Light-element abundance variations in the Milky Way halo
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ABSTRACT

We present evidence for the contribution of high-mass globular clusters to the stellar halo of the Galaxy. Using SDSS-II/SEGUE spectra of over 1900 G- and K-type halo giants, we identify for the first time a subset of stars with CN bandstrengths significantly larger, and CH bandstrengths lower, than the majority of halo field stars, at fixed temperature and metallicity. Since CN bandstrength inhomogeneity and the usual attendant abundance variations are presently understood as a result of star formation in globular clusters, we interpret this subset of halo giants as a result of globular cluster dissolution into the Galactic halo. We find that 2.5% of our sample is CN-strong, and can infer based on recent models of globular cluster evolution that the fraction of halo field stars initially formed within globular clusters may be as large as 50%.
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1. Introduction

Hierarchical structure formation is presently the dominant explanation for galaxy formation, based on observed fluctuations in the cosmic microwave background (Hinshaw et al. 2007) and sophisticated numerical simulations of their evolution to the present day (e.g., Diemand et al. 2007; Springel et al. 2008). In this picture, galaxies like the Milky Way are formed through the coalescence of multiple low-mass galaxies which develop within a much larger dark matter halo. The initial disagreement between the calculated mass function of dark matter subhaloes in the simulations and the observed mass function of nearby dwarf galaxies (e.g., Klypin et al. 1999; Moore et al. 1999) is being addressed from several directions at the same time, from complex semianalytic simulations that include star formation and feedback processes, and calculate the chemodynamical evolution of Milky Way-like galaxies (e.g., Johnston et al. 2008; Tumlinson 2010, and references therein) to searches for extremely low-mass galaxies in the Local Group (e.g., Zucker et al. 2006a,b; Belokurov et al. 2007).

The stellar halo of the Milky Way is thought to have been constructed mostly through the early (\(\approx 10\) Gyr ago) accretion of low-mass protogalaxies. The halo exhibits considerable substructure in density and in velocity (e.g. Bell et al. 2008), and kinematically distinct streams presently observed in the halo (e.g., Majewski et al. 2003; Duffau et al. 2006; Martínez-Delgado et al. 2007) are interpreted as remnants of more recent or ongoing merger activity. The “ECHOES” identified in Schlaufman et al. (2009) are interpreted as older substructure that has lost some spatial coherence with time. Studies of the abundance distributions and star formation histories in nearby dwarf galaxies (e.g., Koch et al. 2007a,b, 2008a,b; Kirby et al. 2008; Aoki et al. 2009; Frebel et al. 2009, 2010) have shown that there is a reasonable concordance between the properties of the present-day Milky Way halo (as characterized by, e.g., Schörck et al. 2009) and the dwarf galaxies that would have been available as stellar contributors early in Galactic history (e.g., Font et al. 2006; Carollo et al. 2007).

However, the ongoing dissolution of globular clusters such as Palomar 5 (e.g., Odenkirchen et al. 2001, 2002, 2003; Rockosi et al. 2002; Grillmair & Dionatos 2006) and NGC 5466 (Belokurov et al. 2006) implies that some fraction of halo stars are initially formed in globular clusters. This dissolution is driven by internal 2-body relaxation, stellar evolution processes and tidal interactions with the Galactic potential, and can cause significant mass loss over the lifetime of typical halo globular clusters (e.g., Gnedin & Ostriker 1997).

One particular model of globular cluster formation, described in D’Ercole et al. (2008), posits that all old halo clusters surviving to the present day have lost at least 90% of their initial mass. Early in the development of the cluster, winds from AGB stars collected in the cluster center and formed a second generation of low-mass stars. Type Ia supernovae then caused the cluster to expand, and stars at large radii, mostly members of the first generation, were lost. In the model, the end result, \(\approx 10\) Gyr later, is a \(10^3–10^6 M_\odot\) cluster with two stellar populations that differ slightly in age and abundance pattern.

