzer does not allow one to resolve the pulsar emission against that of its PWN. Moreover, the pulsar+PWN Spitzer fluxes in the IRAC1–4 (3.6, 4.5, 5.8, and 8.0 μm) and MIPS1 (24 μm) channels (Williams et al. 2008) are about an order of magnitude above the extrapolation of the optical-to-nIR PL spectrum of the pulsar, so that they are obviously non constraining. A spectral break at wavelength as long as 5 μm in the PWN is detected for the first time in the nIR. We studied both the morphology of the PWN in the different nIR bands and its nIR spectrum.

### 3.3. The nebula morphology

We clearly detected the PSR B0540–69 PWN in the $J$, $H$ and $K_S$-band images (see Fig. 1) and, it is now the fifth PWN detected in either the nIR or mIR. Similarly, as for the pulsar, the PWN is detected for the first time in the nIR. We studied both the morphology of the PWN in the different nIR bands and its nIR spectrum.

As seen from Fig. 1, there are no obvious differences in the nebula structure seen in different nIR bands. The size of the PWN in the nIR is similar to that seen at shorter wavelengths, as seen from the comparison with the HST/Wide Field Planetary Camera 2 (WFPC2) images of Mignani et al. (2010). Thus, no variation in the nebula size is observable when going from the optical to the nIR (Fig. 3). Like in the optical (e.g., Serafimovich et al. 2004), we can distinguish two main structures in the PWN. The first one is a bright bar, along a position angle PA ~ 45° (measured North through East), that stretches at both sides of the pulsar and is identified as a probable torus (Gotthelf & Wang 2000; Serafimovich et al. 2004). Superimposed to the bar, south-west (SW) to the pulsar, there is a blob of bright emission. This feature is also visible in the optical HST data (De Luca et al. 2007) and also in the X-ray Chandra data (Gotthelf & Wang 2000). However, the brightness contrast between the blob and the north-east (NE) side of the putative torus seems to be more pronounced in the X-rays than in the nIR or in the optical. To the north of the pulsar, a weak slightly extended emission is recognised, also seen in the optical data. Most probably, this feature is associated with a possible jet identified in the optical by Serafimovich et al. (2004). Like in the optical, we observe differences in the surface brightness on small angular scales (<1”0), which might imply differences in the injection of relativistic particles in the PWN, possibly due to a different wind geometry. Alternatively, they might be produced when Rayleigh-Taylor...
instabilities occur at the interface between the PWN bubble and the surrounding cold SNR ejecta producing, e.g. filaments and/or fingers. We could not detect the bright emission knot observed in the optical by the HST at ∼2′2 SW of the pulsar (Luca et al. 2007). This can be explained either by a sharp break in the spectrum of the knot or, more likely, by its intrinsic variability, possibly associated with a change in its apparent position. Indeed, both the fading of the knot and its apparent displacement are clearly noticed from the comparison between the multi-epoch HST observations of De Luca et al. (2007) and Mignani et al. (2010), which span a time base line of ∼12 years. Possible scenarios on both the knot origin and its fading are discussed in Lundqvist et al. (2011).

3.4. The nebula spectrum

We used our NACO data to characterise the optical/nIR spectrum of the PWN. We measured the $JHK_s$ fluxes for the whole PWN using an elliptical extraction region of size 3′′.4 × 2′′.4 for semi-major and semi-minor axes, respectively (see Fig. 4). The contribution from the pulsar and overlapping stars were subtracted from the total flux. The correction for the airmass and interstellar extinction has been applied as for the pulsar (see Sect. 3.2). Using the same extraction regions as for the $JHK_s$ bands, we also calculated the corresponding optical fluxes using the HST/WFPC2 data of Mignani et al. (2010). As before, the contribution from the pulsar and overlapping stars were subtracted from the measured values. Following the WFPC2 Data Handbook (Bagget et al. 2002) and the approach described in Sect. 4.2 of Mignani et al. (2010) we corrected the fluxes for the charge transfer efficiency losses of the WFPC2 detector. Correction for the interstellar extinction was applied as usual. The final results are summarised in Table 1. We then fitted the intrinsic fluxes of the whole PWN with a PL $F_\nu \propto \nu^{-\alpha}$. Like for the pulsar, we computed the fitting with a least-squares fitting method that takes into account the uncertainties of the measured fluxes as their weights. This yields a PWN spectral index of $\alpha_{\text{PWN}} = 0.56 \pm 0.03$ for the data in the nIR/optical range.

