IRAS 18511+0146: a proto Herbig Ae/Be cluster?*
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ABSTRACT

Context. The evolution of a young protocluster depends on the relative spatial distribution and dynamics of both stars and gas.

Aims. We study the distribution and properties of the gas and stars surrounding the luminous ($10^4 L_\odot$) protocluster IRAS 18511+0146.

Methods. IRAS 18511+0146 and the cluster associated with it has been investigated using the sub-millimetre (JCMT-SCUBA), infrared (Spitzer-MIPSGAL, Spitzer-GLIMPSE, Palomar) and radio (VLA) continuum data. Cluster simulations have been carried out in order to understand the properties of clusters as well as to compare with the observations.

Results. The central most obscured part of the protocluster coincident with the compact sub-millimetre source found with SCUBA is responsible for at least 2/3 of the total luminosity. A number of cluster members have been identified which are bright in mid infrared and show rising (near to mid infrared) spectral energy distributions suggesting that these are very young stellar sources. In the mid infrared 8.0 μm image, a number of filamentary structures and clumps are detected in the vicinity of IRAS 18511+0146.

Conclusions. Based on the luminosity and cluster size as well as on the evolutionary stages of the cluster members, IRAS 18511+0146 is likely to be protocluster with the most massive object being a precursor to a Herbig type star.
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1. Introduction

Massive stars form accompanied by swarms of lower mass objects and the relationship between the two is by no means clear. It is however known that the development of a young cluster depends sensitively on events which occur in the phase when it is still surrounded by the remnants of the “core” from which it formed (Lada & Lada 2003). It is therefore of interest to obtain sensitive observations of the young protostars and the surrounding gas in the phase when dust obscuration causes the cluster to be invisible at optical wavelengths. The objects of interest are thus best observed at infrared and radio wavelengths.

This article reports such a study of the cluster surrounding what appears to be a young intermediate ($2-8 M_\odot$) protostar (IRAS 18511+0146, also known as Mol 75 and RAFGL 5542) from the survey of Molinari et al. (1996, 1998) using both JCMT-SCUBA observations to assess the mass and distribution of the gas associated with IRAS 18511+0146. We then use this information in simulations which we have carried out with the aim of testing our hypothesis that the young protocluster associated with IRAS 18511+0146 is an obscured version of the intermediate mass clusters studied by Testi et al. (1998).

Previous studies of IRAS 18511 were carried out by Watt & Mundy (1999) using the OVRO interferometer and the VLA to map both molecular line and continuum emission. They detected what appeared to be a small ionized region ionized by a B1 star which coincided with a compact clump seen in C18O. Kurtz et al. (2004) detected a methanol maser which was however offset by roughly 0.4 pc (~19") relative to the ionized gas for a distance of 3.9 kpc to IRAS 18511 (Molinari et al. 1996). Ishii et al. (2002) detected the 3 μm water ice feature towards the central object suggesting a visual extinction of 22 mag along this line of sight. Zhang et al. (2005) have reported strong indications of an outflow in IRAS 18511 using the 12CO line. According to them, the mass-loss rate is $\sim 3 \times 10^{-7} M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ and the dynamical timescale is $5 \times 10^5$ yr. Brand et al. (2001) imaged IRAS 18511 using the IRAM 30-m and KOSMA telescopes in a number of molecular lines ($^{13}$CO, CS, HCO+) and find blue shifted and red shifted components distributed over various clumps.

In this study, we explore in detail the region surrounding the IRAS source 18511+0146 using infrared, sub-millimetre, and radio continuum data. In Sect. 2, we summarize the observations. In Sect. 3, we present the observational results and in Sect. 4, a model of the relative distributions of gas and stars is examined. A discussion of IRAS 18511 region is presented in Sect. 5, and a short summary of our conclusions is given in Sect. 6.

* Appendices A and B are only available in electronic form at http://www.aanda.org
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2. Observations, available data and data reduction

2.1. JCMT-SCUBA

The sub-millimetre observations of IRAS 18511 at 450 and 850 \(\mu m\), using the Submillimetre Common User Bolometer Array (SCUBA) of the James Clerk Maxwell Telescope\(^1\) were carried out on 28 May 1999. The data were processed using their standard pipeline SCUBA User Reduction Facility (SURF). The planet Uranus was used for calibration. Submillimetre maps were generated at 450 and 850 \(\mu m\) and the fluxes extracted. The beam size is 10\('\) at 450 \(\mu m\) and 15\('\) at 850 \(\mu m\). The sensitivities are 0.3 Jy/beam and 0.04 Jy/beam at 450 and 850 \(\mu m\), respectively. The flux densities extracted were used to construct the Spectral Energy Distribution (SED) of IRAS 18511.

2.2. Spitzer data

The Spitzer Space Telescope\(^2\) (Werner et al. 2004) was launched in space in August 2003 and consists of a 0.85-m telescope with three cryogenically cooled instruments: InfraRed Array Camera (IRAC), Multiband Imaging Photometer for Spitzer (MIPS) and InfraRed Spectrograph. We have used data from the Legacy projects, GLIMPSE (PI: E. Churchwell) and MIPSGAL (PI: S. Carey) in this paper. The GLIMPSE and MIPSGAL images have been obtained using the software “Leopard”.

2.2.1. MIPSGAL

The Multiband Imaging Photometer for Spitzer (MIPS) provides the Spitzer Space Telescope with capabilities for imaging and photometry in broad spectral bands centred nominally at 24, 70, and 160 \(\mu m\), and for low-resolution spectroscopy between 55 and 95 \(\mu m\) (Rieke et al. 2004). The Multiband Imaging Photometer for Spitzer Galactic Plane Survey (MIPSGAL) surveyed the sky in identical regions as covered by GLIMPSE (next subsection) at 24 and 70 \(\mu m\) using the MIPS instrument (Carey et al. 2005). The instrument achieves drifffraction-limited resolution of 6\('\) and 18\('\) at 24 and 70 \(\mu m\), respectively. The pixel size is 2\('55 at 24 \(\mu m\) and 9\('98 at 70 \(\mu m\). The Spitzer Science Center provides final mosaics called Post-Basic Calibrated Data (PBBD) products. These are the maps of multiple calibrated image frames or BCDs (Basic Calibrated Data). For IRAS 18511, we found that these products satisfied our scientific goals. We have carried out extraction and photometry of the sources in the region around IRAS 18511 using the MIPSGAL PBBD images at 24 \(\mu m\) and 70 \(\mu m\) (details given in Appendix A). The details of the extracted sources and their fluxes are presented in Sect. 3.

2.2.2. GLIMPSE

In the GLIMPSE (Galactic Legacy Infrared Midplane Survey Extraordinaire; Benjamin et al. 2003) project, the Spitzer Space Telescope surveyed approximately 220 square degrees of the Galactic plane covering a latitude range of |\(b| < 1^\circ\) and a longitude range of 10\(^\circ\) \(\leq l \leq 65^\circ\), \(-65^\circ \leq l \leq -10^\circ\). This survey is carried out in the 4 IRAC bands. IRAC is a four-channel camera that provides simultaneous 5.2 \(\times\) 5.2 images at 3.6, 4.5, 5.8, and 8.0 \(\mu m\) with a pixel size of 1\(')2 \times 1\(')2 (Fazio et al. 2004). The resolutions achieved by IRAC are 2\(')4, 2\(')4, 2\(')8 and 3\(')0 in the 3.6, 4.5, 5.8 and 8.0 \(\mu m\) bands, respectively.

