X-ray spectral components of starburst galaxies
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Abstract. X-ray emission processes in starburst galaxies (SBGs) are assessed, with the aim of identifying and characterizing the main spectral components. Our survey of spectral properties, complemented with a model for the evolution of galactic stellar populations, leads to the prediction of a complex spectrum. Comparing the predicted spectral properties with current X-ray measurements of the nearby SBGs M 82 and N253, we draw the following tentative conclusions: 1) X-ray binaries with accreting neutron stars are the main contributors in the 2–15 keV band, and could be responsible for the yet uninterpreted hard component required to fit the observed 0.5–10 keV spectra of SBGs; 2) diffuse thermal plasma contributes at energies \(< 1\) keV; 3) nonthermal emission, from Compton scattering of FIR and CMB radiation fields photons off supernova-accelerated relativistic electrons, and AGN-like emission, are likely be the dominant emission at energies \(> 30\) keV; 4) supernova remnants make a relatively minor contribution to the X-ray continuum but may contribute appreciably to the Fe-K emission at 6.7 keV.
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1. Introduction

In starburst galaxies (SBGs) enhanced star formation activity (lasting typically \(\lesssim 10^8\) yr) drives a chain of coupled stellar and interstellar (IS) phenomena that are manifested as intense far-infrared (FIR) and X-ray emission. The SBG class is a heterogeneous group of galaxies that are selected based on optical, UV and FIR properties. Historically, optically selected galaxies, like HII galaxies, were first recognized to undergo a burst of star formation (Searle et al. 1973); the term “starburst galaxy” was introduced by Weedman (1981; see also Balzano 1983). Subsequently, FIR-luminous galaxies were also recognized to be SBGs, a consequence of efficient heating of IS dust by the radiation from abundant massive stars (Soifer et al. 1986). Increased stellar activity leads also to a higher supernova (SN) rate, shock heating of IS gas, and a more efficient particle acceleration mechanism in SBGs compared to “normal” spirals.

Interest in SBGs stems also from the realization that these resemble young galaxies in the earlier universe. Indeed, a SB phase was very common in the earlier universe, as the cosmic star formation rate (SFR) and hence the cosmic chemical enrichment was substantially higher at epochs corresponding to \(z \gtrsim 1\) (with the data being interpreted with the SFR) having either a peak in the redshift range \(1 \lesssim z \lesssim 2\) (Madau et al. 1996), or – due to small number statistics and dust correction effects – a plateau out to \(z \sim 4\) (Thompson et al. 2001). So, if the main properties of SBGs in the present universe resemble those of normal galaxies during the evolutionary phase at \(z > 1\), the study of local SBGs may provide insight into processes that occurred at that earlier epoch.

A primary manifestation of the SB activity is X-ray emission. Given the greatly enhanced SFR, energetic phenomena related to the final stages of stellar evolution – X-ray binaries, supernova remnants (SNRs), galactic winds, and Compton scattering of ambient FIR photons off SN-accelerated relativistic electrons – clearly suggest that SBGs are typically more powerful X-ray emitters than normal galaxies. In fact, normalized to the 7000 Å flux (i.e., gauging activity by the old stellar population), in the X-ray band SBGs (as well as of other classes of active galaxies) are brighter than normal (spiral and elliptical) galaxies (Schmitt et al. 1997). The mean X-ray spectrum of SBGs is expected to reflect the diverse nature of high-energy activity in SBGs.

The earliest attempt to determine a mean broad-band spectrum of SBGs (based on Einstein/IPC, HEAO1-A2, and HEAO1-A4 data for a sample of 51 FIR-selected putative SBGs) yielded some evidence that the (co-added) emission from SBGs was detectable beyond \(\sim 10\) keV even based on limited-quality survey data, and that the mean SBG spectrum was somewhat harder (photon spectrum index \(\Gamma \sim 1.5\)) than the mean AGN spectrum (Rephaeli et al. 1991, 1995). Individual spectral studies (based on
data of limited spatial resolution) have shown the 0.5–
10 keV spectra of SBGs to be complex: these are best-fit by one (or more) low-temperature (\(kT < 1\) keV) compo-
nent(s) plus a harder component, the latter interpreted as being either thermal with \(kT \sim 5–10\) keV or non-
thermal with \(\Gamma \sim 1.5–2\) (M82, N253: Ptak et al. 1997
and Cappi et al. 1999; M83: Okada et al. 1997; N2146:
Della Ceca et al. 1999; N3256: Moran et al. 1999; N3310,
N3690: Zezas et al. 1998). A purely thermal hard compo-
nent would imply low chemical abundance (\(Z \sim 0.3 Z_\odot\)),
whereas comparable contributions of thermal and non-
thermal emissions would imply \(Z \sim Z_\odot\). The issue of
whether the hard component is actually thermal with inferred high temperatures and strongly subsolar abun-
dance, and whether it originates from genuinely hot dif-
fuse gas or from unresolved point sources, is not settled yet
(e.g., Weaver et al. 2000; Dahlem et al. 2000). Furthermore,
the spectrum of at least some SBGs may also include a
substantial contribution from a compact nuclear source: see,
e.g., the apparent temporal variability of the 2–10 keV flux
found in ASCA and RXTE measurements of M82
(Tsuru et al. 1997; Ptak & Griffiths 1999; Rephaeli &
Gruber 2001). More recently, for N253, Chandra data have
resolved the regions where the soft thermal X-rays origi-
nate (Strickland et al. 2000), and XMM has separated the
extended and point-like emission components in the disk
and the nuclear region: the unresolved (diffuse?) emission
is spatially and spectrally complex, with two warm plasma
components in the disk and three (warm and hot) in the
nucleus (Pietsch et al. 2001). For both N253 and M82, Chandra data have shown that the \(\sim 2–10\) keV flux is
dominated by point-source emission (Strickland et al.
2000; Griffiths et al. 2000).

The main goal of this paper is an attempt to quantify
the X-ray characteristics of the (stellar and non-stellar
driven) modes of activities in SBGs, in order to identify
basic spectral features that will help elucidate the nature
of these galaxies. Starting from a realistic stellar popula-
tion model for X-ray binaries and SNRs in our Galaxy,
we account for all the viable stellar and gaseous X-ray emit-
ting processes in a galactic environment, and describe the
respective spectra in Sect. 2. In Sect. 3 we construct a
template for the composite X-ray spectrum of a galaxy;
this is then compared (in Sect. 4) with measurements of
the nearby SBGs M82 and N253. We conclude with a
summary of our main findings (Sect. 5).

2. Galactic sites of X-ray emission

X-ray emission in galaxies is either directly related to
stellar activity, or closely associated with it through SN
and SN-driven gas heating and particle acceleration pro-
cesses. X-ray emission processes that are associated with
stellar activity can be modelled self-consistently based
on our knowledge of the evolution of stellar populations.
Specifically, assume that any spectral differences among
the various components stem from differences in mass,
mass ratio, and geometry of the system. If so, the birthrate
function \(\nu\), the duration of the X-ray bright phase \(\tau_x\),
the X-ray luminosity \(L_x\) (in a given spectral band), and
the profile of the emitted spectrum \(f(\epsilon)\), will all be functions
of the initial primary stellar mass \(M\), the initial separa-
tion \(a\), and the initial mass ratio \(q\) (i.e., allowing for binary
systems). The resulting X-ray spectrum is then

\[
\bar{f}(\epsilon) = \int \int \nu\tau_x L_x f(\epsilon) \, dM \, dq \, da
\]

As it will be discussed in the following sections, high-
luminosity and low-luminosity X-ray binaries differ mainly
in the range of \(q\) values, whereas SN of types Ia and II dif-
fer mainly in the range of \(M\) values. X-ray binaries span a
similar mass range as SN of both types, but have very dif-
ferent durations of X-ray bright phase, as well as different
spectral emission profiles. Based on these considerations,
our aim here is to provide a "handbook" of the most rele-
vant emission processes in SBGs, a convenient scheme for
calculating superposed spectra under various conditions
that can be easily updated as new data become available.
In this sense, the work reported here is a more detailed
study of galactic X-ray emitting environments; as such, it
is an extension of our previous work (Rephaeli et al. 1995),
and it is more comprehensive than, e.g., the work of David
et al. (1992) in which the respective role of various sources
of emission in FIR-selected normal and SB galaxies was
discussed on the energetic grounds alone.

In general, theoretical population synthesis models of
SBs have been constructed based on the emission from
individual stars (Mas-Hesse & Kunth 1991; Leitherer &
Heckman 1995; Meynet 1995), and also binary sys-
tems (Vanbeveren et al. 1997; Schaerer & Vacca 1998;
Vanbeveren et al. 1998; Van Bever & Vanbeveren 1998;

In particular, syntheses of X-ray emitting stellar popu-
lations in SBs have already been performed in recent years.
Mas-Hesse et al. (1996), who used evolutionary models
by Mas-Hesse & Kunth (1991) and Cerviño & Mas-Hesse
(1994), computed the multiwavelength energy distribution
for two SBGs, including X-ray emission from massive O
stars, HMXBs, and SNRs. Lipunov et al. (1996a) stud-
ied the temporal evolution of the HMXB binary popu-
lation in a SB on a timescale of \(10^{7}\) yr, and concluded
that the statistics of X-ray binaries depend very much
on the SB age. Van Bever & Vanbeveren (2000), com-
bining a close-binary population-number synthesis code
with mechanisms of X-ray emission in young SNRs and
HMXBs, studied the temporal evolution of the X-ray lu-
iminosity of SBs noting the importance of interacting bi-
naries in the evolution and X-ray emission of SBs.
The main difference between the work reported here and previous works is in their respective scopes: ours is a detailed discussion of a synthetic X-ray spectrum of SBGs. Other differences concern: (i) the neglect, in earlier works, of the contributions of LMXBs, galactic winds, and Comptonization to the X-ray luminosity of SBs; (ii) the shapes of the X-ray spectra assumed for the various classes of sources; and (iii) the steady-state SB considered in this work versus the evolving SBs investigated by others² (e.g., Lipunov et al. 1996a; Van Bever & Vanbeveren 2000).