This two-generation model was developed specifically to explain the anomalous light-element abundance patterns observed in globular cluster stars, specifically the presence in every old globular cluster in the Milky Way of a subpopulation with typical Population II abundances, and a second subgroup with the same metallicity but enhanced N, Na, and Mg along with depleted C, O, and Al. This abundance bimodality has been studied extensively in globular clusters (Langer et al. 1992; Kraft 1994; and Gratton et al. 2004 all provide thorough reviews of the topic), and explanations for the second abundance subgroup have varied from pollution (Cannon et al. 1998) to internal mixing (Langer 1985) to enrichment of star-forming gas by moderate- to high-mass stars (e.g., Cottrell & DaCosta 1981; Yong et al. 2008) or high-mass binaries (de Mink et al. 2010). Surface pollution of already-formed stars would result in abundance anomalies that are erased at first dredge-up, while current models of deep mixing (e.g., Charbonnel & Zahn 2007) indicate that it only begins to operate after first dredge-up. Both of these processes have been effectively ruled out as explanations.
for abundance bimodality by the presence of abundance variations at all evolutionary phases in globular clusters (e.g., Briley et al. 2002; Harbeck et al. 2003). In the primordial enrichment scenario, there is ongoing discussion over the exact source of enriching material. In some models the source is moderately high-mass (\(4<5M_\odot\)) AGB stars (e.g., Parmentier et al. 1999), while Decressin et al. (2007) claim that rotating high-mass (\(M>10M_\odot\)) stars are a better source for processed material because of their very short lifetimes and de Mink et al. (2010) prefer high-mass binaries because of their potentially strong mass loss and low wind velocities.

Stars with these light-element abundance anomalies are readily identified through strong UV/blue CN molecular absorption and relatively weak absorption in the CH G band, and are hereafter called “CN-strong stars”, with the understanding that the full abundance pattern from C through Al necessarily follows the CN variation. They are not observed to exist in open clusters (e.g., Smith & Norris 1984; Jacobson et al. 2008; Martell & Smith 2009) or the halo field (Gratton et al. 2004). This feedback process apparently only occurs in the high-density environment of globular clusters, and as a result the characteristic sawtooth abundance pattern from carbon through aluminium can be used as a marker of globular cluster origin.

Given the contributions globular clusters are presently making to the halo field, and the significant mass loss predicted theoretically over the lifetime of the Galactic globular cluster system (e.g., Baumgardt et al. 2008), it is intriguing that no CN-strong stars have to date been observed in the halo. We interpret this as a qualitative sign that the contributions to the halo field of globular clusters as we know them today are relatively minor, as is also suggested in Yong et al. (2008).

To test this interpretation, we searched for CN-strong halo giants in the Sloan Extension for Galactic Understanding and Exploration (SEGUE) survey (Yanny et al. 2009). The SEGUE survey is a spectroscopic extension of imaging taken during the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (York et al. 2000), with targets selected to address questions of halo substructure and Galactic formation history. Data were taken from 2005 August through 2008 July using a 640-fiber multiobject spectrograph and the same telescope at Apache Point Observatory that was used for SDSS imaging. The first portion of the SEGUE data was made publically available in 2008 as part of SDSS Data Release 7 (DR7), including roughly 240,000 spectra in 200 “pencil beam” lines of sight containing stars chosen for specific purposes (i.e., fields in the Sagittarius stream, M dwarfs to study extremely local kinematic substructure, G and K giants to study the distant halo). In addition to flux-calibrated spectra, DR7 also offers the products of the “SEGUE Stellar Parameters Pipeline” (SSPP), which include derived stellar parameters like effective temperature, [Fe/H] metallicity, and radial velocity, determined automatically through template matching, \(\chi^2\) minimization, cross-correlation or grid-matching methods, as appropriate. Lee et al. (2008a,b) and Allende Prieto et al. (2008) give thorough explanations of the SSPP pipeline and process.

2. The data set

Data were obtained from SDSS DR7, through the online Catalog Archive Server. We selected all SEGUE plates, and from those all stars with [Fe/H] \(\leq -1.0\), log(g) \(\leq 4.0\), \((g-r)\) \(\geq 0.2\), and mean signal-to-noise per pixel (SNR) larger than 20 were chosen. Additionally, the errors on various derived parameters were required to be small: \(\sigma_{\log(g)} \leq 0.5\), \(\sigma_{[Fe/H]} \leq 0.5\) with at least three independent [Fe/H] determinations, and reduced \(\chi^2\) of the best-fit template spectrum less than 2.0 both in the region of the Ca II H and K lines and in the CH G band.