The sources in the IRAS 18511 region have been extracted from the GLIMPSE More Complete Archive. The GLIMPSE archive contains point sources with peak signal-to-noise ratio greater than 5 in at least one band. The extracted sources have been used in constructing the spectral energy distributions. However, the bright/saturated sources are not extracted in the GLIMPSE-catalog. In order to get a lower limit on the fluxes of these sources, we have carried out aperture photometry of these bright/saturated sources on GLIMPSE-IRAC PBBD images. For these bright sources, we have taken an aperture radius of 10 pixels and a sky annulus of 15 pixels in order to estimate the fluxes. The approximate centre was determined from the radial profiles using the task “imexamine” in Image Reduction and Analysis Facility (IRAF). For such a combination of aperture radius and sky annulus, no aperture correction needs to be applied.

The PBBD images have been used to study the spatial distribution of sources as well as near and mid infrared emission from this region.

2.3. Palomar data

Deep near infrared observations of a \(\sim 3\) \(\times\) 3 field surrounding IRAS 18511 were obtained on 26 July 1999 with the Palomar Observatory 60-inch telescope equipped with the near infrared IRC-NICMOS3 camera. The field was observed in three broad bands: \(J\), \(H\), and \(K_s\). A standard dithering technique was used to efficiently remove the sky emission and correct for hot or dead pixels in the 256\(\times\)256 NICMOS3 detector. The data were processed using recipes in IRAF to produce the final images. Photometric calibration was achieved by observing a set of standard stars from the lists of Hunt et al. (1998) and Persson et al. (1998). Astrometric calibration was performed tying the observed positions of bright and isolated sources in the Palomar field to the corresponding entries in the Two Micron All-Sky Survey (2MASS) database. We estimate this procedure to be accurate within 0\(')5.

The limiting magnitudes of our observations are found to be 18.1, 18.0, and 16.9 in the \(J\), \(H\), and \(K_s\) bands, respectively.

2.4. VLA

We have also analysed the data for IRAS 18511 at 8.5 and 15 GHz taken from the NRAO Data Archive (project ID: AD406) obtained using the Very Large Array (VLA) in the D configuration. The observation was carried out in Dec. 1997 by Watt & Mundy (1999). The total on-source integration time in each band is 30 min. The flux calibrators were 1331+305 and 0137+331. 1832-105 was used as the phase calibrator. The NRAO Astronomical Image Processing System (AIPS) was used for reduction of the data. The beam sizes are 8\(')5 \times 7\(')2 and 5\(')2 \times 4\(')4 at 8.5 and 15 GHz, respectively. The rms noise in the maps are 0.05 mJy/beam and 0.13 mJy/beam at 8.5 and 15 GHz, respectively.
3. Results

3.1. Submillimetre emission from cold dust

The JCMT-SCUBA maps at 450 and 850 µm trace the emission from cold dust in the region of IRAS 18511. The JCMT maps are shown in Fig. 1. The integrated flux densities up to 10% contour levels are 139 Jy and 14 Jy at 450 and 850 µm, respectively. The peak flux densities are 13.4 Jy/beam and 2.28 Jy/beam at 450 and 850 µm, respectively. The half-power sizes are 0.4 × 0.6 pc² at 450 µm and 0.5 × 0.7 pc² at 850 µm. The emission maps show the presence of a core (αJ2000, δJ2000 ~ 18°53′38″00, +01°50′29″1) as well as extended emission, particularly to the south. The cold dust emission shows an extension towards the south-east (αJ2000, δJ2000 ~ 18°53′38″13, +01°50′21″6) which veers towards west further south. Emission towards the north-east of the core is also discerned.

The emission at 450 µm as well as at 850 µm can be used to estimate the dust temperature of the core as follows. We know that the flux density, $F_{\nu}$, for optically thin emission can be written as

$$F_{\nu} = \Omega B_{\nu}(T_d)\tau_{\nu}$$

where $\Omega$ is the beam solid angle, $B_{\nu}$ is the Planck function, $\tau_{\nu}$ is the optical depth at frequency $\nu$ and $T_d$ is the dust temperature. Assuming, $\tau_{\nu} \propto \nu^2$, the ratio of flux densities at any two wavelengths is a function of $T_d$ and $\beta$. We have assumed $\beta = 2$ and used the ratio of fluxes (after convolving the 450 µm map to the beam resolution of 15.5″ corresponding to the 850 µm beam) in order to obtain a dust temperature of 37 ± 5 K at the peak of the emission. The total mass of the cloud has been estimated using the formalism of Hildebrand (1983). Assuming an average dust temperature of 20–30 K for the entire cloud and using the value of dust emissivity at 850 µm (κ850 µm = 1.5 cm² g⁻¹) appropriate to dark clouds from Bianchi et al. (2003), the total mass of the cloud is estimated to be ~750–1310 $M_\odot$. This mass estimate can be compared with the gas mass of 826 $M_\odot$ obtained by Watt & Mundy (1999) using the C¹8O measurements. Assuming 750 $M_\odot$ to be distributed in a spherical volume of radius 0.6 pc, we find the mean density to be 3.4 × 10⁴ cm⁻³. For this mass and mean density, the free fall time of the cloud is estimated to be ~3 × 10³ yrs.

The visual extinction (in magnitudes) for constant temperature and dust density distribution along the line-of-sight can be obtained by using the following expression:

$$I_{\nu} = \frac{\kappa_{\nu}}{\kappa_{V}} \times \frac{A_V}{1.086} \times B_{\nu}(T)$$

where $I_{\nu}$ is the flux density along the line-of-sight, $\kappa_{\nu}/\kappa_{V}$ is the dust emissivity normalised to emissivity in the $V$-band, and $A_V$ is the extinction along the line-of-sight. For a dust temperature of 37±5 K at IRAS 18511 core and $\kappa_{850 \mu m}/\kappa_{V} = 4.0 \times 10^{-5}$ obtained by Bianchi et al. (2003) for dark clouds, the maximum extinction using the peak 850 µm flux is estimated to be 86 ± 15 mag.

The average extinction has been estimated assuming that the total mass is distributed uniformly in the area enclosed within the 10% contour level (~1.2 × 1.8 pc²) at 850 µm. Assuming a temperature of 20–30 K over this region, the average value of extinction is estimated to be $A_V \sim 42–24$ mag.

3.2. Mid infrared emission

The MIPSGAL 70 µm image shows the presence of three sources in this region. This image is shown in Fig. 2. The IRAS position is also marked. These sources are better resolved in the MIPS 24 µm image. For convenience, we call these sources A, B and C. The location of these sources is shown on the 24 µm image in Fig. 2. While the IRAS peak coincides with A, B lies nearly 20″ (0.4 pc) to the south-west of A. C is the faintest of the three and is separated by ~90″ from A. A is saturated in the MIPS 24 µm image. The fluxes and positions of these sources have been extracted using the methods described in the Sect. 2.2.1. Table 1 lists the positions as well as fluxes of A, B and C, respectively.

The four GLIMPSE-IRAC images show a bright source at A and a small compact group of stars located to the south-west of this source (associated with B). Figure 2 shows the locations of A, B and C on the grayscale 8.0 µm image. The IRAC 3.6 µm image of IRAS 18511 is also shown in Fig. 2. The bright source
**Fig. 2.** (Top left) MIPS 70 μm image of IRAS 18511 region. The plus symbol and the ellipse represent the IRAS position and the corresponding 1-σ position error ellipse. (Top right) MIPS 24 μm image of IRAS 18511 region with the sources A, B and C marked. (Bottom left) IRAC 8.0 μm image of IRAS 18511 region. The white cross marks the position of radio emission at 8.5 and 15 GHz. The solid triangle marks the position of the methanol maser. (Bottom right) IRAC 3.6 μm image with sources G1−G5 marked.