Similarities to previous work mainly concern the overall physics underlying the assumed population-number synthesis models for massive stars with realistic frequencies of massive close binaries. Several such models exist (Dewey & Cordes 1987; Meurs & van den Heuvel 1989; Pols et al. 1991; Pols & Marinus 1994; Tutukov et al. 1992; Iben et al. 1995a, 1995b; Dalton & Sarazin 1995a, 1995b; Lipunov et al. 1996b; Jorgensen et al. 1997) and have been adopted in synthetic works on stellar populations. The overall physics of the binary evolution scenario is similar in all models, the differences concerning mainly the distribution of the initial binary parameters and the detailed treatment of the effects of SN explosions within massive binaries.

2.1. X-ray binaries

Binary systems constitute the brightest class of Galactic X-ray sources (see reviews by White et al. 1995, and van Paradijs 1998). The primary factors that determine the emission properties of X-ray binaries are: (1) nature of the accreting object, either a black hole (BH) or a neutron star (NS), (2) if a NS, strength and geometry of its magnetic field, (3) geometry of the accretion flow (disk vs. spherical accretion) from the optical companion, (4) mass of the accreting object, and (5) the mass accretion rate. Properties (1)-(3) determine the nature and location of the emission region (i.e., a hot accretion disk surrounding a BH, the polar cap of a NS, the boundary layer between the accretion disk and the NS surface), while the mass (of the accreting companion) and accretion rate largely determine the overall luminosity, spectral shape, and time variability of the emission.

An important and essentially full characterization of X-ray binaries can be made according to the mass of the donor star: (a) high-mass X-ray binaries (HMXB), where the optical component is a main-sequence star with $M_{\text{opt}} \geq 8 M_\odot$; and (b) low-mass X-ray binaries (LMXB), where the optical component is a post-main-sequence, Roche-lobe-overflowing star with $M_{\text{opt}} \lesssim 1 M_\odot$.³ The main distinction between HMXBs and LMXBs has to do with the nature of the mass transfer — inefficient wind accretion in HMXBs, and efficient transfer through Roche-lobe throat in LMXBs (as a consequence, generally, $L_x^\text{L} \lesssim 0.5 L_x^\text{H}$).

An alternative and equally effective distinction, partially overlapping with the previous one, can be made by the nature of the accreting object: (a) systems containing a strongly magnetized neutron star (NS): these systems are HMXBs; (b) systems containing a weakly magnetized NS: these systems are LMXBs; and (c) systems containing a black hole (BH): these systems can be both HMXBs and LMXBs.

The following brief comments should be noted (see van Paradijs 1998).

Virtually all HMXBs harbor strongly magnetized X-ray pulsars ($B \gtrsim 10^{12}$ G, as also inferred from the presence of cyclotron lines in their X-ray spectra; see Mihara et al. 1991 and references therein); on the other hand, X-ray pulsations occur only rarely in LMXBs, while bursts⁴ do occur only in LMXBs. The mutual exclusion of pulsations and bursts (but see Kouveliotou et al. 1996) suggests that the feature distinguishing the NSs in LMXBs from those in HMXBs is either a weaker magnetic field, or the alignment of the NS magnetic and rotational axes. However, the difference in the X-ray spectral properties of HMXBs and LMXBs (the former usually having harder spectra, see White & Marshall 1984) strongly points to a difference in the geometry of the accretion flow, and hence of the magnetic field strength⁵. In fact, in a NS with magnetic fields of $\sim 10^{12}$ G (and sub-Eddington accretion rates), the accretion flow is disrupted at several hundred NS radii and most of the inflowing material is funneled onto the magnetic pole and reaches the NS on a relatively small area near the magnetic polar cap. The emission is magnetically beamed (either along or perpendicular to the field lines, corresponding to, respectively, “pencil beam”)

³ If the optical component is a main-sequence star with $1 < M/M_\odot < 8$, either it does not support strong radiatively driven winds, and/or the common binary envelope (which forms when the accretion rate onto the compact component is so large that $L_{\text{accr}} > L_{\text{Edd}}$; see below) is very short lived, so that the ensuing X-ray emission is unlikely to be observed.

⁴ Luminosity increases by a factor $\gtrsim 10$ usually within 1 s, followed by a decay to pre-burst X-ray flux level within 10 s to a minute.

⁵ The difference in magnetic field strength between NSs in HMXBs and those in LMXBs could be either primordial or evolutionary. In the former case it may be related to a difference in the formation mechanisms of NSs in HMXBs and in LMXBs, i.e., via the normal evolution of a massive star and via the accretion-induced collapse of a white dwarf, respectively. In the latter case, a decay of the NS magnetic field — either spontaneous or caused by (e.g.) the accretion process — has been suggested by the observation that (strongly magnetized) NSs in HMXBs are all young objects, whereas those (weakly magnetized) in LMXBs are typically much older.

² As for the populations of X-ray binaries observed in external galaxies (see Fabbiano 1995; Roberts & Warwick 2000), a birth-death model (Wu 2001) can calculate their luminosity functions: by modelling the star formation history, i.e. by assuming a bursting (or continual) formation process, the model can reproduce the presence (or absence) and location of the luminosity break in the binaries’ $\log N - \log S$ curves.

M. Persic and Y. Rephaeli: X-ray spectral components of starburst galaxies 845
or “fan beam” emission) and hence, if the magnetic and rotation axes are misaligned and if the beamed emission from the magnetic poles rotates through the line of sight to the observer, X-ray pulsations are observed; the emitted X-ray spectrum has a broken-power-law profile. (Note that these features collectively correspond to overall HMXB phenomenology.) On the other hand, for much weaker (<10^8 G) magnetic fields, the accretion disk may touch or come close to the NS surface, and the accreting material is distributed over a larger fraction of the NS surface; consequently, X-ray emission shows no pulsations (but it may show bursts^6), and has a partially Comptonized thermal spectrum ∝ e^−Γe−e/kT, with Γ ∼ 1.0−1.4. (These features collectively correspond to overall LMXB phenomenology.)

Spectra of BH X-ray binaries (BHXBs) have a distinct two-component signature (which has turned out to be a very good predictor for the presence of a BH in an X-ray binary; e.g., Tanaka & Lewin 1995). One component is ultrasoft with a characteristic temperature of ≳1 keV, which can be modelled as an optically thick, geometrically thin accretion disk (e.g., Ebisawa et al. 1994). The other component is an ultrahard power law with photon index in the range ~1.5 to ~2.5 that extends up to several hundred keV (e.g., Wilson & Rothschild 1983). There is a correlation between luminosity and shape of the 2−10 keV spectrum: a spectral hardening, caused by the weakening of the ultrasoft component, signals a transition from a high state, where the ultrasoft component may even completely dominate the emission, to a low state, where the ultrasoft component is weak or absent, and the X-ray spectrum is dominated by the hard power-law component. Out of 10 BHXBs currently known in the Galaxy^7, 3 are HMXBs, and 7 are transient LMXBs (White & van Paradijs 1996). The total number of BH X-ray transients is estimated to be N_{BHXT} ∼ 500, with typical recurrence time ∆t ∼ 100 yr, and decay time τd ∼ 0.5 yr (White & van Paradijs 1996). This implies that at any given time only N_{BHXT} τ_d/∆t ∼ 2−3 X-ray active BHXBs are expected in the Galaxy. Given the relative paucity of such sources within the two main families of X-ray binaries, the contribution from BHXBs will not be considered further in this paper.

We adopt the model of Iben et al. (1995a, b) for the formation of the Galactic X-ray binary population. The model is based on standard stellar evolution theory, and a numerical code with a semi-empirical birth function for binary stars (normalized to parameters appropriate for the Galaxy),

\[ d^3ν (yr^{-1}) = 0.2 \log a \left( \frac{dM}{M^{2.5}} \right) dq, \]

where \( a \) is the semimajor orbital axis of the binary orbit (in the range 10 ≤ a/R_⊙ ≤ 10^4), \( M \) is the mass of the primary, and \( (q ≤ 1) \) is the secondary-to-primary mass ratio (all quantities refer to the primordial system). The Iben et al. model is based on the assumption of equal binary birth and death rates and the attainment of steady state, valid when the age of the stellar population is much longer than the characteristic system lifetime.

