

Timing analysis of the core of the Crab-like SNR G21.5–0.9

N. La Palombara and S. Mereghetti

Istituto di Fisica Cosmica “G. Occhialini”, via Bassini 15, 20133 Milano, Italy

Received 15 October 2001 / Accepted 18 December 2001

Abstract. The Crab-like SNR G21.5-0.9 was observed in the X-ray band (0.5–10 keV) by the *XMM-Newton* satellite for over 100 ks. The large effective area of the *EPIC* instrument has allowed us to perform a deep search for pulsations from the central core of G21.5–0.9. No pulsations were found with upper limits on the pulsed fraction between 7.5% and 40% (depending on frequency and energy range).

Key words. ISM: individual: G21.5-0.9 – supernova remnants – X-rays: ISM

1. Introduction

Almost 5% of the ~ 225 known supernova remnants (SNRs) in our galaxy are classified as “Crab-like” or “plerionic” (Green 2000). From the spatial point of view, they are characterized by compact, centre-filled radio and X-ray morphology (Weiler & Panagia 1978). These SNRs show featureless power-law spectra, with a relatively flat spectral index in the radio regime ($\alpha_r \sim 0.0$ – 0.3) and a steeper one at shorter wavelengths, which are typical of synchrotron processes.

These morphological and spectral characteristics are explained by the presence of a central pulsar, which injects high energy electrons that suffer synchrotron radiation losses as they diffuse through the surrounding magnetic field (see, e.g., Reynolds & Chanan 1984).

G21.5–0.9 shows many characteristics of the Crab-like remnants. Both in the radio band (Morsi & Reich 1987) and at X-ray energies (Becker & Szymkowiak 1981) its emission is centrally peaked. Evidence for a non-thermal X-ray spectrum was also indicated by *GINGA* observations (Asaoka & Koyama 1990).

The available measurements of the neutral hydrogen absorption give a distance of ~ 4.8 kpc (Becker & Szymkowiak 1981). The radio luminosity of G21.5–0.9 is $\sim 1.8 \times 10^{34} d_5^2 \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ (Morsi & Reich 1987), i.e. a factor ~ 9 smaller than that of the Crab, but its X-ray luminosity is a factor ~ 100 less, therefore the L_X/L_r ratio is significantly lower.

X-ray observations performed with the *Chandra* satellite detected a compact central core of $\sim 2''$ in size, at the center of the more extended synchrotron nebula of $\sim 30''$

radius (Slane et al. 2000). The central core, which is spatially resolved, most likely marks the position of the pulsar powering G21.5–0.9. Also a fainter, more extended “halo” (radius $\sim 2'$) was detected with *Chandra*. This was tentatively interpreted as the outer “shell” formed by the expanding ejecta and the passage of the supernova-driven blastwave (Slane et al. 2000). However, a recent *XMM-Newton* observation shows that also the halo has a non-thermal spectrum; it is probably a low surface brightness extension of the plerionic nebula (Warwick et al. 2001). However, this interpretation is not supported by radio data, since no significant radio emission has yet been detected at such a large distance from the source core (Bock & Wright 2001).

Up to now no pulsed emission has been detected in the radio or X-ray energy range from the putative neutron star at the center of G21.5–0.9 (Frail & Moffet 1993; Kaspi et al. 1996; Biggs & Lyne 1996; Slane et al. 2000). In this paper we report the results of a sensitive timing analysis on data provided by four *XMM-Newton* observations.

2. Observations and data analysis

During April 2000, G21.5–0.9 was observed four times by the *XMM-Newton* mission as one of the calibration targets. A log of the observations is given in Table 1. The source was on-axis in the first observation and $\sim 10'$ off-axis in the following ones; in each case the accumulated exposure time was ~ 30 ks. The results reported by Warwick et al. (2001) were based only on the on-axis observation.

In all the observations the source was imaged by the three focal plane *CCD* cameras (*MOS1*, *MOS2* and *PN*) of the *EPIC* instrument (Turner et al. 2001; Strüder et al. 2001). Each of the *MOS* cameras provides an effective area

Send offprint requests to: N. La Palombara,
e-mail: nicola@ifctr.mi.cnr.it

Table 1. Summary of the observations.

