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ABSTRACT

Aims. We study how chemical abundances of late B-, A-, and early F-type stars evolve with time, and we search for correlations between the abundance of chemical elements and other stellar parameters, such as effective temperature and $\upsilon \sin i$.

Methods. We observed a large number of B-, A-, and F-type stars belonging to open clusters of different ages. In this paper we concentrate on the Praesepe cluster ($\log t = 8.85$), for which we have obtained high-resolution, high signal-to-noise ratio spectra of sixteen normal A- and F-type stars and one Am star, using the SOPHIE spectrograph of the Observatoire de Haute-Provence. For all the observed stars, we derived fundamental parameters and chemical abundances. In addition, we discuss another eight Am stars belonging to the same cluster, for which the abundance analysis had been presented in a previous paper.

Results. We find a strong correlation between the peculiarity of Am stars and $\upsilon \sin i$. The abundance of the elements underabundant in Am stars increases with $\upsilon \sin i$, while it decreases for the overabundant elements. Chemical abundances of various elements appear correlated with the iron abundance.
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1. Introduction

In stellar astrophysics, the study of the atmospheres of A- and B-type stars plays a very special role. The atmospheres of these stars display a variety of different phenomena, such as the presence of large and relatively simple magnetic fields, strong surface convection, pulsation, diffusion of chemical elements, and various kinds of mixing processes from small-scale turbulence to global circulation currents. These general physical processes are almost certainly active in the atmospheres (and interiors) of stars other than the main sequence A- and B-type stars, but in more subtle ways. Because of the easily visible effects found in A- and B-type stars, these stars provide unique access to the invisible interior processes. To fully understand the actual role of all these physical phenomena, it is very important to seek constraints from the observations, in particular to perform a detailed study of a large number of stars of different ages and peculiarity types.

For this project, it is very interesting to study stars that are cluster members, rather than selecting targets in the field, because of two very compelling reasons. First, we can assume that all cluster members have approximately the same original chemical composition and age. Therefore, when we analyse the chemical abundances of stars belonging to the same cluster, we can assume that we are studying objects that are different only by their initial mass, rotational velocity, magnetic field strength and binarity. Second, the age of stars belonging to open clusters can be determined with much higher accuracy than for objects in the field, especially for stars that are young enough that less than half of their life in the main sequence has elapsed (for a discussion of the star’s age determination see Bagnulo et al. 2006). Therefore, we carried out two large observational campaigns. With FORS1 at the ESO VLT and with ESPaDOnS at the Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope (CHFT) we made a

\textsuperscript{⋆} Based on observations made at the Observatoire de Haute-Provence.
\textsuperscript{⋆⋆} Figures 13 to 16 are only available in electronic form at http://www.aanda.org
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survey of magnetic A- and B-type stars in open clusters, to search for links between magnetic fields and stellar evolution. The results of this campaign are described by Bagnulo et al. (2006); Landstreet et al. (2007, 2008). In the framework of this observational campaign, we obtained also high-resolution spectroscopy of a large number of early-type stars in about ten open clusters of different ages. The clusters are approximately uniformly distributed in logarithmic age from log \( t = 6.8 \) to log \( t = 8.9 \), and the spectra have been obtained using five different spectrographs: FLAMES at the ESO VLT, FIES at the Nordic Optical Telescope (NOT), ELODIE and SOPHIE at the Observatoire de Haute-Provence (OHP), and ESPaDOnS at the CFHT.

In a previous paper (Fossati et al. 2007, hereafter referred to as Paper I), we started the analysis of the Praesepe cluster, presenting the observations and the results of the abundance analysis of eight Am and three normal A- and F-type stars. In this work we complete the study of the Praesepe cluster by presenting observations of 16 additional normal A- and early F-type stars, and an additional Am star. These new observations were obtained with the SOPHIE spectrograph at the Observatoire de Haute-Provence. Because of the large sample of analysed stars, we are able to make a sensitive search for correlations between the abundances of the chemical elements and other stellar parameters, such as the effective temperature \( T_{\text{eff}} \) and projected rotational velocity \( v \sin i \).

This Paper is organised as follows. In Sect. 2 we describe the criteria that we adopted for target selection, which were used both for the entire observational campaign, and for the specific observations of the Praesepe cluster presented in this paper. Details about observations of the Praesepe cluster and data reduction are given in Sect. 3. In Sect. 4 we compare spectra of a reference star obtained with two different instruments that we used in our observational campaign: the SOPHIE spectrograph and the ESPaDOnS spectropolarimeter. In Sect. 5 we describe the procedure used to perform the abundance analysis, and we present the results obtained for the early-type stars of the Praesepe cluster observed with the SOPHIE spectrograph. In Sect. 6 we consider the abundances of chemical elements for the stars studied in Paper I and for the stars studied in this work. We search for correlations between chemical element abundances and effective temperature, \( v \sin i \), \( M/M_\odot \) and fractional age (\( \tau - \) fraction of main sequence lifetime completed). In Sect. 7 we summarise our conclusions.

2. Target selection

For target selection in the overall programme, we assigned the highest priority to the most probable cluster members that were already known to be chemically peculiar (Am, Ap, HgMn stars) and/or to show slow rotation (typically \( v \sin i < 50 \text{ km s}^{-1} \)). For this purpose we followed a standard procedure to select the stars to observe and to assign their priority.

The first step was to identify the most probable cluster members by checking the membership probabilities given by Robichon et al. (1999) and Baumgardt et al. (2000) obtained from HIPPARCOS data, by Kharchenko et al. (2004) and by Dias et al. (2006). We considered as probable members of the cluster every star with a kinematic and photometric membership probability higher than 0.14 (Kharchenko, private communication) in the case of the Kharchenko et al. (2004) catalogue, or a membership probability higher than 10% for the Dias et al. (2006) catalogue.

