Multiline Zeeman signatures through line addition
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ABSTRACT

Context. To obtain a significant Zeeman signature in the polarised spectra of a magnetic star, we usually “add” the contributions of numerous spectral lines; the ultimate goal is to recover the spectropolarimetric prints of the magnetic field in these line additions.

Aims. Here we want to clarify the meaning of these techniques of line addition; in particular, we try to interpret the meaning of the “pseudo-line” formed during this process and to find out why and how its Zeeman signature is still meaningful.

Methods. We create a synthetic case of line addition and apply well tested standard solar methods routinely used in research on magnetism in the Sun.

Results. The results are convincing and the Zeeman signatures well detected; Solar methods are found to be quite efficient for stellar observations. We statistically compare line addition with least-squares deconvolution and demonstrate that they both give very similar results, as a consequence of the special statistical properties of the weights.

Conclusions. The Zeeman signatures are unequivocally detected in this multiline approach. We suggest that magnetic field detection is reliable well beyond the weak-field approximation. Linear polarisation in the spectra of solar type stars can be detected when the spectral resolution is sufficiently high.
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1. Multiline Zeeman signature and Zeeman Doppler imaging

In the 1980s it became clear that cool stars, mainly rapid rotators, exhibit solar type activity. Rapid rotation is one of the essential ingredients of the stellar dynamo. While there is not yet any completely satisfactory theory or model of the solar or the stellar dynamo, it is clear what drives such a process, i.e. convection, rapid rotation and differential rotation. Attempts to detect the Zeeman effect in these active stars however failed for two main reasons:

– the magnetic configuration of the stellar magnetic fields can be quite complex. The simultaneous appearance of opposite magnetic polarities may lead to cancellation of the respective contributions to the integrated polarisation signal in the stellar spectrum;

– the broadening of the spectral lines due to even moderate stellar rotation rates can become a serious handicap when measuring the magnetic field of the star.

Consideration of these two aspects was attempted by Semel (1989), where the term Zeeman Doppler imaging (ZDI) referred to the detection of a Zeeman signature thanks to the Doppler effect. The latter can help to disentangle the contributions from opposite magnetic polarities and their respective opposite polarisation, which otherwise may cancel. Thus, the combination of Zeeman and Doppler effects is one of the reasons why the detection of the Zeeman effect in fast rotating active solar type stars becomes possible. Nowadays, however, the term ZDI is often understood as magnetic mapping of the stellar surface, based on the inversion of Stokes profiles (frequently only I and V) sampled over a full period of rotation.

In order to overcome possible misunderstandings, we therefore propose the term multiline zeeman signature (henceforth MZS) to denote a method for just the detection of a mean Zeeman signature using numerous spectral lines. We shall not attribute any direct physical meaning to the MZS. We simply require the application of an operator O (or a detector O) to a polarised spectrum, creating a particular MZS.

Nowadays, there are several techniques that take into account many spectral lines to obtain some particular MZSs that trace stellar magnetic fields. One of the most elementary methods is the so called line addition technique which consists of simply adding up many observed spectral lines (Semel 1989; Semel & Li 1996). Later on, Donati et al. (1997) introduced the least squares deconvolution (LSD) method. More recently, methods based on principal component analysis (PCA) have been introduced by Semel et al. (2006) and Martínez González et al. (2008). In this paper we concentrate on the proper definition of the line addition technique and its application to any of the Stokes parameters. We present the advantages of using this
technique compared to LSD and we describe the correct way to infer physical properties of its MZS.

2. The MZS through line addition techniques as compared to the LSD profile

2.1. Line addition

The first successful MZS attempt consisted of adding the circular polarisation of selected spectral lines. In the initial attempts, Semel (1989) recommended the de-blending of spectral lines prior to addition, following a relatively difficult procedure. However, Semel & Li (1996) showed that simple coherent addition of spectral lines gave satisfactory results. This is due to the fact that the the position of the blends along the spectral lines is not systematic and they add incoherently. Each spectral line may appear several times, once in the coherent addition, and then each time when the line is “blended” in the field of another nearby line. However, in the latter case it appears in a non-coherent way and always in another position (in wavelength). When the line is blended, its contribution is “arbitrarily” situated and has only a small effect. In other words, the blending lines only contribute to the noise, perhaps modifying its statistical distribution. From this point, noise is reduced when the number of spectral lines increases.

