Determination of proper motions and membership of the open star cluster NGC 2548
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Abstract. Absolute proper motions, their corresponding errors and membership probabilities of 501 stars in the intermediate-age open cluster NGC 2548 region are determined from MAMA measurements of 10 photographic plates. The plates have the maximum epoch difference of 82 years and they were taken with the double astrograph at Zô-Sê station of Shanghai Observatory, which has an aperture of 40 cm and a plate scale of 30″ mm⁻¹. The average proper motion precision is 1.18 mas yr⁻¹. These proper motions are used to determine the membership probabilities of stars in the region. The number of stars with membership probabilities higher than 0.7 is 165.
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1. Introduction

The open cluster NGC 2548, also known as M 48, in Hydra (α²⁰⁰⁰ = 8°13′48″, δ²⁰⁰⁰ = −5°48′) seems to be an intermediate-age open cluster with an estimated distance of 630 pc (Pesch 1961) or 530 pc (Clariá 1985). It has not been the object of any recent complete astrometric or photometric study (Ebbinghausen 1939; Li 1954), in spite of being an extended object with an apparent diameter of 30′ (Trumpler 1930) or even 54′ (Collinder 1931) and brilliant enough to be in the Messier list (s.XVIII) with number 48 (Messier 1850). It was even considered inexistent for several years owing to the fact that Messier mistook its real coordinates owing to a change of sign in its relative position with a final difference in declination of 5°.

There is no feasible estimation of its age but it seems to be an intermediate-age open cluster, around log t = 8.5 (Lynga 1987), with a slightly poorer CN abundance than the giants of the Hyades but significantly richer than the K giants of the solar neighborhood (Clariá 1985; Twarog et al. 1997).

Proper motions of bright stars in this region were published by Ebbinghausen (1939) with four pairs of plates of only a maximum epoch difference of 28 years. Li (1954) published positions and proper motions in the field of NGC 2548 based on three plates taken with the 40 cm astrograph at Shanghai Zô-Sê station with a maximum epoch difference of only 14 years.

In this paper we determine, for the first time, precise absolute proper motions of 501 stars within a 1°6 × 1°6 area in the NGC 2548 region, from automatic MAMA measurements of 10 plates, five of which are newly taken. The estimated membership probabilities lead us to a complete astrometric study of the cluster area. Section 2 describes the plate material and its measurement as well as the proper motion reduction procedure and results with comparisons with the Hipparcos and Tycho-2 catalogues.
Section 3 accounts for the membership determination. Section 4 is devoted to the analysis of results. Finally, a summary is presented in Sect. 5.

2. Plate measurement and proper motion reduction

2.1. Plate material and measurements

Ten plates of the NGC 2548 region were available. They were taken with the double astrograph at the Zö-Sé station of Shanghai Observatory. This telescope, built by Gaultier in Paris at the beginning of the last century, has an aperture of 40 cm, a focal length of 6.9 m and hence a plate scale of 30" mm\(^{-1}\). The size of the old plates is 24 cm by 30 cm, or 2'-0 x 2'-5, and that of the new ones is 20 cm by 20 cm, or 1'65 x 1'65.

The oldest plate was taken in 1916, and the newest ones in 1998. Relevant information on these plates is shown in Table 1. The hour angles are not provided in Table 1 because the starting exposure time of the old plates was not recorded.

All plates were measured at the Centre d’Analyse des Images at the Observatoire de Paris, using the high precision microdensitometer “Machine Automatique à Mesurer pour l’Astronomie” (MAMA). This device has a superb optical and mechanical performance (Guibert et al. 1990). It uses a quartz-iodine illuminating source, whose transmitted light is detected by a reticon, 1024 pixels large, with a pixel size of 10 \mu m, and the absolute accuracy of the measurements is 0.6 \mu m (Soubiran 1992). After the plates were scanned, the resulting images were stored in a grid of 19 x 19 sub-images for each plate. Once every plate was digitized, we identified all point sources in these 361 frames. The source extraction was performed on each frame using SExtractor (Bertin & Arnouts 1996), a software dedicated to the automatic analysis of astronomical images using a multi-threshold algorithm allowing good object deblending. To improve the accuracy of the measurements, we chose to retain only rather bright objects with a signal-to-noise ratio of at least 12.