We searched then for information about the spectral type, magnitude, \( v \sin i \), binarity, variability, chemical peculiarities, magnetic field and radial velocity for each star considered a probable member of the cluster. We collected information from SIMBAD\(^1\) (scanning also the different references mentioned therein), VIZIER\(^2\) (using a combination of several keywords – binaries: cataclysmic, binaries: eclipsing, binaries: spectroscopic, multiple_stars, open_clusters, positional_data, proper_motions, rotational_velocities, spectral_classification, spectrophotometry, spectroscopy, stars: early-type, stars: late-type, stars: peculiar, stars: variable – in a range of 10 arcsec around the position of each star) and the WEBDA\(^3\) database (Mermilliod & Paunzen 2003). We looked also for additional information about each star in articles by Glebocki & Stawikowski (2000) and Royer et al. (2002) for \( v \sin i \) measurements, Rodríguez et al. (2000) for \( \delta \)Sct pulsations, the SB9 catalogue (Pourbaix et al. 2004) for information about a possible binarity and Renson et al. (1991) for chemical peculiarities.

We searched then for archival high resolution spectra in several archives (e.g. the ESO archive\(^4\) and the ELODIE archive\(^5\)), in order to avoid a duplication of observational effort, in case some stars had already been observed with high resolution spectroscopy. Almost no pre-existing spectra were found.

Of the sample obtained with this procedure, we kept only the stars with spectral types between F5 (to avoid too long an exposure time on a single object) and B5 (to avoid strong stellar winds), giving a higher rank to peculiar stars and slow rotators. From the sample we removed stars already known to be SB2 systems, unless they had already been extensively observed using high-resolution spectroscopy.

3. Observations and data reduction

We observed seventeen stars of the Praesepe cluster using the SOPHIE spectrograph at the Observatoire de Haute-Provence (OHP) during two runs, from December 1st to 2nd 2006 and from March 10th to 12th 2007.

SOPHIE is a cross-dispersed échelle spectrograph mounted at the 1.93-m telescope at the OHP. The spectrograph is fed from the Cassegrain focus through a pair of optical fibers, one of which is used for starlight and the other can be used for either the sky background or the wavelength calibration lamp, but can also be masked. The spectra cover the wavelength range 3872–6943 Å. The instrument allows observations either with medium spectral resolution (\( R \sim 40000 \)) or with high spectral resolution (\( R \sim 75000 \)). Since for most of the stars of our sample no precise \( v \sin i \) measurements were available, we decided to observe all of them in high resolution mode.

The spectra were automatically reduced using the SOPHIE pipeline, adapted from the HARPS software designed by Geneva Observatory. A detailed description of the pipeline is available on the SOPHIE web page\(^6\).

From our spectra we found that almost all of the stars have high rotational velocity (\( v \sin i \geq 30 \text{ km s}^{-1} \)). For these objects the continuum normalisation is a critical reduction procedure that cannot be performed with an automatic fitting procedure. We therefore rectified the continua of these stars manually.

---

3. http://www.univie.ac.at/webda/
The SNR are calculated at \( \sim 5500 \) Å in a bin of 0.5 Å. The exposure time is in seconds. The HJD indicate the Heliocentric Julian Date at the middle of the exposure.

In Figs. 13 and 14 (available online) we show a direct comparison between the ESPaDOnS and SOPHIE spectra for HD 73666 around the He multiplet at \( \sim 4471.5 \) Å and the strong Fe II line at 5018.440 Å respectively. No significant differences are present apart from those due to the small difference of spectral resolution, visible mainly in the cores of the strong lines.

HD 73666 was first detected as a binary star with speckle interferometry by Hartkopf & McAlister (1984), and was observed and analysed further by McAlister et al. (1987). Mason et al. (1993), who collected all available interferometric measurements and concluded that HD 73666 “shows little orbital motion”. Radial velocity measurements are reported by Abt (1970), Conti et al. (1974), Abt & Willmarth (1999) and Madsen et al. (2002). All these authors give radial velocities compatible with the cluster mean of 34.5 \( \pm \) 0.0 km s\(^{-1}\) (Robichon et al. 1999). The spectra plotted in Figs. 13 and 14 have been corrected only for the terrestrial radial velocity; nevertheless, no radial velocity difference is visible from the comparison of the two spectra, in agreement with results from previous authors.

5. Model atmosphere and abundance analysis

Model atmospheres were calculated with the LTE code LMODELS, which uses direct sampling of the line opacities (Shulyak et al. 2004) and makes it possible to compute model atmospheres with an individualised abundance pattern. We adopted the VALD database (Piskunov et al. 1995; Kupka et al. 1999; Ryabchikova et al. 1999) as the source of spectral line data, including lines originating from predicted levels. We carried out a preselection procedure to eliminate all lines that do not contribute significantly to the line opacity. This was done by requiring that the line-to-continuum opacity ratio at the centre of each included line be greater than 0.05%. Convection was treated according to the CM approach (Canuto & Mazzitelli 1992).

The initial values of the fundamental atmospheric parameters (\( T_\text{eff} \) and log \( g \)) were derived from Strömgren (Hauck & Mermilliod 1998) and Geneva (Mermilliod & Paunzen 2003) photometry, using calibrations from Napiwotzki et al. (1993) and Kunzli et al. (1997) respectively.

### Table 1. Basic data of the observations for the analysed stars.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HD</th>
<th>HJD</th>
<th>( M_v )</th>
<th>Spectral type</th>
<th>SNR</th>
<th>Exp. time</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7257</td>
<td>2454172.336</td>
<td>8.45</td>
<td>F0</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7248</td>
<td>2454070.737</td>
<td>7.48</td>
<td>A5V</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73,75</td>
<td>2454171.406</td>
<td>8.25</td>
<td>F0Vn</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7334</td>
<td>2454171.363</td>
<td>8.14</td>
<td>A7V</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>( \delta )Sct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7345</td>
<td>2454171.494</td>
<td>8.59</td>
<td>A9V</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>( \delta )Sct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7354</td>
<td>2454171.585</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>A8V</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7366</td>
<td>2454070.682</td>
<td>6.61</td>
<td>A1V</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>speckle binary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7347</td>
<td>2454172.514</td>
<td>8.72</td>
<td>F0V</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>( \delta )Sct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7379</td>
<td>2454172.424</td>
<td>8.48</td>
<td>F0V</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>( \delta )Sct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7393</td>
<td>2454171.450</td>
<td>8.56</td>
<td>F2V</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7402</td>
<td>2454171.318</td>
<td>7.97</td>
<td>A7V</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>( \delta )Sct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7405</td>
<td>2454170.566</td>
<td>7.91</td>
<td>A6Vn</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>( \delta )Sct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7413</td>
<td>2454172.559</td>
<td>8.88</td>
<td>F0</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7458</td>
<td>2454172.468</td>
<td>8.51</td>
<td>A5</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7459</td>
<td>2454172.379</td>
<td>8.46</td>
<td>F0</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7465</td>
<td>2454170.537</td>
<td>8.04</td>
<td>Am</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>2 ( \times ) 3600</td>
<td>sum of two exposures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7471</td>
<td>2454171.538</td>
<td>8.39</td>
<td>A5</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The observational material employed in this large project has been obtained with different instruments at different telescopes. Since the homogeneity of the analysis is of crucial importance, in this section we compare spectra of a reference star obtained with two different spectrographs to demonstrate the homogeneity of our data.