In the abovementioned works, some 200 spectral lines were added to yield significant detections of Zeeman signatures. This straightforward method of line addition was later improved by Semel (1995), in which a list of spectral lines of interest was created. These spectral lines were labelled by wavelength, \( \lambda_i \), equivalent width, \( w_i \), and effective Landé factor, \( \bar{g}_i \). In the next step, each spectral line was represented by a Dirac function \( \delta(\lambda - \lambda_i) \) and transformed, as explained in Semel (1989), to the Doppler coordinate \( X \), with \( c dX = c d\lambda / \lambda, c \) being the velocity of light. Using \( \omega_i = w_i \bar{g}_i \), the convolution of the observed circular polarisation spectrum \( P(X) \) and the line list results in a MZS given by

\[
Z_{LA}(x_j) = \frac{\sum_i \omega_i^{LA} V(x_j - X_i)}{\sum_i \omega_i^{LA}},
\]

where \( X_i \) is the Doppler coordinate of the centre of each spectral line and \( x_j \) is the Doppler coordinate axis. The symbol \( Z_{LA}(x_j) \) stands for the MZS due to line addition. As a rule, the convolution by means of a Fourier transform is found to be quite efficient. The line addition technique has also been applied with unit weights, i.e., \( \omega_i^{LA} = 1 \), with very similar results to the more refined version presented above:

\[
Z_{SLA}(x_j) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i V(x_j - X_i),
\]

where SLA stands for simplified line addition.

Although initially it was only used for the circular state of polarisation, since the MZS resulting from line addition is model-independent, it can be applied equally to Stokes \( I, Q, U \) or \( V \). The reason is that no assumptions have been made in order to compute the MZS, being just the brute force line addition. Therefore, the line addition is a very powerful and robust method to detect Zeeman signatures in stellar polarised spectra where the signal-to-noise ratio per individual spectral line is very poor.

2.2. Least-squares deconvolution

The LSD technique was introduced for the detection of Zeeman signatures in stellar polarised spectra by Donati et al. (1997). They postulated that, in a given observed Stokes spectrum, the shape of the local Zeeman signature in circular polarisation is identical for all spectral lines. This means that individual Stokes \( V \) profiles are assumed to correspond to a common basic Zeeman signature that is modulated by a proportionality factor. This coefficient is then fixed in the framework of the weak field approximation. In other words, they assume that the magnetic field is weak enough so that the Zeeman splitting is negligible compared to the Doppler width of the spectral line. As a consequence, the radiative transfer equation can be solved analytically and it can be demonstrated that the Stokes \( V \) profile is proportional to the derivative of the intensity profile (Sears 1913; Landini et al. 2004). Following this approximation, Donati et al. (1997) found that the proportionality factor, \( \omega_i^{LSD} = \lambda_i d_i \bar{g}_i \), i.e., just the product of the central wavelength of the transition, \( \lambda_i \), the central depth of the line, \( d_i \), and the effective Landé factor, \( \bar{g}_i \). Donati et al. (1997) also normalised the weights to a mean value of 500 nm. Based on all these assumptions, a particular MZS is extracted by means of a least squares method from the observed spectrum.

We note that \( \omega_i^{LSD} \) and \( \omega_i^{LA} \), although apparently different, have a very similar behaviour. If the spectral line is assumed to be of Gaussian shape whose width is dominated by thermal effects, it is possible to show that \( \omega_i^{LA} \propto \omega_i^{LSD} \) in general.

In more detail, the MZS obtained through the least-squares deconvolution method of Donati et al. (1997) is calculated solving the following weighted linear regression problem: given \( N \) lines with Stokes \( V \) profiles \( V(x_j - X_i) \) sampled at the \( N \) Doppler coordinates \( x_j \), find the profile \( Z(x_j) \) that better approximates the observations in the least-squares sense given the fixed values of \( \omega_i^{LSD} \). In other words, it can be seen as the problem of fitting \( N \) independent straight lines whose slopes are given by the values of \( Z(x_j) \) and the abscissae are the values of the weights \( \omega_i^{LSD} \). The solution is obtained, in the case of Gaussian noise, by minimising the following merit functions:

\[
\chi^2 = \sum_i W_i \left| V(x_j - X_i) - \omega_i^{LSD} Z(x_j) \right|^2,
\]

where the weights are usually chosen to be \( W_i = 1/\sigma(x_j - X_i)^2 \), the inverse variance of the expected noise at each velocity point. The solution to the previous problem is:

\[
Z_{LSD}(x_j) = \frac{\sum_i W_i \omega_i^{LSD} V(x_j - X_i)}{\sum_i W_i (\omega_i^{LSD})^2}.
\]

In combination with maximum entropy codes (Brown et al. 1991; Donati & Brown 1997), LSD-based Stokes \( I \) and \( V \) profiles have been used for the production of magnetic surface maps of quite a large number of stars with great success.