During the scanning, MAMA includes in the catalogue not only real images, but also the plate grid, emulsion flaws, plate annotations and scratches. A first step is required to reject spurious detections, most of which can be recognized with analysis of the object shape, by removing the plate grid, annotations and big scratches by visual comparison with the original plates. The shape parameters given by SExtractor can be used to clarify the remaining stars but the most direct way to reject spurious detection is by comparison between plates, searching each object from one plate to the other, and retaining only the paired data. There is a total of 596 stars measured with a limiting magnitude \( B_T \) around 14. This limiting magnitude was roughly estimated from the stars in common with Tycho-2 catalogue.

The detection of 182 PPM and ACT stars on the whole plate allowed us to determine the star positions in a common system. Thus for each scanned plate, we obtained a preliminary astrometric catalogue to perform initial cross-identification between plates.

2.2. Proper motions

The absolute proper motions for 596 stars in the region of NGC 2548 were reduced on the basis of the MAMA measurements following the central overlapping procedure (Russel 1976; Wang et al. 1995, 1996, 2000). This method determines the plate-to-place transformation parameters, the star motions and their errors simultaneously. Stellar positions and absolute proper motions were reduced from a catalogue used as the original data for the first iteration. As initial catalogue, 265 stars from the Tycho-2 catalogue at epoch J2000 (Hog et al. 2000) were chosen on the basis of the results of the PPM and ACT astrometric catalogue given by MAMA. To select the best plate constant model, we used Eichhorn & Williams’ criterion (Eichhorn & Williams 1963; Wang et al. 1982) and obtained a model with six linear constants on coordinates, a magnitude and a coma term, and a magnitude distortion term. Magnitudes used were the instrumental magnitudes. All the proper motions are constrained by having at least one measurement from the modern epoch plates, i.e. taken in 1998. The whole process is iterated until the resulting proper motions converge. We iterate the process until mean differences in position are smaller than 1.1 mas, the rms smaller than 3.6 mas and the differences in proper motion below 0.1 mas yr\(^{-1}\), yielding a final outcome of 501 stars.

Table 2 shows mean precisions of final proper motions for stars in the NGC 2548 region detected on different numbers of measured plates (greater than 2). The units of the proper motions and their precisions all along are mas yr\(^{-1}\). The precision of the final proper motions strongly depends on the number of plates. Figure 1 gives the number of stars for which various numbers of plates are available. More than 90% of proper motions were obtained from at least 5 plates.

The rms errors on proper motions for more than 90% of stars are \( \epsilon_{\mu_{\alpha}\cos\delta} = 0.92 \) mas yr\(^{-1}\), \( \epsilon_{\mu_{\delta}} = 0.68 \) mas yr\(^{-1}\) and \( \epsilon_{\mu} = 1.18 \) mas yr\(^{-1}\), where \( \epsilon_{\mu} = \sqrt{\epsilon_{\mu_{\alpha}\cos\delta}^2 + \epsilon_{\mu_{\delta}}^2 + \epsilon_{m}\mu}\). In the most precise case, the rms errors are 0.77 mas yr\(^{-1}\) for stars with 10 plates (40% of stars).

Figure 2 shows the distribution of rms proper motion errors with the number of stars: \( N \) versus \( \mu_{\alpha}\cos\delta, \mu_{\delta} \) and \( \mu \). Thus the precisions of the proper motions of stars in the region of NGC 2548 obtained in this study are relatively high, thanks to the quality of the stellar images taken with the 40 cm double astrograph and the excellent positioning behavior of the MAMA scanning machine.

Figure 3 gives \( \mu_{\alpha}\cos\delta, \mu_{\delta} \) and their errors with respect to instrumental magnitude.