Start time (UT) April 2000	Off-axis angle arcmin	Exposure ks	MOS frame s	PN frame ms
7, 12h 35m	0.2	30	2.6	200
9, 12h 22m	10.3	29	2.6	73
11, 12h 26m	10.4	29	2.6	73
15, 12h 26m	10.2	29	2.6	73

of $\sim 600 \text{ cm}^2$ at 1.5 keV, and covers the energy range 0.2–10 keV; for the *PN* camera the corresponding values are, respectively, $\sim 1400 \text{ cm}^2$ and 0.15–15 keV. All the instruments were operated with the medium filter. The *PN* camera worked in *Extended Full Frame* mode in the first observation, with a *CCD* frame time of 200 ms, and in standard *Full Frame* mode in the following ones, with a frame time of 73 ms; both *MOS* cameras used the *Full Frame* mode in all the observations, with a frame time of 2.6 s.

The first step of our data analysis was the event selection. For each of the four observations and for each of the three cameras we extracted all the events, with energy between 1 and 10 keV, from a circular region with radius of $25''$ centered at the peak of the X-ray emission (RA = 18h 33m 33.8s, DEC = $-10^\circ 34' 6''$ (J2000)). This radius contains $\sim 80\%$ of the photons for a point source. We only considered events with pattern in the range 0–12 and 0–4 for, respectively, the *MOS* and the *PN* camera, in order to reject the non X-ray events due to cosmic rays and cosmetic defects.

The times of the selected events were converted to the Solar System barycenter with the *Reconstructed Orbit Files* provided by the *XMM Survey Science Center*. These events were still tagged with discrete arrival times, corresponding to the readout times of the individual CCD frames: these arrival times were “randomized” by subtracting a random value between 0 and the relevant frame time from the original times.

On these data we performed search for periodicities based on Fourier analysis (see, e.g., van der Klis 1989). A Fourier power spectrum was computed for each of the instruments and observations and examined for the presence of peaks above a threshold corresponding to a chance probability of 10^{-3} of being exceeded in the absence of a signal in a single spectrum. No significant peak was found.

To increase the detection sensitivity we repeated the same analysis on the sum of the individual spectra. To this aim, due to the three different CCD frame times (0.073, 0.2 and 2.6 s), we had to consider three different frequency ranges for the data analysis (Table 2):

- for $\nu < 0.1923 \text{ Hz} = (2 \times 2.6 \text{ s})^{-1}$, we summed the power spectra of all the observations and instruments;
- for $0.1923 \text{ Hz} < \nu < 2.5 \text{ Hz} = (2 \times 0.2 \text{ s})^{-1}$, we summed the power spectra of only the *PN* data of the four observations;

- for $2.5 \text{ Hz} < \nu < 6.85 \text{ Hz} = (2 \times 0.073 \text{ s})^{-1}$, we summed the power spectra of the *PN* data of the three off-axis observations.

Again, no statistically significant peak was found in the summed power spectra. We also repeated the whole procedure for two distinct energy ranges, 1–3.5 keV and 3.5–10 keV, again without any significant pulsed signal.

To compute the upper limits on the source pulsed fraction, in the assumption of a sinusoidal pulse shape, we followed the procedure described in van der Klis (1989), taking into account the relevant correction pointed out by Vaughan et al. (1994). Finally, we had to correct the resulting upper limits to take into account the fraction of unpulsed flux due to the nebular emission. We based this correction on the results of the *Chandra* observation (Slane et al. 2000), showing that at least 92% of the flux within $30''$ is of diffuse origin. Thus we obtained the upper limits (99.9% confidence level) on the pulsed fraction of the neutron star emission given in Table 2, where we also report the number of counts used in the analysis.

3. Discussion

Our upper limits can be compared with those obtained by some recent works based on X-ray data of G21.5–0.9. Note that the values reported by Warwick et al. (2001), 3.5% and 5.5% (respectively for MOS+PN and PN only data), refer to the *total* flux within an extraction radius of $8''$, including the nebular emission. Our results for the on-axis observation alone (the one used by these authors) are similar, but we reached a better sensitivity in the summed power spectra (corresponding to a factor ~ 4 greater exposure time).