This intentionally generous set of selection criteria then had to be further sub-selected to isolate halo giants. To accomplish this sub-selection, we lowered the limit on log(g) to 3.0, then divided the initial data set into 0.2-dex-wide bins in [Fe/H], and removed AGB, main-sequence and turnoff stars from the H-R diagram of each of those subsets by rejecting all points more than 3\(\sigma\) in \((g-r)\) from the fiducial sequence. We also made the SNR requirement more stringent, requiring that the mean SNR per pixel in the wavelength range 4000 \(\leq \lambda \leq 4100\) be larger than 15. This left 5066 halo giants, out of the original 22 784 stars. In Fig. 1, the small points represent those halo giants, while density contours of stars rejected based on CMD position are shown as solid lines.

To facilitate later analysis, we convert the dereddened apparent \(r\) magnitudes given in the SEGUE data to absolute \(M_r\) magnitudes through a simple photometric parallax calculation. We created a grid of 10 Gyr Padova isochrones (Marigo et al. 2008) with metallicities ranging from [Fe/H] = -1.0 to [Fe/H] = -1.8 (the lowest metallicity available) at a spacing of 0.1 dex, and interpolate between those to match the metallicity of each individual star. The absolute \(M_r\) magnitude corresponding to the observed \((g-r)\) color of the star, on the interpolated isochrone, is then assigned as the true absolute \(M_r\) magnitude.

Errors in \(M_r\) were calculated by Monte Carlo sampling of uncorrelated errors in SSPP metallicity, \(q_0\) magnitude and \(r_0\) magnitude, drawn randomly from a Gaussian with a width equal to the reported errors on those quantities. This addition of error was done \(10^4\) times for each star, and we take the standard deviation in those \(10^4\) determinations of \(M_r\) as the error on \(M_r\). This error has a typical value of 0.3 mag, with the largest values (\(\geq 0.5\) mag) on the 3\% of stars with the largest errors in apparent \(r_0\) and \(q_0\). The age of the isochrones used had minimal effects on the derived \(M_r\) values, with a change of only \(+0.06\) mag for a shift of \(+1\) Gyr. The limited metallicity range of the isochrones is sufficient for the purposes of the analysis in Sect. 3, but lower-metallicity isochrones would allow us to study the distance distribution of the roughly 1/3 of our final dataset at lower metallicity, to compare the spatial distribution of our final data set to the inner and outer halos identified in Carollo et al. (2007).
Fig. 2. CN bandstrength index $S(3839)$ versus dereddened $(g − r)$ color for the G and K giants indicated in Fig. 1. As expected, the stars are mainly CN-weak, and there is a slight temperature dependence. As mentioned in the text, the stars with dramatically high $S(3839)$ are mainly CEMP and carbon stars (shown as open triangles).

We measured $S(3839)$ (Norris et al. 1981), a bandstrength index for the CN band at 3883 Å, for all halo giant spectra. $S(3839)$ measures the magnitude difference between the integrated flux in the CN feature and the integrated flux in a nearby continuum band, with more absorption in the feature resulting in larger bandstrength. As can be seen in Fig. 2, there is a strong concentration at low $S(3839)$ in our data set. This is to be expected, since the halo is primarily composed of CN-weak stars with typical Pop. II abundances. There is also a clear trend with temperature, in the sense that cooler stars have larger CN bandstrengths. The cooling of stars as they ascend the giant branch reddens the spectra and permits more CN molecule formation, with more absorption in the feature resulting in a larger bandstrength. As can be seen in Fig. 2, there is a strong concentration at low $S(3839)$. This is to be expected, since the halo is primarily composed of CN-weak stars with typical Pop. II abundances. There is also a clear trend with temperature, in the sense that cooler stars have larger CN bandstrengths.