HD 73666 was previously analysed in Paper I. The star was also used as reference star by Ryabchikova et al. (2008). Here we compare the spectra of HD 73666 obtained with ESPaDOnS (analysed in Paper I) and with SOPHIE. ESPaDOnS was mounted at the Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope (CFHT) in 2005 and SOPHIE at the Observatoire de Haute-Provence (OHP) in 2006. The two instruments have similar mean resolving power, 65 000 and 75 000 respectively. ESPaDOnS is also adapted for spectropolarimetric observations, while SOPHIE for radial velocity observations. Here we compare the spectra of the reference star obtained with the two instruments.

4. SOPHIE vs. ESPaDOnS

The observational material employed in this large project has been obtained with different instruments at different telescopes. Since the homogeneity of the analysis is of crucial importance, in this section we compare spectra of a reference star obtained with two different spectrographs to demonstrate the homogeneity of our data.

HD 73666 was previously analysed in Paper I. The star was also used as reference star by Ryabchikova et al. (2008). Here we compare the spectra of HD 73666 obtained with ESPaDOnS (analysed in Paper I) and with SOPHIE. ESPaDOnS was mounted at the Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope (CFHT) in 2005 and SOPHIE at the Observatoire de Haute-Provence (OHP) in 2006. The two instruments have similar mean resolving power, 65 000 and 75 000 respectively. ESPaDOnS is also adapted for spectropolarimetric observations, while SOPHIE for radial velocity observations. Here we compare the spectra of the reference star obtained with the two instruments.
The adopted \( T_{\text{eff}} \) and \( \log g \) were derived spectroscopically, as explained in detail in Paper I. We almost always used Fe lines for this purpose, as the generally high \( v \sin i \) of the analysed stars did not provide a suitable number and variety of lines of other elements. The microturbulent velocity \( (\nu_{\text{mic}}) \) was determined spectroscopically, from Fe and Ti\textsc{ii} lines, for HD 74656 only; this was possible because of its low \( v \sin i \). For other stars we adopted \( \nu_{\text{mic}} \) computed from the relation (Pace et al. 2006):

\[
\nu_{\text{mic}} = -4.7 \log(T_{\text{eff}}) + 20.9 \text{ km s}^{-1}.
\] (1)

There is no significant difference between adopting the \( \nu_{\text{mic}} \) given by Eq. (1) and assuming a fixed value of 2.7 km s\(^{-1}\). We notice that \( \nu_{\text{mic}} \) obtained for the Am star HD 74656 is significantly larger than 2.7 km s\(^{-1}\). Whether this is also the case for other stars of the sample cannot be tested with the available data.

Radial velocity \( (\nu_r) \) and \( v \sin i \), in km s\(^{-1}\), were determined by computing the median of the results obtained by synthetic fitting of several individual lines in the observed spectrum. We derived error bars for these quantities for each analysed star using the standard deviation of the derived values. The error bar associated with \( \nu_r \) is strongly dependent on \( v \sin i \), ranging from about 1 km s\(^{-1}\) for slow rotators, up to about 8 km s\(^{-1}\) for the fast rotators. The error bars in \( v \sin i \) are about 5\% or 3 km s\(^{-1}\), whichever is larger.

Table 2 reports the fundamental parameters obtained for each star of the sample from photometry and spectroscopy. The parameters adopted for abundance analysis are those obtained from spectroscopy.

We tested the fundamental parameters adopted for the abundance analysis with spectrophotometry from Clampitt & Burstein (1997) for 5 stars of the sample. We used Atlas9 models and the fundamental parameters adopted for the abundance analysis to compute the fluxes for comparison with the spectrophotometry. The fluxes were normalised to the flux at 5560 Å. An example of the comparison is shown in Fig. 1. We found good agreement, within the error bars of the fundamental parameters, for all the stars for which spectrophotometry was available.

The synthetic line spectrum was produced with the synthesis code Synth3 (Kochukhov 2006). In each spectrum we fit the cores of selected lines of each ion to obtain a value of the abundance associated with each line. The adopted abundance of that ion is then defined to be the mean of the abundances obtained from the selected lines. The error bars associated with the mean abundances are the standard deviations, and they do not include uncertainties in fundamental parameters (see below). The abundances and their standard error bars are given in Table 3.

The line data required for our analysis were extracted from the VALD database. Line parameters of the lines selected for the fundamental parameter derivation and abundance analysis were checked by synthesising these lines in the solar spectrum taken from the National Solar Observatory Atlas\(^7\). The lines for which we found a discrepancy between the observed and synthesised solar spectrum were rejected.