Our absolute proper motions and their errors are compared with those of Tycho-2 catalogue in Fig. 4. We would like to highlight the precision of the proper
Table 1. Plate material.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plate Id.</th>
<th>Epoch (1900+)</th>
<th>Exp. Time (min)</th>
<th>Plate center ((\alpha_{J2000}, \delta_{J2000})) cm</th>
<th>Plate size</th>
<th>No. of stars</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CL422</td>
<td>16.03.24</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>(8^h2283 - 5^m779)</td>
<td>24\times30</td>
<td>450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL534</td>
<td>30.03.21</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>(8^h2286 - 5^m775)</td>
<td>24\times30</td>
<td>556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL535</td>
<td>30.03.28</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>(8^h2291 - 5^m767)</td>
<td>24\times30</td>
<td>566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL56006</td>
<td>56.03.14</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>(8^h2288 - 5^m779)</td>
<td>24\times30</td>
<td>577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL56007</td>
<td>56.03.28</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>(8^h2372 - 5^m779)</td>
<td>24\times30</td>
<td>558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL56008</td>
<td>98.04.03</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>(8^h2447 - 5^m958)</td>
<td>24\times30</td>
<td>548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL98004</td>
<td>98.12.16</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>(8^h2227 - 5^m796)</td>
<td>20\times20</td>
<td>268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL98047</td>
<td>98.12.16</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>(8^h2255 - 5^m804)</td>
<td>20\times20</td>
<td>268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL98Tian</td>
<td>98.12.25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>(8^h2227 - 5^m796)</td>
<td>20\times20</td>
<td>268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL98Chen</td>
<td>98.12.25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>(8^h2255 - 5^m804)</td>
<td>20\times20</td>
<td>298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL98Gu</td>
<td>98.12.16</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>(8^h2227 - 5^m796)</td>
<td>20\times20</td>
<td>432</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. The number of stars vs the number of available plates.

motions derived in this paper. Mean differences in the sense ours minus Tycho-2 are \(-0.123 (\sigma = 2.112)\) and \(-0.203 (\sigma = 2.158)\) in \(\mu_\alpha \cos \delta\) and \(\mu_\delta\), respectively. No apparent systematic residuals were found as a function of magnitude. A linear fit to the proper motion data gives us:

\[\mu_\alpha \cos \delta = 0.187(\pm0.133) + 0.982(\pm0.009) \cdot (\mu_\alpha \cos \delta)_{\text{Tyco}}; \quad r = 0.988\]

\[\mu_\delta = 0.201(\pm0.132) + 1.016(\pm0.008) \cdot (\mu_\delta)_{\text{Tyco}}; \quad r = 0.992\]

being \(r\) the correlation coefficient.

Only 8 stars were found in this region from the Hipparcos catalogue (ESA 1997). By comparing our absolute proper motions with these common stars, the mean differences are (in the sense ours minus Hipparcos) \(-0.610 (\sigma = 1.710)\) and \(-0.198 (\sigma = 1.658)\) in \(\mu_\alpha \cos \delta\) and \(\mu_\delta\), respectively. We obtain the following linear fit:

\[\mu_\alpha \cos \delta = -0.458(\pm0.756) + 1.065(\pm0.013) \cdot (\mu_\alpha \cos \delta)_{\text{HIP}}; \quad r = 0.999\]

\[\mu_\delta = -0.470(\pm0.694) + 1.011(\pm0.014) \cdot (\mu_\delta)_{\text{HIP}}; \quad r = 0.999.\]

3. Membership determination

Accurate membership determination is essential for further astrophysical studies of clusters. The fundamental mathematical model set up by Vasilevskis et al. (1958) and the technique based upon the maximum likelihood principle developed by Sanders (1971) have since been continuously refined.

An improved method for membership determination of stellar clusters based on proper motions with different observed precisions was developed by Stetson (1980) and Zhao & He (1990). Zhao & Shao (1994) then added the
Fig. 2. The number of stars vs. the rms errors on proper motions in mas yr$^{-1}$.

Fig. 3. Proper motions (top) and their errors (bottom) vs. instrumental magnitude. Null errors are from proper motions calculated with only two plates. (Units are mas yr$^{-1}$).

correlation coefficient of the field star distribution to the set of parameters describing their distribution on the sky. This model has been frequently used (Wang et al. 1995, 1996, 2000).

We used a maximum likelihood method with a 9-parametric Gaussian model for the frequency function, as follows:

$$
\Phi = \Phi_c + \Phi_f = n_c \cdot \phi_c + n_f \cdot \phi_f,
$$

where $\phi_c$, and $\phi_f$, are the probability density functions of cluster members and field stars respectively in the proper motion space, with $n_c$ the normalized number of cluster stars, and $n_f$ the normalized number of field stars.
The probability density function for the $i$th star of the cluster can be written as follows:

$$
\phi_c(i) = \frac{1}{2\pi (\sigma_c^2 + \epsilon^2_{\mu, \cos \delta_i})^{1/2}} \exp \left\{ \frac{-1}{2 \sigma_c^2} \left[ (\mu_{\alpha, \cos \delta_i} - (\mu_{\alpha, \cos \delta_i})_c)^2 + (\epsilon_{\mu, \cos \delta_i})^2 \right] \right\},
$$

where $[(\mu_{\alpha, \cos \delta_i}), (\mu_{\delta_i})]$ are the proper motions of the $i$th star, $[(\mu_{\alpha, \cos \delta_i})_c, (\mu_{\delta_c})]$ the cluster mean proper motion, $\sigma_c$ the intrinsic proper motion dispersions of member stars and $[\epsilon_{\mu, \cos \delta_i}, \epsilon_{\mu, \delta_i}]$ the observed errors on the proper motion components of the $i$th star.