The main disadvantage of the LSD method relies on the assumptions made to obtain the MZS. It is not true in general that, locally, all spectral lines have a common shape (see for instance Kurucz 1993). Moreover, the weak field approximation used to obtain the proportionality coefficients states that Stokes \( Q \) and \( U \) are zero to first order. This would make this technique not applicable to recover the MZS of the linear states of polarisation.

2.3. Statistical properties of weights

A fundamental point to clarify is why LSD works even though it is based on assumptions that seem unlikely. For this, we analyse the statistical properties of the weights. The left panel of Fig. 1 presents the probability distribution function of the normalised
weights $\omega_{i}^{\text{LSD}} / \sum (\omega_{i}^{\text{LSD}})^2$ for many lines in several line-lists obtained for different stellar temperatures. We have verified that the distribution is relatively insensitive to the value of the surface gravity and the only strong dependency is seen with respect to the effective temperature. The histograms have been calculated for lines above 300 nm and whose calculated line depth is larger than 0.4, which is the typical selection criterion used in the standard application of LSD. The vertical lines in Fig. 1 are the value of the inverse of the number of lines included in the histograms, in other words, the value of the weights in the simplest version of line addition given by Eq. (2). Therefore, the LSD weights can be considered, to a good approximation, to be positive random variables whose distribution is close to log-normal (which can be not approximately represented by a Gaussian distribution), centred around $1/N$ and with a certain dispersion. The log-normal shape arises because the product of several independent random quantities converge towards such a distribution, similar to the convergence towards a Gaussian distribution when adding many independent quantities. In our case, the weights are the product of three quantities which are largely independent.

The right panel of Fig. 1 presents a similar statistical analysis except for line addition. In our study we use standard line-lists applied to LSD in which the equivalent width is not present. For this reason, we plot the weights for the simplification of the effective Landé factors of all included lines, so that we plot the histogram of $\tilde{g}_i / \sum \tilde{g}_i$. The vertical lines again indicate the value of $1/N$ for each temperature. The weights can again be represented approximately as Gaussian random variables centred around $1/N$ and with a certain dispersion.

Assuming wavelength-independent Gaussian noise in the observations characterised by a variance $\sigma^2$, the observed Stokes $V(x_j - X_i)$ profiles can be considered to be random variables characterised by a normal distribution with a mean value of $\mu(x_j)$ and variance $\sigma^2$. In our case, $\mu(x_j)$ is the hidden Zeeman signature at Doppler coordinate $x_j$ that we want to recover. According to the results presented in Fig. 1, the MZS of Eqs. (1) and (4) can be approximated to be the addition of $N$ products of two Gaussian random variables. It is known that the probability distribution of the product of two Gaussian random variables $X$ and $Y$ is, in general, very complex to calculate. However, it is possible to obtain the mean and variances of such products through the calculation of the moment generating function (Craig 1936):

$$E(XY) = \mu_x \mu_Y$$
$$V(XY) = \mu_x^2 \sigma_Y^2 + \mu_Y^2 \sigma_X^2 + \sigma_X^2 \sigma_Y^2,$$

where the $\mu_{(XY)}$ represent the means of the two variables and $\sigma_{(XY)}^2$ are their corresponding variances. In our case, $X$ corresponds to the normalised weights, so that $\mu_X = 1/N$ and $\sigma_X^2 = \sigma_{\omega}^2$, with $\sigma_{\omega}$ the standard deviation of the weights. Likewise, $Y$ corresponds to the observed Stokes $V$ profiles, so that $\mu_Y = \mu(x_j)$ and $\sigma_Y^2 = \sigma^2$. To calculate the MZSs, we have to add many such products. At this point, following the central limit theorem, the resulting probability distribution function can be calculated as the convolution of $N$ Gaussian distributions whose mean and variance are given by Eq. (5). The resulting mean is $N$ times the mean of each Gaussian while the resulting variance is $N$ times the variance of each individual Gaussian. Therefore:

$$Z_{\text{SLA}}(x_j) \approx Z_{\text{LDA}}(x_j) \approx Z_{\text{LAA}}(x_j) \approx \mu(x_j),$$

with variance

$$\sigma_{Z}^2(x_j) = N\sigma^2 \left( \frac{1}{N^2} + \sigma_{\omega}^2 \right) + N\mu(x_j)^2 \sigma_{\omega}^2.$$  