The typical number of lines selected for the analysis varied according to the rotational velocity of the analysed star. The Fe abundance was always determined on the basis of the largest number of lines, in comparison with other elements. The number of lines used to derive the abundance of each element is given in

---

\(^7\) http://www.coseti.org/natsolar.htm
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>At.N.</th>
<th>Element</th>
<th>HD 72486</th>
<th>HD 73345</th>
<th>HD 73450</th>
<th>“Normal” A–type stars</th>
<th>HD 73574</th>
<th>HD 74028</th>
<th>HD 74050</th>
<th>HD 74587</th>
<th>HD 74718</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Li</td>
<td>&lt; -8.08 (−1)</td>
<td>&lt; -8.31 (−1)</td>
<td>&lt; -8.70 (−1)</td>
<td>&lt; -8.38 (−1)</td>
<td>&lt; -8.41 (−1)</td>
<td>&lt; -8.26 (−1)</td>
<td>&lt; -10.99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>−3.58 (−1)</td>
<td>−3.44 (12; 3)</td>
<td>−3.27 (−1)</td>
<td>−3.36 (18; 2)</td>
<td>&lt; −3.39 (08; 2)</td>
<td>−3.52 (−1)</td>
<td>−3.49 (01; 2)</td>
<td>−3.51 (04; 2)</td>
<td>−3.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>−3.18 (−1)</td>
<td>−3.22 (01; 2)</td>
<td>−3.70 (−1)</td>
<td>−3.41 (−1)</td>
<td>−3.30 (−1)</td>
<td>−3.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>−5.44 (01; 2)</td>
<td>−5.37 (01; 2)</td>
<td>−6.28 (−1)</td>
<td>−5.57 (02; 2)</td>
<td>−5.98 (−1)</td>
<td>−5.64 (13; 2)</td>
<td>−5.61 (02; 2)</td>
<td>−5.70 (14; 2)</td>
<td>−5.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>−4.18 (08; 3)</td>
<td>−4.18 (02; 3)</td>
<td>−5.02 (18; 2)</td>
<td>−4.37 (04; 3)</td>
<td>−4.86 (08; 3)</td>
<td>−4.22 (05; 4)</td>
<td>−4.56 (08; 3)</td>
<td>−4.52 (01; 2)</td>
<td>−4.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Si</td>
<td>−4.62 (16; 2)</td>
<td>−4.67 (−1)</td>
<td>−4.13 (−1)</td>
<td>−4.19 (−1)</td>
<td>−4.17 (−1)</td>
<td>−4.37 (−1)</td>
<td>−4.16 (−1)</td>
<td>−4.25 (−1)</td>
<td>−4.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>−4.71 (04; 2)</td>
<td>−4.44 (03; 4)</td>
<td>−4.35 (−1)</td>
<td>−4.61 (02; 2)</td>
<td>−4.26 (01; 2)</td>
<td>−4.50 (04; 2)</td>
<td>−4.28 (11; 2)</td>
<td>−4.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>−5.17 (−1)</td>
<td>−5.39 (09; 6)</td>
<td>−5.95 (06; 4)</td>
<td>−5.86 (16; 5)</td>
<td>−5.37 (16; 2)</td>
<td>−6.13 (06; 2)</td>
<td>−5.49 (15; 6)</td>
<td>−5.68 (02; 3)</td>
<td>−5.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Sc</td>
<td>−8.88 (−1)</td>
<td>−8.63 (07; 3)</td>
<td>−8.57 (06; 4)</td>
<td>−8.89 (02; 3)</td>
<td>−8.35 (−1)</td>
<td>−8.96 (27; 3)</td>
<td>−8.56 (−1)</td>
<td>−8.69 (14; 2)</td>
<td>−8.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>−6.88 (03; 5)</td>
<td>−6.95 (06; 6)</td>
<td>−7.30 (11; 5)</td>
<td>−6.98 (09; 5)</td>
<td>−6.78 (−1)</td>
<td>−7.08 (15; 5)</td>
<td>−6.83 (16; 3)</td>
<td>−6.93 (10; 5)</td>
<td>−7.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>−6.23 (06; 3)</td>
<td>−6.22 (08; 2)</td>
<td>−6.56 (08; 3)</td>
<td>−6.19 (16; 3)</td>
<td>−6.23 (12; 4)</td>
<td>−6.48 (10; 3)</td>
<td>−6.05 (13; 4)</td>
<td>−6.44 (20; 5)</td>
<td>−6.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>−6.37 (−1)</td>
<td>−6.88 (−1)</td>
<td>−6.52 (02; 2)</td>
<td>−6.77 (−1)</td>
<td>−6.61 (−1)</td>
<td>−6.62 (02; 4)</td>
<td>−6.71 (−1)</td>
<td>−6.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>−4.55 (18; 42)</td>
<td>−4.33 (11; 61)</td>
<td>−4.62 (09; 15)</td>
<td>−4.49 (10; 30)</td>
<td>−4.50 (09; 18)</td>
<td>−4.44 (13; 16)</td>
<td>−4.28 (10; 33)</td>
<td>−4.41 (16; 21)</td>
<td>−4.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>−5.70 (18; 2)</td>
<td>−5.58 (11; 4)</td>
<td>−5.82 (16; 2)</td>
<td>−5.62 (08; 4)</td>
<td>−5.93 (14; 3)</td>
<td>−5.60 (13; 5)</td>
<td>−5.84 (−1)</td>
<td>−5.68 (02; 3)</td>
<td>−5.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>−9.75 (−1)</td>
<td>−9.46 (−1)</td>
<td>−9.83 (−1)</td>
<td>−9.20 (−1)</td>
<td>−9.56 (−1)</td>
<td>−9.26 (−1)</td>
<td>−9.13 (−1)</td>
<td>−9.10 (−1)</td>
<td>−9.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>−9.48 (−1)</td>
<td>−9.60 (02; 2)</td>
<td>−9.50 (02; 2)</td>
<td>−9.89 (04; 2)</td>
<td>−9.65 (−1)</td>
<td>−9.52 (01; 2)</td>
<td>−8.96 (25; 2)</td>
<td>−9.15 (−1)</td>
<td>−9.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| \( \tau_{\text{eff}} \) | 8045 | 7993 | 7270 | 7662 | 7750 | 7872 | 7500 | 7600 |
| log \( g \) | 3.50 | 3.96 | 4.20 | 4.00 | 4.45 | 3.66 | 4.20 | 4.00 |
| \( v_{\text{mic}} \) | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| \( v_{\text{sin}} \) | 119 | 85 | 138 | 102 | 150 | 188 | 90 | 155 |

Table 3. Abundances (log(N_X/N_H)) of the observed stars.

The estimated internal error (in parenthesis) is in units of 0.01 dex. The internal error associated with the derived abundance of each element is the standard deviation from the mean abundance of the selected lines of that element. For comparison, the solar abundances (Asplund et al. 2005) are given in the last column. At.N. gives the Atomic Numbers of the elements. Abundances obtained from just one line have no error (−1; 1). Upper limits are denoted by <. The second number in the brackets is the number of lines used to derive the mean abundance.
Table 3, following the (internal) standard deviation associated with each measured abundance.