### 2.1.1. HMXBs

Persistent HMXBs have short periods (\( P < 10 \) days) and show significant flux variability; these objects form in systems with \( 11.4 < M/M_⊙ ≤ 50 \) and \( 10 < qM/M_⊙ ≤ 30 \), and \( a < 2000 R_⊙ \) (so the primary star can make Roche-lobe contact when it leaves the main sequence). Transient HMXBs have recurrent pronounced flux spikes and periods of quiescence where their X-ray emission is below the limit of detectability; these objects have long periods (\( P > 10 \) days) and form in systems with \( q ∼ 1 \). Conservative (binary) mass transfer increases the secondary mass and the separation. A NS is formed as the remnant of a SN explosion of the primary star with mass \( 11.4 < M/M_⊙ < 40 \). (The lower limit can be 10 M_⊙ for binaries wide enough that the primary never fills the Roche lobe, but such systems do not evolve into X-ray binaries.) A >40 M_⊙ (primary) star is assumed to evolve into a ~10 M_⊙ BH.

X-rays from HMXBs result from accretion by a NS of matter from the radiatively driven wind of an OB star. The bright X-ray phase of persistent HMXBs begins when the optical component approaches the Roche lobe in size and the fraction of the donor-emitted wind matter captured by the NS (or BH) increases towards maximum. In order to estimate the birthrate of binary systems in the correct configuration, Iben et al. (1995a) assume that the accretion occurs at the Bondi & Hoyle (1944) rate, and that all the gravitational potential energy released in the accretion process is converted to X-rays. The luminosity is

\[ \frac{L_x}{L_⊙} \sim \frac{4 \times 10^{31}}{α_w} \left( \frac{M_x}{M_{opt}} \right) \left( \frac{R_{opt}}{a} \right)^2 \frac{1}{M_w}, \]

(3a)

where \( M_{opt} \) and \( R_{opt} \) are the donor (OB star) mass and radius, \( M_x \) is the primary mass, \( M_w \) is the mass-loss rate from the donor, and \( α_w \sim 1 - R_{opt}/a \) (Waters & van Kerkwijk 1989). Choosing the fairly typical values \( M_x ∼ 1.4 M_⊙ \), \( M_{opt} ∼ 14 M_⊙ \), \( R_{opt}/a ∼ 0.6 \), and \( M_w ∼ 10^{-6} M_⊙ yr^{-1} \) (see Iben et al. 1995a), we obtain

\[ L_x \sim \frac{1500}{α_w} \frac{L_⊙}{α_w} \sim \frac{6 \times 10^{36}}{α_w^4} \text{ erg s}^{-1}. \]

(3b)

Thus, to achieve the highest possible \( L_x \) and persistence of the source, the optical component must be close to filling its Roche lobe (\( R_{opt} → a \)). In this case the gas stream dominates the accretion flow and a stable accretion disk forms around the primary, smoothing out inhomogeneities in the accretion and reducing the time variability of the source.
Using $\Delta \log a \simeq 2$, $M = (11.4-50) M_\odot$, and $\Delta q \sim 0.3$ in Eq. (2), so as to obtain a crude estimate of the birthrate of systems having a NS and an OB star in the correct configuration, Iben et al. (1995a) estimate $\nu_{\mu} \sim 2 \times 10^{-3}$ yr$^{-1}$. Since the average duration of the bright X-ray phase ($L_x > 10^{37}$ erg s$^{-1}$) is $\tau_{\mu} \sim 2.5 \times 10^4$ yr (Meurs & van den Heuvel 1989), the expected number of such systems that are produced during the massive star formation phase, $N_\mu = \nu_{\mu} \tau_{\mu}$, is

$$N_\mu \sim 50,$$

in agreement with observational estimates.

The phase-averaged X-ray photon spectrum from persistent, bright ($L_x \gtrsim 10^{37}$ erg s$^{-1}$) HMXBs in the 2–50 keV band can be represented (White et al. 1983) as a broken power law:

$$f^\nu(\epsilon) \propto \begin{cases} \epsilon^{-\gamma} & \text{if } \epsilon \leq \epsilon_c \\ \epsilon^{-\gamma} e^{-[(\epsilon-\epsilon_c)/\epsilon_{\gamma}]} & \text{if } \epsilon > \epsilon_c \end{cases}$$

where $\epsilon$ denotes energy (in keV), and with photon index $\gamma \simeq 1.2^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$, cutoff energy $\epsilon_c \sim 20$ keV, and $\epsilon$-folding energy $\epsilon_{\gamma} \sim 12$ keV. In addition, a small amount of low-energy absorption by cool material and an Fe-K feature between 6 and 7 keV are required in the fits. There appears to be no correlation of $\gamma$, $\epsilon_c$, or Fe-K equivalent width with luminosity.

The mean HMXB spectrum is then formally obtained by integrating $f^\nu$ over the HMXB birthrate function:

$$J_{\mu}(\epsilon) = \int \int f_{11.4}^{50.0} \nu r_x L_x f^\nu(\epsilon) \frac{dM}{da} \frac{d\nu}{dq}.$$ (6)

Because there appears to be no obvious correlation between X-ray spectral shape and mass ratio $q$, primary mass $M$, and/or separation $a$ (while the system must be close, $a < 2 R_{\text{opt}}$, in order for $L_x \gtrsim 10^{37}$ erg s$^{-1}$), Eq. (6) reduces to:

$$J_{\mu}(\epsilon) = f^\nu(\epsilon).$$ (7)

A striking characteristic in the HMXB spectrum is a prominent Fe-K emission feature with central energy in the range 6.4–6.7 keV, and equivalent width $EW_{\text{Fe-K}} \sim 0.2–0.6$ keV, only weakly dependent on $L_x$ (White et al. 1983). This emission is unlikely to come from the region close to the NS, because the line would be gravitationally redshifted to $\sim 5.5–5.8$ keV. It is also unlikely that the line is produced near the photosphere of the optical companion, or from the accretion disk surrounding the NS, because the smaller angular sizes involved,

---

8 This spectral steepening has been interpreted as the result of the anisotropic energy dependence of the cross sections below the cyclotron resonance in strong ($>10^{22}$ G) magnetic fields (e.g., Bolk et al. 1976), and it may give an independent measure of the field strength. But other parameters, e.g., the temperature and optical depth of the NS atmosphere, could be important in determining the break energy.

the expected contributions to fluorescent emission should be both too modest and dependent on the orbital phase, contrary to observations. The two remaining potential sites for fluorescent emission to occur are the stellar wind of the optical companion and the magnetosphere of the NS (see Pravdo et al. 1977; White et al. 1983; Ebisawa et al. 1996). Another relevant emission feature observed in HMXB spectra (at least when the NS companion is eclipsed) is due to SXV (Sako et al. 1999; Ebisawa et al. 1996; Nagase et al. 1994).

2.1.2. LMXBs

Galactic LMXBs are divided into bulge and disk populations. It is estimated that a few hundred LMXBs exist in the Galactic disk, of which only $\sim 100$ are persistent X-ray sources with $L_x \sim L_{\text{Edd}} \sim 10^{38}$ erg s$^{-1}$, where $L_{\text{Edd}}$ is the Eddington limit for a NS accretor.

In a LMXB system the secondary is a low-mass star whose envelope fills the Roche lobe. Mass transfer onto the NS or BH primary is driven by evolutionary expansion of the donor, magnetic stellar wind, or gravitational radiation. Based on the semi-empirical birth function of such systems, Iben et al. (1995b) estimated the Galactic disk LMXB birthrate to be $\nu_{\mu} \sim (1-4) \times 10^{-5}$ yr$^{-1}$.

Iben et al. (1995b) treat the modes of conservative mass transfer from a Roche-lobe–filling (optical) secondary onto the (degenerate) primary in the approximation that the energy from the accretor, intercepted by the donor, has no feedback on the mass-loss rate from the donor itself. This discussion applies both to the case of LMXBs resulting from the evolution of massive binaries with a large $q$ (in which the accretor is a NS or a BH, which results from an initial primary mass in the range $11.4 \leq M/M_\odot \leq 50$), and to the case of LMXBs resulting from accretion-induced collapse of (primary) O-Ne white dwarfs (which results from initial primary masses in the range $9 \leq M/M_\odot \leq 11.4$). Iben et al. (1995b) then argue that the predicted and the observed Galactic populations of LMXBs can be reconciled only if the assumptions of conservative mass transfer and of no-accretor/donor-feedback are relaxed. In fact, an irradiation-induced wind will develop from the donor that can remove from the system an order of magnitude more mass than it is actually transferred to the accretor. Also, the induced stellar wind can be accreted by the NS, a bootstrapping situation can be established, in which accretion from an induced wind emitted by the optical component that does not fill the Roche lobe is sufficient for producing the radiation necessary to produce the induced wind itself (the feedback loop is stable). These arguments lead to an estimated duration of the X-ray bright stage of $\tau_{\mu} \sim (0.5-1) \times 10^7$ yr which in turn, being $N_{\mu} = \nu_{\mu} \tau_{\mu}$, leads to

$$N_{\mu} \sim 200,$$

in fair agreement with observations.