![Fig. 3](image1.png) Co-added $JHK_s$-band image of the PSR B0540–69 PWN with the contours of the 1995 HST/WFPC2 F555W image (Mignani et al. 2010) overlaid. Note that the emission knot at ∼2′2 SW of the pulsar, visible in the HST/WFPC2 contours (epoch 1995.87), is not detected in our more recent (epoch 2010.94) NACO images.

![Fig. 4](image2.png) $K_s$-band image of the PSR B0540–69. The extraction region used for estimation of the PWN flux in $J$, $H$, $K_s$ bands and also in the HST bands (Mignani et al. 2010) is shown with an ellipse.

### Table 1. Extinction-corrected fluxes for the PSR B0540–69 PWN.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Telescope/Instrument</th>
<th>Band</th>
<th>Flux [10$^{-27}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ Hz$^{-1}$]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VLT/NACO</td>
<td>$J$</td>
<td>5.41 ± 0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$H$</td>
<td>6.75 ± 0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$K_s$</td>
<td>8.01 ± 0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HST/WFPC2</td>
<td>$F336W$</td>
<td>2.11 ± 0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$F450W$</td>
<td>3.61 ± 0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$F555W$</td>
<td>3.46 ± 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$F675W$</td>
<td>4.72 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$F814W$</td>
<td>4.81 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$F791W$</td>
<td>4.26 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. Fluxes have been measured for the VLT $J$, $H$ and $K_s$ bands (first three rows) and for the HST$^*$ data presented in Mignani et al. (2010). $^*$ All the HST data were acquired in 2007, except for the data in the $F791W$ filter that were taken in 1999.

We also qualitatively searched for evidence of possible variations in the nIR spectrum of the PWN as a function of position on angular scales smaller than ∼1″. We note that a systematic work done in the optical using HST data shows that the PL spectrum of the PWN does not change significantly when different positions are sampled both around the bar and around the putative jet and counter-jets (see, e.g. Serafinovich et al. 2004; Lundqvist et al. 2011). Figure 5 shows the composite $JHK_s$-band image of the PSR B0540–69 PWN, where the emission contributions in different bands are colour-coded. As seen, we cannot find evidence of regions more prominent in a band than in another. Thus, we conclude that there are no significant small-scale variations in the nIR spectrum of the PWN, like in the optical. We note, however, that the intrinsic variability of the PWN on angular scales of ≤1″ (e.g., De Luca et al. 2007) can affect the local multi-band spectral analysis. For this reason, the comparison of multi-band observations taken years apart must be taken with due caution. Indeed, while our NACO data have been taken within few weeks from each other (Sect. 2.1), the available HST data are about 3.5 to 15 years older (Mignani et al. 2010) and, in principle, are not directly comparable with the former. In the X-rays, a spectral analysis of different regions of the PWN has been performed using Chandra data (Petre et al. 2007). A quite complete
4. Discussion