Analogously, for the field we have:

$$
\phi_f(i) = \frac{1}{2\pi (\sigma_f^2 + \epsilon^2_{\mu, \cos \delta_i})^{1/2}} \exp \left\{ \frac{-1}{2 \sigma_f^2} \left[ (\mu_{\alpha, \cos \delta_i} - (\mu_{\alpha, \cos \delta_i})_f)^2 + (\epsilon_{\mu, \cos \delta_i})^2 \right] \right\},
$$

where $[[\mu_{\alpha, \cos \delta_i}]_f, (\mu_{\delta_i})_f]$ are the field mean proper motion, $[\sigma_{\mu, \cos \delta_i}, \sigma_{\mu, \delta_i}]$ the field intrinsic proper motion dispersions and $\gamma$ is the correlation coefficient.

### 4. Results and discussion

The unknown parameters for the assumed $\Phi$ distribution are $[\mu_c, (\mu_{\alpha, \cos \delta})_c, (\mu_{\delta})_c, \sigma_c]$ for the cluster and $[(\mu_{\alpha, \cos \delta})_f, (\mu_{\delta})_f, \sigma_{\mu, \cos \delta}, \sigma_{\mu, \delta}, \gamma]$ for the field population. Membership probability of the $i$th star belonging to the cluster can be calculated from

$$
P_c(i) = \frac{\phi_c(i)}{\Phi(i)}.
$$

By applying the standard maximum likelihood method, we obtained the 9 distribution parameters and their corresponding uncertainties, shown in Table 3, where the units of the proper motions and proper motion dispersions are mas yr$^{-1}$. The quality of the fit should be optimized near the proper motion region occupied by the cluster stars, where the model is most crucial to providing reliable membership determination. Outliers cause the estimated distribution of field stars to be flatter than the actual one, thus increasing the final probability of...
membership (Kozhurina-Platais et al. 1995; Cabrera-Caño & Alfaro 1985; Zhao & Tian 1985; Zhao et al. 1982). To minimize the effect of high proper-motion field stars in the model, we restricted the membership determination to the range $|\mu| < 30$ mas yr$^{-1}$.

Table 5 lists the results for all 501 stars in the region of the open cluster: Col. 1 is the ordinal star number; Cols. 2 and 3 are $\alpha_{J2000}$ and $\delta_{J2000}$; Cols. 4 and 6 are the respective absolute proper motions ($\mu_{\alpha} \cos \delta, \mu_{\delta}$); Cols. 5 and 7 are the standard errors of the proper motions; Col. 8 is the membership probability of stars belonging to NGC 2548 ($P_c$); Col. 9 is the instrumental magnitude given by SExtractor; Col. 10 gives the number of plates used and Col. 11 the identification number in Tycho-2 for the stars in common.

The cross-identification of our 8 common stars with the Hipparcos catalogue is shown in Table 4. Comparison with the membership determination calculated by Baumgardt et al. (2000) for the seven stars in common shows complete agreement.

Figures 5 and 6 show the proper motion vector-point diagram and the position distribution on the sky for all the measured stars respectively, where “+” denotes a member of NGC 2548 with $P_c \geq 0.7$, and all other stars are considered field stars indicated by “-”.

The cluster membership probability histogram (Fig. 7) shows a very clear separation between cluster members and field stars. The number of stars with membership probabilities higher than 0.7 for NGC 2548 is 165. The average cluster membership probability is 0.90, giving a contamination by field stars not larger than 10%. If these stars were considered as belonging to the field, the apparent deficiency of field stars in the central region would vanish. Likewise, the contamination by cluster stars in the field is not larger than 6%.

The present results for this cluster should be complemented with photometry and radial velocity studies. To this end, our group is working on a complete photometric study of the region.