The interpretation of LMXB spectra has progressed with increasing quality of available data, in terms of: optically thin thermal bremsstrahlung (TB), blackbody (BB)
plus TB, BB plus an optically thick (but physically thin) accretion disk blackbody (DBB), and BB plus a form that could possibly represent a Comptonized spectrum (see Christian & Swank 1997 for references). The ambiguities in the spectral form of LMXBs is partly due to the relatively narrow detector spectral band (as compared to the respective emission spectrum). Following White et al. (1985), Christian & Swank (1997) have shown that a model of the form \( e^{-\Gamma} \exp(-\epsilon/kT) \) [the so-called “unsaturated Comptonization” (USC) model\(^9\)] is a good approximation to the 0.5–20.0 keV Einstein/SSS+MPC spectra of Galactic-disk LMXBs. The USC model gives the best fits to the data, and although its results may not be directly interpretable in terms of a physical description of the source\(^{10}\), it does offer a phenomenological description with which to compare them. Sources showing variations in intensity by a factor of \( \sim 2 \) (“dippers”) or larger (“bursters”, some of which show periodic or aperiodic dips or eclipsing behavior – see Christian & Swank 1997 and references therein), can be fitted with the USC model both in their high (bright) states and in their low (faint) states, with the spectral parameters changing accordingly: higher luminosities tend to correspond to lower values of \( \Gamma \) and vice versa (Christian & Swank 1997, the trend also applies to nonvariable sources). Qualitatively, this inverse correlation can be understood within the accretion model devised to explain the high X-ray luminosities of LMXBs: the increase in luminosity is driven by an increase of the mass accretion rate \( M \), which in turn means a piling up of material around the emission region (e.g., the magnetic polar cap of the NS) that leads to a higher Compton scattering optical depth, and hence to a lower value of \( \Gamma \)\(^{11}\). The spectral properties of LMXBs vary little (if at all) between the disk and the globular-cluster populations of our Galaxy, or among galaxies in general.

The mean LMXB spectrum is then obtained by integrating \( f^\nu (\epsilon) \) over the LMXB birthrate function:

\[
\tilde{J}(\epsilon) = \int \int \frac{0.50}{(\nu_\epsilon L_\epsilon)} f^\nu (\epsilon) \, dM \, da \, dq \tag{10}
\]

Assuming that \( f^\nu \) is independent of both \( M \) and \( q \), the resulting average LMXB spectrum is the sum of the low-\( L_\epsilon \) and high-\( L_\epsilon \) contributions [Eq. (9)], weighted by the relative frequencies. We can assume that the most critical parameter in determining whether \( L_\epsilon \) is higher or lower than the “threshold” value of \( 10^{37} \) erg s\(^{-1} \) is the initial semimajor axis \( a \). In the above model (Sect. 2.1.1), tight \((2 \leq a/R_{opt} \leq 8) \) systems have \( L_\epsilon \geq 10^{37} \) erg s\(^{-1} \), while loose \((8 \leq a/R_{opt} \leq 100) \) systems have \( L_\epsilon \leq 10^{37} \) erg s\(^{-1} \). Thus, Eq. (10) transforms into

\[
\tilde{J}_L(\epsilon) = 0.65 \tilde{J}_{L_{low}}(\epsilon) + 0.35 \tilde{J}_{L_{hi}}(\epsilon) \tag{11}
\]

where \( \tilde{J}_{L_{low}} \) and \( \tilde{J}_{L_{hi}} \) denote the low-\( L_\epsilon \) and high-\( L_\epsilon \) representations of Eq. (9).

2.2. Supernova remnants

It is usually assumed that all stars with mass between some lower threshold and 40 \( M_\odot \) will eventually explode as supernovae (e.g., Woosley & Weaver 1995). (The evolution of >40 \( M_\odot \) stars is less clear.) The lower mass for a progenitor of a SN II event (core collapse) is 8 \( M_\odot \) (if convective overshooting is not important; if it is, the lower limit could be as low as 5 \( M_\odot \)). According to the standard model, SN Ia is a result of thermonuclear instability in a degenerate C-O white dwarf that ignites owing to thermal runaway when the mass reaches the Chandrasekhar limit, \( M_{\text{Ch}} \approx 1.4 M_\odot \) (the exact value depends on chemical composition), triggered by the accumulation of material from a companion star.

X-ray emission from SNRs occurs mostly during phase 1 (free expansion) and part of phase 2 (adiabatic) of the evolution of SNRs (e.g. Woltjer 1972; Chevalier 1977),

\[
\Gamma = \sqrt{\frac{9}{4} + \frac{1.68 \times 10^3}{(kT/\text{keV})} \left( \frac{\tau}{\frac{2}{3}} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2}}
\]

(Sunyaev & Titarchuk 1980). Note that for sources having \( \Gamma < 0.5 \), i.e. sources that are almost optically thick, the unsaturated Comptonization approximation is not strictly valid, but the USC model still provides the best fit to the data (Christian & Swank 1997).

\[^9\] In accretion onto a NS or a BH, the simple BB accretion disk model is not correct and it must be modified to account for the effects of electron scattering, which make energy losses by Comptonization of cool photons on hot electrons dominate the spectral formation (Sunyaev & Titarchuk 1980; see also White et al. 1988). Starting from thermal bremsstrahlung, \( g(\epsilon) \propto g(\epsilon) e^{-\epsilon/kT} \) with a Gaunt factor \( g(\epsilon) \sim (\epsilon/kT)^{-1.3} \) in the region of interest, White et al. (1985) write, in more generality, \( f(\epsilon) \propto e^{-\epsilon/kT} \) which approximates the unsaturated Comptonized spectrum of cool photons upscattered on hot electrons (see also Treves et al. 1988).

\[^{10}\] E.g., the USC model can approximate TB if \( \Gamma \sim 1.2 \sim 1.4 \) [the Gaunt factor for energy spectrum being \( g(\epsilon) \approx e^{-\epsilon/20} \) in the 2–10 keV range], or BB+TB if \( \Gamma \sim 1.0 \sim 1.4 \).

\[^{11}\] In the USC model the relation linking the spectral index \( \Gamma \) to the scattering optical depth \( \tau \) is}

\[
\Gamma = \sqrt{\frac{9}{4} + \frac{1.68 \times 10^3}{(kT/\text{keV})} \left( \frac{\tau}{\frac{2}{3}} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2}}
\]
for a typical duration of $\tau_{SNR}^{X} \lesssim 10^{3}$ yr. The spectrum can be generally described as thermal with $kT \sim 2$ keV, $Z \sim Z_{\odot}$. The X-ray luminosities of young SNRs are typically $L_{X} \sim 10^{37}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (e.g. Hamilton & Sarazin 1984; Charles & Seward 1995; Burrows et al. 2000; Borkowski et al. 2001a). Since the estimated Galactic SN birthrate is $\nu_{SNR}^{\odot} \approx 2 \times 10^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$, the predicted number of X-ray bright SNRs (i.e., young remnants that are in the ejecta dominated phase, like Cas A or Tycho’s SNR) in the Galaxy, $N_{SNR}^{X} = \nu_{SNR}^{\odot} \tau_{SNR}^{X}$, is

$$N_{SNR}^{X} \sim 20,$$

(12)

in fair agreement with observations. [Later in their evolution SNRs cool and dim: during most of the second (adiabatic) phase, remnants are likely to have lower temperatures, $0.5 \lesssim kT/\text{keV} \lesssim 1$ keV; in the third (radiative) phase, remnants will cool rapidly to temperatures too low for X-ray emission.]

The resulting average SNR spectrum is then:

$$J_{SNR} = \int_{9}^{50} \nu\tau_{\nu} L_{X} \nu d\nu + \int_{5}^{9} \nu\tau_{\nu} L_{X} \nu d\nu,$$

where $J_{a}$ and $J_{b}$ denote, respectively, the average spectra of the remnants of type Ia and type II supernovae (which in principle may differ from each other). The RHS of Eq. (14) accounts for: (a) SN II explosions of (all) stars with $9.4 \leq M/M_{\odot} \leq 11.4$ (leading to a C-O white dwarf remnant); of (all) stars with $11.4 \leq M/M_{\odot} \leq 40$ (leading to a NS remnant), and of (all) stars with $40 \leq M/M_{\odot} \leq 50$ (leading to a BH remnant); and (b) SN Ia explosions from accreting white dwarfs in binary systems, with the white dwarfs resulting from the evolution of progenitor stars with $5 \leq M/M_{\odot} \leq 9.4$. If the expanding SNRs have all similar spectra, irrespective of the type of the SN event and of mass, then

$$J_{SNR} \propto j_{SN}(e; kT = 2 \text{ keV}, Z = Z_{\odot})$$

where $j_{SN}$ is the thermal bremsstrahlung emissivity function.

2.3. O stars

It is well known that massive O and early-B stars are sources of X-ray emission (Rosner et al. 1985). If about 50% of O stars are in binary systems (e.g., Garmany et al. 1980), then based on the birth rate of HMXBs (see Sect. 2.1.1) we have $\nu_{O, stars} \sim 4 \times 10^{-3}$ yr$^{-1}$. Since the main-sequence lifetime $\tau_{MS}$ of a $(10-30) M_{\odot}$ star (mass range appropriate for O stars) is $\tau_{MS} \sim (3-10) \times 10^{6}$ yr (Chiosi & Maeder 1986; Maeder & Meynet 1989), the expected number of O stars is $N_{O, stars} \sim 2 \times 10^{4}$. This may be an upper limit: catalogs of Galactic O stars contain $\sim 1000$ objects, see Conti & Underhill 1988. If we assume an individual X-ray luminosity of $L_{X} \sim 3 \times 10^{32-33}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (see Corcoran et al. 1994; Griffiths et al. 2000), the inferred total X-ray luminosity of the O-star population is $L_{X} \sim 6 \times 10^{36-37}$ erg s$^{-1}$. The spectra of O stars have been successfully fitted with multi-temperature thermal models; e.g., simultaneous fits to the ASCA/SIS0 and ROSAT/PSPC spectra of $\delta$ Ori require (at least) three components with temperatures near 0.1, 0.3, and 0.6 keV, plus absorption by a circumstellar medium, presumably the stellar wind (see Corcoran et al. 1994).