**Table 1.** The MIPS flux density details of sources in IRAS 18511.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Position J2000</th>
<th>Flux Density (Jy)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>Dec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>18h53m37.85s</td>
<td>+01d50m30.5s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>18h53m38.44s</td>
<td>+01d50m15.1s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>18h53m43.55s</td>
<td>+01d49m50.4s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

associated with A is saturated in all the four IRAC bands. However we have extracted the lower limits to the flux of this source using the method of aperture photometry as mentioned in Sect. 2.2.2. A comparison of the MIPS GAL 24 μm image with the higher angular resolution IRAC image shows that B consists of a group of sources. One can see an extension corresponding to A in the 8.0 μm image suggesting that A may consist of two or more sources. In the GLIMPSE 3.6 or 4.5 μm images, the emission from C is very faint. The emission from C is diffuse in appearance and stronger in the 8.0 μm image.

### 3.2.1. The filaments around IRAS 18511

An investigation into the 8.0 μm image shows diffuse emission in the neighbourhood of IRAS 18511. It is particularly interesting to note the filamentary structures (white in Fig. 3) seen in absorption against this diffuse emission. IRAS 18511 seems to lie on one clump and extension of this clump (in the form of filaments) can be seen towards the south-west of A. A clumpy structure can be observed towards the east of IRAS 18511. An estimate of extinction towards these filamentary and clumpy structures has been obtained by using the following relation:

\[
I = I_0 e^{-\tau_8}
\]

where \(I_0\) is the background source intensity (determined in the vicinity of the filamentary structures), \(I\) is the observed intensity, and \(\tau_8\) is the optical depth at 8.0 μm. One observes considerable variations of \(I_0\) across the image. We have therefore assumed a different value of \(I_0\) for each pixel as follows. A median filter image has been constructed using a window size of 99 pixels (2′). Using the median value at every pixel as the corresponding \(I_0\), a visual extinction \((A_V)\) map has been constructed.
taking $A_V/r_8 = 22.5$. This relation ($A_V/r_8$) has been obtained by taking the ratios of extinctions ($A_V/A_K = 0.43$, $A_K/A_V = 0.112$) obtained by Indebetouw et al. (2005) and Rieke & Lebofsky (1985). The pixels representing values higher than the median have been masked. The $A_V$ values are found to have values in the range 6–8 in the filaments as well as towards the clumpy structures. Converting the $A_V$ map to a column density map, the mass in the filaments as well as in the clumps have been estimated. While the mass of gas in the clump ($\rho_{2000}; \phi_{2000} \sim 18^5 53''47; 3 + 01^2 51'0''$) is found to be $\approx 46$ M$_\odot$, the mass in a few filaments is found to be in the range $\sim 5$–$15$ M$_\odot$. The total mass of the filaments and clumpy structures shown in Fig. 3 is $\sim 120$ M$_\odot$. These mass estimates represent lower limits as $l_0$ has contributions from foreground as well as background emission. In addition, the total mass estimate also suffers from blanking of pixels near the 8.0 $\mu$m sources. In particular, since IRAS 18511 A is very bright at 8.0 $\mu$m, the clump containing IRAS 18511 A is masked out in the extinction map. It is interesting to note that there is an overlap of a filament in the 8.0 $\mu$m image with the JCMT-SCUBA 850 $\mu$m sub-millimetre emission towards the south-west.

3.2.2. Associated young stellar objects (IRAC colour–colour diagram)

In order to select IRAC sources from the GLIMPSE catalog for further study, we have defined a “region of interest” around IRAS 18511. We take this to be a region overlapping the sub-millimetre emission from cold dust. In other words, we take the sources in a region enclosed by the 10% contour level of the peak of the the sub-millimetre 850 $\mu$m emission. We find a total of 39 sources (including the saturated source at A). Of these, six are detected in all four IRAC bands. These have been plotted in the IRAC colour–colour diagram ([3.6]–[4.5] vs. [5.8]–[8.0]) which is shown in Fig. 4. In the diagram, the solid square approximately delineates the region occupied by class II sources whereas the dotted square covers the region occupied by the class I models of Allen et al. (2004) (see their Fig. 4). From the colour–colour diagram in Fig. 4, we find five sources lying within the boxes representing the region occupied by either class I or class II sources. We label these sources G1, G2, G3, G4 and G5. Of these 5 objects, G2, G3, G4 and G5 lie within the class I box while G1 lies in the overlap region between class I and class II. These sources are also shown in Fig. 2 and the details of the coordinates and fluxes of these sources are included in Table 2.

3.3. Near infrared emission

The near infrared Palomar $K_s$ band image of IRAS 18511 is shown in Fig. 5. Unlike many other star forming regions, we do not detect near infrared nebulosity in these images. Among the sources extracted from the Palomar images in the J, $H$ and $K_s$ bands, we select a sample of sources lying within the “region of interest” (see Sect. 3.2.2 for details). We find a total of 68 sources, including the saturated sources. The fluxes of three sources which are saturated in the Palomar images have been taken from the 2MASS$^3$. This includes the source associated with A, which is saturated in the $H$ and $K_s$ bands, of the Palomar images. Of the total of 68 sources, we find that 27 sources are detected in all three $JHK_s$ bands.

3.3.1. Associated young stellar objects (NIR and IRAC colour–colour diagrams)

In order to identify the young stellar objects in this region, we have constructed the colour–colour diagram of $J - H$ vs. $H - K_s$. This publication makes use of data products from the Two Micron All Sky Survey, which is a joint project of the University of Massachusetts and the Infrared Processing and Analysis Center/California Institute of Technology, funded by the NASA and the NSF.

---

**Fig. 3.** Grayscale image of IRAS 18511 at GLIMPSE-IRAC 8.0 $\mu$m showing the dark filaments against diffuse emission. Overlaid as contours is the JCMT-SCUBA sub-millimetre emission at 850 $\mu$m. The region covered by the SCUBA map is also shown.

**Fig. 4.** Spitzer colour–colour diagram of the observed sources and the models used in the simulations. The filled circles represent the sources detected in all four IRAC bands. The empty (red) circles represent the colours of the unreddened class I and class II models used in the simulations (see text for details). The solid line square approximately delineates the region occupied by class II sources whereas the dotted-line square covers the region occupied by the class I models shown in the models of Allen et al. (2004). The arrow represents the extinction vector for $A_V = 20$ mag.
We have also searched for Spitzer-IRAC counterparts to the near infrared objects. We have used a search radius of 0.7°. A total of 24 Palomar sources have IRAC counterparts. Wang & Looney (2007) have used $J − [3.6]$ vs. $K_s − [4.5]$ colour–colour diagram to identify the young stellar objects in groups around Herbig Ae/Be stars. In our sample we find 6 objects that are detected in the $J, K_s$, IRAC1 (3.6 µm) as well as IRAC2 (4.5 µm) bands. These objects have been plotted in the $J − [3.6]$ vs. $K_s − [4.5]$ colour–colour diagram in Fig. 6 (right). All the sources in this colour–colour diagram have been reddenened by 7 mag of visual extinction (1.8 mag kpc$^{-1}$), corresponding to the extinction due to interstellar medium (Whittet 1992). We have used the Wang & Looney (2007) line (their Eq. (1)) to separate the normal stars and the young stellar objects. This is shown by the solid line in the Fig. 6. The dotted line represents the young stellar object (YSO) locus given by them. From this diagram, we find 3 objects which can be characterised as YSO candidates based on the above criterion. The details of the 3 YSO candidates from the $J − [3.6]$ vs. $K_s − [4.5]$ are included in Table 2. All the young stellar objects selected from various colour–colour diagrams ([3.6] − [4.5] vs. [5.8] − [8.0], $J − H$ vs. $H − K_s$, $J − [3.6]$ vs. $K_s − [4.5]$) are overplotted on the grayscale Palomar $K_s$ band image in Fig. 5 and listed in Table 2.