The signal-to-noise ratio increases roughly linearly with the number of added lines but reaches a maximum value at:

$$N_{\text{max}} = \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{\omega} \sqrt{\mu(x_j)^2 + \sigma^2}} \approx \frac{1}{\sigma_{\omega} \sqrt{1 + (S/N)_{\text{real}}^2}}.$$  

where $(S/N)_{\text{real}} = \mu(x_j)/\sigma$ is the real signal-to-noise ratio, i.e. the ratio between the amplitude of the hidden Zeeman signature and the noise. Equation (8) demonstrates that $N_{\text{max}}$ represents the maximum number of lines one should add in order to have a net increase in the signal-to-noise ratio. If more lines are added, the signal-to-noise ratio starts to degrade because of the intrinsic dispersion in the weights. The larger the ratio $\mu(x_j)/\sigma$, the smaller the number of lines one should add until reaching the regime of $S/N$ degradation. Likewise, the larger the dispersion of the weights, the smaller the number of lines one should add.

The previous results suggest the surprising possibility of using purely random weights in the application of line addition or LSD. The only requisite is that the weights are positive definite with a fairly symmetric distribution peaking close to $1/N$ with a sufficiently small dispersion not to dominate in Eq. (7). Such a distribution should lead to results similar to those presented in Eqs. (6) and (7). Also surprising is the fact that the analysis we have carried out suggests that the best solution is to add the lines without any weight. In this case, no saturation due to the presence of dispersion in the weights appears. This
is a consequence of the fact that, since the observations are assumed to be described by the Gaussian distribution $N(\mu(x), \sigma^2)$, the maximum-likelihood estimation of its mean is exactly given by Eq. (2).

Summarising, one can consider that LSD and complex line addition schemes work because they give a response similar to that of the simplest line addition method. The fundamental reason is that spectral lines constitute a well-behaved statistical sample. The line-to-line differences are small enough (for instance, the dispersion in the value of the LSD or LA weights) so that the plain addition of lines makes the signal appear as soon as one adds a sufficient number of lines. Although the assumptions on which LSD or any method are based are not really fulfilled, the addition of lines dilutes any possible difference in their behaviour.

3. The magnetic sensitivity of the MZS

The MZS are very efficient detectors of the magnetic activity of the star through of the Zeeman effect. However, since our main interest is to understand the magnetism of the stars, we need to infer the magnetic field vector of the star from the information contained in the polarised spectra or from the MZS. In cool stars the signal to noise ratio per individual spectral line is usually so poor that it is impossible to make reliable measurements of the magnetic field. Consequently, we are obliged to use the MZS as indicators of the magnetic activity of the stars. At this point a question arises: does the MZS contain the information on the magnetism of the star? It is evident that, in the case of line addition, the MZS is not a physical spectral line. Then, does it behave like a spectral line and contain any information about the magnetic field?. This section will be devoted to study to what extent it is possible to use the MZS to reliably infer the magnetic field in stars.

We simulate the observed spectral lines from a star assuming a Milne-Eddington atmosphere. Moreover, we suppose that there is only one magnetic field vector in the stellar surface that is responsible for the Zeeman signatures in the spectra. Although more sophisticated scenarios and solutions to the polarised radiative transfer equation exist, we are not interested in a realistic simulation of the stellar spectra but rather in showing that, once the information of the spectra is encoded in the MZS, we are capable of retrieving it. Using the code Diagonal as described in López Ariste & Semel (1999) with only one layer. For the sample M-E model atmosphere we choose the value of the gradient of the source function to be $\beta = 10$ and the ratio between the line and continuum absorption, $\eta$, to be equal to twice the relative strength of the components of the multiplet as given by Allen (2000). Doppler broadening is set to 21 mÅ as in sunspots, and the reduced damping is taken to be 0.01.

We synthesise the Stokes vector of only a few spectral lines with a spectral resolution close to $3 \times 10^6$. We select the multiplet 816 of Fe I (listed in Table 1) to ensure the validity of LS coupling and the easy determination of Zeeman patterns, relative line strengths, etc. We then add the Stokes parameters of all the spectral lines using unit weights [therefore, using the simplified line addition of Eq. (2)] and subsequently reduce the spectral resolution to stellar conditions, say 75 000, obtaining the MZS.