The inferred X-ray faintness of O-stars (their total luminosity would be matched, or even exceeded, by that of a single typical HMXB), leads to the realization that O stars may not contribute significantly to the X-ray emission of a (starbursting) stellar population. Hence the contribution from O stars will not be considered further in this paper.

2.4. Compton scattering

As has already been mentioned, the high SN rate in a SBG is bound to yield high relativistic electron densities since SN shocks are known to be primary sites of cosmic ray acceleration. This means that we should expect the mean relativistic electron density in a SBG to be much higher than in the Galaxy. Moreover, the mean energy density of a SBG with a FIR luminosity of $10^{11} L_{\odot}$ is $\sim 4 \times 10^{-12}$ erg s cm$^{-3}$ (within 10 kpc radius), i.e. $\sim 10$ times more intense than the cosmic microwave background (CMB) radiation. Obviously, the more spatially concentrated the FIR emission, the higher is this energy density. Radiative losses dominate at electron energies above few hundred MeV (Rephaeli 1979), and Compton scattering of a $100 \mu$ photon by a $\sim$ GeV electron boosts the energy of the photon to $\sim 10$ keV. To fully account for a luminosity of $10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$, the energy density in electrons has to be $\sim 2 \times 10^{-13}$ erg s cm$^{-3}$, i.e. $\sim 10$ times higher than in the Galaxy. The latter value also corresponds (roughly) the SBG/Galaxy X-ray luminosity ratio. (Clearly, the required relativistic electron energy density is lower if only

13 In M82, Chandra observations have revealed a number of bright OB associations, the brightest of which has an X-ray luminosity of $\sim 5 \times 10^{34}$; this large X-ray emission, however, presumably arises from a combination of diffuse plasma, unresolved HMXBs, and O stars (Griffiths et al. 2000).
part of the total emission is due to Compton scattering, or if the FIR emission is centrally concentrated.)

A high density of electrons in SBGs would also yield an enhanced radio emission. Indeed, there exists a definite correlation between the radio ($L_R$) and FIR luminosities of spiral galaxies: in the FIR luminosity range relevant to SBGs, $L_R \propto L_{\text{FIR}}^{1.3}$ (Wunderlich & Klein 1988). There are several studies of SBGs as a class in the radio (e.g., Garwood et al. 1987; Condon et al. 1990 and 1991; Wang & Helou 1992): of the nearby SBGs, M82 in particular has been studied in detail (e.g. Kronberg et al. 1985; Klein et al. 1988) and is known to have an extended region of disk and halo emission.

If the same electron population produces both the radio and hard X-ray emission, then both spectra are power-laws with roughly the same index. The exact relations between the radio and Compton X-ray flux have been written down (Rephaeli 1979) and explored in detail in the context of SBGs by Goldshmidt & Rephaeli (1995); a power-law spectrum of the form

$$f_c(\epsilon) = K_c \epsilon^{-\beta}$$

is expected, with (a photon) index $\beta$ which is roughly in the range $\sim 1.6$–1.8. The coefficient $K_c$ can be expressed in terms the measured radio flux and mean value of the magnetic field in the emitting region.

### 2.5. Diffuse thermal plasma

The ISM and the galactic-halo gas are expected to be shock-heated by SN explosions to approximately galactic virial temperatures, $kT \lesssim 1$ keV. Thermal soft X-ray emission is then expected from the gas. Indeed, ASCA and BeppoSAX data have systematically and unambiguously revealed the presence of a $<1$ keV thermal component in the 0.5–10 keV spectra of SBGs (Ptak et al. 1997; Cappi et al. 1999; Okada et al. 1997; Della Ceca et al. 1999; Moran et al. 1999; Zezas et al. 1998). More specifically, for N253 Chandra data have shown that soft thermal X-rays come from the regions of interaction between the fast SB-driven wind and the denser ambient ISM, not from the wind fluid itself (Strickland et al. 2000). The spatial resolution attained with XMM has allowed separating the extended and point-like emission components in the disk and the nuclear region, showing an ever increasing spatial and spectral complexity of the unresolved (diffuse?) emission. Two thin plasma components (with $kT \sim 0.13$ and 0.4 keV) are required in the disk, and three (with $kT \sim 0.6$, 0.9, and 6 keV) in the nucleus (Pietsch et al. 2001). These results are consistent with the results of Strickland & Stevens’s (2000) simulations of SB-driven galactic winds, where the soft X-rays come from the region of wind/ISM interaction, which is characterized by a multi-temperature, non-uniform plasma. Galactic winds are more efficient at carrying the SN-synthesized metals, rather than the unprocessed gas, out of the galaxy. Since SN Ia products have the largest ejection efficiency (more so than SN II products) and SN Ia produce a substantial fraction of Fe, $\alpha$-burning to Fe ratios are predicted to be higher for the ambient disk gas than for the wind-borne material (Recchi et al. 2001).

The $\gtrsim 2$ keV thermal emission from the galactic wind comes mostly from the central SB region itself (see Pietsch et al. 2001 for XMM data on N253). In Strickland & Stevens’s (2000) simulations the relatively small volume and the high gas density of the SB region explain why the hard X-ray luminosities are typically $\sigma(2)$ of the soft X-ray luminosity of the wind (see also Suchkov et al. 1994). Note that Chandra data have shown that in N253 the hard (2–8 keV) flux is dominated by previously unresolved point-source emission (Strickland et al. 2000), while in M82 up to 75% of the 2–10 keV flux is resolved into point sources (Griffiths et al. 2000).

An appropriate description of the X-ray emission from thermal plasma in SBGs is then:

$$f_\epsilon(\epsilon) = K_\epsilon \times \tilde{j}_\nu(\epsilon; kT = 0.7 \text{ keV}, Z = Z_\odot)$$

(16)

where $\tilde{j}_\nu$ is the spectral emissivity function ($\propto \epsilon^{-\delta/kT}T^{-1/2}$) and $K_\epsilon$ is a density dependent normalization factor.

### 2.6. Compact nucleus

Evidence for a link between intense star formation and nuclear activity has grown steadily in recent years (e.g., Della Ceca et al. 2001; see Veilleux 2000 for a recent review). The apparent correlation – deduced for nearby galaxies – between the mass of the (dormant) nuclear BH and the mass of the spheroid suggests a direct link between the formation of spheroids and the growth of central BHs. Since a SB is a natural consequence of the dissipative gaseous processes associated with spheroid formation, an early (high-$z$) SB/AGN connection is implied by these results. The presence of circumnuclear SBs in many local AGN also suggests a local ($z \sim 0$) SB/AGN connection. This is important since a large contribution from hidden AGN would change the star formation history of the universe as deduced from galaxy luminosity functions, as well as our views on the history of the cosmic chemical enrichment and of the importance of feedback processes in the early universe. Moreover, the contribution of heavily-obscured AGN to the IR and the X-ray background should also be accounted for.

The fueling of AGN requires mass accretion at a rate

$$\dot{M} \sim 1.7 \left( \frac{\eta}{0.1} \right)^{-0.1} \frac{L}{10^{46} \text{ erg yr}^{-1}} M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1},$$

(17)

where $\eta$ is the mass-to-energy conversion efficiency. For low-luminosity AGN (LLAGN) (e.g., Seyfert galaxies), Eq. (19) implies a modest accretion rate, $\dot{M} \sim 0.01 M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$, to power the activity level over timescales $\sim 10^8$ yr; a small fraction of the total gas content of typical host galaxy is then sufficient to fuel LLAGN. A broad range of mechanisms, including intrinsic processes (e.g., stellar collisions and winds, dynamical friction of giant molecular clouds against stars; nuclear bars or
spiral galaxies produced by gravitational instabilities in the disk) and external processes (e.g., "minor" galaxy interactions and mergers), may be at work in LLAGN. Observationally, the former seem to be favored over the latter: e.g., ejecta from a nuclear star cluster may be enough for Seyferts and other LLAGN to keep their nuclei active (e.g., Maeda et al. 2001).