3.4. Radio emission from ionised gas

The VLA data show weak emission (point source) at both 8.5 and 15 GHz in the IRAS 18511 region. The emission is from a point source with coordinates $(\alpha_{2000}, \delta_{2000}) = \text{18h53m38.67 + 01}\text{°}51\text{'}3\text{''}$. The location of the radio point source coincides with G5, which is marked as a cross in the 8.0 µm image in Fig. 2. The flux densities are 0.69 mJy/beam and 0.68 mJy/beam at 8.5 and 15 GHz, respectively. The size of the radio emitting region is $5''$ which corresponds to 0.09 pc at the distance of IRAS 18511. The spectral index is $-0.03^{+0.33}_{-0.30}$ indicating the nature of this emission to be (optically thin) free-free emission. Thus, the ionised gas here is from a small extremely compact region around this source. Using the formulation of Schraml & Mezger (1969), as well as Panagia (1973), the ZAMS spectral type of this source is estimated to be B2-B1 (flux of Lyman continuum photons is $1.3 \times 10^{45}$ s$^{-1}$). There is no radio

---

**Table 2.** Young stellar objects around IRAS 18511 selected from Palomar (NIR) as well as Spitzer-IRAC (MIR) colour–colour diagrams.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>$\alpha_{2000}$ (deg)</th>
<th>$\delta_{2000}$ (deg)</th>
<th>$J$ (mag)</th>
<th>$H$ (mag)</th>
<th>$K_s$ (mag)</th>
<th>Spitzer-GLIMPSE designation</th>
<th>3.6 µm (mag)</th>
<th>4.5 µm (mag)</th>
<th>5.8 µm (mag)</th>
<th>8.0 µm (mag)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>283.400479</td>
<td>1.841550</td>
<td>18.36 ± 0.20</td>
<td>17.22 ± 0.13</td>
<td>16.50 ± 0.19</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>283.403321</td>
<td>1.840394</td>
<td>18.86 ± 0.36</td>
<td>17.58 ± 0.21</td>
<td>15.73 ± 0.14</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (G1)</td>
<td>283.405912</td>
<td>1.834686</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>16.45 ± 0.09</td>
<td>13.43 ± 0.02</td>
<td>G034.8140+00.3504</td>
<td>10.91 ± 0.11</td>
<td>10.24 ± 0.11</td>
<td>9.80 ± 0.32</td>
<td>9.30 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>283.405946</td>
<td>1.840603</td>
<td>11.85 ± 0.01</td>
<td>11.58 ± 0.01</td>
<td>11.11 ± 0.01</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>283.405688</td>
<td>1.840244</td>
<td>13.12 ± 0.01</td>
<td>12.67 ± 0.01</td>
<td>11.89 ± 0.01</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 (G2)</td>
<td>283.407446</td>
<td>1.833108</td>
<td>18.64 ± 0.37</td>
<td>15.89 ± 0.08</td>
<td>14.88 ± 0.07</td>
<td>G034.8133+00.3483</td>
<td>13.19 ± 0.11</td>
<td>12.57 ± 0.14</td>
<td>11.18 ± 0.24</td>
<td>9.57 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7)</td>
<td>283.407867</td>
<td>1.841829</td>
<td>13.43 ± 0.04</td>
<td>9.30 ± 0.03</td>
<td>6.61 ± 0.03</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 (G3)</td>
<td>283.409387</td>
<td>1.835869</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>14.67 ± 0.08</td>
<td>G034.8166+00.3479</td>
<td>11.00 ± 0.21</td>
<td>10.41 ± 0.21</td>
<td>7.84 ± 0.16</td>
<td>6.09 ± 0.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 (G5)</td>
<td>283.409779</td>
<td>1.842842</td>
<td>15.95 ± 0.04</td>
<td>15.00 ± 0.03</td>
<td>13.38 ± 0.02</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 (G4)</td>
<td>283.409896</td>
<td>1.837536</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>15.00 ± 0.03</td>
<td>11.11 ± 0.01</td>
<td>G034.8183+00.3482</td>
<td>7.56 ± 0.08</td>
<td>6.58 ± 0.19</td>
<td>5.65 ± 0.05</td>
<td>4.95 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 (G5)</td>
<td>283.411304</td>
<td>1.837044</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>17.54 ± 0.22</td>
<td>17.77 ± 0.02</td>
<td>G034.8185+00.3467</td>
<td>7.61 ± 0.05</td>
<td>6.28 ± 0.20</td>
<td>5.11 ± 0.04</td>
<td>4.32 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>283.414446</td>
<td>1.832517</td>
<td>17.69 ± 0.15</td>
<td>17.21 ± 0.21</td>
<td>16.64 ± 0.26</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>283.412083</td>
<td>1.833464</td>
<td>17.19 ± 0.09</td>
<td>15.55 ± 0.04</td>
<td>14.16 ± 0.03</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>283.414129</td>
<td>1.839558</td>
<td>17.88 ± 0.14</td>
<td>17.31 ± 0.14</td>
<td>16.86 ± 0.32</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>283.415887</td>
<td>1.835761</td>
<td>14.53 ± 0.02</td>
<td>13.93 ± 0.02</td>
<td>13.66 ± 0.02</td>
<td>G034.8194+00.3420</td>
<td>13.42 ± 0.17</td>
<td>13.20 ± 0.13</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>283.415892</td>
<td>1.834844</td>
<td>18.02 ± 0.16</td>
<td>16.28 ± 0.06</td>
<td>14.66 ± 0.04</td>
<td>G034.8187+00.3417</td>
<td>13.15 ± 0.14</td>
<td>12.81 ± 0.12</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Source saturated in the GLIMPSE-IRAC images.

**Fig. 5.** $K_s$ band Palomar image of the region around IRAS 18511. The axes are in J2000 coordinates. The sources marked by open (red) circles are the YSO candidates selected from the various colour–colour diagrams (see Table 2). The (blue) solid triangles are the sources G1–G5.

using the 27 sources detected in $JHK_s$ bands. This is shown in Fig. 6 (left). The loci of the main-sequence and giant branches are shown by the solid and dotted lines, respectively. While the short-dashed line represents the locus of T Tauri stars (Meyer et al. 1997), the dot-dashed line represents the reddening vector of the main-sequence stars. The long-dashed line shows the locus of Herbig Ae/Be stars (Lada & Adams 1992). We have assumed extinction values of $A_J/A_V = 0.282$, $A_H/A_V = 0.175$ and $A_K/A_V = 0.112$ from Rieke & Lebofsky (1985). The sources having infrared excess are those lying to the right of the reddening curve. We find a total of 10 sources having infrared-excess. These sources are included in Table 2. The source associated with IRAS 18511 A has an infrared excess as well as very large reddening ($J − H \sim 4.1$, $H − K_s \sim 2.7$) as can be seen in the CC diagram. IRAS 18511 A is listed as source number 7 in Table 2.
emission detected from the other sources in this region, including the bright A source, up to 2σ levels of 100 μJy and 300 μJy at 8.5 and 15 GHz, respectively.

3.5. Source C

The source C is clearly detected at 24 and 70 μm and appears as a faint diffuse emission. The weak diffuse emission shows filamentary structures within it. Also, towards the north, we discern a filamentary structure with a sharp edge. The cause of this emission is unclear. The emission at 8.0 μm is stronger than at 24 μm indicating the presence of strong Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH) emission. The angular size of C is ~35″ which corresponds to a size of 0.7 pc at 3.9 kpc (distance to IRAS 18511). While C being an irregular galaxy cannot be ruled out, it is possible that C is a Galactic object.

3.6. Spectral energy distributions

The fluxes at different wavelengths have been extracted for various sources in IRAS 18511 region and these have been used in constructing their SEDs. For the sake of comparison, we have also constructed the SED of IRAS 18511 as a single source using IRAS as well as MSX fluxes. The luminosity obtained by integrating the area under the IRAS-MSX curve gives an estimate of the total luminosity. Figure 7 (left) shows the SED of IRAS 18511 constructed using IRAS and MSX fluxes. Also plotted in this figure is the SED of A. It is to be noted that the fluxes of A at the IRAC and MIPS 24 μm band are lower limits as A is saturated in these wavebands. The luminosity of IRAS 18511, obtained by integrating the IRAS-MSX SED, is 1.1 x 10^4 L⊙. The lower limit to the luminosity of A is 7.3 x 10^3 L⊙, derived using Spitzer photometry and JCMT-SCUBA data. Hence, most of the luminosity of IRAS 18511 (at least 66%) is due to the protostar(s) in A.