The broad molecular features in the example carbon-star spectrum are quite clear. We use the strength of the CH feature to measure $S(3839)$ and consider all stars with $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] ≤ −1.8$ and $s(c1) ≥ −0.093$; $−1.8 ≤ [\text{Fe}/\text{H}] ≤ −1.4$, $s(c1) ≥ −0.05$, and $s(c1) ≥ 0.15$; and $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] ≥ −1.4$, $s(c1) ≥ −0.02$, and $s(c1) ≥ 0.158$ to have “strong carbon features”. Although these carbon and CEMP stars tend to have strong UV CN bands, as is demonstrated in, e.g., Aoki et al. (2002), that is a result of the unusually large ratio of carbon to oxygen in their atmospheres, and is not a result of the anticorrelated C-N abundance pattern observed in CN-strong globular cluster stars. There are 109 stars removed from the data set because of strong carbon features, but CN-strong stars are not entirely eliminated: carbon and CEMP stars are shown as open triangles in Fig. 2, and there are clearly other stars with stronger $S(3839)$ than the main group, at fixed $(g − r)_0$ color. Of the 109 stars we identify as carbon or CEMP stars, 89 have metallicities below $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = −1.8$, 11 have metallicities in the range $−1.8 ≤ [\text{Fe}/\text{H}] ≤ −1.4$, and 9 have a metallicity above $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = −1.4$. This is not a surprising metallicity distribution: many studies, including, e.g., Groenewegen (1999), Lucatello et al. (2006) and Aoki et al. (2008), have found that the proportion of carbon stars rises at low metallicity.

3. Distribution of CN and CH bandstrengths

Since the purpose of this study is identifying stars in the halo with the CN-strong chemical signature associated with globular clusters, and our data source is the moderate-resolution spectroscopy provided by SEGUE, our methods follow closely the techniques used in low-resolution spectroscopic studies of CN bandstrength behavior in globular clusters. For example, the spectral feature used is the same 3883 Å CN band, and the index used to measure bandstrength is the well-known $S(3839)$. One first check of this approach is comparing CN behavior in well-studied globular clusters to halo stars with similar metallicities observed as part of SEGUE. Figure 4 shows two views of the CN bandstrength data for M 3, measured from SEGUE spectra of M 3 giants (membership information from J. Smolinski, private
communication). The left panel shows $S(3839)$ versus absolute $M_r$ magnitude, and the typical globular cluster pattern is readily visible: the $S(3839)$ distribution separates into two parallel loci, rising with increasing luminosity. CN-strong stars (shown as open circles) are clearly distinct from CN-weak stars (filled circles) at fixed luminosity.

The right panel of Fig. 4 converts the raw $S(3839)$ data into a generalized histogram. In order to remove the temperature-related trend visible in the left panel, we fit a line to the CN-weak locus and measure the quantity $\delta S(3839)$, the vertical difference in $S(3839)$ between every point and the baseline. We then draw a generalized histogram by representing each point as a Gaussian centered at $\delta S(3839)$, with a FWHM equal to the error on $\delta S(3839)$, and summing the individual Gaussians together. In Fig. 4, we base the Gaussian widths in the generalized histogram on the actual measurement errors on $S(3839)$, as determined by Monte Carlo sampling of the spectral error vectors reported by SEGUE. Typical values of $\sigma S(3839)$ are 0.014 mag, and we amplify that error by a factor of four in the construction of the generalized histogram. This allows for errors not accounted for in our Monte Carlo noise sampling, particularly errors in flux calibration and the SSPP-derived parameters. We calculate generalized histograms for the CN-strong and CN-weak stars independently, and normalize both curves to the peak of the CN-weak curve, so that the relative heights represent the relative numbers of stars in the two groups.

Figure 5 shows analogous data for field stars in the final data set with $[\text{Fe/H}]$ within $\pm0.05$ dex of M 3, and similar structure can be seen to Fig. 4, with interesting differences in scale. As in Fig. 4, we fit a baseline to the CN-weak stars in the $M_r - S(3839)$ plane (left panel), measure the quantity $\delta S(3839)$, and convert that into a generalized histogram in the right panel. Errors in $\delta S(3839)$ were calculated as in Fig. 4. In this instance, we divide the CN-weak from CN-strong stars by shifting the baseline vertically until it encounters a significant gap. As in Fig. 4, CN-weak stars are shown as filled circles, and CN-strong stars as open circles. Although the two peaks in the right panel have roughly the same separation as in Fig. 4, the CN-weak group is a much more dominant component of the overall population. We have magnified the CN-strong curve in the right panel by a factor of 30 (noted in the upper right corner of the panel) so that it is more easily comparable to the CN-weak curve.