For all the elements that were not analysed we adopted the solar abundance from Asplund et al. (2005). (This fact enters into the model atmosphere computation, and in the normalisation of the results expressed according to the total number of nuclei per unit volume \( N_\text{tot} \).

The high \( v \sin i \) of some of the analysed stars resulted in a different and smaller selection of lines compared to the line list employed for slower rotators. We checked if this is a source of systematic error by performing the abundance analysis of HD 73045 \((v \sin i = 10 \, \text{km s}^{-1}) \) – analysed in Paper I) and HD 73746 \((v \sin i = 95 \, \text{km s}^{-1}) \), adopting the line list used for HD 72757 \((v \sin i = 179 \, \text{km s}^{-1}) \), open squares in both panels). The results of this test, illustrated in Fig. 2, show that no systematic errors are introduced by the difference in selected lines.

The high rotational velocity of many of the analysed stars results in a line list dominated by strong and saturated lines. These lines are more sensitive to \( v_{\text{mic}} \) variations than shallow lines. For this reason the uncertainties of the adopted \( v_{\text{mic}} \), \( T_{\text{eff}} \) and \( \log g \) values need to be considered to obtain a realistic estimate of the error bars associated with the derived element abundances.

In Paper I we have shown the results of two tests performed on slowly rotating stars. They show i) how the standard deviation from the mean Fe abundance changes for \( v_{\text{mic}} = 0, 1, 2, ..., 6 \, \text{km s}^{-1} \), adopting fundamental parameters derived from photometry and spectroscopy and ii) the uncertainties introduced in the abundances of Fe, Ti and Ni by varying effective temperature and \( \log g \) by \( \pm 200 \, \text{K} \) and \( \pm 0.2 \, \text{dex} \) respectively. Our tests show that the spectroscopic parameters are comparable or better than the photometric parameters. Furthermore, the tests show a variation of less than 0.2 dex in abundance due to the temperature variation. No significant abundance change was found varying \( \log g \). However, the \( v_{\text{mic}} \) value and its associated uncertainty increase their importance for rapidly rotating stars, and for this reason we performed the following additional test.

For four stars with different \( v \sin i \) values (HD 73730, \( v \sin i = 29 \, \text{km s}^{-1} \); HD 73746, \( v \sin i = 95 \, \text{km s}^{-1} \); HD 72757, \( v \sin i = 179 \, \text{km s}^{-1} \); HD 73798, \( v \sin i = 200 \, \text{km s}^{-1} \)) we performed the abundance analysis of selected Fe, Ni, Cr and Ti lines for assumed \( v_{\text{mic}} \) varying from 1 to 5 km s\(^{-1}\) in steps of 0.1 km s\(^{-1}\). We plotted then in Figs. 3 and 4 the variation of the standard deviation from the mean abundance (upper panel) and the mean abundance itself (lower panel) as a function of \( v_{\text{mic}} \).

Figures 3 and 4 show that the adopted \( v_{\text{mic}} \) value \((2.7 \, \text{km s}^{-1}) \) for all the stars taken into account in this test) obtained with Eq. (1) is reasonable at every rotational velocity. The only exception is for the Am stars, for which slightly larger values of \( v_{\text{mic}} \) are obtained with those stars for which this quantity is directly derived. Taking into account the different best \( v_{\text{mic}} \) values obtained from different elements, and the dispersion of the standard deviation as a function of \( v_{\text{mic}} \), the uncertainty that we assign to \( v_{\text{mic}} \) for the rapid rotators, is 0.7 km s\(^{-1}\). The lower panels of Figs. 3 and 4 allow us to derive directly the abundance uncertainty given the uncertainty of \( v_{\text{mic}} \) for each element. We used Fe as a standard element to derive the abundance standard error, since it is the element with the highest number of selected lines. An error bar of 0.7 km s\(^{-1}\) in \( v_{\text{mic}} \) produces an uncertainty of element abundance increasing from 0.1 dex for the slow rotators, to 0.2 dex for the rapid rotators. The error bar on the element abundance is dominated by the uncertainty of \( T_{\text{eff}} \) for the slow rotators, while the uncertainty in \( v_{\text{mic}} \) becomes increasingly important for larger \( v \sin i \). Assuming that \( T_{\text{eff}} \) and \( v_{\text{mic}} \) are completely uncorrelated, the resultant abundance error bar for the rapidly rotating stars is given by standard error propagation theory, which leads to an uncertainty of about 0.3 dex. In conformity with this analysis, we assume an abundance error bar increasing linearly with \( v \sin i \) from 0.2 dex to 0.3 dex at a \( v \sin i \) value of 200 km s\(^{-1}\).
Another source of uncertainty that is dependent on \( \nu \sin i \) is due to the continuum normalisation. To quantify this uncertainty we performed the following test. We derived the abundance of the Fe\( \text{II} \) line at 5325.6 Å for HD 73746 (\( \nu \sin i = 95 \text{ km s}^{-1} \)), HD 72757 (\( \nu \sin i = 179 \text{ km s}^{-1} \)) and HD 73798 (\( \nu \sin i = 200 \text{ km s}^{-1} \)) with the adopted normalised observed spectrum and with the spectrum multiplied/divided by 0.99. In this way we increased/decreased the continuum level of 1\%, that we estimate to be a reasonable uncertainty. The difference between the abundances obtained in this way is increasing with \( \nu \sin i \) from about 0.1 dex for HD 73746, to about 0.2 dex for the two faster rotators. We believe that in most cases this error bar is an upper limit since the line by line abundance analysis method, used in this work, allows a very careful line selection that rejects all the lines for which the continuum level looks uncertain. Under the assumption of no systematic errors in the continuum normalisation, this uncertainty is decreasing with an increase of the number of selected lines. If for some lines the continuum level is too low, for some others it would be too high, leading to an increase of the dispersion, but not to an abundance variation.

An example of the spectrum of a rapidly rotating star and the synthetic spectrum obtained after the complete abundance analysis is shown in Fig. 16 (available online).