The SEDs of the individual members (G1-G5) have been constructed using wavelengths at which they are resolved and detected. These SEDs are shown in Fig. 7 (right) for no dereddening applied. The SEDs of these individual objects have been constructed using the Palomar as well as IRAC fluxes. From Fig. 7, we see that all the SEDs rise rapidly with increasing wavelength. In order to compare the SEDs relative to each other, we have normalised them with respect to the fluxes in H band. The SEDs of G1, G2, G4 and G5 have been dereddened by Av ~ 7 mag of visual extinction due to ISM as well as by Av ~ 22 mag derived by Ishii et al. (2002). Figure 8 shows the SEDs relative to each other for a dereddening of Av ~ 7 mag (left) and 22 mag (right), respectively. By integrating the area under the SEDs (near to mid infrared), we have estimated lower-limits to the luminosities (L_{NIR-8 μm}) of these objects. These luminosities are listed in Table 3 for the sources G1-G5 for dereddening of 7 and 22 mag of visual extinction. The source G5 appears to be identical to the VLA source discussed in Sect. 3.4 and hence we expect it to be of B1-B2 spectral type with an effective temperature ~20,000 K and a bolometric luminosity 4400 L⊙.

3.6.1. Robitaille et al. models

From Fig. 8, we see that the sources show a rise in spectral energy distributions with an increase in wavelength. Their L_{NIR-8 μm} (listed in Table 3) suggest that at least a few of these are massive young objects. In order to get a qualitative estimate of the evolutionary stage of the cluster members, we have fitted the SED of few cluster members with the models of Robitaille et al. (2007) (hereafter RWIW). They have computed a large set of radiation transfer models and obtained the SEDs for a reasonably large parameter space. These SEDs can be fitted to multi-wavelength observational data of single sources to constrain the physical parameters and the evolutionary stage. However, it is important to note that the best constraints are obtained if the near, mid and far infrared as well as sub-millimetre data are included.
We have fitted the RWIW models to two sources, G4 and G5. The models were fit to the SEDs dereddened by 22 mag of visual extinction. The $L_{\text{NIR}}-8\mu\text{m}$ of G4 and G5 are the highest among the G sources. The SEDs have been constructed using near infrared Palomar and mid infrared IRAC data. Upper limits at MIPS 24 $\mu$m (corresponding to the IRAS 18511 B) and at JCMT-SCUBA 850 $\mu$m (flux density at the position of IRAS 18511 B) have also been used as constraints to the modelling. It should be noted that our sub-millimetre angular resolution is not sufficient for this purpose and hence the fits are non-unique. We have also put additional constraints based on luminosity and mass of envelope/disk (85 $M_\odot$ based on the sub-millimetre 850 $\mu$m flux at the position of IRAS 18511 B). The models are selected based on the least-squares chi-square method. For G5, additional constraints are available since it is estimated to be of ZAMS spectral type B1-B2 (based on the VLA observations). Among the four models for G5, Model 1 simulates a young protostar and the $T_{\text{eff}}$ of the central object does not produce Lyman continuum photons to ionise the surrounding gas. On the other hand, the other models assume more evolved central stars ($T_{\text{eff}} \sim 20000$ K). Figure 9 shows some sample RWIW models for G4 and G5 along with the observed SEDs. The parameters are listed in Table 4. In the table, Col. 1 lists the source (G4/G5), Col. 2 ($M_\ast$) lists the mass of central object, Col. 3 lists the effective temperature of the central objects, Col. 4 lists the total luminosity, Col. 5 lists the inclination angle with respect to the observer (90° is edge-on), Col. 6 ($\dot{M}$) represents the envelope accretion rate, Col. 7 ($M_{\text{env}}$) lists the mass of envelope, Col. 8 ($M_{\text{disk}}$) lists the mass of disk, Col. 9 ($A_V$) lists the extinction along the line-of-sight and Col. 10 lists the age. The luminosity listed in the Table is the total (non-isotropic) luminosity (central object + envelope and/or disk) computed by RWIW.
Fig. 9. Spectral energy distributions of G4 (left) and G5 (right) with some sample models of Robitaille et al. (2007) (RWIW). The observed fluxes are shown by filled circles, the triangles denote upper limits to the observed fluxes and the lines represent the RWIW models. The parameters of these models are listed in Table 4.

Table 3. Luminosities of the sources G1-G5 in IRAS 18511 region detected in all four IRAC bands.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Luminosity ($L_{\text{NIR}}$ $\mu$m)</th>
<th>$L_\odot$ ($A_V \sim 7 \text{ mag}$)</th>
<th>$L_\odot$ ($A_V \sim 22 \text{ mag}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>412</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G5</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>492</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is interesting, especially for G5, that the observed fluxes in the mid infrared (IRAC) bands have a steeper wavelength dependence than predicted by these models which perhaps underestimate the extinction. Another likely possibility is that emission from small grains or from Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH) affects the observations. The results indicate that the SEDs of few sources can be explained by massive class I and class II type sources i.e., sources with the massive central objects ($7-10 M_\odot$) along with envelopes/disk. Thus, the models of low-mass objects extended to more massive candidates can reasonably explain the SEDs of some cluster members of IRAS 18511.

4. Cluster simulations

In the IRAS 18511 region, a number of sources have been detected in the near and mid infrared. In regions of high extinction like IRAS 18511, these sources could be highly reddened luminous objects or low-luminosity low-extinction objects. The objective of the cluster simulations is to investigate this. We simulate a cluster of young objects embedded in a cloud of gas and ascertain whether the observations of IRAS 18511 in various near and mid infrared bands are in qualitative agreement with the predictions of the model as our statistics are too low for a quantitative comparison. We explore the cluster membership in terms of the fraction of objects of different evolutionary stages (class I and class II). As we are exploring a young embedded cluster, we have not considered objects in the class III phase or later. This modelling will allow us to obtain a qualitative estimate of the evolutionary stage of the cluster by varying the fractions of class I and class II sources in the cluster.

The inputs to these simulations include (a) observables – quantities that have been incorporated in the model based on observations, and (b) assumptions. The observables include (1) limit on the most massive object in the cluster, (2) the total luminosity of the cluster, (3) mass and size of the spherical cloud of gas in which the cluster is embedded, and (4) the distance. We have assumed the following: (1) Initial Mass Function (IMF) and (2) Star formation history (SFH). The output that can be compared directly with the observations is the number of detected cluster members in the $K_s$ ($2.12 \mu$m), IRAC1 ($3.6 \mu$m), IRAC2 ($4.5 \mu$m), IRAC3 ($5.8 \mu$m), IRAC4 ($8.0 \mu$m) and MIPS1 ($24 \mu$m) bands for given completeness limits. A detailed description of the model is given in Appendix B.