For instrumental reasons, our search was limited to periods longer than 146 ms. Although it is clearly possible that the pulsar in G21.5–0.9 has a shorter period, we note that Safi-Harb et al. (2001), from energetic considerations, estimated a period of $P = 0.144 (I_{45}/(\dot{E}_{37}\tau_3))^{1/2} \text{ s}$ (where $I = 10^{45} I_{45} \text{ g cm}^2$ is the moment of inertia, $\dot{E} = 10^{37} \dot{E}_{37} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ is the spin-down energy loss and $\tau = \tau_3 \times (3 \text{ kyr})$ is the pulsar characteristic age). For reasonable values of τ and \dot{E} , such a period falls in the range we could explore with EPIC. The same authors analyzed five data sets (total 75 ks) obtained with the *Chandra HRC* instrument. They report an upper limit of 16%, without quoting the confidence level and, presumably, referring to the total flux within $2''$.

Table 2. Upper limits on the pulsed fraction (99.9% c.l.).

Frequency range Hz	1–10 keV		1–3.5 keV		3.5–10 keV	
	%	counts	%	counts	%	counts
<0.19	6.2–7.5	522 142	9.1–11.0	300332	12.4–13.5	221 810
0.19–2.5	15.1–17.1	275 543	19.5–22.1	154231	24.2–27.3	121 312
2.5–6.8	24.6–30.1	170 434	32–39.2	98105	33.3–40.8	72 329

The “canonical” picture of plerionic supernova remnants is based on young, energetic neutron stars with short rotation periods, such as the Crab pulsar ($P = 33$ ms) or the recently discovered pulsar in 3C 58 ($P = 66$ ms, Murray et al. 2001). However, other results show that also sensitive searches for slower pulsars are relevant: there are in fact relatively young pulsars with long periods. Besides the well known example of PSR B1509–58 in the SNR G320.4–01.2 ($P = 150$ ms), other recent findings include the 325 ms pulsar in the SNR Kes 75 (Gotthelf et al. 2000), and PSR J1119–6127 ($P = 407$ ms, Camilo et al. 2000), which however does not have a bright synchrotron nebula.

Acknowledgements. We wish to thank the Italian Space Agency (ASI) for its financial support to this work.

References

- Asaoka, I., & Koyama, K. 1990, PASJ, 42, 625
 Becker, R. H., & Szymkowiak, A. E. 1981, ApJ, 248, L23
 Biggs, J. D., & Lyne, A. G. 1996, MNRAS, 282, 691
 Bock, D. C. J., Wright, M. C., & Dickel, J. R. 2001, ApJ, 561, L203
 Camilo, F., et al. 2000, ApJ, 541, 367
 Frail, D. A., & Moffett, D. A. 1993, ApJ, 408, 637
 Gotthelf, E. V., et al. 2000, ApJ, 542, 37
 Green, D. A. 2000, A Catalogue of Galactic Supernova Remnants, Cambridge UK, available at <http://www.mrao.cam.ac.uk/surveys/snrs/>
 Kaspi, V. M., et al. 1996, AJ, 111, 2028
 Morsi, H. W., & Reich, W. 1987, A&AS, 69, 533
 Murray, S. S. et al. 2001, [astro-ph/0108489]
 Reynolds, S. P., & Chanan, G. A. 1984, ApJ, 281, 673
 Safi-Harb, S., et al. 2001, ApJ, 561, 308
 Slane, P., et al. 2000, ApJ, 533, L29
 Strüder, L., et al. 2001, A&A, 365, L18
 Turner, M. J. L., et al. 2001, A&A, 365, L27
 van der Klis, M. 1989, in Timing Neutron Stars, NATO ASI Ser.C, ed. H. Ögelman, & E. P. van den Heuvel (Dordrecht: Kluwer), 262, 27
 Vaughan, B. A., et al. 1994, ApJ, 435, 362
 Warwick, R. S., et al. 2001, A&A, 365, L248
 Weiler, K. W., & Panagia, N. 1978, A&A, 70, 419