For all the rapid rotators in our sample, we were able to obtain only an upper limit on the abundance of Li, because the high \( \nu \sin i \) values did not allow us to detect the Li line. Only the Li abundance of HD 74656 may be considered as a real estimate, although even this value is uncertain because it was derived only from one blended line.

We confirm the membership in Praesepe of all the stars of our sample, because the \( \nu \)\( \sin i \) measurements are all compatible with the cluster mean of \( 34.5 \pm 0.0 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) (Robichon et al. 1999). For none of the analysed stars we found any evidence of binarity. These considerations confirm the quality of our target selection (which were selected for membership and non-binarity).

We confirm the Am classification of HD 74656. In Fig. 15 (available online) the mean abundances for the Am stars analysed in Paper I are compared with the abundances obtained for HD 74656. The comparison shows a good agreement between the two abundance patterns.

6. Discussion

In this section we discuss the results obtained considering both samples of stars: those analysed in this work, and those from Paper I. In the sample of stars from Paper I, we did not include results for HD 73666 (Blue Straggler), HD 72942 (probable non-member) and HD 73174, for which the derived \( T_{\text{eff}} \) from Strömgren photometry and from spectroscopy differ by about 700 K and no explanation was found in Paper I. For this reason we decided not to consider this object in our final analysis of the cluster.

6.1. Abundances of the A- and F-type stars of the Praesepe cluster

The stars were first grouped according to their classifications as F, A and Am stars; Fig. 5 shows the mean abundances for each group. The error bars in Fig. 5 are the standard deviations from the calculated mean abundances of each group.

The A- and F-type stars show similar abundance patterns, characterised by solar abundances for almost all the elements. The Am stars show the typical abundance pattern characterised by underabundances of C, N, O, Ca and Sc, with a general over-abundance of the other elements. As expected, the error bars associated with the abundances of the F-type stars turn out to be comparable to or smaller than those for the A-type stars. It is also possible to see this effect in figures from 7 to 9.

We calculated the metallicity of the cluster (\( Z \)) from the abundances derived for the F-type stars, excluding HD 73575. This star is close to the TAMS and its Fe abundance differs by 0.27 dex with respect to the mean Fe abundance (0.11 ± 0.03 dex) of the other F-type stars. For this reason we omitted HD 73575 from the determination of the cluster metallicity. The \( Z \) value we obtain is 0.015 ± 0.002 dex.

The \( Z \) value adopted by several other authors and used to characterise isochrones (see Sect. 6.2) is calculated with the following approximation:

\[
Z_{\text{cluster}} = 10^{\left[\text{Fe/H}\right]_{\text{mean}} - \left[\text{Fe/H}\right]_{\odot}} \cdot Z_{\odot},
\]

assuming \( Z_{\odot} = 0.019 \) dex. We recalculated the \( Z \) of the cluster according to this approximation obtaining \( Z = 0.024 \pm 0.02 \). We derived this value using the mean Fe abundance of all the F-type stars except HD 73575 (\( \left[\text{Fe/H}\right] = 0.11 \pm 0.03 \) dex).

Our estimated metallicity is in agreement with that included in the catalogue of Chen et al. (2003) of \( \left[\text{Fe/H}\right] = 0.14 \) dex and with that recently obtained by An et al. (2007) of \( \left[\text{Fe/H}\right] = 0.11 \pm 0.03 \) dex. An et al. (2007) derived the metallicity from an Fe abundance determination from high resolution spectra of four G-type cluster members.

6.2. HR diagram

Using the spectroscopic temperatures determined to perform the abundance analysis we built the Hertzsprung-Russell (HR) diagram of the cluster around the turn-off point (Fig. 6). We calculated the luminosity of each star photometrically, adopting the magnitudes in V band given by SIMBAD and a cluster distance modulus of 6.30 ± 0.07 mag (van Leeuwen 2007). We used a reddening of 0.009 mag (WEBDA) and the bolometric correction given by Balona (1994). Luminosities are listed in Table 4.

With a distance modulus uncertainty of 0.07 mag, an uncertainty in the bolometric correction of about 0.07 mag, and a reddening uncertainty of 0.01 mag, we estimate that the typical uncertainty...
in $M_{bol}$ is about 0.15 mag, corresponding to an uncertainty in $\log L/L_\odot$ of about 0.06 dex.

In the diagram we divided our sample into Am stars (crosses) and normal A- and F-type stars (full squares). We denoted also (open squares) the spectroscopic binary stars. We did not correct the luminosities of the spectroscopic binaries, as the only information we have about the secondaries is that their contribution to the analysed spectra is not detected (Paper I). This suggests that their flux contribution is below about 5%.

The two stars located at the top left and top right of the HR diagram are HD 73666 and HD 73575, respectively. Both have already been analysed in detail in Paper I. HD 73666 is clearly a Blue Straggler, while HD 73575 should be just at the TAMS, if the stars are cluster members (membership given by HIPPARCOS and confirmed in Paper I). HD 73575 deserves a further comment. It is the most evolved star of the cluster and the position close to the TAMS is consistent with this. This star also shows anomalous chemical composition compared with that of the other normal F-type stars. The position on the HR diagram and the peculiar chemical composition of HD 73575 suggest an unusual evolutionary history.

We adopted isochrones by Girardi et al. (2002) to determine age and metallicity of the cluster using the HR diagram. In Fig. 6 we plotted two isochrones: one corresponding to the age and metallicity of the cluster taken from WEBDA ($\log t = 8.85$ dex; $Z = 0.024$ dex; dashed line) and the other corresponding to our best fit on the HR diagram ($\log t = 8.77$ dex; $Z = 0.030$ dex; solid line).

An et al. (2007) determined the metallicity of the Praesepe cluster by fitting Yale Rotating Evolutionary Code (YREC, Sills et al. 2000) isochrones to the main sequence of the cluster, obtained from Johnson photometry. They derived a metallicity of $[\text{Fe/H}] = 0.20 \pm 0.04$ corresponding to $Z = 0.030 \pm 0.003$. This result is in agreement with the results of our fits of isochrones to our sample of stars around the turn-off point.

All the Am stars are on the main sequence. Only HD 73618 (the hottest main sequence star in Fig. 6) can be considered a Blue Straggler (Ahumada & Lapasset 2007), taking into account the age and metallicity given in the literature.