### Table 1. List of spectral lines of multiplet 816 of neutral iron.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line No.</th>
<th>$\lambda$ Å</th>
<th>Upper level</th>
<th>Lower level</th>
<th>Relative strength</th>
<th>$\eta$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6400.010</td>
<td>$^3P_1$</td>
<td>$^3P_3$</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6411.658</td>
<td>$^3P_2$</td>
<td>$^3P_3$</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6408.031</td>
<td>$^3P_2$</td>
<td>$^3P_1$</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6246.334</td>
<td>$^3P_2$</td>
<td>$^3P_1$</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6301.515</td>
<td>$^3P_2$</td>
<td>$^3P_1$</td>
<td>8.75</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6336.835</td>
<td>$^3P_2$</td>
<td>$^3P_1$</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>6414.734</td>
<td>$^3P_2$</td>
<td>$^3P_1$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>6232.661</td>
<td>$^3P_2$</td>
<td>$^3P_1$</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>6302.507</td>
<td>$^3P_2$</td>
<td>$^3P_1$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The relative strengths correspond to LS coupling as given by Allen (2000). The ratio $\eta$ of line to continuum absorption is obtained from the relative strengths multiplied by a factor of 2; the latter is chosen arbitrarily.

3.1. MZS in circular polarisation

Figure 2 shows the synthetic Stokes $I$ and $V$ together with the MZS for the selected Fe I lines for three different values of the magnetic field strength. We keep a high spectral resolution of 100 m/s, i.e. a resolution of $3 \times 10^6$ and then study the effect on the MZS of smoothing, bringing the resolution down to 75 000, which corresponds to sampling with a step of about 4 km s$^{-1}$.

With very high spectral resolution, both Stokes $I$ and Stokes $V$ profiles change morphology and details depending on the field strength. In the case of 500 G, we do not detect a clear magnetic splitting in the Stokes $I$ profiles. Also, the Stokes $V$ profiles are all very similar, the only difference being a scale factor. This is a consequence of the fact that for 500 G, all spectral lines are in the weak field regime of the Zeeman effect, where the magnetic splitting is smaller than the Doppler width of the line. However, when the magnetic field increases, the Zeeman splitting becomes evident in the intensity profiles and the circular polarisation profiles abandon the shape-similarity regime. The anomalous dispersion terms are now important and show the typical reversal of polarities in the centre of the Stokes $V$ profile. This has no counterpart in the weak-field approximation since anomalous dispersion is a high-order effect. Although the spectral lines are now out of the weak field regime, they have not still reached the strong field regime (where the Zeeman splitting is very large as compared to the Doppler width of the line).

Considering the MZS, in the weak field case (500 G), we see that, even in the high spectral resolution case, the shapes of the intensity and circular polarisation MZS also resemble the individual Stokes $I$ and Stokes $V$ profiles, respectively. When lowering the spectral resolution to the typical stellar one, the profiles are also similar in shape but the amplitude has decreased and the profiles are wider. Note that the MZS in circular polarisation matches almost perfectly with the derivative of the intensity profile. The reason is that since all the lines are in the weak-field regime and the derivative is a linear operation, the addition of derivatives equals the derivative of the addition of lines. This confirms that, in this case, the weak field regime is a good approximation.

When we leave the weak field regime, the MZS at high spectral resolution shows some distortions that are due to the different shapes of the individual Stokes $V$ profiles (each line departs from the weak-field regime at different values of the magnetic field strength). When we decrease the spectral resolution to the stellar case, in the case of 1500 G we see that the MZS for circular polarisation is close to the derivative of the intensity MZS.

---

1 Saturation has always been an important issue in solar and stellar magnetic field measurements. Here it is included in the procedure and we have to use the same procedure to treat inversion.
profile. This means that, even if the individual spectral lines are not in the weak field regime, the MZS for circular polarisation can be in this regime when lowering the spectral resolution. The reason behind this behaviour is that the width of the lines are increased when lowering the spectral resolution, thus extending the validity of the weak-field regime. Consequently, the weak field regime applies for larger magnetic fields in the circular polarisation MZS than in the individual spectral lines (typically up to 600–700 G in the visible part of the spectrum). However, this does not occur in the 2500 G case. The low resolution circular polarisation MZS already differs substantially from the derivative of the intensity MZS.