For high-luminosity AGN (HLAGN), meeting the stringent requirement on $M$ implied by Eq. (17) very likely requires external processes, such as major galaxy interactions or mergers, to be involved in triggering and sustaining the high activity level over $\sim 10^8$ yr. In fact, (a) substantial evidence exists that at least some HLAGN result from gas-rich mergers; (b) classical double (FR II) radio galaxies show tidal tails and other signs of interaction; (c) evidence for recent or ongoing galactic interactions is seen in several QSOs; (d) many radio galaxies and QSOs show the presence of abundant molecular gas (an essential ingredient of star formation), or the spectroscopic signature of recent star formation; (e) the FIR excess observed in several radio galaxies and QSOs is attributable to star formation. Ultra-luminous IR galaxies (ULIRGs) may represent the observational evidence between galaxy mergers, SBs and powerful AGN: (i) nearly all of them show strong signs of advanced tidal interactions; (ii) all are very rich in molecular gas within the innermost kpc of the galaxy; (iii) there is a varied level of activity in their nuclei, including strong emission lines, characteristic of starbursting stellar populations, and – in $\sim 30\%$ of cases – broad or high-ionization emission lines that suggest the presence of a powerful AGN coexisting with the SB (e.g., Franceschini et al. 2000; Keil et al. 2001). The fraction of AGN-dominated ULIRGs is significantly larger among objects with high IR luminosities and warm IR colors. The relative dominance of AGN or SBs in ULIRGs may depend on local and short-term conditions (e.g., compression of the circumnuclear ISM as a function of gas content and galaxy structure, local accretion rate onto the central BH), as well as the global state of the merger. Suggestions of the existence of a merger-induced sequence “SBs $\rightarrow$ cool ULIRGs $\rightarrow$ warm ULIRGs $\rightarrow$ QSOs” imply that SB ages should increase along the sequence. This prediction should be testable with detailed spectroscopic data.

The moderate luminosities of local SBGs indicate that if a nuclear source is present it is of the LLAGN type. ASCA measurements suggest that spiral galaxies often host nuclear LLAGN with $L_\text{0.5–10 keV} \approx 10^{40} - 10^{41}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (Ishisaki et al. 1996). ROSAT/HRI detections of short-time variability (Collura et al. 1994) support this view. In M 82, ASCA hard X-ray data have shown a nuclear unresolved point structure with a long-term flux variability by a factor of $\sim 4$, corresponding to a point source luminosity of $L_\text{2–10 keV} \approx 10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (see Ptak & Griffiths 1999; RXTE data also suggest temporal variability in M 82, see Rephaeli & Gruber 2001; Chandra/HRC observations have shown that the source lies $\sim 160$ pc away from the dynamical center of M 82, see Kaaret et al. 2001; Matsumoto et al. 2001). Since the observed X-ray luminosity in M 82 is a lower limit to its Eddington luminosity, the implied BH mass is $5000 M_\odot$ (Ptak & Griffiths 1999; see also Strickland et al. 2001; Dahlem et al. 1995), unless the emission is beamed (King et al. 2001). (The lack of reported temporal variability in other spectral bands in M 82 may be explained as due to the large absorption column, and the fairly complicated pattern of activity that would make it hard to detect the temporal signature of LLAGN at other wavelengths.) Comparison of X-ray and FIR properties permits to disentangle SB and LLAGN emissions within the sources (Levenson et al. 2001a,b).

Possible LLAGN contribution to the spectrum of SBGs can be represented as:

$$f_{\text{LLAGN}}(\epsilon) \propto \epsilon^{-\alpha}$$

with a photon index $\alpha \approx 1.6$. A more accurate representation would include: (i) a reflection component, in the form of a hardening of the spectrum at $\gtrsim 10$ keV (i.e., the onset of a broad bump extending from $\sim 10$ keV to $\sim 60–100$ keV, that arises from the downscattering of the energetic photons of the primary spectrum by the optically thick warm thermal matter of the accretion disk; cf. Lightman & White 1988; Magdziarz & Zdziarski 1994); and (ii) a Comptonization effect in the form of a downward bending at $\sim 60–300$ keV, arising from the interaction of radiation with hot embedding ionized plasma (c.f. Sunyaev & Titarchuk 1980). But, given the substantial uncertainty in assessing the significance of AGN-like emission in SBGs, we will not consider a nuclear contribution further in this paper.

3. The composite X-ray spectrum of a SBG

Having discussed the most relevant emission processes that occur in a SB$^{16}$ we now construct the synthetic (mean) X-ray spectrum of SBGs. Its shape is given by

$$f(\epsilon) = \frac{[N L_x J(\epsilon)]_H + [N L_x J(\epsilon)]_1 + [N L_x J(\epsilon)]_\text{SNR}}{[N L_x]_H + [N L_x]_1 + [N L_x]_{\text{SNR}}} + f_C(\epsilon) + f_g(\epsilon).$$

$^{16}$ GRBs ($\gamma$-ray bursts), short and intense bursts of $0.1–1$ MeV photons (Piran 1999) followed by lower-frequency afterglows (van Paradijs et al. 2000), do not contribute to the mean SBG emission. Based on energy and variability arguments, GRBs have been related to the final stages of supermassive ($35 \lesssim M / M_\odot \lesssim 50$) stars, where non-spherical core collapse would produce beamed $\gamma$-ray emission (Mac Fadyen & Woosley 1999). In this case: (i) for a standard IMF slope, candidate GRB progenitors are $\sim 10^{-2}$ of SN progenitors; (ii) beaming effects introduce an extra factor $(1 - \cos \theta)/2 \sim 10^{-3}$ (with an opening angle $\theta \sim 5^\circ$), see Frail et al. 2001; (iii) for a Galactic SN rate of $\sim 10^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$, the predicted GRB rate is then $\sim 10^{-7}$ yr$^{-1}$. A consequence of this: since there are $O(9)$ galaxies of luminosity $\sim L_\odot$ within the horizon, one expects to observe $O(2)$ GRBs yr$^{-1}$, in agreement with BATSE results (Paciesas et al. 1999). As the X-ray phase of a GRB afterglow lasts $\sim 10^{-2}$ yr, we expect $\sim 10^{-8}$ GRB X-ray afterglows per galaxy. The contribution of GRBs to the SBG emission is then negligible.
In our framework the combined “stellar” contribution [first RHS term of Eq. (19)] is taken to have no degrees of freedom – the fractional number densities of the various classes of stellar sources are fixed by the synthetic stellar population model, and the respective observed spectra. The degrees of freedom in modelling the diffuse component are: (1) the gas/stars mass ratio (reflected in the parameter $K_g$); (2) the chemical abundance of the gas; and (3) the strength of the FIR radiation field (for a given energy distribution of the electrons, as deduced from the observed radio spectrum), reflected in the strength $K_c$ of the Compton emission.

In Fig. 1 we show the resulting spectrum for the “standard” set of model parameters (as specified in Eqs. (5), (9), (15–17)).

4. Observed SBG spectra: M 82 & N253

The power of X-ray spectral analysis as a diagnostic tool of SBGs is mainly expressed in the ability to identify the leading components by means of the presence of distinctive spectral features. In order to assess the relative contributions of the various processes identified in the previous section, we focus on the spectral insights that can be learned from detailed analyses. In fact, recall that the “hard” component required to fit the ASCA and BeppoSAX data has been interpreted either as thermal ($kT \gtrsim 6$ keV) or non-thermal ($kT \lesssim 6$ keV), as power-law ($\Gamma \sim 1.7$), or as combination of both. In the first case, the measured $EW_{Fe-K}$ implies a low chemical abundance ($<0.3$ solar) in the hot gas, while if the thermal and non-thermal contributions are comparable, then the deduced chemical abundance could be roughly solar (Ptak et al. 1997; Cappi et al. 1999; Zezas et al. 1998; Della Ceca et al. 1999; Griffiths et al. 2000). Now, an abundance $Z \sim 0.3 Z_\odot$ is probably uncomfortably low for a medium that has been stirred up and enriched by SN activity. In addition, the very presence of large amounts of $\gtrsim 5$ keV gas poses substantial energetics and hydrostatic equilibrium problems. If the “hard” component were (mainly) due to the emission from X-ray binaries and possibly non-thermal Compton emission, the consequences would be that $EW_{Fe-K} \lesssim 0.4$ (i.e., emission from HMXBs will be overshadowed by other emission) and there would be no need for a high-$kT$, low-$Z$ plasma.

At energies $\epsilon \lesssim 2$ keV, the low temperature ($kT \lesssim 1$ keV) diffuse plasma resulting from the interaction between the hot, low-density galactic winds and the cold, high-density ISM is an important component.

The contribution of young, ejecta-dominated SNRs to the continuum X-ray emission of a SBG is probably minor. Indeed, there seems to be little overlap between the X-ray source position in M 82 (based on high-resolution Chandra measurements), and the positions of radio-detected young (i.e., brighter) SNRs (Griffiths et al. 2000). Thus, interpretation of X-ray data based on the assumption that the thermal emission may come from localized regions, such as the inner SB region, where the highest concentration of SN events occur. In fact, temperatures $kT \sim 5$–8 keV can be momentarily reached in the very short Phase I of the evolution of SNRs, lasting $\mathcal{O}(2)$ yr (e.g., Charles & Seward 1995; see Pietsch et al. 2001 for N253).

\[ \text{EW}_{Fe-K} = \frac{\text{E}_{\text{Fe-K}}}{\text{EW}_{\text{Fe-K}}} \]

\[ \text{EW}_{\text{Fe-K}} \sim 0.4 \]

\[ \mathcal{O}(2) \]

\[ \text{CHANDRA} \]

\[ \text{BEPPOSAX} \]
Fig. 2. BeppoSAX spectrum of M 82 with superposed: (top) the double-thermal model (Cappi et al. 1999); (bottom) the thermal+USC model. The residuals are shown in the lower sections of the panels. Red, black, and green data points denote the LECS, MECS and PDS data, respectively.