### 6.3. Abundances vs. $T_{eff}$ and $\nu\sin i$

The abundances of the elements analysed for most of the stars are displayed against $T_{eff}$ and $\nu\sin i$ in the left and right panels respectively from Figs. 7 to 9. We divided the sample of stars according to their spectral classification: A-type stars (open circles), Am stars (open squares) and F-type stars (open triangles). This division and visualisation will be retained in the following sections.

The plots show that the abundances of the F-type stars are less scattered than those obtained for the A-type stars, as expected.

For the normal A- and F-type stars we do not find any clear correlation between abundance and $T_{eff}$ or abundance and $\nu\sin i$. This result confirms the prediction of Charbonneau & Michaud (1991).

For the Am stars, none of the plotted elements, except Y, shows any trend between abundance and $T_{eff}$. Richer et al. (2000) predict mild correlations between abundances of some elements (e.g. Ni) and $T_{eff}$, but probably our temperature range is not large enough to show such correlations.

In Am stars, we find a strong correlation between abundance and $\nu\sin i$ for all peculiar elements, except for scandium and titanium. As described in detail in Paper I, Am stars of the Praesepe cluster show peculiarities mainly for C, O, Ca and Sc (under-abundant) and Ti, Cr, Fe, Ni, Y and Ba (over-abundant). C, O and Ca show an increasing abundance with $\nu\sin i$. The Y-peak elements, Y, and Ba show a decreasing abundance with $\nu\sin i$. Na, Mg, Si and S are not peculiar in Am stars and they do not show any correlation with $\nu\sin i$. As a general behavior, the peculiarities decrease with $\nu\sin i$, becoming closer and closer to the abundances typical of the normal A- and F-type stars of the cluster. Scandium and titanium are exceptions: $\nu$ does not show any clear trend with $\nu\sin i$, and Sc shows a trend opposite to that of C, O and Ca, while we would have expected a similar behavior.

Burkhart (1979) derived the abundance anomaly, from the Strömgren $m_1$ index, and $\nu\sin i$ values for a sample of well-known Am stars. She suggested a jump in the abundance anomaly for stars with $\nu\sin i > 55$ km s$^{-1}$; our results confirm this claim.

Models by Charbonneau & Michaud (1991) do not predict any strong correlation between element abundances and rotational velocity: “For FmAm stars, it was shown quantitatively that despite the potentially inhibiting effects of meridional circulation on chemical separation, no dependence of abundance anomalies on $\nu\sin i$ is expected, for most elements, in the rotational velocity interval characteristic of FmAm stars”. The correlations here obtained between abundance and $\nu\sin i$, for the Am stars, are in clear disagreement with the predictions of Charbonneau & Michaud (1991).

Richer et al. (2000) found that element abundances in model Am stars are dependent only on the depth of the zone mixed by turbulence, thus showing that the abundance anomalies in Am stars depend mainly on the turbulence model.

More recently, Talon et al. (2006) tested rotational mixing models with both the Geneva-Toulouse and Montreal codes. They showed how the predicted surface abundances of FmAm stars should vary as a function of rotational velocity of the star. Our findings support their predictions.
6.4. Abundances vs. $M/M_\odot$ and fractional age

For the stars in our sample we calculated $M/M_\odot$, fractional age ($\tau$) and their uncertainties according to Landstreet et al. (2007) and listed them in Table 4.

We took the abundances of three representative elements (Mg, Ca and Fe) showing, for the Am stars, the three different properties discussed in Sect. 6.3. We plot the abundances of these elements as functions of $M/M_\odot$ and display the results in Fig. 10.

No clear correlation is visible for any of the three plotted elements, for the normal or the Am stars.

Richer et al. (2000) suggest that the abundance anomalies typical of Am stars depend very little on the mass and therefore
Table 4. \(\log L/L_\odot\), \(\log T_{\text{eff}}\), \(M/M_\odot\) and fractional age (\(\tau\)) with associated error bars for the analysed stars of the Praesepe cluster.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HD</th>
<th>(\log L/L_\odot)</th>
<th>Sp.type</th>
<th>(\log T_{\text{eff}})</th>
<th>(M/M_\odot)</th>
<th>(\sigma_{M/M_\odot})</th>
<th>(\tau)</th>
<th>(\sigma_\tau)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>72377</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>F0</td>
<td>3.869</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72846</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>A5V</td>
<td>3.906</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73045</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>Am</td>
<td>3.880</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73175</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>F0V</td>
<td>3.884</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73345</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>A7V</td>
<td>3.903</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73430</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>A7V</td>
<td>3.884</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73450</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>A9V</td>
<td>3.862</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73574</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>A8V</td>
<td>3.884</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73575</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>F0III</td>
<td>3.863</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73618</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>Am</td>
<td>3.912</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73666</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>A0</td>
<td>3.972</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73709</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>Am</td>
<td>3.907</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73711</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>Am</td>
<td>3.904</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73730</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>Am</td>
<td>3.907</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73746</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>F0V</td>
<td>3.872</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73798</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>F0V</td>
<td>3.865</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73818</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>Am</td>
<td>3.859</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73993</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>F2V</td>
<td>3.854</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74028</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>A7V</td>
<td>3.889</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74050</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>A6V</td>
<td>3.896</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74135</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>F0</td>
<td>3.869</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74587</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>A5</td>
<td>3.875</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74589</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>F0</td>
<td>3.875</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74656</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>Am</td>
<td>3.892</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74718</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>A5</td>
<td>3.881</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No fractional age is given for HD 73666 since it is a Blue Straggler.

Fig. 10. Abundances relative to the Sun (Asplund et al. 2005) of Mg, Ca and Fe as a function of the \(M/M_\odot\). No correlations are found.

Fig. 11. Abundances relative to the Sun (Asplund et al. 2005) of C, O, Na, Mg, S and Si as a function of the Fe abundance.

Fig. 12. Same as in Fig. 11 but for Ca, Sc, Ti, Cr, Mn, Ni, Y and Ba.

In contrast, the Am stars show correlations for all the elements in which a correlation was already found with \(v\sin i\). The Fe abundance is an indicator of Am peculiarities. As we found a correlation between \(v\sin i\) and Am peculiarities, it is not surprising to obtain similar correlations with the Fe abundance.