It must be noted that the slope of the baseline in Fig. 5 is different from that in Fig. 4. This is unexpected, since (at fixed metallicity) the same processes ought to be moderating the progressive increase in CN bandstrength with increasing giant-branch luminosity: a declining temperature that both shifts flux from the science band of $S(3839)$ into the continuum band and permits more CN molecule formation, along with the "canonical extra mixing" described in Demisenskov & VandenBerg (2003) that progressively depletes carbon and enhances nitrogen abundance in the photosphere. We attribute the difference in baseline slopes to the different mass distributions of the two samples. Specifically, the M 3 giants are an old, single-age population, with masses all around 0.8 $M_\odot$, while the field giants have the possibility of being considerably younger, and therefore more massive. A younger and more massive red giant, at fixed luminosity, will undergo more rapid evolution, leaving less time for deep mixing and surface abundance changes. Indeed, as is discussed in Gilroy (1989), stars with masses greater than 2.2 $M_\odot$ evolve along the giant branch too quickly to even begin deep mixing. As a result, younger giants in the field will have weaker CN bands at a fixed $M_r$, with the effect of pulling down the overall baseline slope.

To extend this type of analysis to the full final data set, we must carefully isolate $[\text{C/Fe}]$ and $[\text{N/Fe}]$ variations from the underlying metallicity, which strongly affects the appearance of double-metallic absorption features like $\lambda3883$ CN. To that end, we divide the 1958 stars in the final data set into 0.1-dex-wide bins in $[\text{Fe/H}]$ and search the bins independently for a bimodal distribution of CN bandstrength at fixed luminosity. Since CN bandstrength variations become very small at low metallicity, even for significant variations in $[\text{C/Fe}]$ and $[\text{N/Fe}]$ (e.g., Martell et al. 2008b; Briley et al. 1993), we limit our sample to the relatively metal-rich end of the halo. Figure 6 shows the raw $S(3839)$ vs. $M_r$ distribution for field stars in each of the metallicity bins between $[\text{Fe/H}] = -1.0$ and $[\text{Fe/H}] = -1.8$. The maximum metallicity of the bin is given in the upper left corner of each panel. As in the left panel of Fig. 4, the dashed line in each panel is the baseline against which $\delta S(3839)$ is measured.

There are several features of note in this figure: the slope of the baseline flattens monotonically with dropping metallicity, from $-0.11$ in the $-1.1 \leq [\text{Fe/H}] \leq -1.0$ bin, to $-0.04$ in
Fig. 6. Raw $\delta S(3839)$ vs. $M_r$ data for the eight 0.1-dex-wide metallicity bins in our sample. The baselines against which $\delta S(3839)$ is measured are shown as dotted lines, and the maximum metallicity for each panel is given in the upper left corner.

Fig. 7. CN versus CH bandstrength for the $-1.4 \leq \text{[Fe/H]} \leq -1.3$ metallicity bin, divided into three luminosity groups. Stars with both strong CN and relatively weak CH are shown as open circles.

Fig. 8. CN versus CH bandstrength for all eight metallicity bins, selected from luminosity sub-bins as in Fig. 7. Candidate CN-strong stars are shown as open circles.

Fig. 9. Generalized histograms of $\delta S(3839)$ for CN-weak and CN-strong stars in each $\text{[Fe/H]}$ bin. As in Fig. 6, maximum metallicity for each panel is given in the upper left corner. As in Fig. 5, CN-strong histograms were amplified for clarity. The multiplicative factor for each panel is given in the upper right corner.
the CN-strong curves needed to be amplified to be easily visible next to the CN-weak curves; the multiplicative factor is given in the upper right corner of each panel, and the maximum metallicity in each bin is given in the upper left corner of each panel. These generalized histograms are qualitatively similar to Fig. 4, although with a clearly different CN-strong/CN-weak ratio: there is a separation between the two peaks of roughly 0.2 mag in $\delta S(3839)$, which shrinks as the overall metallicity drops.