>2 keV emission comes mostly from SNRs (e.g., Pietsch et al. 2001) is doubtful. On the other hand, emission from older, cooler (~1 keV) remnants might be hard to distinguish from the diffuse thermal emission, similarly characterized by ~1 keV. Remarkably, SNRs might provide a significant contribution to the observed 6.7 keV Fe-K line (cf. Fig. 1), further weakening the case for a thermal interpretation of the 2–10 keV “hard” component. Some caution, however, is in order. It should be emphasized that the properties of SBG SNRs may differ from those of Galactic SNRs: owing to the variety of environment (e.g., the ISM is much denser in SBGs) the ejecta will generally experience diverse (and complex) evolution patterns, which will in turn lead to a broad range of emission properties\(^{18}\) (e.g., Cid-Fernandes et al. 1996).

Based on the above summary of sources of X-ray emission in SBGs, we are led to the consideration of binary systems as the main contributors to the 2–10 keV emission of SBGs, with the possibility of appreciable contribution also from Compton scattering. To check this hypothesis, we have re-analyzed the 0.5–20 keV BeppoSAX LECS+MECS+PDS spectra of M 82 and N 253 (see Cappi et al. 1999). For M 82, the best-fitting model (\(\chi^2 = 1.24\) with DOF = 138) of Cappi et al. includes two thermal components, one with \(kT = 0.70\) keV and the other (self-absorbed through \(n_H = 0.58 \times 10^{22}\) cm\(^{-2}\)) with \(kT = 8.20\) keV, both chemically unevolved (\(Z \ll Z_\odot\)). The model for N 253 is similar (\(\chi^2 = 1.06\) for DOF = 184): the two thermal components have \(kT = 0.81\) keV and 5.75 keV, respectively (with the latter component self-absorbed through \(n_H = 1.19 \times 10^{22}\) cm\(^{-2}\)), and both chemically unevolved (\(Z \lesssim 0.3 Z_\odot\)). (See Cappi et al. 1999 for details on both models.) As a check of the hypothesis that in both galaxies the hard component is mainly due to massive-binary emission, we replaced the harder component in these models with an \(e^{-t_{\text{cc}}/kT}\) component (i.e., the USC profile used to describe the spectra of LMXBs, the more abundant population of X-ray binaries), plus a Gaussian to fit the Fe-K emission complex (as needed),

\(^{18}\) This topic is relevant for evolutionary models of the radio emission produced in compact SBs (Pérez-Olea & Colina 1995), as well as for studies of alternative physical mechanisms (i.e., mechanisms not requiring the presence of a supermassive-BH) that would allow an explanation of the observed properties of AGNs (Terlevich et al. 1992 and references therein). Consequently, even among SBGs the properties of SNRs may have a large scatter. It has also been suggested that SNRs can contaminate the bright end of the X-ray–binary luminosity function, and hence the integrated spectra of the host galaxies (Wu et al. 2001).
and found the best-fit parameters with the soft thermal component kept frozen. The resulting parameters of the USC component are: $\Gamma = 1.4 \pm 0.1$ and $kT = 9.2 \pm 2.0$ keV (self-absorption: $\mathcal{H} = 0.72 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$) for M82; and $\Gamma = 1.55 \pm 0.25$ and $kT = 7.3 \pm 2.7$ keV (self-absorption: $\mathcal{H} = 1.5 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$), with the Fe-K emission feature centered at $E = 6.7$ keV, and with $EW_{\text{Fe}-K} = 0.34$ keV for N253. (Note that the PDS data points have negligible effects on our results.) The resulting values of $\Gamma$ and $kT$ are well within the ranges observed in LMXB spectra. For both M82 and N253, then, the thermal+USC fits are as satisfactory as the original double thermal models (see Figs. 2 and 3). These results are robust. In fact, the best-fit thermal+USC models:

- **M82.** Thermal component: $kT = 0.74 \pm 0.03$ keV (with $Z \sim Z_{\odot}$); USC component: $\Gamma = 1.39 \pm 0.14$ and $kT = 8.7 \pm 2.0$ keV (self-absorption: $\mathcal{H} = 0.83 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$); goodness of fit: $\chi^2 = 1.22$, $\text{DOF} = 138$;

- **N253.** Thermal component: $kT = 0.83 \pm 0.06$ keV (with $Z \sim Z_{\odot}$); USC component: $\Gamma = 1.6 \pm 0.4$ and $kT = 7.9 \pm 4.1$ keV (self-absorption: $\mathcal{H} = 1.56 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$); Fe-K emission feature at fitted with a Gaussian): $E = 6.7$ keV, $EW_{\text{Fe}-K} = 0.4$ keV; goodness of fit: $\chi^2 = 1.05$, $\text{DOF} = 179$.

Furthermore, fitting the 2–10 keV spectra of M82 and N253 with only the USC model gives good fits, with the values of the USC parameters remaining virtually unchanged (see Fig. 4).

As previously mentioned, the stellar component of the X-ray continuum has no degrees of freedom once the stellar population model has been selected, and the relevant spectral properties have been observed. In our treatment, with the Iben et al. (1995a,b) Galactic synthetic model and a bright-to-faint LMXB luminosity ratio of 10, the predicted total “stellar” spectrum is fairly flat\(^{19}\) in the 2–10 keV band ($\Gamma \lesssim 1$; see Fig. 5), because in this region the spectrum is dominated by the flat-spectrum bright LMXBs and HMXBs, while the data require $\Gamma \gtrsim 1.2$. Therefore, either (a) most of the massive X-ray binaries responsible for the hard component have a relatively steep spectrum, $\Gamma \gtrsim 1.4$ (and hence resemble faint Galactic LMXBs), or (b) there is also an appreciable contribution due to Compton scattering (with $\Gamma > 1.5$), resulting in a suitably steep spectrum (see Fig. 6). In the former case, if the spectral properties of X-ray binaries are the same in SBGs as in the Galaxy, the (fractional) population of low-luminosity LMXBs should be higher in SBGs than in the Galaxy in order to give a suitably steep integrated “stellar” spectrum. Consequently in SBGs the form of the binary birthrate function should differ from the Galactic

\(^{19}\) Changing the bright-to-faint LMXB luminosity ratio does not significantly alter the results. Lowering the assumed bright-to-faint LMXB luminosity ratio and steepening (within observational errors) the mean HMXB causes only a slight steepening of the predicted “stellar” spectrum. Thus, the flatness of the predicted “stellar” spectrum is a relatively secure result in our treatment.

---

![Fig. 4. The 2–10 keV BeppoSAX/MECS spectra of M82 (top) and N253 (bottom) with superposed best-fitting USC models (M82: $\Gamma = 1.2$, $kT = 6.75$ keV, $\mathcal{H} = 0.44 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$; N253: $\Gamma = 1.59$, $kT = 7.72$ keV, $\mathcal{H} = 1.07 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$). The emission features [S\text{XV} at $\sim 2.4$ keV, and (for N253) Fe-K at 6.7 keV] are fitted with a Gaussian. The differences in the values of $\Gamma$ between the present fits and those shown in Fig. 3 are mainly due to the additional separate fitting – present here but not in Fig. 3 – of the S\text{XV} line at $\sim 2.4$ keV. The residuals are shown in the lower sections of the panels.](image-url)
Fig. 5. The integrated “stellar” emission for two different sets of parameters: “standard” (see Fig. 1; top left), and with the HMXB and bright-LMXB emissions steepened [corresponding, respectively, to \( \gamma = 1.4 \) and to \( kT = 5 \) keV (bottom left)]. The “stellar” spectra show, in ascending order at 7 keV: SNRs, faint LMXBs, HMXBs (no Fe-K emission line at 6.7 keV included), and bright LMXBs, with luminosities and normalizations as specified in Fig. 1. The spectra are absorbed through a hydrogen column density of \( n_H = 10^{22} \) cm\(^{-2} \). The USC profiles can hardly be distinguished from each other: hence the need for individual spectral measurements of the point-like sources.

restricted to \( M \geq 3 M_\odot \) (Doane & Mathews 1993; Rieke et al. 1993)\(^{20}\). In this case no LMXBs would form, and the binary population of the SB would only consist of HMXBs. The resulting 2–10 keV synthetic spectrum would then be dominated by HMXBs, and hence the USC fit would have \( \Gamma \rightarrow \gamma = 1.2^{+0.2}_{-0.2} \) [cf. Eq. (5); see Fig. 7]. Note that M82 does have \( \Gamma = 1.2 \) (see Fig. 4). As a matter of fact, even if the IMF did retain its universal properties of shape and mass domain so that the formation of low-mass stars were not inhibited, a galaxy undergoing one isolated SB episode would not experience a SB-driven increase of the population of LMXBs. In fact, the time required for the \( \leq 1 M_\odot \) optical companion in a LMXB system to evolve out of the main sequence and come into Roche-lobe contact (and hence start the X-ray bright phase) by far exceeds a typical SB lifetime. So, during one isolated SB episode there is time for only HMXBs to form: HMXBs would then be the only type of X-ray binaries contributing to the X-ray emission from an isolated SB. (Of course, the LMXBs associated with the background old stellar population of the galaxy would also contribute to the overall emission.) LMXBs could be important contributors to the X-ray emission of SBGs that have been undergoing recurrent bursts of star formation: such could be the case for, e.g., galaxies that are members of pairs with highly eccentric orbits, or galaxies that are found in crowded environments (e.g., compact groups; see Hickson et al. 1989): in both cases, tidal interactions would be recurrent – whether periodically or aperiodically. Therefore, determining the type of X-ray binaries whose emission dominates the 2–10 keV luminosity of a SBG would provide a clue to understanding the star formation history of that galaxy.