The correlations found for C and O are in agreement with those found by Savanov (1995) in a sample of seventeen well-known Am stars analysed by different authors. They looked for a correlation between the C abundance and \(v\sin i\), but without any positive result.

From our results it is clear that these correlations are related to those found with \(v\sin i\) in Sect. 6.3.

7. Conclusions

We obtained high resolution, high SNR spectra for seventeen F- and A-type stars of the Praesepe open cluster, with the SOPHIE spectrograph at OHP. One of these stars (HD 74656) was classified as Am star.

We calculated the fundamental parameters and performed a detailed abundance analysis for all the stars of the sample, confirming the previous classification, as chemically peculiar, of the Am star HD 74656.

The stars we observed were selected using a standard procedure that will be adopted for future analysis of other open clusters. All the selected stars of the sample shown in Table 1 are confirmed members of the cluster and among them there are...
no known binaries (except HD 73666). This shows the quality of the selection procedure, since membership and non-binarity were required for the selection.

To be able to discuss general properties of the cluster we added to our sample of stars those considered in Paper I. Of the stars analysed in Paper I we did not include HD 73666 (Blue Straggler), HD 72942 (not a confirmed member) and HD 73174 (important uncertainties in TAMS). The sample of stars analysed in Paper I and in this work contains all the known cluster members with a spectral type between F2 and A1 and not SB2. This removes any bias that could have been introduced by an inappropriate target selection.

We divided our final sample of stars according to their spectral classification, taken from WEBDA, and derived the mean abundance pattern for three groups of stars: A-, F-type and Am. The A- and F-type stars show a similar abundance pattern, close to solar, while the Am stars show the typical Am peculiarities described in Paper I. The abundance scatter of the Am stars is higher than for the normal stars and, between A- and F-type stars, the F-stars show the smaller scatter, as expected.

We derived the metallicity of the cluster from the F-type stars of our sample, omitting HD 73575 which is already on the TAMS. The resultant metallicity is $Z = 0.015 \pm 0.002$ dex. To be able to compare our metallicity ($[Fe/H] = 0.11 \pm 0.03$) with that used by other authors we derived Z with the approximation given by Eq. (2) that corresponds to $Z = 0.024 \pm 0.002$ dex, assuming $Z_0 = 0.019$ dex. This result is in agreement with previous metallicity determinations from abundance analysis of G-type stars by An et al. (2007).

For each star of the sample we derived photometrically log $L/L_\odot$ and, adopting the spectroscopic temperatures derived for the abundance analysis, built the Hertzsprung-Russell (HR) diagram of the cluster around the turn-off point. We fit isochrones by Girardi et al. (2002) to our HR diagram to derive age and metallicity ($log t = 8.77 \pm 0.1$ dex; $Z = 0.030 \pm 0.007$ dex). This result is in agreement with that obtained by An et al. (2007) fitting YREC isochrones to a photometrically-derived main sequence of the cluster. We confirm the discrepancy in metallicity found by An et al. (2007). Within the errors, our age determination agrees with earlier determinations in the literature ($log t \approx 8.85 \pm 0.15$, González-García et al. 2006).

We plotted the abundances of the elements analysed in most of the stars of our sample as a function of $T_{\text{eff}}$ and $vsini$. We did not find any clear abundance trend with respect to $T_{\text{eff}}$ and $vsini$ for the A- and F-type stars. However, we found several trends between abundances and $vsini$ for Am stars. Correlations are present for the elements that characterise the Am peculiarities. Only Sc and Ti do not show this trend. With increasing $vsini$ the peculiarities tend to weaken, and the abundances approach the mean of the other A- and F-type stars. This is the first real observational evidence of a direct connection between abundance anomalies or diffusion processes and rotational velocity in Am stars.

The Praesepe cluster could be peculiar in this sense because it contains a high number of Am stars. This fact, combined with the advanced age of the cluster, makes it possible to have many Am stars close to the turn-off point. Since diffusion is a slow process, in this cluster, more than in others, the peculiarities are evident and well-characterised by correlations between abundance anomalies and rotational velocity. Similar relationships may be less obvious in younger clusters. It will clearly be of interest to check this possibility.

The trends obtained in this work are in disagreement with those predicted by Charbonneau & Michaud (1991), as according to their models meridional circulation does not affect abundance peculiarities. Recently Richer et al. (2000) and later Talon et al. (2006) calculated diffusion models with a more accurate treatment of the rotational mixing. Their predicted abundances for stars with various rotational velocities are qualitatively in agreement with observed properties of our sample of Am stars. Detailed models, with a full treatment of diffusion and rotational mixing, calculated individually for each Am star of our sample, would be necessary for a more substantial comparison between models and observed abundances.

We derived $M/M_\odot$ and fractional age ($\tau$) for each of the stars of our sample and plotted the abundances as a function of $M/M_\odot$. No correlation was found for any of the three spectral groups considered here, as predicted by Richer et al. (2000).

We searched for correlations between abundances of the elements analysed in most of the stars and the Fe abundance. For normal A- and F-type stars we did not find any correlation, while for Am stars strong correlations are obtained for all the peculiar elements. The behavior of the abundances of the elements which are peculiar in Am stars, as a function of the Fe abundance, is the same as that found for $vsini$. We conclude that there is a strong connection between the correlations among the abundances, with the correlations of abundances with $vsini$.
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Fig. 13. Comparison between the spectra of HD 73666 obtained with the ESPaDOnS spectropolarimeter (blue line) and the SOPHIE spectrograph (red line) in the region of the He\textsc{i} multiplet at ∼4471.5 Å. No difference is visible between the two spectra, apart the one due to the different spectral resolution of the two instruments.

Fig. 14. Same as for Fig. 13, but in the region of the strong Fe\textsc{ii} line at 5018.440 Å.

Fig. 15. Comparison between the mean abundance of the Am stars analysed in Paper I and the Am star HD 74656. This plot confirms the Am classification of this star.
Fig. 16. Portion of the spectrum of HD 72757 ($\nu \sin i = 179$ km s$^{-1}$) and the synthetic spectrum after the complete abundance analysis in black and red respectively.