The presence of stars in the halo field with relatively strong $\lambda$3883 CN absorption and relatively weak absorption in the $\lambda$4320 CH G band, at fixed metallicity and luminosity, is a strong indication that globular clusters have contributed stars to the halo field. Given current models for the origin of light-element abundance variations in globular clusters, it does not seem possible that these stars formed in the halo with these atypical [C/Fe] and [N/Fe] abundances. An investigation of the abundances of O, Na, Mg and Al, which are also known to vary in CN-strong globular cluster stars (see, e.g., Kraft 1994), would allow a more strict test, and possibly a more firm confirmation, of our claim that the 49 halo field stars we identify here as CN-strong originated in globular clusters.

For completeness, we also mention the possibility that these stars did not originate within globular clusters, but rather that their unusual abundances are the result of mass transfer from an AGB companion in a binary system. However, as is demonstrated in Lucatello et al. (2005), which discusses binarity in CEMP stars, the fraction of field stars expected to be in a binary system with a companion of $3-8 M_\odot$ and orbital parameters that permit evolution of the companion up to the AGB phase, and then mass transfer but not coalescence, is quite small. There is no high-precision radial velocity monitoring program in progress for these stars; we predict that such a program would be unlikely to find binary companions.

4. Discussion

Although light-element abundance variations have not been observed before in the halo, our identification of these CN-strong halo field stars is not wholly unexpected. There are several well-understood mechanisms for globular cluster mass loss, and theoretical studies of globular cluster formation and evolution (e.g., D’Ercole et al. 2008; Baumgardt et al. 2008) predict significant mass loss in individual clusters as well as a dramatic reshaping of the cluster mass function with time. The data set we analyzed, selected from the SEGUE survey, is not representative of the full halo, in mass, evolutionary phase, or metallicity. Distances to the candidate CN-strong stars range from 4 to nearly 40 kpc, with 93% found within 20 kpc of the Sun. However, our result for red giants is generalizable to all halo stars, since abundance bimodality is observed to exist at all masses and evolutionary phases in globular clusters. More fundamentally, all of the cuts we made in selecting the final data set are blind to CN and CH bandstrengths and light-element abundances, and all nitrogen-enhanced giants with metallicities above $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]=-1.8$ ought to show clearly strong CN bands. Since approximately 2.5% of our halo red giants exhibit strong CN bands and weak CH bands, we expect that the same fraction of the entire halo will contain the same abundance enhancements and depletions. This prediction can be confirmed by observations of dwarfs in the halo field, because main sequence stars in globular clusters show the same abundance division as giants (e.g., Briley et al. 2002).

In order to convert $f_{\text{GC}}^n$, the present-day fraction of CN-strong halo stars, into $f_{\text{GC}}^c$, the fraction of globular cluster-originating stars in the halo field, we must consider what fraction CN-strong stars comprise of the stars originally formed in globular clusters.

In the two-generation scenario of D’Ercole et al. (2008), roughly 90% of stars originally formed in a globular cluster, consisting entirely of first-generation stars (with halo-like chemistry), are lost between the epoch of cluster formation and the present day. This means that $f_{\text{GC}}^c = 0.1$. Since $f_{\text{GC}}^c = 0.025$ in the present study, this suggests that $f_{\text{GC}}^c = 0.5$, and that a remarkable 50% of the halo field originally formed in the massive star clusters that were progenitors of the present-day globular cluster population, with a further unknown contribution of CN-weak stars made by globular clusters that did not survive to the present day and were not massive enough to self-enrich.

While some numerical studies of galaxy formation (e.g., Boley et al. 2009) have suggested that the halo could be constructed entirely from disrupted globular clusters, there is not presently a strong consensus on the role of globular clusters in cosmological-scale galaxy formation. Precise numerical study of the dynamical evolution of globular clusters is very complicated: the number of particles is large enough, and the relevant timescales are short enough, that highly accurate simulations are very time-consuming. However, the development of semi-analytic prescriptions for the mass evolution of globular clusters would allow single-halo-scale simulations like those of Johnston et al. (2008) to include them as a source of halo stars, and to predict what fraction of the halo field ought to originate in globular clusters.
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