4.1. The pulsar infrared luminosity

We computed the PSR B0540–69 luminosity by using as a reference the most recent value of the LMC distance \((48.97 \pm 0.9 \ kpc)\), obtained from re-calibrating the Cepheids period-luminosity relation (Storm et al. 2011). At the assumed distance, the measured extinction-corrected \(K\)-band flux of PSR B0540–69 corresponds to a luminosity \(L_K \approx 2.8 \times 10^{33} \ \text{erg s}^{-1}\). We plotted in Fig. 6 the \(K\)-band luminosity of all rotation-powered pulsars as a functional of the rotational energy loss rate \(E\). We have corrected the observed \(K\)-band fluxes using the interstellar reddening \(A_V\) measured along the line of sight (see Mignani et al. 2007a, and references therein) and the interstellar extinction coefficients of Fitzpatrick (1999). We assumed, the best available measurements of the pulsar distances, either from optical/radio parallax or from the DM. We also plotted the measured upper limits for other pulsars observed in the nIR, i.e. PSR J1119–6127 (Mignani et al. 2007a), PSR J1811–1925 and PSR J1930+1852 (Kaplan & Moon 2006). As seen, the \(K\)-band luminosity correlates with the \(E\), with \(L_k \propto E^{1.72 \pm 0.03}\). The available luminosity upper limits are also consistent with such a trend. The slope of the \(L_K-E\) relation is somewhat steeper than previously measured by Mignani et al. (2007a), i.e. \(L_k \propto E^{1.34 \pm 0.04}\), when PSR B0540–69 was yet to be detected in the nIR. The former is equal to the corresponding slope measured in the optical band for the same set of pulsars, where \(L_V \propto E^{1.78 \pm 0.03}\). Notwithstanding all the associated uncertainties and the very limited statistics, the observed correlation between the nIR luminosity and \(E\) clearly clearly suggests that the pulsar nIR emission is rotation-powered and rules out that it is entirely produced by a debris disk. This emission may then be of either purely magnetospheric origin or partly coming from a pulsar’s wind termination shock region.

As seen from Fig. 6, PSR B0540–69 is the most luminous pulsar in the nIR. For its rotational energy loss rate \((E \sim 1.5 \times 10^{38} \ \text{erg s}^{-1})\), the derived nIR luminosity corresponds to an emission efficiency \(\eta_{\text{nIR}} \equiv L_k/E \approx 10^{-5}\). This is higher than the emission efficiencies computed for all the other pulsars detected in the nIR, for which \(\eta \sim 10^{-6}-10^{-8}\) (see also Mignani et al. 2007a). In particular, PSR B0540–69 turns out to be about an order of magnitude more efficient that its “twin”, the Crab pulsar, whose location in Fig. 6 is slightly below the luminosity-\(E\) trend. Although the measured flux of PSR B0540–69 is sensitive to the choice of the background area (see Sect. 3.1), it is clear that the difference in the inferred nIR emission efficiency is too large to be ascribed to an over-estimate of the pulsar flux due to an inaccurate subtraction of the nebula background. We note that a larger emission efficiency of PSR B0540–69 with respect to the Crab is also observed in the optical (e.g., Zharikov et al. 2006). Thus, it has to be related to a difference in the intrinsic pulsar emission properties, both in the nIR and in the optical. Non-thermal activity of young pulsars like the Crab and PSR B0540–69 is due to spatially extended outer gaps in their magnetospheres. Unfortunately, there exists no well-established self-consistent model of outer gaps which may be applicable even to pulsars of similar \(P\) and \(P\). It is then difficult to explain, for instance, the difference between PSR B0540–69 and the Crab pulsar in \(\gamma\)-rays. The same remains true for the optical to nIR emission. As seen from Fig. 6, the Vela pulsar, is clearly under-luminous with respect to the luminosity-\(E\) trend, which implies an intrinsically lower emission efficiency \(\eta_{\text{nIR}} \approx 10^{-9}\). A similarly low emission efficiency is also observed in the optical (Zharikov et al. 2006). Like for the Crab, this must be related to a difference in the intrinsic pulsar emission properties in these spectral ranges.
We also compared the inferred nIR luminosity of the candidate counterpart to the X-ray pulsar IGR J18490–0000 (Ratti et al. 2010; Curran et al. 2011) with those of the pulsars identified in the nIR. As a reference to compute the IGR J18490–0000 nIR luminosity, we used its observed magnitude $K_S = 17.2 \pm 0.4$ and interstellar reddening $E(B-V) = 6.62$ (Curran et al. 2011) and we assumed a distance of 7 kpc, as in Gotthelf et al. (2011). The location of the IGR J18490–0000 candidate nIR counterpart in Fig. 6 is well above the luminosity-$E$ trend, while its inferred nIR luminosity is comparable to that of PSR B0540–69 but for a factor of 10 lower $E$ (Gotthelf et al. 2011). This would imply a nIR emission efficiency for IGR J18490–0000 which is a factor of 10 larger than PSR B0540–69. Such a value is surprisingly high, even accounting for the large uncertainties on the distance and interstellar extinction towards IGR J18490–0000 (Gotthelf et al. 2011). The inferred efficiency would be even higher if one assumes the observed magnitude ($K_S = 16.4 \pm 0.1$) of the candidate counterpart originally reported in Ratti et al. (2010). This might argue against the proposed identification, suggesting that the candidate nIR counterpart is an unrelated field star, which is plausible according to the relatively high ($\sim 6\%$) chance coincidence probability in the field (Curran et al. 2011). New nIR observations are required to obtain a more precise estimate of the distance and the extinction towards IGR J18490–0000 and determine the spectrum of its candidate counterpart.