4.1. Results

4.1.1. Model

The upper mass limit of the cluster has been taken to be $10 M_\odot$ corresponding to the ZAMS spectral type of a single object inferred from the bolometric luminosity of IRAS 18511. The model has been run for the Salpeter IMF. The mass and radius of the spherical cloud of gas have been taken to be $750 M_\odot$ and 0.6 pc, respectively. These have been derived from the $850 \mu$m JCMT-SCUBA observations. This corresponds to a maximum visual extinction of 69 mag (including the $A_V \sim 7 \text{ mag}$ due to the ISM). The total luminosity has been taken to be $1.1 \times 10^4 L_\odot$ which is the total luminosity of IRAS 18511. The model was run 1000 times for each of the following cases of star formation history:

1. Coeval formation with an age of 0.5 Myr corresponding to class I type sources – Every cluster member is assumed to have a class I type spectrum.
2. Coeval formation with an age of 1 Myr corresponding to class II type sources – Every cluster member is assumed to have a class II type spectrum.
3. Uniform star formation rate between 0.01–1 Myr – This corresponds to the general case incorporating class I as well as
Table 4. Parameters of the Robitaille et al. (2007) models for G4 and G5, plotted in Fig. 9. Column (2) \( (M_e) \) lists the mass of central object, Col. (3) lists the effective temperature of the central objects, Col. (4) lists the total luminosity, Col. (5) lists the inclination angle with respect to the observer (90° is edge-on), Col. (6) \( (M) \) represents the envelope accretion rate, Col. (7) \( (M_{env}) \) lists the mass of envelope, Col. (8) \( (M_{disk}) \) lists the mass of disk, Col. (9) \( (A_v) \) lists the envelope extinction along the line-of-sight and Col. (10) lists the age.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Obj.</th>
<th>( M_e ) ( (M_\odot) )</th>
<th>( T_{eff} ) ( (K) )</th>
<th>Luminosity ( (L_\odot) )</th>
<th>Incl. angle ( (\text{deg}) )</th>
<th>( M ) ( (M_\odot/\text{yr}) )</th>
<th>( M_{env} ) ( (M_\odot) )</th>
<th>( M_{disk} ) ( (M_\odot) )</th>
<th>( A_v ) ( (\text{mag}) )</th>
<th>Age ( (\text{yr}) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G4 Model 1</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>4241</td>
<td>( 5.2 \times 10^2 )</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>( 3.9 \times 10^{-3} )</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>( 1.6 \times 10^{-2} )</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>( 5 \times 10^3 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4 Model 2</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>24552</td>
<td>( 4.6 \times 10^3 )</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>( 2.1 \times 10^{-2} )</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>( 1 \times 10^6 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G5 Model 1</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>4351</td>
<td>( 2.2 \times 10^3 )</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>( 1.1 \times 10^{-3} )</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>( 4 \times 10^{-3} )</td>
<td>1360</td>
<td>( 4 \times 10^3 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G5 Model 2</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>21770</td>
<td>( 2.3 \times 10^3 )</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>( 1.1 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>( 8 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4 \times 10^5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G5 Model 3</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>21262</td>
<td>( 2.9 \times 10^3 )</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>( 8.6 \times 10^{-5} )</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>( 2.9 \times 10^{-5} )</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1 \times 10^6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G5 Model 4</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>22602</td>
<td>( 2.9 \times 10^3 )</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>( 1.6 \times 10^{-3} )</td>
<td>5.5 \times 10^{-4}</td>
<td>4 \times 10^6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The cavity angle in Model 1 for both G4 and G5 is 2°–6° while the cavity angle in Models 2 and 3 of G5 is 40°–50°.

Fig. 10. Plot of magnitude distribution in the \( K_s \) band from the simulations and observations (Palomar). The solid line represents the magnitudes of YSOs within the “region of interest” (Table 2). The dotted line represents the apparent magnitudes from one run of model (general case of constant star formation rate with ages between 0.01 and 1 Myr) simulations. The dashed line denotes the Palomar sensitivity limit.

The median number of cluster members is \(~300\) while the median mass of the cluster is \(~230\, M_\odot\). We have also estimated the magnitude distribution from the cluster for the case of a uniform star formation rate (case 3). This is shown for \( K_s \) band apparent fluxes in Fig. 10 for the general case incorporating class I and class II objects with ages between 0.01 and 1 Myr.

4.1.2. Comparison with observations

A comparison of the model results with the observations has been carried out in terms of magnitude distribution (Fig. 10) and number of sources detected (Fig. 11). Figure 10 shows the simulated (case 3) as well as observed magnitude distributions from the Palomar \( K_s \) band data. The observed sources used in the figure are the young stellar objects detected in the \( K_s \) band and listed in Table 2. Also marked on the figure is the Palomar \( K_s \) band sensitivity limit. A comparison of the observed and simulated magnitudes shows that the sources detected in observations are brighter than those simulated. This could be because the density distribution of the cloud is inhomogeneous while the cluster simulations assume a constant density distribution of gas. Another possibility is that the distribution of young stellar objects is more extended than the size of the cloud. From the simulations, it is clear that with the sensitivity limit of Palomar, only a small fraction of sources in the cluster are detected.

From the model, the median number of detected sources in each band has been derived based on the completeness limit of the instrument used for comparing the results. Figure 11 shows the number of detected cluster members predicted by the model in each band. The errorbars indicate the quartile values
The observed number of sources in each band is shown by a solid line depicting a range of possible number of cluster members, between the lower and upper limits. The lower limit is obtained from the number of young stellar objects detected in that band within the “region of interest” by using the colour–colour diagrams (ref Table 2). The upper edge of each solid line represents the total number of sources detected within that band. For the case of the MIPS 24 µm band, there are only two sources detected within the region of interest. IRAS 18511 B shows an extension at 24 µm and therefore we have plotted the number of sources detected as 2. Obviously, this represents a lower limit as the sources are unresolved due to lower angular resolution.

From Fig. 11, we find that among all the three cases, the general case incorporating class I (~50%) and class II (~50%) sources agrees well with the observations. The models with class I and class II sources alone are inconsistent with the observational data. We, therefore infer that the sources in IRAS 18511 are very young and deeply embedded. In Ks as well as in IRAC1 bands, the general case (case 3) as well as class II (case 2) model results are consistent with observations. This is expected as more class II sources should be detected in the Ks band. However, in the IRAC2 and IRAC3 bands, all the model results agree with observations within the quartile values. For the IRAC4 band, the class I as well as the general case agree with observations better than with the class II case. Finally, at 24 µm, the number of detected sources from the class I model and the general case are higher than that detected from the class II model. It must be noted that the observations at 24 µm represent a lower limit due to the low angular resolution of the MIPS instrument (~6″).

Among all the cases, the general case (case 3) assuming a mix of ~50% class I and ~50% class II sources can be said to be a reasonable fit to observations. The model suffers from a few limitations which are listed below:

- The cluster is embedded in a cloud of gas which is homogeneous and clumpiness/density distributions has not been taken into consideration.
- The number of detected cluster members from the simulations (which are point-like) is compared with the observed number of sources. A better comparison would be possible if the results of the simulations were convolved with the beam size of the instrument.

5. Discussion

5.1. Clustering

We have attempted to study the clustering of the sources around IRAS 18511 using the NIR Palomar images. The method used is described in detail by Testi et al. (1998) to study the clustering around Herbig Ae/Be stars. A density profile is computed centred on the bright IRAS 18511 A source to look for evidence of clustering. Density profiles are computed using the Palomar Ks, IRAC2 (4.5 µm) and IRAC4 (8 µm) band sources as shown in Fig. 12. In this plot, the star densities derived for the IRAC2 and IRAC4 bands have been scaled by factors of 1.7 and 6.4, respectively for comparison with the radial profile derived for the Ks band. Further, the radii of the annuli of the IRAC bands are slightly shifted for better viewing of the plot. From the profile, we do not see any “clear” evidence for clustering centred on A. This is consistent with the results of the previous sections, i.e. the extinction due to the associated molecular cloud is rather high and there may be other embedded sources. This is consistent with the results of our simulations, i.e. only a small fraction of sources are detected.

5.2. Extinction at 8.0 µm vs. 850 µm

A comparison of the morphology of the sub-millimetre dust emission and the filamentary structures seen in the 8.0 µm emission map indicates that these filamentary structures comprise of cold dust. Figure 3 shows the 8.0 µm grayscale image with 850 µm contours overlaid. The morphology of the 850 µm emission traces the filamentary structures close to IRAS 18511. It is evident that these filaments represent the cold dust comprising the infrared dark cloud with IRAS 18511 located at the peak of one clump.