The arcsec angular resolution of current X-ray telescopes (e.g., Chandra) enables observational tests to discriminate between LMXBs and HMXBs as the main (stellar) contributors to the 2–10 keV emission in SBGs. For example, LMXBs contain low-mass stars and hence they should be partially associated with the bulge, while HMXBs (which contain, of course, more massive stars), should not (e.g., Pence et al. 2001): therefore LMXBs and HMXBs are expected to have different spatial distributions within a galaxy. Also, since a significant fraction of star formation occurs in clusters (e.g., M82; see O’Connell et al. 1995 in the optical, and Griffiths et al. 2000 in X-rays), and stellar-cluster ages can be inferred from optical data coupled with evolutionary models of HII clouds embedding a cluster (e.g., García-Vargas et al. 1995a, 1995b), constraints can be put on the masses of the X-ray-emitting stars. Finally, as the spectral profile of the point sources is an efficient diagnostics of the nature of the accreting objects (Ostriker 1977; White & Marshall 1984), source-by-source measurements of the X-ray spectral hardness could give us important clues for the identifications of such point-like sources\(^{21}\).

\(^{20}\) Note that also the putative SB at the Galactic center is best modelled with a truncated IMF, with \( M > 10 M_\odot \) (Lipunov et al. 1996a).

\(^{21}\) The need for individual spectral identifications arises from the following argument. In the 2–10 keV band, HMXBs have a power-law spectrum with photon index \( \gamma = 1.2 \pm 0.2 \) [see Eq. (5)]. In the same band, luminous \((>10^{37} \text{erg s}^{-1})\) LMXBs have a harder \((\propto e^{-\epsilon/(7.5 \text{keV})})\) spectrum, while less luminous \((<10^{37} \text{erg s}^{-1})\) LMXBs have a softer \((\propto e^{-1.4\epsilon/(7.5 \text{keV})})\) spectrum [see Eqs. (9a), (9b)]; the resulting population-averaged spectrum [see Eq. (11)] can be fitted by a power law of photon index \(\geq 1.1\) (see Fig. 8). Therefore, the effective spectral indexes of the integrated LMXB and HMXB spectra are very similar, and compatible with each other. So, from their integrated spectra the two populations of HMXBs and LMXBs can hardly be distinguished from each other: hence the need for individual spectral measurements of the point-like sources.
Fig. 7. *Left:* an integrated SB “stellar” spectrum that includes (in ascending order at 3 keV) only SNRs and HMXBs (no Fe-K emission line at 6.7 keV included); the relevant parameters and normalizations are as in Fig. 1. *Right:* an USC profile with $\Gamma = 1.2$ and $kT = 20$ keV. Both the “stellar” spectrum and the USC model are absorbed through a hydrogen column density of $n_H = 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$. The spectrum simulates the X-ray emission from a stellar population having no LMXBs, such as it may occur in an isolated burst of star formation: the emission is then dominated by HMXBs (i.e., by accretion-powered X-ray pulsars) whose spectral slope $\gamma = 1.2$ (White et al. 1983) is reflected in the USC spectral parameter $\Gamma \approx 1.2$.

For M82 and N253 the USC fluxes, $f_{2.1-10\text{keV}} = 2.8 \times 10^{-11}$ and $4.6 \times 10^{-12}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, respectively (see Fig. 4) – and corresponding luminosities $L_{2.1-10\text{keV}} = 2.4 \times 10^{40}$ and $6 \times 10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$ – imply populations of $\lesssim 2.4 \times 10^4$ and $\lesssim 600$ luminous ($\gtrsim 10^{37}$ erg s$^{-1}$) X-ray binaries (if the hard components are totally produced by X-ray binaries). This means that these local SBGs host a factor 4–16 times more high-luminosity X-ray binaries than the Galaxy: assuming a universal stellar IMF, a similar proportion holds between the respective SFRs (a compatible result is based on radio SN rates, see Bartel et al. 1987). This estimate is compatible with the hypothesis of an X-ray-binary–related origin of the hard component of SBG spectra.

5. Conclusion

Using an equilibrium stellar-population synthesis model that follows the evolution of massive binary stars taking into account the different modes of mass transfer (Iben et al. 1995a, b), birthrates have been deduced for massive binaries, in which mass is accreted from a primary star onto a degenerate companion, for a range of primary masses. This, together with estimates of the duration of the X-ray bright phase, has led to realistic estimates of the relative abundances of HMXBs and LMXBs. A similar approach has been used for SNRs, following SN explosions that have occurred in both single and binary stars. The lifespans assumed for the three types of source ($\sim 2.5 \times 10^4$ yr for HMXBs; $\lesssim 10^7$ yr for LMXBs; and $\sim 10^3$ yr for SNRs – see Sects. 2.1.1, 2.1.2, and 2.2) are safely shorter than a typical galactic SB lifetime ($\sim 10^9$ yr): this suggests that the equilibrium assumption implicit in our calculation is valid$^{22}$. For each source class, a system-

\footnote{In our calculation we assume that, for each class of sources, $\nu\tau_{\nu}$ systems have a spectrum with shape $f(\nu)$ and strength (i.e., integrated X-ray luminosity) $L_{\nu}$. This assumption is valid when the stellar population is in equilibrium, i.e. when the age of the SB is much longer than the characteristic lifespans of the systems.}

atic search of published spectra has shown that the average spectrum can be described as: (1) power law (with cut-off) for HMXBs, (2) unsaturated Comptonization model (with a correlation between shape and luminosity) for LMXBs, and (3) thermal plasma for SNRs.

From the spectral properties and relative abundances of the various classes of stellar sources, we have then computed the composite X-ray spectrum arising from a stellar population of Galactic composition. This “stellar” contribution has no essential degrees of freedom: it is fixed by the synthetic model and the observed X-ray spectra of the contributing components.

The extended, non-stellar part of the emission has both thermal and non-thermal components. The former originates mostly from regions of interaction between the out-going galactic wind powered by SN explosions and the ambient ISM. Non-thermal emission is due to Compton scattering of the SN-accelerated, radio-emitting relativistic electrons off the FIR and CMB radiation fields; the integrated spectrum is power-law, with index that should be comparable to that of the extended radio emission.

The main quantities in the modelling of the diffuse emission are: (1) the gas/stars mass ratio, (2) the chemical abundance of the gas, and (3) the FIR radiation field (for a given electron energy spectrum, as deduced from the observed radio emission).

This systematic survey of sources of X-ray emission in a SBG reveals that – based on stellar evolution arguments – in the 2–10 keV energy range X-ray binaries (1) are the most prominent stellar component, and (2) have the required spectral shape. Their population-averaged spectrum is effectively described by a cut-off power law (plus an additional Fe-K emission, from HMXBs), whose observed ranges of parameter values provide good fits to the *BeppoSAX* spectra of the most extensively observed nearby SBGs, M82 and N253. This agreement also suggests that the hard component observed in the 2–10 keV spectrum of SBGs may indeed result from the integrated emission of X-ray binaries with a mean spectrum similar to the one observed from Galactic HMXBs or lower-luminosity LMXBs; or that some level of steeper Compton emission combines with the predicted “stellar” emission to give the observed hard component. In possible agreement with this suggestion is the conclusion, based on *Chandra*
data, that in both N253 and M82 the ~2–10 keV flux is dominated by (previously unresolved) point-source emission (Strickland et al. 2000; Griffiths et al. 2000). If our composite SBG spectrum, with its main components as identified in this paper, will be found successful also in fitting other SBG spectra (Persic et al., in preparation), then the central role played by X-ray binaries in SBGs will have been broadly demonstrated and ascertained.

In a broader perspective, our proposed template spectrum provides a physical fit that may prove especially useful for interpreting low spatial resolution data on normal and starbursting galaxies, either distant (e.g., from Chandra and XMM deep surveys) or nearby (e.g., from ASCA and BeppoSAX). Concerning the latter, the ambiguity noted so far in interpreting the available low-resolution spectra of SBGs – notably, on the nature of the hard component (e.g. Ptak et al. 1997; Okada et al. 1997; Persic et al. 1998; Cappi et al. 1999; Della Ceca et al. 1999; Moran et al. 1999; Zezas et al. 1998; see also Weaver et al. 2000; Dahlem et al. 2000) – may disappear when a more realistic model is used to interpret the emission. One further consequence of using this template spectrum is the general possibility, for the first time, of measuring the SFR in galaxies directly from X-ray spectra. In fact, provided that the stellar IMF as well as the formation mechanisms (and hence the X-ray spectral properties) of X-ray binaries and SN are universal, in matching the observed spectrum of a given galaxy with a spectral template the only basic degree of freedom left will be the amplitude (i.e., the flux and hence the luminosity, once the distance to the source is known) which is proportional to the galaxy’s SFR.
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