4.2. The pulsar multi-wavelength spectrum

The multi-wavelength spectral energy distribution (SED) of PSR B0540–69 is shown in Fig. 7, where the optical-to-nIR PL is compared with that best-fitting the Chandra spectrum (Kaaaret et al. 2001) and its extrapolation in the optical domain. Due to the agreement between the optical and nIR spectra, the overall SED picture does not change with respect to that described in Mignani et al. (2010), with the spectral index of the optical-to-nIR PL ($\alpha_{\text{opt-nIR}} = 0.70 \pm 0.04$) being quite similar to the X-ray one obtained from phase-integrated spectroscopy ($\alpha_X = 0.92 \pm 0.11$), and the optical-to-nIR spectrum undershooting by a factor of 100 the low-energy extrapolation of the 0.1–10 keV X-ray spectrum. The peculiarity of the PSR B0540–69 SED, with the presence of two spectral breaks, has been already noted by Seramino and et al. (2004) and Mignani et al. (2010), and we refer to the discussion therein. Here, we compare the optical-to-nIR spectrum of PSR B0540–69 with those of other pulsars detected in both wavelength ranges.

Although the correlation with the $E$ (Sect. 4.1) proves that the pulsar nIR emission is rotation-powered, all pulsars feature markedly different behaviours in the nIR/nUV with respect to the optical/nUV, which makes it impossible to fit the overall spectrum with a single-component model. For instance, the Crab pulsar shows a clear spectral turnover red-ward of the nUV, where the PL spectral index $\alpha_{\text{nIR}}$ gradually turns from positive to negative, smoothly joining the optical/nIR spectrum (see, e.g., Fig. 4 of Mignani et al. 2010), but features a new change in the mIR, where it becomes approximately zero (Temim et al. 2009). For the Vela pulsar, instead, a single PL fits the flat nUV-to-nIR spectrum (Kargaltsev & Pavlov 2007) but the PL spectral index turns into positive at wavelengths longer than 2.2 $\mu$m, producing a spectacular rise of the PL spectrum in the mIR (Danilenko et al. 2011). For PSR B1509–58, the lack of multi-band detections at optical wavelengths, with only an $R$-band flux measurement obtained from polarimetry observations and, probably, affected by the presence of a brighter, nearby, star (Wagner & Seyfert 2000), does not allow to draw any definite conclusion. Nonetheless, the existing flux measurements are consistent with a single PL with positive spectral index fitting the optical/nIR spectrum. On the other hand, for PSR B0656+14 the composite optical/nUV spectrum sharply turns into a PL with positive spectral index in the nIR (Kopevich et al. 2001), while for Geminga it shows a more gradual but similar turnover (Kopevich et al. 2001) which seems to smoothly extend to the mIR (Danilenko et al. 2011). However, the cases of PSR B0656+14 and Geminga are different from those of the younger pulsar, since the observed spectral turnover between the optical/nUV and the nIR purely marks the transition between a Rayleigh-Jeans and a synchrotron-dominated continuum, and not between different slopes of the synchrotron PL spectra.