We have compared the extinction values obtained from the JCMT-SCUBA 850 µm image (using Eq. (2)) with that from IRAC 8.0 µm image (using Eq. (3)). Resampling the images and a pixel-to-pixel comparison of the extinction values in the region of overlap of the filament (to the south-west of IRAS 18511 A) shows that the visual extinction values obtained using the JCMT-SCUBA sub-millimetre image is ~8 times the the Av values obtained from the IRAC 8.0 µm image. However the Av values quoted for the IRAC 8.0 µm image are lower limits for the following reason. Equation (3) assumes the emitting dust to be behind the absorbing filaments and this is clearly not correct since If has contribution from foreground emission. Consequently, the
values of $A_V$ derived are an underestimate. And also, the mass estimates derived for the filaments and the clump in Sect. 3.2.1 are lower-limits. Brand et al. (2001) have imaged a number of molecular lines in the IRAS 18511 region ($^{13}$CO, CS, HCO$^+).$ Of particular interest is the HCO$^+$ emission integrated over the red part of the emission. This emission extends along the direction of the filaments to the south-west, as seen in the JCMT-SCUBA 850 $\mu$m image. Further, the emission is at a velocity ($V_{lsr})$ of $\sim 59$ km s$^{-1}$. This is the $V_{lsr}$ of IRAS 18511 and hence, the filamental structures are associated with the molecular cloud of IRAS 18511.

Using the fact that the $A_V$ values derived from the 850 $\mu$m sub-millimetre map is $\sim 8$ times larger than that obtained from the IRAC 8.0 $\mu$m map, we can estimate the fraction of foreground emission contributing to the total emission detected (in the neighbourhood of the filaments). We estimate that this fraction of foreground emission is $\sim 80\%$ of the detected emission. In other words, most of the emission is foreground emission. This is not surprising considering that IRAS 18511 is a distant object ($\sim 3.9$ kpc).

5.3. Evolutionary stage of cluster members

It is interesting to compare the multiwavelength observations of A and B, although each comprises of more than one source. A is the brightest source in the near, mid and far infrared as well as in the sub-millimetre wavebands. However, there is no detected radio emission associated with A. On the other hand, G5 (associated with B) has free-free radio emission associated with it. The peak of molecular line (C$^{18}$O and $^{13}$CO) emission, as observed by Watt & Mundy (1999) is located near B and one can see an extension corresponding to A. The absence of radio emission near A and other observations suggest the following possibilities: (1) A is in an earlier evolutionary stage than B and the ultracompact H II region has not yet formed. This would explain the absence of radio emission as well as their brightness at infrared wavebands (2) A being more massive than B, its ultracompact H II region is being choked by the infalling matter through accretion (Keto 2003). Kurtz et al. (2004) have carried out a VLA survey in the 44 GHz (class I) methanol maser line in several star forming regions including IRAS 18511. They find a maser in the vicinity of IRAS 18511 A (synthesized beam size $\pm 2\prime\prime \times 1\prime\prime5$). The position of the maser (positional uncertainty $\pm 0\prime\prime5$) is shown in Fig. 2. They also find in their survey that class I methanol masers are typically offset by $\pm 0.2$ pc (median value) from other massive star formation signposts like H II regions or water masers. Cyganowski et al. (2007) have studied the massive protocluster associated with S255N and they find that the class I methanol are aligned in the direction of the outflow.

In the case of IRAS 18511, the methanol maser is at distance of 0.1 pc from IRAS 18511 A and 0.4 pc from G5 (VLBA source). Also, no water masers or H II region have been detected near IRAS 18511 A. Further, it is believed that shocked gas from outflows/accretion might be responsible for class I methanol masers (Plambeck & Menten 1990; Johnston et al. 1992). Zhang et al. (2005) have imaged IRAS 185111 ($~30\prime\prime$) in the CO ($J = 2 \rightarrow 1$) line and the emission shows a peak close to IRAS 18511 A. This is unlike the case of C$^{18}$O where the peak of emission is located at IRAS 18511 B (Watt & Mundy 1999). There is also evidence for an outflow from IRAS 18511 A since wings are seen in the line profiles observed by Brand et al. (2001). All these facts suggest that IRAS 18511 A is in an earlier evolutionary state than IRAS 18511 B.

Considering the above observations, analyses and simulations of the cluster associated with IRAS 18511, the following likely scenario emerges. This is a young cluster with sources in an early evolutionary phase (class I and class II). The large extinction indicates that probably there are more low-mass cluster members hidden in the molecular cloud which will start appearing as the cluster evolves and the molecular cloud disperses. IRAS 18511 A is likely to be an intermediate mass/massive young object, probably a class I object. Its luminosity indicates that it is probably the most massive object in the cluster. On the other hand, the presence of radio emission near G5 indicates that G5 could be an older member of the cluster. The absence of other young objects in the vicinity of IRAS 18511 A can possibly be explained by large extinction as indicated by the JCMT sub-millimetre maps (peak of emission is at A). Further study of IRAS 18511 (high angular resolution mid infrared maps and molecular line maps) can shed light on the likely scenario.

5.4. Precursor to Herbig star type cluster?

The clusters associated with Herbig stars and their properties have been studied by Testi et al. (1997), Testi et al. (1998), Testi et al. (1999). In particular, the mid infrared emission (10 $\mu$m) from a few such clusters has been investigated by Habart et al. (2003). The luminosity as well as the clustering suggests that IRAS 18511 could be a precursor to a Herbig star cluster. Testi et al. (1999) report the tendency of early Herbig Be stars to be surrounded by dense clusters of lower mass companions. Some of the clusters associated with earlier Herbig stars (of ZAMS spectral type B0) are found to have $\sim 75$ members, where the lower mass limit is $\sim 0.2 M_\odot$ for 2 mag of extinction in K. It is interesting to compare these numbers with the model results which suggest cluster membership to be $\sim 200$ for masses greater than $0.2 M_\odot$. While the model results predict the number of cluster members to be $\sim 2$–3 times the number observed by Testi et al. (1999), it is useful to note that the clusters of Testi et al. (1999) are more evolved as compared to the model which is an embedded cluster. And evolved clusters with intermediate mass Herbig Ae/Be stars retain less than 50% of its members (Weidner et al. 2007). Further, the size of the embedded cluster (size of cloud from sub-millimetre map) associated with IRAS 18511 is $\sim 0.6$ pc. This compares well with the cluster sizes of 0.2–0.7 pc obtained for clusters associated with Herbig Ae/Be stars of spectral type B0 (Testi et al. 1999). The mid infrared (excess) emission as well as the large extinction (it is not visible optically) indicates that the main source (A) is in an early evolutionary stage. Habart et al. (2003) find objects with mid infrared emission from circumstellar disks and envelopes in five out of twelve fields of known Herbig AeBe stars they studied. The IRAS 18511 field also shows many objects in mid infrared. In short, the following considerations: (a) luminosity (b) evolutionary stage of the objects (c) size of associated cluster and (d) number of cluster members, suggest that the source associated with IRAS 18511 is a protocluster with a candidate precursor to a Herbig star cluster. This is in accordance with the suggestions of Testi et al. (1998) and Molinari et al. (2000). We therefore, believe that IRAS 18511 represents an early stage of clusters associated with an intermediate mass object.

6. Summary

With the aim of studying the early evolution of clusters, we have selected IRAS 18511 to carry out a detailed investigation. Using
emission at sub-millimetre (JCMT-SCUBA), infrared (Spitzer-MIPS, Spitzer-IRAC and Palomar) and radio (VLA) wavelengths, we have studied the main source as well as the cluster associated with IRAS 18511. The results are consistent with simulations of a young embedded cluster incorporating class I and class II sources. Based on the luminosity, and properties of the associated cluster (number of members, size of cluster and evolutionary stages of objects), we conclude that IRAS 18511 is a protocluster with the most massive object being a candidate precursor to a Herbig Ae/Be star.
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Appendix A: Photometry of Spitzer images

IRAS 18511 consists of a very bright source and a small group of stars near it. We, therefore, first explored our photometry techniques on a field consisting of isolated sources (which are not saturated) with good signal-to-noise. The following methods were used.