The MZS constructed with line addition are not simple spectral lines in the sense that they have no associated wavelength and are not characterised by specific atomic parameters such as the excitation potential. Moreover, in the more general case they do not even correspond to a particular chemical element, and no specific spectroscopic term is attached to them. However, the Zeeman signatures do not disappear in the MZS. We have seen that they are sensitive to the magnetic field, also having a weak field regime where the amplitude of the circular polarisation MZS scales with the derivative of the intensity MZS. For this reason, they can be used to extract information about the magnetic field, as we show in Sect. 4.

3.2. MZS in linear polarisation

As a rule of thumb, the Zeeman linear polarisation is at least one order of magnitude lower than the circular one (e.g. Carroll et al. 2007). Now, if our line of sight (henceforth LOS) is not a preferred direction for the stellar magnetic field vector and therefore its three components (the longitudinal one and the two perpendicular to the LOS) all have equal probabilities; on average the transverse component is $\sqrt{2}$ times stronger than the LOS component. From solar physics we know that kG fields are not only found in sunspots. However, can we assume that kG fields are common also in solar type stars? If yes, why does the linear polarisation escape observation? In the following, we try to answer this question and suggest a remedy.

We denote $\psi$ the angle between the magnetic field vector and the LOS and take it between 0° and 90°, the positive LOS component. If all directions have the same probability, the average inclination angle is $\bar{\psi} = 1 \text{ rad} \approx 57.3^\circ$. Likewise, the median angle is $\psi_{\text{Median}} = 60^\circ$. In other words, there are as many orientations between 0° and 60° as between 60° and 90°. For the case of negative LOS longitudinal fields, $90^\circ < \psi < 180^\circ$, the results for $\varphi$ and for $\psi_{\text{Median}}$ are deduced similarly. In conclusion, $\psi$ is likely to be nearly 60°.

Figure 3 shows the MZS for the Stokes $I$, $Q$ and $V$ parameters computed with a magnetic field strength of 1 kG and 3 kG and an inclination $\varphi = 60^\circ$. Note that, for high spectral resolutions the MZS for Stokes $Q$ is approximately 0.7 times the MZS for Stokes $V$. Stokes $Q$ changes with wavelength twice as fast as Stokes $V$, so that for current high spectral resolutions, say 75 000, Stokes $Q$ shrinks much faster than Stokes $V$ in the convolution process. Moreover, Stokes $Q$ changes sign when the azimuth changes by 90°, while Stokes $V$ changes sign when $\psi$ changes by 180°. Both effects can be reduced by increasing the spectral resolution, to values of the order of 120 000. This means that observing at high spectral resolutions, Stokes $Q$ is preserved considerably and, more interestingly, the spatial resolution of the stellar surface is improved as well.

4. Recovering the magnetic field from the MZS

Our purpose is to assess to what extent the new MZS still contains all the information on the magnetic field that was present in the individual spectral lines in our sample. To this end, we
examine the invariants that we had already found in solar magnetism, like the displacement of the centre of gravity (CoG) that yields a good approximation to the longitudinal component of the magnetic field. It is important to have in mind that the centre of gravity is a linear operator and commutes with the algebra of line addition and with smoothing.

The centre of gravity method applies only to circular polarisation; it is well studied in solar magnetism and described in a number of papers such as Rees et al. (1979, and references therein). In the limiting case of optically thin layers in local thermodynamical equilibrium, the centre of gravity shift of the line profiles observed in circular polarisation is proportional to the longitudinal component of the magnetic field. This still holds true, even for line formation in optically thick layers, in the limiting case of weak magnetic fields. Usually, this simple method is still a fair approximation in the more general case, but there are a few exceptions – see Semel (1967) for theoretical demonstrations, experimental tests and some exceptions. For further discussion of the CoG method applied to stars see also Stift (1986) and Leone & Catanzaro (2004).