Thus, the spectra of the Crab and Vela pulsars suggest that PL spectral breaks between the optical/nIR and the mIR can be a characteristic of the pulsar magnetospheric emission. This might be true, in principle, also for PSR B0540–69 and PSR B1509–58 for which, however, no mIR observations are available yet. Similar breaks are also observed between the optical/nUV and the X-ray bands (Mignani et al. 2007b) and are likely related to the different energy and density distribution of particles in different regions of the pulsar magnetosphere, where the optical and X-ray radiation is produced. The presence of spectral breaks also between the optical/nIR and the mIR suggests rather different emission regions for the observed radiation, while, on the other hand, the continuity between the optical and nIR suggests that it is produced in very close regions in the neutron star magnetosphere. The comparison of the pulsar light curves in the optical and in the nIR/mIR would be crucial to verify this interpretation, since differences in the light curve morphology and in the peak alignment would automatically reflect different locations of the emission regions. However, only very few pulsars have been observed to pulsate in the optical (see Mignani 2010, 2012, for recent reviews), including the Crab and Vela pulsars and PSR B0540–69. Of them, only the Crab (Eikenberry et al. 1997) has been observed to pulsate in the nIR, although not...
Yet with newest-generation instruments. For the Crab, the pulsar light curve evolves from the optical to the nIR, with evidence of an increase of the peak-to-peak phase separation and width as a function of wavelength, suggesting a different geometry of the emission regions. No pulsar has been searched yet for pulsations in the mIR.

The level and spectral shape of intrinsic magnetospheric emission in outer gap models depends strongly on many factors which may vary from pulsar to pulsar. In particular, the details of the gap structure and its boundary conditions may be different, leading in turn to quite different properties of the non-thermal pulsar radiation. This is equally true in the high-energy domain (from X-rays to $\gamma$-rays) and in the low-energy domain (from UV to optical, to nIR and to longer wavelengths). The most sophisticated 3D model to date has been worked out for the Crab pulsar only (Hirotani 2008). However, even this model has too many free parameters and, therefore, is subject to continuous modifications driven by new discoveries (Sect. 8.2 in Aleksic et al. 2011). Any high-quality photometric and/or spectral data in the low-energy range may shed light on the location and spatial extent of the regions of origin of emission which in outer-gap models comes from high-order generation cascades inside and outside the gaps.

4.3. The PWN multi-wavelength spectrum

Our NACO detection of the PWN around PSR B0540–69 makes it one of the very few PWN systems detected both in the optical and either in the nIR or mIR. The others are the Crab (Sandberg 

et al. 2009; Temim et al. 2009), PSR J0205+6449 (Shibanov et al. 2008; Slane et al. 2008), and PSR J1124–5916 (Zharikov et al. 2008; Zyuzin et al. 2009). No other PWNe are detected so far in either of these spectral ranges, with the only exception of the PSR J1833–1034 PWN, which has been detected both in the nIR and mIR with the VLT and Spitzer, respectively (Zajczyk et al. 2012). In all cases, the PWNe are also detected in the X-rays, where they have similar morphologies as in the optical/IR, although, in some cases, with a somewhat larger spatial extent (e.g., the PSR J0205+6449 PWN). The relation between the optical/IR and X-ray spectra of these PWN, however, is not unique. For instance, for both the Crab and the PSR J0205+6449 PWNe, the nebula spectrum is compatible with a single PL, extending from the X-rays to the optical/IR. On the other hand, for the PSR J1124–5916 PWN, the optical/IR spectrum clearly undershoots the extrapolation of the X-ray one, implying a break in the multi-wavelength PL spectrum between the optical/IR and the X-rays bands.