4.1. Effectiveness of membership determination

Contamination by background and foreground objects due to the observational projection effect cannot be avoided. Following Shao & Zhao (1996), we can assess the effectiveness of our membership determination, which is set as:

$$ E = 1 - \frac{N \sum_{i=1}^{N} \{ P_c(i) [1 - P_c(i)] \}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} P_c(i) \sum_{i=1}^{N} [1 - P_c(i)]}, $$

where the greater $E$, the more effective the membership determination.

We thus obtained an effectiveness of membership determination of 0.77 for NGC 2548. Figure 3 of Shao & Zhao (1996) paper shows that the effectiveness of membership determination of 43 open clusters ranges from 0.20 to 0.90 and the peak value is 0.55. Compared with previous studies (Shao & Zhao 1996; Tian et al. 1998; Balaguer-Núñez et al. 1998), the effectiveness of membership determination for this open cluster is significantly high.

4.2. Space velocity and galactic orbit

By combining our absolute proper motion results with radial velocity (Piatti et al. 1995), and the age and distance of the cluster from the Catalogue of Lyngå (1987), we determined the space velocity and the galactic orbit of NGC 2548.

To obtain the velocity of the cluster in the galactocentric frame, we assumed the motion of the Sun in the LSR to be $(U, V, W)_\odot = (9.7, 5.2, 6.7)$ km s$^{-1}$ (Bienaymé 1999). We adopted the current IAU standard values of $V_{LSR} = 220$ km s$^{-1}$ for the local circular rotation velocity and $R_\odot = 8.5$ kpc for the Sun galactocentric distance. The resulting galactocentric position and velocity of the cluster are $(x, y, z) = (-8.89, -0.44, +0.16)$ kpc and $(U, V, W) = (0.222, 7)$ km s$^{-1}$. These vectors, together with the galactic gravitational potential model of Allen & Santillán (1991) with the estimated cluster age of $log t = 8.5$, determine the orbit of the cluster in the Galaxy. The resulting orbit is characterized by an eccentricity of 0.022, radial oscillations between distances from the galactic center of 8.67 and 9.04 kpc and vertical oscillations between $-0.19$ and 0.19 kpc. The cluster has made 1.18 revolutions around the galactic center and 8 crossings of the galactic disk in its lifetime. Assuming the distance of Clariá (1985) does not affect the results. We currently observe the cluster near its maximum distance from the plane. This is consistent with the expectation of a higher probability of finding the cluster near its maximum $|z|$, where the cluster will spend more time due to its vertical motion.

5. Summary

Proper motions and their corresponding errors of 501 stars within a $1\degree6 \times 1\degree6$ area in the NGC 2548 region were determined from automatic MAMA measurements of
Fig. 5. The proper motion vector-point diagram of NGC 2548. (Units are mas yr$^{-1}$.) (“o” denotes a member of NGC 2548 with $P_c \geq 0.7$, “+” a field star with $P_c < 0.7$).

Fig. 6. The position distribution of stars in NGC 2548 area. (“o” denotes a member of NGC 2548 with $P_c \geq 0.7$, “+” a field star with $P_c < 0.7$).
Table 4. The cross-identification of stars in common with the Hipparcos catalogue and the membership determination by Baumgardt et al. (2000). Comparison with the results from this paper \((P_c)\) gives complete agreement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 5</th>
<th>Hipparcos</th>
<th>Tycho-2</th>
<th>BDA</th>
<th>Member</th>
<th>(P_c)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>257</td>
<td>40110</td>
<td>4859.000781</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>40238</td>
<td>4859.002501</td>
<td>1005</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139</td>
<td>40254</td>
<td>4859.000361</td>
<td>1073</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>336</td>
<td>40281</td>
<td>4859.009211</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>133</td>
<td>40302</td>
<td>4855.017061</td>
<td>1320</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>234</td>
<td>40348</td>
<td>4859.011561</td>
<td>1560</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>162</td>
<td>40362</td>
<td>4859.000992</td>
<td>1628</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>40498</td>
<td>4856.000721</td>
<td>2184</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 7. The histogram of cluster membership probability of NGC 2548.

10 plates, five of which were newly taken. Comparison with Tycho-2 and Hipparcos Catalogues shows good agreement and underlines the precision of the proper motions derived in this paper. These proper motions are then used to determine membership probabilities of the stars in the region. We obtained 165 stars with membership probabilities higher than 0.7.
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