We apply the centre of gravity method to the MZS of Stokes $V$ (the ones shown in Fig. 2). We compute the MZS for Stokes $V$ assuming a magnetic field strength going from 0 to 3 kG having different inclinations $\psi = 0, 20, 40, 60$ and $80^\circ$. Then, we infer the magnetic field following the centre of gravity method. Figure 4 shows the plot of the deduced magnetic field versus the theoretical one. The figure shows a clear linear trend showing that the MZS contains the information of the magnetic field that was present in the individual spectral lines. Since the centre of gravity is applicable both to the individual spectral lines and to the MZS of Stokes $V$, we conclude that the MZS encodes the information of the magnetism of the star in a similar way to how the individual spectral lines encode information. In other words, the MZS constructed with the line addition technique behaves as a spectral line although no specific atomic element is associated with it. However, it can be characterised by an effective Landé factor and the extraction of the magnetic information is possible. Figure 4 also shows an error close to 10% of the inferred value...
which is intrinsic to the centre of gravity method. Our aim here is to show that the magnetic information is present in the MZS and not to carry out precise measurements of the magnetic field strength. In order to perform these exact measurements, we must use more sophisticated techniques. To have reliable values of the magnetic field, it is fundamental that the inversion procedure uses the same operator \( \mathbb{Q} \) that generates the MZS for the comparison with observational data. This topic will be discussed in a forthcoming paper.

5. Conclusions and discussion

We have used the line addition technique to show how to extract a Zeeman signature for any of the Stokes parameters. These techniques, apart from being applicable to any state of polarisation, is also model independent. In other words, we do not need to adopt any approximation/assumption on the magnetic field or the stellar atmosphere to extract the Zeeman signature from the spectra. The line addition, as simple as the addition of many spectral lines, is a powerful tool to detect the magnetic field in cool stars, where the Zeeman signature per spectral line is very poor.

Moreover, the MZS created by the line addition technique also contains the magnetic information on the star. Therefore, it is possible to infer the magnetic (and the thermodynamical) properties of the stellar atmosphere using the appropriate inversion procedures. We have shown that the magnetic field strength can be inferred from the MZS of Stokes \( V \) by using a procedure as simple as the centre of gravity method. However, in order to have more reliable measurements of the magnetic field vector, we need to apply more sophisticated inversion procedures. Such procedures should ideally take into account the same operator used to create the MZS when comparing with observations.

Linear polarisation is often neglected in stellar polarimetry, under the assumption that it will present amplitudes too small to be observed. In this paper, we have demonstrated that, at appropriate spectral resolutions, the linear polarisation due to the Zeeman effect produces measurable signatures useful as diagnostics of the stellar magnetic fields. With a resolution above \( 10^5 \), we can probably detect significant signals in linear polarisation in the spectra of solar type stars. Such a resolution has been achieved in spectroscopic tests with the SemPol instrument working together with UCLES at the AAT with the 79 G/mm grating. The detection of linear polarisation in the spectrum of a solar type star was carried out in 2004 (see Semel et al. 2006). The linear signal observed was indeed four times less than the circular one, but still significant. There is definitely an interest of using this method.

We have also demonstrated why line addition and least-squares deconvolution give very similar MZS. The fundamental reason is that the weights used in both cases have well-defined statistical properties; their probability distribution is positive definite, centred at the inverse of the number of lines and not too broad. These properties cause that weighted line addition and LSD to converge to the simplest possible line addition which, since noise is assumed to be Gaussian, turns out to be the maximum likelihood estimation of the MZS. This opens the curious possibility of applying LSD with random weights, provided they fulfil the mentioned properties.

In this paper we have presented a very simple technique to build the MZS. However, more powerful techniques are being developed using PCA. The main idea is to build a more sophisticated operator than the simple Dirac functions used for the line addition. The operator using PCA will be related to the eigenvectors of a database containing theoretical stellar spectra synthesised in several magnetic and thermodynamic configurations. Ramirez Velez et al. (2009, submitted) explains this technique in detail and apply recent solar inversion procedures to the synthesised MZS using PCA. Other applications of PCA to obtain the MZS have been presented by Martinez Gonzalez et al. (2008) and by Carroll et al. (2007). Application of PCA has been proven to be very effective in solar magnetometry and, analogously to the solar case, a database will be created and the PCA eigenvectors derived, applying the PCA-ZDI detection to just one magnetic point on the star. In later stages of the work, we shall discuss how to apply our approach to a few points on the stellar disc that are well separated by significant Doppler effects and treat the more realistic case of continuous distributions of fields over the stellar surface. Here, the orthogonality of the eigenvectors is not conserved for adjacent stellar points (that is, for small differences in the Doppler shifts).
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\footnote{See the discussion by López Ariste & Semel on the spectral resolution of UCLES in http://www.aao.gov.au/local/www/UCLES/cookbook/report2.html.}