1. APEX – The Spitzer Astronomical Point Source EXtraction (APEX) is a software provided by the Spitzer Science Centre for point source extraction and photometry. We have used the Linux version MOPEX 030106 for our study. In this software, the sources are first detected using non-linear matched filtering and image segmentation. Subsequent point source extraction is performed by fitting the Point Response Function (PRF) to the detected sources (Makovoz et al. 2004). More details can be found in the APEX Manual. For PRF fitting, the PRF has been taken from the Spitzer Science Center (SSC) webpage. In addition, aperture photometry can also be carried out. We have compared the fluxes from apertures of radii 6′′, 9′′ and 12′′.

2. DAOPHOT – We have used the IDL version of the IRAF-DAOPHOT package in order to carry out source extraction and aperture photometry with an aperture radius of 6′′ and background annulus of 12′′. Appropriate aperture corrections have been applied.

3. SExtractor – Source Extractor is a program which can be used to extract sources from moderately crowded fields. The background in this package is, however, determined from the full image and the objects above a given threshold are detected and de-blended. For photometry, we have used an aperture radius of 6′′.

4. AIPS/GILDAS – We have also used the AIPS/GILDAS software to compute the integrated flux down to 10% of the peak value after subtracting the background. Appropriate aperture corrections have been applied depending on the size of the integration area.

All the above methods did a reasonably good task of source extraction and photometry. The values of fluxes of the isolated bright sources obtained from the methods mentioned above were compared. The values were found to be within 5% of each other. We then proceeded to extract and carry out photometry of the sources in the region around IRAS 18511 which has bright saturated sources located close to each other as well as some diffuse emission. The saturated pixels were replaced by an average value of the neighbouring non-saturated pixels. For this region, we find the following:

- The source extraction by SEXTTRACTOR is better than that by the PRF fitting methods, APEX and DAOPHOT.
- The aperture photometry methods yield consistent results within 5% of the flux values.
- The PRF fitting method of APEX finds quite a large number of sources near the bright source and the flux is distributed among them.
- The flux values obtained by AIPS/GILDAS which integrates fluxes in circular areas down to 10% of the peak brightness after subtracting the background gives consistent results with those from aperture photometry.

Appendix B: Description of the cluster simulations

For a given IMF (Scalo or Salpeter or Kroupa), the cluster members are randomly selected by using a Monte-Carlo method. The lower mass limit is taken to be 0.1 $M_\odot$. An age (star formation history) is assigned to each cluster member according to either of the two prescriptions: (a) coeval formation – every cluster member has the same age, or (b) uniform formation rate – an age is assigned to every cluster member which falls randomly between the ages representing the start and finish of the star formation process. The luminosity and effective temperature of every cluster member having a certain mass and age is determined using the pre-main sequence tracks of Palla & Stahler (1999). Because of uncertainties in the early pre-main sequence evolution of stars, we have assumed a lower limit on the age. The pre-main sequence track corresponding to 0.5 Myr is applied even to objects with ages less than 0.5 Myr. For ages of a given mass (as well as masses for a given age) greater than that for the pre-main sequence tracks, the luminosities and effective temperatures have been obtained by assuming them to be lying on the tracks of zero-age main sequence (ZAMS) stars. For such class II objects, it is assumed that although the central source has reached the ZAMS stage, there is a remnant disk around it. The cluster members are randomly added to the cluster till the total luminosity reaches the bolometric luminosity of the cluster. It is important to note that the the luminosity of each cluster member includes luminosities from the central object (photosphere for the class II objects) as well as from the circumstellar material (disk for class II and envelope for class I objects).

The cluster members are now placed at random locations in a homogeneous spherical cloud of gas of assumed mass and size (derived from the sub-millimetre/millimetre maps). In other words, the distribution of the cluster members follows the gas distribution. Thus, there is a column of gas in front of every embedded cluster member which determines its extinction. Therefore, different cluster members suffer different amounts of extinctions based on their positions within the cloud of gas. An additional visual extinction of 7 mag (due to ISM) has been added to the extinctions. Taking the total extinction into consideration, the apparent magnitude of every cluster member is determined in each band and is considered detected if the object is brighter than the sensitivity limit in that band. The sensitivities in various bands as well as the extinction laws used are listed in Table B.1. The near infrared extinction laws have been taken from Rieke & Lebofsky (1985). For the Spitzer-IRAC bands, we have used the average extinction value obtained by Indebetouw et al. (2005). At 24 µm, we have used an extrapolated extinction value.

Every cluster member is classified as class I or class II. Sources younger than 0.5 Myr are considered as class I and those older than 0.5 Myr are considered to be of class II type. We have taken the age of 0.5 Myr between the class I and more evolved objects as the pre-main sequence evolution models show uncertainties below the age of 1 Myr (Baraffe et al. 2002). For class I sources, the flux in various bands has been determined based on the spectrum of the well-studied class I type low mass protostar, L1551-IRS5. Cohen & Schwartz (1983) list the magnitudes of L1551-IRS5 in 11 bands ranging from 1.2 µm to 19 µm. The ratio of the absolute flux (corrected for distance of L1551) to the luminosity of L1551-IRS5 ($\sim 35 L_\odot$) has been used as a scaling factor to obtain the fluxes of class I type objects in the simulations corresponding to the luminosities of these objects. The ratios of fluxes (in various bands) to the total luminosity are tabulated in Table B.1.

In order to determine the emission from pre-main sequence objects in the class II phase, we have used the median spectrum of class II type objects in the Taurus star forming region (Table 6 of Furlan et al. 2006). This median spectrum has been
Table B.1. Extinction laws and various other constants used in the simulations. $F_\lambda/F_H$ represents the ratio of flux in each band with respect to the flux in $H$ band assumed for class II sources in the simulations based on the median spectrum of class II sources by Furlan et al. (2006) and $\lambda/L$ represents the ratio of flux in each band to the luminosity of L1551-IRS5 (Cohen & Schwartz 1983) used to estimate the fluxes of class I sources in the simulations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\lambda$ ((\mu m))</th>
<th>$A_\lambda / A_V$</th>
<th>$F_\lambda / F_H$</th>
<th>$\lambda/L$</th>
<th>Sensitivity limit ((\mu m L_\odot))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>0.979</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>16.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.787</td>
<td>2.107</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.714</td>
<td>9.880</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.645</td>
<td>14.65</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.654</td>
<td>27.51</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>1.326</td>
<td>495.0</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

constructed using fluxes from bands including the near infrared ($JHK_s$) and Spitzer-IRAC (3.6, 4.5, 5.8 and 8.0 $\mu m$) bands. This median spectrum implies flux ratios (flux in various bands with respect to the flux in the $H$-band) which are given in Table B.1. The normalization has been carried out with respect to the flux values in $H$ (1.65 $\mu m$) band since the $H$-band flux is photospheric for most class II objects (Furlan et al. 2006). As the class I and class II spectra are of low-mass objects, we have used these in the model simulations for objects of all masses. Although the pre-main sequence evolution of massive stars is not clearly understood, we use these class I and class II spectra since fits from RWIW models (Sect. 3.6.1) indicate that the SEDs of cluster members of IRAS 18511 are well-fit by models comprising massive central objects. The class I and class II type spectral energy distributions, used in the model, are shown in Fig 8. These spectra have been normalised with respect to the $H$ (1.65 $\mu m$) band. The Spitzer colours of class I and class II SEDs considered for modelling are shown in Fig. 4.