Figure 8 shows the SED of the PSR B0540–69 PWN. Interestingly, the optical/nIR PL spectrum ($\alpha_{\text{opt,nIR}} = 0.56 \pm 0.03$) is flatter than the pulsar ($\alpha_{\text{opt,nIR}} = 0.70 \pm 0.04$), suggesting that the emission becomes harder at large distances from the pulsar. We note that the PWN spectral index in the optical/nIR is flatter than measured in the optical by Serafimovich et al. (2004) based on a subset of our HST data set. This is probably due to the 336W flux, which is lower than the best-fit optical/nIR PL and, then, produces a steepening of the PL in the optical. The best-fit Chandra PL spectrum of the PWN in 0.6–10 keV energy range Kaaret et al. (2001) is also shown in Fig. 8, together with the mIR fluxes from the Spitzer IRAC and MIPS observations (Williams et al. 2008) and the radio fluxes from Manchester et al. (1993). The extrapolation of the X-ray spectrum, with spectral index $\alpha_{\text{X}} = 1.04$, to the mIR-optical domain clearly overestimates the PWN optical and nIR fluxes. This suggests that a spectral break must exist somewhere between the optical and X-ray bands. This behaviour is at contrast with what is observed in this wavelength range for PSR B0540–69, where two spectral breaks are needed to match the pulsar PL spectrum between the optical and X-rays (see Sect. 4.2). When extrapolating the PWN nIR spectrum ($\alpha_{\text{opt,nIR}} = 0.56 \pm 0.03$) to the mIR,
it is obvious that the fitted spectrum underestimates the \textit{Spitzer} fluxes. However, a word of caution is necessary before drawing the conclusion that the spectrum hardens between nIR and mIR bands. As described in Williams et al. (2008) the IRAC and MIPS mIR fluxes were estimated using rather large circular aperture (radius of 6”) compared to the size of the elliptical aperture used in our calculations (see Fig. 4). Moreover, our nIR and the optical fluxes were corrected for the contribution from the pulsar and the background/foreground stars overlapping the PWN, while this is clearly not the case for the \textit{Spitzer} fluxes, where both the pulsar and the stars are not resolved at the angular scale of the IRAC and MIPS data. For this reason, the true intrinsic nebular fluxes in the mIR are, most likely, lower that those presented in Williams et al. (2008). We note that the PWN spectral index has to change once more somewhere between the nIR/mIR and the radio bands from $\alpha_{\text{nIR}} = 0.56$ to $\alpha_{\text{radio}} = 0.25 \pm 0.1$ (Manchester et al. 1993). A similar spectral turnover towards radio frequencies is also observed for the Crab (e.g., review by Hester 2008; Serafinovich et al. 2004) and for the PSR J0205+6449 (Slane et al. 2008) PWN.

5. Summary and conclusions

Thanks to high-spatial resolution observations performed with NACO at the VLT, we obtained the first detection of PSR B0540−69 and its PWN in the nIR. The pulsar’s broad-band photometry fluxes in the optical (Mignani et al. 2010) and nIR are fit by a single PL with spectral index $\alpha_{\text{nIR}} = 0.70 \pm 0.04$, extending from $\sim0.3$ to $2.8 \mu$m. This implies continuity between the optical and the nIR spectra, although we cannot rule out yet the presence of a spectral break towards the mIR, like in the case of the Crab and Vela pulsars. The $K_S$-band luminosity of PSR B0540−69 ($L_K \sim 2.8 \times 10^{33} \text{erg s}^{-1}$) well correlates with the rotational energy loss $\dot{E}$, like in other pulsars, confirming the non-thermal nature of the nIR emission. In particular, at the LMC distance, PSR B0540−69 is the pulsar with the largest nIR luminosity, about an order of magnitude larger than the Crab’s. This implies a correspondingly higher emission efficiency, like in the optical (e.g., Zharikov et al. 2006). The PWN has both a nIR morphology and a spatial extent consistent with those observed in the optical with the HST. We could not detect the bright emission “knot” observed in the HST images SW of the pulsar (De Luca et al. 2007). This can be explained either by a sharp break in its spectrum or, more likely, by its intrinsic variability, as shown by the multi-epoch HST observations (De Luca et al. 2007; Mignani et al. 2010). The optical/nIR spectrum of the PWN is also modelled with a PL ($\alpha_{\text{PWN}} = 0.56 \pm 0.03$) which undershoots the extrapolation of the X-ray spectrum. This trend, so far observed only for the PSR J1124−5916 PWN, implies a single break in the optical/IR-to-X-ray spectrum of the PSR B0540−69 PWN, at variance with the pulsar for which two breaks are required. The optical/nIR spectrum of the PWN is also slightly flatter than the pulsar’s, suggesting a possible spectral hardening at larger distance from the latter. Like in the optical, we could not find any obvious evidence of nIR spectral variations in the PWN on angular scales smaller than $\sim1''$. Only future observations with the JWST will make it possible to extend the study of PSR B0540−69 and its PWN towards the mIR and search for a break in the pulsar spectrum at wavelengths up to $5 \mu$m, possibly establishing a similarity with the Crab and Vela pulsars. At the other end of the optical spectrum, multi-band observations in the nUV with the HST, never performed so far, are required to search for the expected turnover between the optical and the X-rays.
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